

Boundedness of Multiparameter Forelli-Rudin Type Operators on Product L^p Spaces over Tubular Domains

Lvchang Li^{*}, Yuheng Liang[†], Haichou Li^{* ‡§}

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study two classes of multiparameter Forelli-Rudin type operators from $L^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B, dV_{\alpha_1} \times dV_{\alpha_2})$ to $L^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B, dV_{\beta_1} \times dV_{\beta_2})$, especially on their boundedness, where $L^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B, dV_{\alpha_1} \times dV_{\alpha_2})$ and $L^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B, dV_{\beta_1} \times dV_{\beta_2})$ are both weighted Lebesgue spaces over the Cartesian product of two tubular domains $T_B \times T_B$, with mixed-norm and appropriate weights. We completely characterize the boundedness of these two operators when $1 \leq \vec{p} \leq \vec{q} < \infty$. Moreover, we provide the necessary and sufficient condition of the case that $\vec{q} = (\infty, \infty)$. As an application, we obtain the boundedness of three common classes of integral operators, including the weighted multiparameter Bergman-type projection and the weighted multiparameter Berezin-type transform.

Key words: Forelli-Rudin type operators; Mixed-norm Lebesgue space; tubular domain

1 Introduction

The study of the analytic properties of integral operators has always been a very active problem. In recent years, many scholars have studied its boundedness, compactness and other analytical properties, and obtained

^{*}College of Mathematics and Informatics, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, 510640, China Email: 20222115006@stu.scau.edu.cn.

[†]College of Mathematics and Informatics, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, 510640, China Email: 20232115004@stu.scau.edu.cn.

[‡]Corresponding author, College of Mathematics and Informatics, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, 510640, China Email: hcl2016@scau.edu.cn. Li is supported by NSF of China (Grant No. 11901205).

[§]This work was supported by the NSF of China (Grant No. 12326407, and 12071155)

a series of important results, which can be referred to [5]. The Forelli-Rudin operator was first introduced by Forelli and Rudin [7] in 1974 as a special class of integral operators. They play an important role in the theory of function spaces, especially in the study of Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces. Initially, this research mainly focused on the operator theory of Bergman space and Hardy space on the unit disk and the unit ball. Subsequently, it is gradually extended to a wider range of function spaces, such as weighted Bergman space and Sobolev space. Researchers have found that the behavior of the Forelli-Rudin operator in these spaces can help to understand more general function space theory.

Kurens and Zhu [14] introduced the Forelli-Rudin type integral operators in 2006, which are defined as follows. For any given $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$T_{a, b, c} f(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^a \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^b}{(1 - \langle z, u \rangle)^c} f(u) dV(u)$$

and

$$S_{a, b, c} f(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^a \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^b}{|1 - \langle z, u \rangle|^c} f(u) dV(u).$$

The above operators are defined on the unit ball. Stein [6] first proved that the operator $T_{0, 0, n+1}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$, where $1 < p < \infty$. Forelli-Rudin [7] proved that $T_{0, \sigma+it, n+1+\sigma+it}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$ if and only if $(\sigma + 1)p > 1$, where $1 < p < \infty, \sigma > -1, t \in \mathbb{R}, i$ is an imaginary unit. Zhu [29, Theorem 3.11] gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for $T_{a, b, c}$ and $S_{a, b, c}$ to be bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$, where $1 < p < \infty, dA_\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dV(z), \alpha > -1$. Later, this conclusion was extended to the high-dimensional case by Kures and Zhu [14]. For more results in this direction, please refer to [21, 22, 27, 28]. In addition, it also includes the study of Forelli-Rudin operators on special domains (such as unbounded domains), revealing the behavior of operators in different geometric backgrounds. For example, Cheng et al. [2] gave the boundedness of $T_{0, 0, c}$ from L^p to L^q when $(p, q) \in [1, \infty] \times [1, \infty]$. In [17], Liu et al. generalized the results of Cheng et al. to the Siegel upper half space, and discussed the L^p - L^q boundedness of the operator $T_{0, 0, c}$. In [26], Zhou et al. gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for the L^p_α - L^q_β boundedness of Forelli-Rudin type integral operators on Siegel upper half space, and gave the connection between the unit ball and the boundedness of Forelli-Rudin type integral operators on Siegel upper half space. For more results in the Siegel upper half space, please refer to [16, 25].

The mixed norm Lebesgue space $L^{\vec{p}}$ as a natural generalization of the classical Lebesgue space L^p was first introduced by Benedek [1] in 1961. Its definition and properties combine multiple Lebesgue integrals in different directions, which can better describe the behavior of multidimensional

functions. Mixed norm Lebesgue spaces are increasingly showing their importance in many analysis and application fields, especially in multivariate function analysis and partial differential equation research. By combining Lebesgue integrals in different directions, the mixed norm Lebesgue space can better describe and deal with the behaviors of complex functions. After that, many scholars have studied it. The research on this aspect can refer to the relevant literature [24]. There is also progress in the study of Forelli-Rudin type operators on Lebesgue spaces with mixed norm. Recently, Huang et al. [10] studied the boundedness of multi-parameter Forelli-Rudin type operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n)$ with mixed norm on the Cartesian product of two unit balls, and gave a series of conclusions. The object of this paper is the boundedness of multi-parameter Forelli-Rudin type operators on the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ with mixed norm on the Cartesian product of two tubular domains. It also includes the boundedness of multi-parameter Forelli-Rudin type operators on $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ when $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$. The detailed conclusions are shown in section 6 of this paper.

In addition, multiparameter theory is also an important branch of mathematics. It provides a basis for dealing with complex mathematical problems involving multiple variables or parameters, and plays an important role in harmonic analysis, complex analysis, partial differential equations and other fields. For example, the singular integral operator studied by many scholars in harmonic analysis, the product singular integral studied by R.Fefferman, Journé and Stein et al. [8, 13]. Specifically, in 1982, R.Fefferman and Stein [6] extended the convolution Calderón–Zygmund operator to the two-parameter case and obtained the boundedness on the product Lebesgue space. Gundy and Stein [9] first introduced the product Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$. Subsequently, Chang and R.Fefferman [3, 4] established the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition theory of the product space and derived a series of conclusions. For more applications of multi-parameters in the above fields, see reference [11, 19, 20, 23].

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In the second section, we will explain the basic concepts and symbolic terms. In the third section, we give some basic lemmas, including Schur test, which is an important tool to verify the boundedness of integral operators and will run through the full text. In the fourth section, we will give the necessary conditions for the boundedness of the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, and get a series of conclusions, see lemma 4.1 to lemma 4.13. In the fifth section, we give the sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, see Lemma 5.1 to Lemma 5.13. Since the boundedness of the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ will inevitably lead to the boundedness of the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, in the sixth section, we link the above lemmas and give our main theorems 6.1 to 6.13 in this paper. In the last section, we apply the obtained theorem to three kinds of integral

operators, including the famous Bergman-type projection and Berezin-type transformation, and obtain the boundedness of three kinds of integral operators.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{C}^n be the n -dimensional complex Euclidean space. For any two points $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , we write

$$z \cdot \bar{w} := z_1 \bar{w}_1 + \dots + z_n \bar{w}_n,$$

$$z'^2 = z' \cdot z' = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_n^2$$

and

$$|z| := \sqrt{z \cdot \bar{z}} = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2}.$$

The set $T_B = \{z = x + iy, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in B\}$ is a tube domain in an n -dimensional complex space \mathbb{C}^n , where

$$B = \{(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid y'^2 < y_n\}, y' = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, y_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For any given $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ and $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (-1, \infty) \times (-1, \infty)$, we define the Lebesgue space $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ with weighted mixed-norm on $T_B \times T_B$. It consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions f on $T_B \times T_B$ such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\alpha}} = \left\{ \int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} |f(z, w)|^{p_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dV_{\alpha_2}(w) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_2}}$$

is finite, where for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $dV_{\alpha_i}(z) = \rho(z)^{\alpha_i} dV(z)$, with $\rho(z) := y_n - |y'|^2$ and dV denoting the Lebesgue volume measure on \mathbb{C}^n .

In particular, when $p_1 = p_2 = p$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, the space $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ goes back to the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{\alpha}^p(T_B \times T_B)$.

Similarly, we define the space of all essentially bounded functions on $T_B \times T_B$, denoted as $L^{\infty}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Same as the well-known classical L^p space, the weighted mixed-norm Lebesgue space $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ that we define is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\alpha}}$ when $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty) \times [1, \infty)$.

Next, we introduce two important integral operators $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ and $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ studied in this paper.

For any $\vec{a} := (a_1, a_2), \vec{b} := (b_1, b_2), \vec{c} := (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, two classes of integral operators are defined by

$$T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f(z, w) = \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} f(u, \eta) dV(u) dV(\eta)$$

and

$$S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f(z, w) = \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} f(u, \eta) dV(u) dV(\eta),$$

where

$$\rho(z, u) := \frac{1}{4} \left((z' - \bar{u}')^2 - 2i(z_n - \bar{u}_n) \right).$$

In this paper, we stipulate that the mixed norm Lebesgue space $L^{\vec{p}}$ is denoted by L^∞ when only two indexes are infinite, and the rest are denoted by $L^{\vec{p}}$.

For the convenience of writing, we write $p_- := \min\{p_1, p_2\}$, $p_+ := \max\{p_1, p_2\}$, $q_- := \min\{q_1, q_2\}$ and $q_+ := \max\{q_1, q_2\}$.

Throughout the paper we use C to denote positive constants whose value may change from line to line but does not depend on the functions being considered. The notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$, and the notation $A \simeq B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

3 Basic lemmas

In this section, we will introduce several key lemmas, which will play an important role in the proof of the main theorems.

The following lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 are derived from [18] and [15] respectively, which play an important role in integral estimation.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $r, s > 0, t > -1$ and $r + s - t > n + 1$, then*

$$\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^t}{\rho(z, w)^r \rho(w, u)^s} dV(w) = \frac{C_1(n, r, s, t)}{\rho(z, u)^{r+s-t-n-1}}$$

for all $z, u \in T_B$, where

$$C_1(n, r, s, t) = \frac{2^{n+1} \pi^n \Gamma(1+t) \Gamma(r+s-t-n-1)}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(s)}.$$

In particular, let $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, if $t > -1, s - t > n + 1$, then

$$\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^t}{|\rho(z, w)|^s} dV(w) = \frac{C_1(n, s, t)}{\rho(z)^{s-t-n-1}}.$$

Otherwise, the above equation is infinity.

