

# Instructions

In this task, you will be provided with a long Machine-Generated Response to a user's question(s) along with several Target Sentences and their cited Evidence. The Target Sentences should be based on information found within the cited Evidence, however it will often either misrepresent the information or will provide additional information not found in the Evidence.

The purpose of this task is to detect those misrepresentations and/or the additional information as you compare the Target Sentence to the Evidence. For task purposes, consider the Evidence reliable. Your job is to read through the Response and then rate the task sentence-by-sentence:

1. **To what extent can the Target Sentence be verified by its Evidence?**
- Only use the cited Evidence.

○ Look for information in the highlighted sentence that is “**Unsupported**” (not contained in the evidence) or “**Contradictory**” (misrepresents the evidence).

○ The Target Sentence can be “**Fully**” supported by its Evidence even if
  - the sentence rephrases and/or combines information from several pieces of Evidence;
  - the sentence leaves out some information from its Evidence.
2. **Mark whether there is irrelevant Evidence cited by the Target Sentence.**
- An irrelevant piece of Evidence does not support or contradict the Target Sentence in any way.

- Note that:
- The two questions above are purely about a single, highlighted Target Sentence and the Evidence it cites each time. The other sentences in the Response should be used as context to understand the Target Sentence.

• The Evidence may be in a language different from that of the Target Sentence. Please evaluate normally in this case if possible, otherwise select "Unclear".

• The Evidence may contain symbolic math or chemistry that is similar, but not identical to that of the Target Sentence. Please navigate to the blue link associated with the evidence to evaluate for correctness and equivalence.

# Task

Machine-Generated Response:

Multilingual speakers have acquired and maintained at least one language during childhood, the so-called first language. [2] The first language (sometimes also referred to as the mother tongue) is acquired without formal education, by mechanisms heavily disputed. [2] Children acquiring two languages in this way are called simultaneous bilinguals. [2] Even in the case of simultaneous bilinguals, one language usually dominates the other. [1] People who know more than one language have been reported to have better skills at language learning compared to monolinguals, and have important economic advantages in their professional career over monolingual individuals. [2]

(1) Target Sentence and Evidence

Multilingual speakers have acquired and maintained at least one language during childhood, the so-called first language.

Evidence:

[2] You learned (or better: you acquired) your mother tongue or your first language as a child without formal teaching. You memorized sound and grammar so deeply, that you master the language automatically. When children acquire two languages they are "simultaneous bilinguals", generally known as bilingual kids.

**Question.** To what extent can the **Target Sentence** be verified by its **Evidence**?

- **Contradictory:** Some information misrepresents the cited Evidence.

○ **Unsupported:** Some important information is not contained in the cited Evidence; no contradiction.

○ **Partially:** All important information is supported by the cited Evidence (though some trivial/ minor information is not supported); no contradiction.

○ **Fully:** Everything in the Target Sentence can be verified by the cited Evidence.

○ **Unclear:** The Target Sentence or Evidence is hard to understand, or can't understand the language, or can't make a choice.

(2) Target Sentence and Evidence