Lemma 3.2 *For any $z, w \in T_B$, we have*

$$2|\rho(z, w)| \geq \max\{\rho(z), \rho(w)\}.$$

The following lemma 3.3 is an important result in real analysis. Detailed proof can refer to [12].

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose $(X, d\mu)$ is a σ -finite measure space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Let G be a complex-valued function defined on $X \times X$ and T be the integral operator defined by*

$$Tf(x) = \int_X G(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y).$$

If the operator T is bounded on $L^p(X, d\mu)$, then its adjoint T^ is the integral operator*

$$T^*f(x) = \int_X \overline{G(y, x)} f(y) d\mu(y)$$

on $L^q(X, d\mu)$.

The following lemma 3.4 is a further conclusion on the basis of lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty] \times [1, \infty]$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty] \times [1, \infty]$. If the integral operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, then its adjoint operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^*$ defined by setting*

$$T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f(z, w) = \rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{\beta_1 + a_1} \rho(\eta)^{\beta_2 + a_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} f(u, \eta) dV(u) dV(\eta)$$

is bounded from $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: It is easy to get the definition of operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^*$ from Lemma 3.3.

Let $g(z, w) \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, it follows from the Fubini theorem and the Hölder inequality of the mixed norm that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* g(z, w)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\alpha}} &= \sup_{\|f\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}}=1} \left| \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* g(z, w) \overline{f(z, w)} dV_{\alpha_1}(z) dV_{\alpha_2}(w) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}}=1} \left| \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{\beta_1 + a_1} \rho(\eta)^{\beta_2 + a_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times g(u, \eta) dV(u) dV(\eta) \overline{f(z, w)} dV_{\alpha_1}(z) dV_{\alpha_2}(w) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}}=1} \left| \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} g(u, \eta) \overline{T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f(u, \eta)} dV_{\beta_1}(u) dV_{\beta_2}(\eta) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}}=1} \|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}} \|g\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}} \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^*$ is a bounded operator from $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}(T_B \times T_B)$. \square

In order to prove the conclusion of the fifth section of this article, we introduce the following four lemmas about the Schur's test. All lemmas are derived from [10]. Therefore, we omit their proofs.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\vec{\mu} := \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ and $\vec{v} := \nu_1 \times \nu_2$ be positive measures on the space $X \times X$ and, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, K_i be nonnegative functions on $X \times X$. Let T be an integral operator with kernel $K := K_1 \cdot K_2$ defined by setting for any $(x, y) \in X \times X$,*

$$Tf(x, y) := \int_X \int_X K_1(x, s)K_2(y, t)f(s, t)d\mu_1(s)d\mu_2(t).$$

Suppose $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2) \in (1, \infty) \times (1, \infty)$, $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2) \in (1, \infty) \times (1, \infty)$ satisfying $1 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq q_- < \infty$, where $p_+ := \max\{p_1, p_2\}$, $p_- := \min\{p_1, p_2\}$, and $q_- := \min\{q_1, q_2\}$. Let γ_i and δ_i be real numbers such that $\gamma_i + \delta_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If there exist two positive functions h_1 and h_2 defined on $X \times X$ with two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for almost all $(x, y) \in X \times X$

$$\int_X \left(\int_X (K_1(x, s))^{\gamma_1 p'_1} (K_2(y, t))^{\gamma_2 p'_1} (h_1(s, t))^{p'_1} d\mu_1(s) \right)^{p'_2/p'_1} d\mu_2(t) \leq C_1 (h_2(x, y))^{p'_2} \quad (3.1)$$

and for almost all $(s, t) \in X \times X$,

$$\int_X \left(\int_X (K_1(x, s))^{\delta_1 q_1} (K_2(y, t))^{\delta_2 q_1} (h_2(x, y))^{q_1} d\nu_1(x) \right)^{q_2/q_1} d\nu_2(y) \leq C_2 (h_1(s, t))^{q_2}, \quad (3.2)$$

then $T : L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{v}}^{\vec{q}}$ is bounded with $\|T\|_{L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{v}}^{\vec{q}}} \leq C_1^{1/p'_2} C_2^{1/q_2}$.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $\vec{\mu}, \vec{v}$, the kernel K , and the operator T be as in Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty) \times [1, \infty)$. Let γ_i and δ_i be two real numbers such that $\gamma_i + \delta_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If there exist two positive functions h_1 and h_2 defined on $X \times X$ with two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for almost all $(x, y) \in X \times X$,*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(s, t) \in X \times X} (K_1(x, s))^{\gamma_1} (K_2(y, t))^{\gamma_2} h_1(s, t) \leq C_1 h_2(x, y) \quad (3.3)$$

and, for almost all $(s, t) \in X \times X$,

$$\int_X \left(\int_X (K_1(x, s))^{\delta_1 q_1} (K_2(y, t))^{\delta_2 q_1} (h_2(x, y))^{q_1} d\nu_1(x) \right)^{q_2/q_1} d\nu_2(y) \leq C_2 (h_1(s, t))^{q_2}, \quad (3.4)$$

then $T : L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{1}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{v}}^{\vec{q}}$ is bounded with $\|T\|_{L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{1}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{v}}^{\vec{q}}} \leq C_1 C_2^{1/q_2}$.

Lemma 3.7 *Let $\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}$, the kernel K , and the operator T be as in Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\vec{p} = (p_1, 1)$ with $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2) \in (1, \infty) \times (1, \infty)$ satisfying $1 < p_1 \leq q_- < \infty$, where $q_- := \min\{q_1, q_2\}$. Let γ_i and δ_i be two real numbers such that $\gamma_i + \delta_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If there exist two positive functions h_1 and h_2 defined on $X \times X$ with two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for almost all $(x, y) \in X \times X$,*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in X} \int_X (K_1(x, s))^{\gamma_1 p_1'} (K_2(y, t))^{\gamma_2 p_1'} (h_1(s, t))^{p_1'} d\mu_1(s) \leq C_1 (h_2(x, y))^{p_1'} \quad (3.5)$$

and, for almost all $(s, t) \in X \times X$,

$$\int_X \left(\int_X (K_1(x, s))^{\delta_1 q_1} (K_2(y, t))^{\delta_2 q_1} (h_2(x, y))^{q_1} d\nu_1(x) \right)^{q_2/q_1} d\nu_2(y) \leq C_2 (h_1(s, t))^{q_2}, \quad (3.6)$$

then $T : L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{\nu}}^{\vec{q}}$ is bounded with $\|T\|_{L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{\nu}}^{\vec{q}}} \leq C_1^{1/p_1'} C_2^{1/q_2}$

Lemma 3.8 *Let $\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}$, the kernel K , and the operator T be as in Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\vec{p} = (1, p_2)$ with $p_2 \in (1, \infty)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2) \in (1, \infty) \times (1, \infty)$ satisfying $1 < p_2 \leq q_- < \infty$, where $q_- := \min\{q_1, q_2\}$. Let γ_i and δ_i be two real numbers such that $\gamma_i + \delta_i = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If there exist two positive functions h_1 and h_2 defined on $X \times X$ with two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for almost all $(x, y) \in X \times X$,*

$$\int_X \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in X} (K_1(x, s))^{\gamma_1} (K_2(y, t))^{\gamma_2} h_1(s, t) \right)^{p_2'} d\mu_2(t) \leq C_1 (h_2(x, y))^{p_2'} \quad (3.7)$$

and, for almost all $(s, t) \in X \times X$,

$$\int_X \left(\int_X (K_1(x, s))^{\delta_1 q_1} (K_2(y, t))^{\delta_2 q_1} (h_2(x, y))^{q_1} d\nu_1(x) \right)^{q_2/q_1} d\nu_2(y) \leq C_2 (h_1(s, t))^{q_2}, \quad (3.8)$$

then $T : L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{\nu}}^{\vec{q}}$ is bounded with $\|T\|_{L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}} \rightarrow L_{\vec{\nu}}^{\vec{q}}} \leq C_1^{1/p_2'} C_2^{1/q_2}$.

Finally, we introduce a sufficient condition for the boundedness of an operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ when its codomain is $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Lemma 3.9 *Let $\vec{\mu} := \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ and $\vec{\nu} := \nu_1 \times \nu_2$ be positive measures on the space $X \times X$ and, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, K_i be nonnegative functions on $X \times X$. Let T be an integral operator with kernel $K := K_1 \cdot K_2$ defined by setting for any $(x, y) \in X \times X$,*

$$Tf(x, y) := \int_X \int_X K_1(x, s) K_2(y, t) f(s, t) d\mu_1(s) d\mu_2(t).$$

Suppose $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2), \vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfying $1 \leq p_- \leq p_+ \leq \infty$, if

$$\|K_1(x, \cdot) \cdot K_2(y, \cdot)\|_{L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}'}} \quad (3.9)$$

is uniformly bounded, then T is bounded from $L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}}$ to L^∞ .

Proof: This result can be easily obtained from the following mixed norm Hölder inequality, which is as follows :

$$|Tf(x, y)| \leq \|K_1(x, \cdot) \cdot K_2(y, \cdot)\|_{L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}'}} \|f\|_{L_{\vec{\mu}}^{\vec{p}}}.$$

□

4 The Necessity for the Boundedness of $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$

In this section, we give the necessary conditions for the boundedness of the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, and the conclusions are the following lemmas 4.1 to lemma 4.13.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $1 < p_1 \leq q_1 < \infty$ and $1 < p_2 \leq q_2 < \infty$. If the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i + 1 < p_i (b_i + 1), \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_i}{p_i}.$$

Proof: By duality, the boundedness of $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ implies the boundedness of $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^*$ from $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}'}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}(T_B \times T_B)$.

In order for Tf to be well-defined, then for any $f \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$, the following formula must hold :

$$\frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}(T_B \times T_B),$$

for any $z, w \in T_B$,

that is

$$\rho(z)^{a_1} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{p_1'(b_1 - \alpha_1) + \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 p_1'}} dV(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1'}} \rho(w)^{a_2} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{p_2'(b_2 - \alpha_2) + \alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 p_2'}} dV(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2'}} < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

By Lemma 3.1, (4.1) is true if and only if

$$\begin{cases} p'_i (b_i - \alpha_i) + \alpha_i > -1, \\ c_i p'_i > n + 1 + p'_i (b_i - \alpha_i) + \alpha_i \end{cases}$$

for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Summing up the two inequalities, we get $c_i > n/p'_i > 0$ for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

For $u > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, we put

$$f_{u,\eta}(z, w) = \frac{\rho(z)^{t_1} \rho(w)^{t_2}}{\rho(z, u\mathbf{i})^{s_1} \rho(w, \eta\mathbf{i})^{s_2}}, \quad z, w \in T_B,$$

where s_1, s_2, t_1 and t_2 are real parameters satisfying conditions

$$\begin{cases} s_i > 0, \\ t_i > \max \left\{ -\frac{\beta_i+1}{q'_i}, -a_i - \beta_i - 1 \right\}, \\ s_i - t_i > \max \left\{ \frac{n+1+\beta_i}{q'_i}, \beta_i + a_i - c_i + n + 1 \right\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

and $\mathbf{i} = (0', i)$.

By lemma 3.1, condition 4.2 makes $f_{u,\eta}(z, w) \in L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, and there exists a constant C such that

$$\|f_{u,\eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}} = C u^{\frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q'_1} - (s_1 - t_1)} \eta^{\frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q'_2} - (s_2 - t_2)}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w) \\ &= \rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{\beta_1 + a_1} \rho(m)^{\beta_2 + a_2}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(w, m)^{c_2}} f_{u,\eta}(l, m) dV(l) dV(m) \\ &= \rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{\beta_1 + a_1} \rho(m)^{\beta_2 + a_2}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(w, m)^{c_2}} \cdot \frac{\rho(l)^{t_1} \rho(m)^{t_2}}{\rho(l, u\mathbf{i})^{s_1} \rho(m, \eta\mathbf{i})^{s_2}} dV(l) dV(m) \\ &= \rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{\beta_1 + a_1 + t_1}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(l, u\mathbf{i})^{s_1}} dV(l) \cdot \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(m)^{\beta_2 + a_2 + t_2}}{\rho(w, m)^{c_2} \rho(m, \eta\mathbf{i})^{s_2}} dV(m) \\ &= C \frac{\rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1}}{\rho(z, u\mathbf{i})^{c_1 + s_1 - (n+1+\beta_1+a_1+t_1)}} \cdot \frac{\rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(w, \eta\mathbf{i})^{c_2 + s_2 - (n+1+\beta_2+a_2+t_2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\alpha}} &= C \left(\int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} |T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w)|^{p'_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{p'_2}{p'_1}} dV_{\alpha_2}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} \\
&= C \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{(b_1 - \alpha_1)p'_1 + \alpha_1}}{\rho(z, u\mathbf{i})^{(c_1 + s_1 - (\beta_1 + t_1 + a_1 + n + 1))p'_1}} dV(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_1}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{(b_2 - \alpha_2)p'_2 + \alpha_2}}{\rho(w, \eta\mathbf{i})^{(c_2 + s_2 - (\beta_2 + t_2 + a_2 + n + 1))p'_2}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w) \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}(T_B \times T_B)$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$(b_i - \alpha_i) p'_i + \alpha_i > -1,$$

that is

$$\alpha_i + 1 < p_i (b_i + 1).$$

Applying the above proof process to the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, we can get

$$-q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1$$

for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Next, we prove that for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $c_i = n + b_i + 1 + \lambda_i$.

For any $\xi, \eta \in T_B$, let

$$f_{\xi,\eta}(z, w) = \frac{\rho(\xi)^{n+1+b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \rho(\eta)^{n+1+b_2-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2}}{\rho(z, \xi)^{n+1+b_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{n+1+b_2}}.$$

Given $\alpha_1 + 1 < p_1 (b_1 + 1)$ and $\alpha_2 + 1 < p_2 (b_2 + 1)$, it follows that there exists a positive constant C independent of ξ and η such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_{\xi,\eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\alpha}} &= \left(\int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} |f_{\xi,\eta}(z, w)|^{p_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dV_{\alpha_2}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
&= \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\xi)^{(n+1+b_1)p_1 - (n+1+\alpha_1)} \rho(z)^{\alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, \xi)|^{(n+1+b_1)p_1}} dV(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{(n+1+b_2)p_2 - (n+1+\alpha_2)} \rho(w)^{\alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{(n+1+b_2)p_2}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
&\leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $c_i > 0$ and $b_i > (\alpha_i + 1)/p_i - 1 > -1$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w) &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{b_1} \rho(m)^{b_2}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(w, m)^{c_2}} f_{\xi, \eta}(l, m) dV(l) dV(m) \\
&= \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\xi)^{n+1+b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \rho(\eta)^{n+1+b_2-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2} \\
&\quad \times \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{b_1}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(l, \xi)^{n+1+b_1}} dV(l) \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(m)^{b_2}}{\rho(w, m)^{c_2} \rho(m, \eta)^{n+1+b_2}} dV(m) \\
&= C \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\xi)^{n+1+b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \rho(\eta)^{n+1+b_2-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2}}{\rho(z, \xi)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w) \in L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, there is a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}} &= \left(\int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} |T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)|^{q_1} dV_{\beta_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} dV_{\beta_2}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\
&= \rho(\xi)^{n+1+b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \rho(\eta)^{n+1+b_2-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{\beta_1+a_1 q_1}}{|\rho(z, \xi)|^{c_1 q_1}} dV(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{\beta_2+a_2 q_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 q_2}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\
&\leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we obtain

$$n + 1 + b_i - (n + 1 + \alpha_i)/p_i = (c_i q_i - (n + 1 + \beta_i + a_i q_i))/q_i$$

which simplifies to

$$c_i = n + b_i + 1 + \lambda_i.$$

□

Lemma 4.2 *Let $1 = p_1 \leq q_1 < \infty$ and $1 = p_2 \leq q_2 < \infty$. If the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i < b_i, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - (n + 1 + \alpha_i).$$

Proof: Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1.

We have

$$T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w) = C \frac{\rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1}}{\rho(z, u\mathbf{i})^{c_1 + s_1 - (n+1 + \beta_1 + a_1 + t_1)}} \cdot \frac{\rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(w, \eta\mathbf{i})^{c_2 + s_2 - (n+1 + \beta_2 + a_2 + t_2)}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w)\|_\infty &= C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1}}{\rho(z, u\mathbf{i})^{c_1 + s_1 - (\beta_1 + t_1 + a_1 + n + 1)}} \\ &\quad \cdot \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(w, \eta\mathbf{i})^{c_2 + s_2 - (\beta_2 + t_2 + a_2 + n + 1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Since $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w) \in L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, the above equation is finite. According to the conditions satisfied by s_i and t_i , it can be obtained that the power of the denominator of the above formula (4.3) is greater than zero, and $\rho(z)$, $\rho(w)$ can be infinite on T_B . Therefore, combined with the lemma 3.2, we obtain $b_1 > \alpha_1$ and $b_2 > \alpha_2$.

Applying the above proof process to the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, we can get

$$-q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1$$

for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Next, we prove that for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $c_i = n + b_i + 1 + \lambda_i$.

For any $\xi, \eta \in T_B$, let

$$f_{\xi,\eta}(z, w) = \frac{\rho(\xi)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(z, \xi)^{n+1+b_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{n+1+b_2}}.$$

Given $\alpha_1 < b_1$ and $\alpha_2 < b_2$, we can easily see that there is a positive constant C independent of ξ and η such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\xi,\eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{1}, \vec{\alpha}} &= \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} |f_{\xi,\eta}(z, w)| dV_{\alpha_1}(z) dV_{\alpha_2}(w) \\ &= \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\xi)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(z)^{\alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, \xi)|^{n+1+b_1}} dV(z) \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \rho(w)^{\alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{n+1+b_2}} dV(w) \\ &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $c_i > 0$ and $b_i > \alpha_i > -1$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi,\eta}(z, w) &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{b_1} \rho(m)^{b_2}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(w, m)^{c_2}} f_{\xi,\eta}(l, m) dV(l) dV(m) \\ &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(\xi)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{b_1}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(l, \xi)^{n+1+b_1}} dV(l) \\ &\quad \times \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(m)^{b_2}}{\rho(w, m)^{c_2} \rho(m, \eta)^{n+1+b_2}} dV(m) \\ &= C \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\xi)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(z, \xi)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w) \in L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, we know that there is a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}} &= \left(\int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} |T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)|^{q_1} dV_{\beta_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} dV_{\beta_2}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &= \rho(\xi)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1 q_1 + \beta_1}}{|\rho(z, \xi)|^{c_1 q_1}} dV(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\quad \times \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{a_2 q_2 + \beta_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 q_2}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$b_i - \alpha_i = (c_i q_i - (n + 1 + a_i q_i + \beta_i)) / q_i,$$

which simplifies to

$$c_i = n + b_i + 1 + \lambda_i,$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - (n + 1 + \alpha_i).$$

□

Lemma 4.3 *Let $1 < p_1 \leq q_1 < \infty$ and $1 = p_2 \leq q_2 < \infty$. If the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 + 1 < p_1 (b_1 + 1), \quad \alpha_2 < b_2, \\ -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, \quad c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q_1} - \frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p_1}, \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q_2} - (n+1+\alpha_2). \end{cases}$$

Proof: Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1. We get

$$T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u, \eta}(z, w) = C \frac{\rho(z)^{b_1 - \alpha_1}}{\rho(z, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{i})^{c_1 + s_1 - (n+1+\beta_1+a_1+t_1)}} \cdot \frac{\rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(w, \boldsymbol{\eta}\mathbf{i})^{c_2 + s_2 - (n+1+\beta_2+a_2+t_2)}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\alpha}} &= C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} \left| T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w) \right|^{p'_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_1}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{(b_1 - \alpha_1)p'_1 + \alpha_1}}{\rho(z, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{i})^{(c_1 + s_1 - (\beta_1 + t_1 + a_1 + n + 1))p'_1}} dV(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_1}} \\ &= C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(w, \eta\mathbf{i})^{c_2 + s_2 - (\beta_2 + t_2 + a_2 + n + 1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}^* f_{u,\eta}(z, w) \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}(T_B \times T_B)$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$(b_1 - \alpha_1)p'_1 + \alpha_1 > -1,$$

that is

$$\alpha_1 + 1 < p_1(b_1 + 1).$$

According to $s_2 - t_2 > \beta_2 + a_2 - c_2 + n + 1$, the power of the denominator of $\rho(w)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} / \rho(w, \eta\mathbf{i})^{c_2 + s_2 - (\beta_2 + t_2 + n + 1)}$ is greater than 0, and $\rho(w)$ can be infinite on T_B . Combined with Lemma 3.2, the above finite means $b_2 > \alpha_2$.

Applying the above proof process to the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, we can get

$$-q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1$$

for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Next, we prove that for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $c_i = n + b_i + 1 + \lambda_i$.

For any $\xi, \eta \in T_B$, let

$$f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w) = \frac{\rho(\xi)^{n+1+b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2-\alpha_2}}{\rho(z, \xi)^{n+1+b_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{n+1+b_2}}.$$

Given $\alpha_1 + 1 < p_1(b_1 + 1)$ and $\alpha_2 < b_2$, we can easily see that there is a positive constant C independent of ξ and η such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{p}, \vec{\alpha}} &= \int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} |f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)|^{p_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} dV_{\alpha_2}(w) \\ &= \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\xi)^{(n+1+b_1)p_1 - (n+1+\alpha_1)}}{|\rho(z, \xi)|^{(n+1+b_1)p_1}} \rho(z)^{\alpha_1} dV(z) \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \rho(w)^{\alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{n+1+b_2}} dV(w) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $c_i > 0$, $b_1 > (\alpha_1 + 1)/p_1 - 1 > -1$ and $b_2 > \alpha_2 > -1$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w) &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(l)^{b_1} \rho(m)^{b_2}}{\rho(z, l)^{c_1} \rho(w, m)^{c_2}} f_{\xi, \eta}(l, m) dV(l) dV(m) \\ &= C \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\xi)^{n+1+b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2-\alpha_2}}{\rho(z, \xi)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w) \in L^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, we know that there is a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)\|_{\vec{q}, \vec{\beta}} &= \left(\int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} |T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f_{\xi, \eta}(z, w)|^{q_1} dV_{\beta_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} dV_{\beta_2}(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &= \rho(\xi)^{n+1+b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2-\alpha_2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1 q_1 + \beta_1}}{|\rho(z, \xi)|^{c_1 q_1}} dV(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{a_2 q_2 + \beta_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 q_2}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$n + 1 + b_1 - (n + 1 + \alpha_1)/p_1 = (c_1 q_1 - (n + 1 + a_1 q_1 + \beta_1))/q_1$$

and

$$b_2 - \alpha_2 = (c_2 q_2 - (n + 1 + a_2 q_2 + \beta_2))/q_2,$$

that is,

$$c_1 = n + 1 + a_1 + b_1 + \frac{n + 1 + \beta_1}{q_1} - \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_1}{p_1}$$

and

$$c_2 = a_2 + b_2 - \alpha_2 + \frac{n + 1 + \beta_2}{q_2}.$$

□

Lemma 4.4 *Let $1 = p_1 \leq q_1 < \infty$ and $1 < p_2 \leq q_2 < \infty$. If the operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 < b_1, \quad \alpha_2 + 1 < p_2(b_2 + 1), \\ -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, \quad c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q_1} - (n+1+\alpha_1), \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q_2} - \frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The proof of Lemma 4.4 is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3, which only needs to modify the corresponding norm appropriately, thus we omit its proof. □

Lemma 4.5 *Let $1 < p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 < p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i > 0, \quad \alpha_i + 1 < p_i(b_i + 1), \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_i}{p_i}.$$

Proof:

Since $T_{\vec{a}}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}$ is bounded, it follows that for any fixed $z, w \in T_B$, the integral

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f(z, w) &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} f(u, \eta) dV(u) dV(\eta) \\ &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1-\alpha_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2-\alpha_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} f(u, \eta) dV_{\alpha_1}(u) dV_{\alpha_2}(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

is finite for each $f \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

By duality, we get that for any fixed $z, w \in T_B$,

$$\frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(u)^{b_1-\alpha_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\eta)^{b_2-\alpha_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B).$$

Therefore, by calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{p'_1(b_1-\alpha_1)+\alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 p'_1}} dV(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_1}} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{p'_2(b_2-\alpha_2)+\alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 p'_2}} dV(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2}}{\rho(z)^{c_1+\alpha_1-b_1-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p'_1} \rho(w)^{c_2+\alpha_2-b_2-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p'_2}} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we know that for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} p'_i(b_i - \alpha_i) + \alpha_i > -1, \\ c_i p'_i > n + 1 + p'_i(b_i - \alpha_i) + \alpha_i, \end{cases}$$

that is

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_i + 1 < p_i(b_i + 1), \\ c_i > n + 1 + b_i - \frac{n+1+\alpha_i}{p_i}. \end{cases}$$

By the arbitrariness of z and w , we have

$$\begin{cases} a_i > 0, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i - \frac{n+1+\alpha_i}{p_i}. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.6 *Let $1 = p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 = p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i \geq 0, & b_i \geq \alpha_i, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = -n - 1 - \alpha_i.$$

Proof: Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.5, by duality, we obtain that for any fixed $z, w \in T_B$,

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(u, \eta) \in T_B \times T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} < \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

For any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we first prove that $c_i \geq 0$. Suppose $c_i < 0$. Then we take $z = w = (0', i)$, $u = (0', x_n + i)$ and $\eta = (0', y_n + i)$ such that $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|y_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for any fixed $z, w \in T_B$, we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(u, \eta) \in T_B \times T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} \gtrsim |x_n|^{-c_1} |y_n|^{-c_2} \rightarrow \infty,$$

which contradicts to (4.4).

Next, we prove that $b_i \geq \alpha_i$ and $c_i \geq b_i - \alpha_i + a_i$. Similar to the proof of the previous Lemma 4.2, it is easy to get $b_i \geq \alpha_i$ and $c_i \geq b_i - \alpha_i$. From the arbitrariness of z and w in T_B , we get $c_i = b_i - \alpha_i + a_i$ for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$. \square

Lemma 4.7 *Let $1 = p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 < p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \geq 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 \geq \alpha_1, & p_2(b_2 + 1) > \alpha_2 + 1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -n - 1 - \alpha_1, \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Similar to the method of lemma 4.5, by duality, we have for any fixed $z, w \in T_B$,

$$\frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B),$$

that is

$$\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{u \in T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1}} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{p'_2(b_2 - \alpha_2) + \alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 p'_2}} dV(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} < \infty.$$

Similar to the method of Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we can complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.8 *Let $1 < p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 = p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}$ is bounded from $L^{\vec{p}}_{\vec{\alpha}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 \geq 0, \\ p_1(b_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, & b_2 \geq \alpha_2, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p_1}, \\ \lambda_2 = -n - 1 - \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Lemma 4.8 is the symmetric case of Lemma 4.7. Thus, we omit the proof here. \square

Lemma 4.9 *Let $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}$ is bounded from $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i > 0, & b_i > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i. \end{cases}$$

Proof: For any $f \in L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, the boundedness of $T_{\vec{a}}, \vec{b}, \vec{c} : L^\infty(T_B \times T_B) \rightarrow L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ implies that

$$\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} dV(u) dV(\eta) < \infty, \quad (4.5)$$

for any fixed $z, w \in T_B$.

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $b_i > -1$ and $c_i > n + 1 + b_i$ for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$. (4.5) becomes as follows :

$$\frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2}}{\rho(z)^{c_1 - (b_1 + n + 1)} \rho(w)^{c_2 - (b_2 + n + 1)}} < \infty.$$

By the arbitrariness of z and w , this means $a_i > 0$ and $c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i$ for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$. \square

Lemma 4.10 *Let $1 = p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \geq 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 \geq \alpha_1, & b_2 > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -n - 1 - \alpha_1, \\ \lambda_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since $p_1 = 1, p_2 = \infty$, we can conclude that for any $f \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$, there exists a positive constant C and a function g such that $|f(z, w)| \leq C|g(z)|$, where g satisfies

$$\int_{T_B} |g(z)| dV_{\alpha_1}(z) < \infty.$$

Since $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded, it follows that for any fixed $z, w \in T_B$, the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f(z, w) \right| &\leq \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} |g(u)| dV_{\alpha_1}(u) dV_{\alpha_2}(\eta) \\ &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1}} |g(u)| dV_{\alpha_1}(u) \\ &\quad \times \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} dV_{\alpha_2}(\eta) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

is finite for each $f \in L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

The proof of the remaining part is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.9, and we will not repeat it. \square

Lemma 4.11 *Let $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ and $1 = p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 \geq 0, \\ b_1 > -1, & b_2 \geq \alpha_2, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0, \\ \lambda_2 = -n - 1 - \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Lemma 4.10 is the symmetric case of Lemma 4.9. Thus, we omit the proof here. \square

Lemma 4.12 *Let $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ and $1 < p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 > -1, & p_2(b_2 + 1) > \alpha_2 + 1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0, \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The proof of this lemma is similar to Lemma 4.10. From the known exponential condition, we know that there is a positive constant C and function g such that $|f(z, w)| \leq Cg(w)$, where g satisfies the following equation:

$$\left(\int_{T_B} |g(w)|^{p_2} dV_{\alpha_2}(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} < \infty.$$

The boundedness of $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} : L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B) \rightarrow L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f(z, w) \right| &\leq \rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1} \rho(\eta)^{b_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} |g(\eta)| dV(u) dV(\eta) \\ &= \rho(z)^{a_1} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1}} dV(u) \times \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} |g(\eta)| dV_{\alpha_2}(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the method of Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.5, and we will not repeat it. \square

Lemma 4.13 *Let $1 < p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$. Suppose $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$, then for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ p_1(b_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, & b_2 > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}, \\ \lambda_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Lemma 4.13 is the symmetric case of Lemma 4.12. Thus, we omit the proof here. \square

5 The Sufficiency for the Boundedness of $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$

In this section, we give the necessary conditions for the boundedness of the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, and the conclusions are the following lemmas 5.1 to lemma 5.13.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $1 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq q_- < \infty$. If the parameters satisfy for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i + 1 < p_i (b_i + 1), \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_i}{p_i}.$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: For any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $\tau_i = c_i - a_i - b_i + \alpha_i = \frac{n+1+\alpha_i}{p'_i} + \frac{n+1+\beta_i}{q_i} > 0$.

Since $-q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1$, we have

$$-\frac{\tau_i (\beta_i + 1)}{q_i} < a_i \tau_i,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\frac{\tau_i (\beta_i + 1)}{q_i} - \frac{a_i (n + 1 + \beta_i)}{q_i} < \frac{a_i (n + 1 + \alpha_i)}{p'_i}. \quad (5.1)$$

In addition, from $\alpha_i + 1 < p_i (b_i + 1)$, it follows that

$$b_i - \alpha_i + \frac{\alpha_i + 1}{p'_i} > 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$-\frac{(\alpha_i + 1) \tau_i}{p'_i} - \frac{(b_i - \alpha_i) (n + 1 + \alpha_i)}{p'_i} < \frac{(b_i - \alpha_i) (n + 1 + \beta_i)}{q_i}. \quad (5.2)$$

By (5.1) and (5.2), there exist r_i and s_i such that

$$-\frac{\tau_i (\beta_i + 1)}{q_i} - \frac{a_i (n + 1 + \beta_i)}{q_i} < r_i \tau_i + a_i (r_i - s_i) < \frac{a_i (n + 1 + \alpha_i)}{p'_i}$$

and

$$-\frac{\tau_i (\alpha_i + 1)}{p'_i} - \frac{(b_i - \alpha_i) (n + 1 + \alpha_i)}{p'_i} < s_i \tau_i + (b_i - \alpha_i) (s_i - r_i) < \frac{(b_i - \alpha_i) (n + 1 + \beta_i)}{q_i},$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\frac{\beta_i + 1}{q_i} - a_i \delta_i < r_i < a_i \gamma_i \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$-\frac{\alpha_i + 1}{p'_i} - (b_i - \alpha_i) \gamma_i < s_i < (b_i - \alpha_i) \delta_i, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\gamma_i = \frac{(n + 1 + \alpha_i) / p'_i + s_i - r_i}{\tau_i}$$

and

$$\delta_i = \frac{(n + 1 + \beta_i) / q_i + r_i - s_i}{\tau_i}.$$

Obviously, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\gamma_i + \delta_i = 1$.

Let $h_1(u, \eta) = \rho(u)^{s_1} \rho(\eta)^{s_2}$, $h_2(z, w) = \rho(z)^{r_1} \rho(w)^{r_2}$, write $S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}}$ as

$$S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}} f(z, w) = \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} K_1(z, u) K_2(w, \eta) f(u, \eta) dV_{\alpha_1}(u) dV_{\alpha_2}(\eta),$$

where

$$K_1(z, u) = \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(z)^{a_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad K_2(w, \eta) = \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \rho(w)^{a_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}}.$$

Now we prove this conclusion by lemma 3.5. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_B} (K_1(z, u))^{\gamma_1 p'_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\gamma_2 p'_1} (h_1(u, \eta))^{p'_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(u) \\ &= \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1 \gamma_1 p'_1} \rho(w)^{a_2 \gamma_2 p'_1} \rho(\eta)^{(b_2 - \alpha_2) \gamma_2 p'_1 + s_2 p'_1}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \gamma_2 p'_1}} \\ & \times \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{(b_1 - \alpha_1) \gamma_1 p'_1 + s_1 p'_1 + \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \gamma_1 p'_1}} dV(u). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

From the first inequality in (5.4), we have

$$(b_i - \alpha_i) \gamma_i p'_i + s_i p'_i + \alpha_i > -1. \quad (5.6)$$

Notice that

$$(c_i - a_i - b_i + \alpha_i) \gamma_i = \tau_i \gamma_i = \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_i}{p'_i} + s_i - r_i,$$

by the second inequality in (5.3), we have

$$c_i \gamma_i p'_i - n - 1 - (b_i - \alpha_i) \gamma_i p'_i - s_i p'_i - \alpha_i = a_i \gamma_i p'_i - r_i p'_i > 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, according to Lemma 3.1, for any given $z \in T_B$, we have

$$\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{(b_1-\alpha_1)\gamma_1 p'_1 + s_1 p'_1 + \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \gamma_1 p'_1}} dV(u) \lesssim \rho(z)^{r_1 p'_1 - a_1 \gamma_1 p'_1},$$

which, together with (5.5), (5.6), (5.7), and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} (K_1(z, u))^{\gamma_1 p'_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\gamma_2 p'_1} (h_1(u, \eta))^{p'_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(u) \right)^{\frac{p'_2}{p'_1}} dV_{\alpha_2}(\eta) \\ & \lesssim \rho(z)^{r_1 p'_2} \rho(w)^{a_2 \gamma_2 p'_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{(b_2-\alpha_2)\gamma_2 p'_2 + s_2 p'_2 + \alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \gamma_2 p'_2}} dV(\eta) \\ & \lesssim \rho(z)^{r_1 p'_2} \rho(w)^{r_2 p'_2} = h_2(z, w)^{p'_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (3.1) holds true for the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$.

Next, we verify condition (3.2). Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_B} (K_1(z, u))^{\delta_1 q_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\delta_2 q_1} (h_2(z, w))^{q_1} dV_{\beta_1}(z) \\ & = \frac{\rho(u)^{(b_1-\alpha_1)\delta_1 q_1} \rho(\eta)^{(b_2-\alpha_2)\delta_2 q_1} \rho(w)^{r_2 q_1 + a_2 \delta_2 q_1}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \delta_2 q_1}} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{r_1 q_1 + a_1 \delta_1 q_1 + \beta_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \delta_1 q_1}} dV(z). \end{aligned}$$

From the first inequality in (5.3), we have

$$r_i q_i + a_i \delta_i q_i + \beta_i > -1. \quad (5.8)$$

Notice that

$$(c_i - a_i - b_i + \alpha_i) \delta_i = \tau_i \delta_i = \frac{n+1+\beta_i}{q_i} + r_i - s_i,$$

by the second inequality in (5.4), we have

$$c_i \delta_i q_i - n - 1 - r_i q_i - a_i \delta_i q_i - \beta_i = (b_i - \alpha_i) \delta_i q_i - s_i q_i > 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Thus, according to Lemma 3.1, for any given $u \in T_B$, we have

$$\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{r_1 q_1 + a_1 \delta_1 q_1 + \beta_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \delta_1 q_1}} dV(z) \lesssim \rho(u)^{s_1 q_1 - (b_1 - \alpha_1) \delta_1 q_1},$$

which, together with (5.8), (5.9), and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_B} \left[\int_{T_B} [K_1(z, u)]^{\delta_1 q_1} [K_2(w, \eta)]^{\delta_2 q_1} [h_2(z, w)]^{q_1} dV_{\beta_1}(z) \right]^{q_2/q_1} dV_{\beta_2}(w) \\ & \lesssim \rho(u)^{s_1 q_2} \rho(\eta)^{(b_2-\alpha_2)\delta_2 q_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{r_2 q_2 + a_2 \delta_2 q_2 + \beta_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \delta_2 q_2}} dV(w) \\ & \lesssim \rho(u)^{s_1 q_2} \rho(\eta)^{s_2 q_2} = [h_1(u, \eta)]^{q_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (3.2) holds true for the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$.

Therefore, the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 3.5, then operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$. \square

Lemma 5.2 *Let $1 = p_+ \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. If the parameters satisfy for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i < b_i, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - n - 1 - \alpha_i.$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof:

When $p_1 = p_2 = 1$, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, write $\gamma_i = \frac{s_i - r_i}{\tau_i}$, $\delta_i = \frac{(n+1+\beta_i)/q_i + r_i - s_i}{\tau_i}$. According to the proof of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$-\frac{\beta_i + 1}{q_i} - a_i \delta_i < r_i < a_i \gamma_i \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$-(b_i - \alpha_i) \gamma_i < s_i < (b_i - \alpha_i) \delta_i. \quad (5.11)$$

Next, we use lemma 3.6 to prove this lemma.

First, we consider

$$(K_1(z, u))^{\gamma_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\gamma_2} h_1(u, \eta) = \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1 \gamma_1} \rho(w)^{a_2 \gamma_2} \rho(u)^{\gamma_1 (b_1 - \alpha_1) + s_1} \rho(\eta)^{\gamma_2 (b_2 - \alpha_2) + s_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \gamma_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \gamma_2}}.$$

By lemma 3.2, we have for any $z \in T_B$ and $u \in T_B$,

$$2 |\rho(z, u)| \geq \max \{ \rho(z), \rho(u) \}.$$

For any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we get

$$c_i \gamma_i = (b_i - \alpha_i + a_i) \gamma_i + s_i - r_i \quad (5.12)$$

due to $\tau_i = c_i - a_i - b_i + \alpha_i$ and $\gamma_i = (s_i - r_i) / \tau_i$.

From the first inequality in (5.11), we have

$$\gamma_i (b_i - \alpha_i) + s_i > 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Then, according to Lemma 3.2 and (5.12), for any given $z \in T_B$ and $u \in T_B$, we have

$$\frac{\rho(z)^{a_1\gamma_1} \rho(u)^{(b_1-\alpha_1)\gamma_1+s_1}}{|\rho(z,u)|^{c_1\gamma_1}} = \left(\frac{\rho(u)}{|\rho(z,u)|} \right)^{(b_1-\alpha_1)\gamma_1+s_1} \left(\frac{\rho(z)}{|\rho(z,u)|} \right)^{a_1\gamma_1} \lesssim \rho(z)^{r_1}$$

and, similarly, for any given $w \in T_B$ and any $\eta \in T_B$,

$$\frac{\rho(w)^{a_2\gamma_2} \rho(\eta)^{(b_2-\alpha_2)\gamma_2+s_2}}{|\rho(w,\eta)|^{c_2\gamma_2}} = \left(\frac{\rho(\eta)}{|\rho(w,\eta)|} \right)^{(b_2-\alpha_2)\gamma_2+s_2} \left(\frac{\rho(w)}{|\rho(w,\eta)|} \right)^{a_2\gamma_2} \lesssim \rho(w)^{r_2}.$$

Thus, for any given $(z, w) \in T_B \times T_B$,

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(u,\eta) \in T_B \times T_B} (K_1(z,u))^{\gamma_1} (K_2(w,\eta))^{\gamma_2} h_1(u,\eta) \leq Ch_2(z,w).$$

Thus, condition (3.3) holds true for the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$.

Note that the condition (3.4) in Lemma 3.6 is the same as the condition (3.2) in Lemma 3.5, thus according to the proof of the second part of Lemma 5.1, we know that the condition (3.4) in Lemma 3.6 still holds.

Therefore, the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 3.6, then operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$. \square

Lemma 5.3 *Let $1 = p_2 < p_1 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 + 1 < p_1(b_1 + 1), \quad \alpha_2 < b_2, \\ -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, \quad c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q_1} - \frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p_1}, \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q_2} - (n+1+\alpha_2). \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof:

For any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $\tau_i = c_i - a_i - b_i + \alpha_i$, then

$$\tau_1 = \frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p'_1} + \frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q_1} > 0$$

and

$$\tau_2 = \frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q_2} > 0.$$

Since $-q_1 a_1 < \beta_1 + 1$, we have

$$-\frac{\tau_1(\beta_1 + 1)}{q_1} < a_1 \tau_1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\frac{\tau_1(\beta_1 + 1)}{q_1} - \frac{a_1(n + 1 + \beta_1)}{q_1} < \frac{a_1(n + 1 + \alpha_1)}{p'_1}. \quad (5.14)$$

In addition, from $\alpha_1 + 1 < p_1(b_1 + 1)$, it follows that

$$b_1 - \alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{p'_1} > 0.$$

Combined with the known fact that $b_2 > \alpha_2$, further implies that

$$-\frac{\tau_1(1 + \alpha_1)}{p'_1} - \frac{(b_1 - \alpha_1)(n + 1 + \alpha_1)}{p'_1} < \frac{(b_1 - \alpha_1)(n + 1 + \beta_1)}{q_1}. \quad (5.15)$$

Thus, by (5.14) and (5.15), there exists r_1 and s_1 such that

$$-\frac{\tau_1(\beta_1 + 1)}{q_1} - \frac{a_1(n + 1 + \beta_1)}{q_1} < r_1\tau_1 + a_1(r_1 - s_1) < \frac{a_1(n + 1 + \alpha_1)}{p'_1}$$

and

$$\frac{\tau_1(1 + \alpha_1)}{p'_1} - \frac{(b_1 - \alpha_1)(n + 1 + \alpha_1)}{p'_1} < \tau_1 s_1 + (b_1 - \alpha_1)(s_1 - r_1) < \frac{(b_1 - \alpha_1)(n + 1 + \beta_1)}{q_1}.$$

Since $-q_2 a_2 < \beta_2 + 1$, we have

$$-\frac{\tau_2(\beta_2 + 1)}{q_2} < a_2\tau_2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\frac{\tau_2(\beta_2 + 1)}{q_2} - \frac{a_2(n + 1 + \beta_2)}{q_2} < 0. \quad (5.16)$$

In addition, from $\alpha_2 < b_2$, it follows that

$$\frac{(b_2 - \alpha_2)(n + 1 + \beta_2)}{q_2} > 0. \quad (5.17)$$

Thus, by (5.16) and (5.17), there exists r_2 and s_2 such that

$$-\frac{\tau_2(\beta_2 + 1)}{q_2} - \frac{a_2(n + 1 + \beta_2)}{q_2} < r_2\tau_2 + a_2(r_2 - s_2) < 0$$

and

$$0 < \tau_2 s_2 + (b_2 - \alpha_2)(s_2 - r_2) < \frac{(b_2 - \alpha_2)(n + 1 + \beta_2)}{q_2}.$$

That is

$$-\frac{\beta_i + 1}{q_i} - a_i \delta_i < r_i < a_i \gamma_i, \quad (5.18)$$

$$-\frac{1 + \alpha_1}{p'_1} - (b_1 - \alpha_1) \gamma_1 < s_1 < (b_1 - \alpha_1) \delta_1 \quad (5.19)$$

and

$$-(b_2 - \alpha_2) \gamma_2 < s_2 < (b_2 - \alpha_2) \delta_2, \quad (5.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \frac{(n + 1 + \alpha_1) / p'_1 + s_1 - r_1}{\tau_1}, \\ \delta_1 &= \frac{(n + 1 + \beta_1) / q_1 + r_1 - s_1}{\tau_1}, \\ \gamma_2 &= \frac{s_2 - r_2}{\tau_2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \frac{(n + 1 + \beta_2) / q_2 + r_2 - s_2}{\tau_2}.$$

Clearly, $\gamma_1 + \delta_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 + \delta_2 = 1$.

Now, let $h_1(u, \eta) = \rho(u)^{s_1} \rho(\eta)^{s_2}$, $h_2(z, w) = \rho(z)^{r_1} \rho(w)^{r_2}$, write $S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}}$ as

$$S_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}} f(z, w) = \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} K_1(z, u) K_2(w, \eta) f(u, \eta) dV_{\alpha_1}(u) dV_{\alpha_2}(\eta),$$

where

$$K_1(z, u) = \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(z)^{a_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1}} \text{ and } K_2(w, \eta) = \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2} \rho(w)^{a_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}}.$$

Next we prove this result by Lemma 3.7.

We consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_B} (K_1(z, u))^{\gamma_1 p'_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\gamma_2 p'_1} (h_1(u, \eta))^{p'_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(u) \\ &= \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1 \gamma_1 p'_1} \rho(w)^{a_2 \gamma_2 p'_1} \rho(\eta)^{(b_2 - \alpha_2) \gamma_2 p'_1 + s_2 p'_1}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \gamma_2 p'_1}} \\ & \times \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{(b_1 - \alpha_1) \gamma_1 p'_1 + s_1 p'_1 + \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \gamma_1 p'_1}} dV(u). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

From the first inequality in (5.19), we have

$$(b_1 - \alpha_1) \gamma_1 p'_1 + s_1 p'_1 + \alpha_1 > -1. \quad (5.22)$$

Notice that

$$(c_1 - a_1 - b_1 + \alpha_1) \gamma_1 = \tau_1 \gamma_1 = \frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p'_1} + s_1 - r_1,$$

by the second inequality in (5.18), we have

$$c_1 \gamma_1 p'_1 - n - 1 - (b_1 - \alpha_1) \gamma_1 p'_1 - s_1 p'_1 - \alpha_1 = a_1 \gamma_1 p'_1 - r_1 p'_1 > 0. \quad (5.23)$$

In addition, from Lemma 3.2 and the fact $c_2 \gamma_2 + r_2 - a_2 \gamma_2 = (b_2 - \alpha_2) \gamma_2 + s_2 > 0$, we infer that, for any $w \in T_B$ and $\eta \in T_B$,

$$\frac{\rho(\eta)^{(b_2 - \alpha_2) \gamma_2 p'_1 + s_2 p'_1}}{\rho(w, \eta)^{c_2 \gamma_2 p'_1}} \lesssim \rho(w)^{(r_2 - a_2 \gamma_2) p'_1}. \quad (5.24)$$

Thus, according to Lemma 3.1, for any given $z \in T_B$, we have

$$\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{(b_1 - \alpha_1) \gamma_1 p'_1 + s_1 p'_1 + \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \gamma_1 p'_1}} dV(u) \lesssim \rho(z)^{r_1 p'_1 - a_1 \gamma_1 p'_1}, \quad (5.25)$$

combining with (5.24) and (5.25), we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\eta \in T_B} \int_{T_B} (K_1(z, u))^{\gamma_1 p'_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\gamma_2 p'_1} (h_1(u, \eta))^{p'_1} dV_{\alpha_1}(u) \\ \lesssim \rho(z)^{r_1 p'_1} \rho(w)^{r_2 p'_1} = (h_2(z, w))^{p'_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (3.5) holds true for the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{c}}$.

Next, we verify condition (3.6) of Lemma 3.7. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_B} (K_1(z, u))^{\delta_1 q_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\delta_2 q_1} (h_2(z, w))^{q_1} dV_{\beta_1}(z) \\ = \frac{\rho(u)^{(b_1 - \alpha_1) \delta_1 q_1} \rho(\eta)^{(b_2 - \alpha_2) \delta_2 q_1} \rho(w)^{r_2 q_1 + a_2 \delta_2 q_1}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \delta_2 q_1}} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{r_1 q_1 + a_1 \delta_1 q_1 + \beta_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \delta_1 q_1}} dV(z). \end{aligned}$$

From the first inequality in (5.18), we obviously have

$$r_i q_i + a_i \delta_i q_i + \beta_i > -1. \quad (5.26)$$

Notice that

$$(c_i - a_i - b_i + \alpha_i) \delta_i = \tau_i \delta_i = \frac{n+1+\beta_i}{q_i} + r_i - s_i,$$

by the second inequality in (5.19) and (5.20), we have

$$c_i \delta_i q_i - n - 1 - a_i \delta_i q_i - r_i q_i - \beta_i = (b_i - \alpha_i) \delta_i q_i - s_i q_i > 0. \quad (5.27)$$

Thus, according to Lemma 3.1, for any given $u \in T_B$, we have

$$\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{r_1 q_1 + a_1 \delta_1 q_1 + \beta_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 \delta_1 q_1}} dV(u) \lesssim \rho(u)^{s_1 q_1 - (b_1 - \alpha_1) \delta_1 q_1}, \quad (5.28)$$

combining with (5.26), (5.27) and Lemma 3.1, this imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_B} \left(\int_{T_B} (K_1(z, u))^{\delta_1 q_1} (K_2(w, \eta))^{\delta_2 q_1} (h_2(z, w))^{q_1} dV_{\beta_1}(z) \right)^{q_2/q_1} dV_{\beta_2}(w) \\ & \lesssim \rho(\eta)^{(b_2 - \alpha_2) \delta_2 q_2} \rho(u)^{s_1 q_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(w)^{r_2 q_2 + a_2 \delta_2 q_2 + \beta_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 \delta_2 q_2}} dV(w) \\ & \lesssim \rho(u)^{s_1 q_2} \rho(\eta)^{s_2 q_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (3.6) holds ture for the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$.

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 3.7, therefore, operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$. \square

Lemma 5.4 *Let $1 = p_1 < p_2 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 < b_1, & (\alpha_2 + 1) < p_2(b_2 + 1), \\ -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, & c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q_1} - (n+1+\alpha_1), \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q_2} - \frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof:

Lemma 5.4 is the symmetric case of Lemma 5.3. We only need to modify the definitions of γ_1 , δ_1 , γ_2 and δ_2 , thus we omit the proof here. \square

Lemma 5.5 *Let $1 < p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 < p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i > 0, & \alpha_i + 1 < p_i(b_i + 1), \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_i}{p_i}.$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: According to the definition of operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$, we know that the kernel of the integral is

$$K_1(z, u) \cdot K_2(w, \eta) = \frac{\rho(z)^{a_1} \rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1} \rho(w)^{a_2} \rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}}.$$

By Lemma 3.9, it suffices to prove

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(z, w) \in T_B \times T_B} \|K_1(z, \cdot) \cdot K_2(w, \cdot)\|_{L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}} < \infty.$$

By calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(z, w) \in T_B \times T_B} \|K_1(z, \cdot) \cdot K_2(w, \cdot)\|_{L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}} \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(z, w) \in T_B \times T_B} \rho(z)^{a_1} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{p'_1(b_1 - \alpha_1) + \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1 p'_1}} dV(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_1}} \\ & \times \rho(w)^{a_2} \left(\int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{p'_2(b_2 - \alpha_2) + \alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2 p'_2}} dV(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the known conditions and Lemma 3.1, we can easily conclude that

$$\|K_1(z, \cdot) \cdot K_2(w, \cdot)\|_{L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}'}}$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to z and w .

Therefore, the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

□

Lemma 5.6 *Let $1 = p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 = p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i \geq 0, & b_i \geq \alpha_i, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = -n - 1 - \alpha_i.$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: By Lemma 3.9, it suffices to prove

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(z, w) \in T_B \times T_B} \|K_1(z, \cdot) \cdot K_2(w, \cdot)\|_\infty < \infty.$$

By calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(z,w) \in T_B \times T_B} \|K_1(z, \cdot) \cdot K_2(w, \cdot)\|_\infty \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(z,w) \in T_B \times T_B} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(u,\eta) \in T_B \times T_B} \rho(z)^{a_1} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1 - \alpha_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1}} \rho(w)^{a_2} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2 - \alpha_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combined with the known conditions, by lemma 3.2, we can easily conclude that

$$\|K_1(z, \cdot) \cdot K_2(w, \cdot)\|_\infty$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to z and w .

Therefore, the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

□

Lemma 5.7 *Let $1 = p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 < p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \geq 0, a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 \geq \alpha_1, p_2(b_2 + 1) > \alpha_2 + 1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -n - 1 - \alpha_1, \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: This lemma is a direct corollary of Lemma 5.5, Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 3.9, which we omit to prove. □

Lemma 5.8 *Let $1 < p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $1 = p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, a_2 \geq 0, \\ p_1(b_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, b_2 \geq \alpha_2, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p_1}, \\ \lambda_2 = -n - 1 - \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: Lemma 5.8 is the symmetric case of Lemma 5.7. Thus, we omit the proof here. \square

Lemma 5.9 *Let $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i > 0, & b_i > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i. \end{cases} \quad (5.29)$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: Obviously, when the parameter satisfies condition (5.29),

$$\left| S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}} f(z, w) \right| \lesssim \rho(z)^{a_1} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(u)^{b_1}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{c_1}} dV(u) \cdot \rho(w)^{a_2} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(\eta)^{b_2}}{|\rho(w, \eta)|^{c_2}} dV(\eta) < \infty.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1, $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

\square

Lemma 5.10 *Let $1 = p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \geq 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 \geq \alpha_1, & b_2 > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -n - 1 - \alpha_1, \\ \lambda_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: The proof of this lemma is similar to Lemma 4.10. Thus, we omit the proof. \square

Lemma 5.11 *Let $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ and $1 = p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 \geq 0, \\ b_1 > -1, & b_2 \geq \alpha_2, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0, \\ \lambda_2 = -n - 1 - \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

Then $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: Lemma 5.10 is the symmetric case of Lemma 5.9. Thus, we omit the proof here. \square

Lemma 5.12 *Let $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ and $1 < p_2 < q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 > -1, & p_2(b_2 + 1) > \alpha_2 + 1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0, \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: The proof of this lemma is similar to Lemma 4.12. Thus, we omit the proof. \square

Lemma 5.13 *Let $1 < p_1 < q_1 = \infty$ and $p_2 = q_2 = \infty$. If the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ p_1(b_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, & b_2 > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}, \\ \lambda_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

Proof: Lemma 5.13 is the symmetric case of Lemma 5.12. Thus, we omit the proof here. \square

6 The Proof of Main Theorems

In this section, we will list all the main theorems of this paper and prove them.

Theorem 6.1 Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

- (1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i + 1 < p_i (b_i + 1), \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - \frac{n + 1 + \alpha_i}{p_i}.$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.1; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.1. \square

Theorem 6.2 Let $\vec{p} := (1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty) \times [1, \infty)$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

- (1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i < b_i, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - n - 1 - \alpha_i.$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.2; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.2. \square

Theorem 6.3 Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_1 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

- (1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

(2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

(3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 + 1 < p_1(b_1 + 1), \quad \alpha_2 < b_2, \\ -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, \quad c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q_1} - \frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p_1}, \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q_2} - (n+1+\alpha_2). \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.3; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.3. \square

Theorem 6.4 Let $\vec{p} := (1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_2 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

(1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

(2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

(3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 < b_1, \quad (\alpha_2 + 1) < p_2(b_2 + 1), \\ -q_i a_i < \beta_i + 1, \quad c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{n+1+\beta_1}{q_1} - (n+1+\alpha_1), \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{n+1+\beta_2}{q_2} - \frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.4; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.4. \square

Theorem 6.5 Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

(1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

(2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

(3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} a_i > 0, \quad \alpha_i + 1 < p_i(b_i + 1), \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_i}{p_i}.$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.5; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.5. \square

Theorem 6.6 *Let $\vec{p} := (1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.*
- (2) *The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.*
- (3) *The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_i \geq 0, & b_i \geq \alpha_i, \\ c_i = n+1+a_i+b_i+\lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = -n-1-\alpha_i.$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.6; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.6. \square

Theorem 6.7 *Let $\vec{p} := (1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_2 < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.*
- (2) *The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.*
- (3) *The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \geq 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 \geq \alpha_1, & p_2(b_2+1) > \alpha_2+1, \\ c_i = n+1+a_i+b_i+\lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -n-1-\alpha_1, \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.7; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.7. \square

Theorem 6.8 Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_1 < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

- (1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 \geq 0, \\ p_1(b_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, & b_2 \geq \alpha_2, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p_1}, \\ \lambda_2 = -n - 1 - \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.8; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.8. \square

Theorem 6.9 Let $\vec{p} := (\infty, \infty)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

- (1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} a_i > 0, & b_i > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.9; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.9. \square

Theorem 6.10 Let $\vec{p} := (1, \infty)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

- (1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.
- (2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

(3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \geq 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 \geq \alpha_1, & b_2 > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -n - 1 - \alpha_1, \\ \lambda_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.10; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.10. \square

Theorem 6.11 Let $\vec{p} := (\infty, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

(1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

(2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

(3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 \geq 0, \\ b_1 > -1, & b_2 \geq \alpha_2, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0, \\ \lambda_2 = -n - 1 - \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.11; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.11. \square

Theorem 6.12 Let $\vec{p} := (\infty, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_2 < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.

(1) The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

(2) The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.

(3) The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, & a_2 > 0, \\ b_1 > -1, & p_2(b_2 + 1) > \alpha_2 + 1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0, \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.12; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.12. \square

Theorem 6.13 *Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, \infty)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_1 < \infty$. Then the following conclusions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The operator $S_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.*
- (2) *The operator $T_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.*
- (3) *The parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1 > 0, \quad a_2 > 0, \\ p_1(b_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, \quad b_2 > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + a_i + b_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\frac{n+1+\alpha_1}{p_1}, \\ \lambda_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial; (2) \Rightarrow (3) comes from Lemma 4.13; (3) \Rightarrow (1) is derived from Lemma 5.13. \square

7 Applications

In this section, we will give three applications of the main theorems in this paper, that is, to study the boundedness of three kinds of special integral operators.

We study the $L_{\vec{\gamma}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ - $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ boundedness of the following operators

$$T_{\vec{c}}^{\vec{\gamma}} f(z, w) = \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{f(u, \eta)}{\rho(z, u)^{c_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{c_2}} dV_{\gamma_1}(u) dV_{\gamma_2}(\eta),$$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > -1$.

Notice that $T_{\vec{c}}^{\vec{\gamma}} = T_{\vec{0}, \vec{\gamma}, \vec{c}}$, we have the following results.

Corollaries 7.1 and 7.2 state when operator $T_{\vec{c}}^{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded.

Corollary 7.1 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $T_{\vec{c}}^{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\gamma}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \beta_i > -1, \\ c_i = n + 1 + \gamma_i + \lambda_i, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{n + 1 + \beta_i}{q_i} - \frac{n + 1 + \gamma_i}{p_i}.$$

Corollary 7.2 *If $\vec{p} := (1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$, then the operator $T_{\vec{c}}^{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\gamma}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if $c_1 = c_2 = 0$.*

Corollary 7.3 states when operator $T_{\vec{c}}^{\vec{\gamma}}$ is unbounded.

Corollary 7.3 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy one of the following conditions :*

- (1) $p_1 = p_2 = 1$ and $q_1, q_2 \geq 1$;
- (2) $1 = p_- < p_+ < q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$;
- (3) $1 \leq p_- \leq p_+ \leq \infty$, $\vec{p} \neq (1, 1)$ and $(q_1, q_2) = (\infty, \infty)$;

then the operator $T_{\vec{c}}^{\vec{\gamma}}$ is unbounded on $L_{\vec{\gamma}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Next, we study the boundedness of Bergman-type projections.

Let $\vec{\gamma} := (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in (-1, \infty)^2$, the weighted multiparameter Bergman-type projection is as follows :

$$P_{\vec{\gamma}} f(z, w) := \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{f(u, \eta)}{\rho(z, u)^{n+1+\gamma_1} \rho(w, \eta)^{n+1+\gamma_2}} dV_{\gamma_1}(u) dV_{\gamma_2}(\eta).$$

Notice that $P_{\vec{\gamma}} = T_{\vec{0}, \vec{\gamma}, \vec{n+1+\vec{\gamma}}}^{\vec{\gamma}} = T_{\vec{0}, \vec{\gamma}, \vec{n+1+\vec{\gamma}}}$, hence we have the following results.

The following corollaries 7.4 to 7.7 give the case when the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$. Corollary 7.9 gives when the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is unbounded on $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Corollary 7.4 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} p_i(\gamma_i + 1) > \alpha_i + 1, \\ p_i(n + 1 + \beta_i) = q_i(n + 1 + \alpha_i). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.5 *If $\vec{p} := (1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_i > \alpha_i, \\ n + 1 + \beta_i = q_i (n + 1 + \alpha_i). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.6 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_1 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that,*

$$\begin{cases} p_1 (\gamma_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, \quad \gamma_2 > \alpha_2, \\ p_1 (n + 1 + \beta_1) = q_1 (n + 1 + \alpha_1), \\ n + 1 + \beta_2 = q_2 (n + 1 + \alpha_2). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.7 *If $\vec{p} := (1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_2 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that,*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 > \alpha_1, \quad p_2 (\gamma_2 + 1) > \alpha_2 + 1, \\ n + 1 + \beta_1 = q_1 (n + 1 + \alpha_1), \\ p_2 (n + 1 + \beta_2) = q_2 (n + 1 + \alpha_2). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.8 *If $\vec{p} := (1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$, then the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_i \geq \alpha_i, \\ \alpha_i = -(n + 1). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.9 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy the following conditions :*

$$1 \leq p_- \leq p_+ \leq \infty, \quad \vec{p} \neq (1, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad (q_1, q_2) = (\infty, \infty),$$

then the operator $P_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is unbounded on $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

At the end of this section, we study the boundedness of the weighted multiparameter Berezin-type transform $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ which is given by

$$B_{\vec{\gamma}} f(z, w) := \int_{T_B} \int_{T_B} \frac{\rho(z)^{n+1+\gamma_1} \rho(w)^{n+1+\gamma_2}}{|\rho(z, u)|^{2(n+1+\gamma_1)} |\rho(w, \eta)|^{2(n+1+\gamma_2)}} f(u, \eta) dV_{\gamma_1}(u) dV_{\gamma_2}(\eta),$$

where $\vec{\gamma} := (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in (-1, \infty) \times (-1, \infty)$.

Notice that $B_{\vec{\gamma}} = S_{\overrightarrow{n+1+\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\gamma}, 2(n+1+\vec{\gamma})}}$, therefore we have the following results.

The following corollaries 7.10 to 7.20 give the case when the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$.

Corollary 7.10 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} -q_i(n+1+\gamma_i) < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i + 1 < p_i(\gamma_i + 1), \\ p_i(n+1+\beta_i) = q_i(n+1+\alpha_i). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.11 *If $\vec{p} := (1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty) \times [1, \infty)$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\begin{cases} -q_i(n+1+\gamma_i) < \beta_i + 1, & \alpha_i < \gamma_i, \\ n+1+\beta_i = q_i(n+1+\alpha_i). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.12 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_1 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that,*

$$\begin{cases} p_1(\gamma_1 + 1) > \alpha_1 + 1, & \gamma_2 > \alpha_2, \\ p_1(n+1+\beta_1) = q_1(n+1+\alpha_1), \\ n+1+\beta_2 = q_2(n+1+\alpha_2). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.13 *If $\vec{p} := (1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2)$ satisfy $1 < p_2 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L_{\vec{\beta}}^{\vec{q}}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that,*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 > \alpha_1, & p_2(\gamma_2 + 1) > \alpha_2 + 1, \\ n+1+\beta_1 = q_1(n+1+\alpha_1), \\ p_2(n+1+\beta_2) = q_2(n+1+\alpha_2). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.14 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^{\infty}(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\gamma_i > \alpha_i = -n - 1.$$

Corollary 7.15 *If $\vec{p} := (1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{1}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,*

$$\gamma_i \geq \alpha_i = -n - 1.$$

Corollary 7.16 *If $\vec{p} := (1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_2 < \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that,*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 \geq \alpha_1 = -n - 1, \\ \gamma_2 > \alpha_2 = -n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.17 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, 1)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_1 < \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that,*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 > \alpha_1 = -n - 1, \\ \gamma_2 \geq \alpha_2 = -n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7.18 *If $\vec{p} := (\infty, \infty)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is always bounded from $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$.*

Corollary 7.19 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 = p_- < p_+ = \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\gamma_i \geq \alpha_i = -n - 1$.*

Corollary 7.20 *If $\vec{p} := (p_1, p_2)$ and $\vec{q} := (\infty, \infty)$ satisfy $1 < p_- < p_+ = \infty$, then the operator $B_{\vec{\gamma}}$ is bounded from $L_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{p}}(T_B \times T_B)$ to $L^\infty(T_B \times T_B)$ if and only if the parameters satisfy that, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\gamma_i > \alpha_i = -n - 1$.*

References

- [1] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, The space L^p , with mixed norm, *Duke Math. J.* **28** (1961), 301–324.
- [2] G.Z. Cheng, X. Fang and Z.P. Wang, The hyper-singular cousin of the Bergman projection, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 8643–8662.
- [3] S. Chang and R. Fefferman, A Continuous Version of Duality of H^1 with BMO on the Bidisc, *Ann. Math.* **112** (1980), 179–201.
- [4] S. Chang and R. Fefferman, The Calderón-Zygmund decomposition on product domains, *Am. J. Math.* **104** (1982), 455–468.

- [5] E.E. David and K. Vakhtang, M. Alex, Bounded and Compact Integral Operators, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2002).
- [6] R. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, Singular integrals on product spaces, *Adv. Math.* **45** (1982), 117-143.
- [7] F. Forelli and W. Rudin, Projections on spaces of holomorphic functions in balls, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **24** (1974), 593–602.
- [8] R. Fefferman, Harmonic analysis on product spaces, *Ann. Math.* **126** (1987), 109-130.
- [9] R. F. Gundy and E. M. Stein, H^p theory for the poly disk, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **76** (1979), 1026-1029.
- [10] L. Huang, X.F. Wang and Z.C. Zeng, $L^{\vec{p}}-L^{\vec{q}}$ boundedness of multiparameter Forelli–Rudin type operators on the product of unit balls of \mathbb{C}^n , *Math. Z.* (2024), DOI:10.1007/s00209-024-03459-y.
- [11] Y.S. Han, J. Li and G.Z. Lu, Multiparameter Hardy space theory on Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and product spaces of homogeneous type, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), 319-360.
- [12] P. Halmos and V.Sunder, Bounded Integral Operator on L^2 spaces, Springer, (1978).
- [13] J. Journé, Calderón-Zygmund operators on product spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **1** (1985), 55-91.
- [14] O. Kures and K.H. Zhu, A class of integral operators on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **56** (2006), 71-82.
- [15] L.C. Li, J.Q. Ding and H.C. Li, Toeplitz Operators on Weighted Bergman Spaces over Tubular Domains, preprint (2024), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16439>.
- [16] C.W. Liu, Y. Liu, P.Y. Hu and L.F. Zhou, Two classes of integral operators over the Siegel upper half-space, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **13** (2019), 685-701.
- [17] C.W. Liu, J.J. Si and P.Y. Hu, $L^{\vec{p}}-L^{\vec{q}}$ boundedness of Bergman-type operators over the Siegel upper-space, *Math. Anal. Appl.* **464** (2018), 1203–1212.
- [18] J.X. Liu, G.T. Deng and H.H. Yin, Bergman metric on a class of tubular domains, *Beijing Shifan Daxue Xuebao* **59** (2023), 353–357.

- [19] D. Müller, Ricci and E. M. Stein, Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups I, *Invent. Math.* **119** (1995), 199–233.
- [20] D. Müller, Ricci and E. M. Stein, Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups II, *Math. Z.* **221** (1996), 267–291.
- [21] C. Qin, M.F. Wang and X.Q. Guo, Weighted estimates for Forelli–Rudin type operators on the Hartogs triangle, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* (2023), DOI:10.1007/s43037-022-00233-2.
- [22] C. Qin, H.C. Wu and X.K. Guo, L^p boundedness of Forelli–Rudin type operators on the Hartogs triangle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2023), DOI:10.1016/j.jmaa.2023.127480.
- [23] F. Ricci and E. M. Stein, Multiparameter singular integrals and maximal functions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **42** (1992), 637–670.
- [24] J. Tao, D.C. Yang, W. Yuan and Y.Y. Zhang, Compactness Characterizations of Commutators on Ball Banach Function Spaces, *Potential Anal.* **58** (2023), 645–679.
- [25] X. Wang and M.S. Liu, The boundedness of two classes of integral operators, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **71** (2021), 475–490.
- [26] L.F. Zhou, X. Wang and M.S. Liu, The Boundedness of Forelli–Rudin Type Operators on the Siegel Upper Half Space, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* (2023), DOI:10.1007/s11785-023-01429-6.
- [27] R.H. Zhao, Generalization of Schur’s test and its application to a class of integral operators on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **82** (2015), 519–532.
- [28] R.H. Zhao and L.F. Zhou, L^p - L^q boundedness of Forelli–Rudin type operators on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , *J. Funct. Anal.* (2022), DOI:10.1016/j.jfa.2021.109345.
- [29] K.H. Zhu, Operator theory in function spaces, American Mathematical Soc, (2007).