

Supplemental Materials for “TMDs from Semi-inclusive Energy Correlators”

Xiaohui Liu^{1,2,*} and Hua Xing Zhu^{3,4,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*

²*Key Laboratory of Multi-scale Spin Physics, Ministry of Education, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

³*School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China*

⁴*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

(Dated: March 13, 2024)

I. SIVERS EFFECT IN SIEC

Here we study the Sivers effect of the semi-inclusive energy correlator (SIEC). The definition of the target region SIEC, or the nucleon energy correlator, with one insertion of the energy flow operator \mathcal{E} for DIS and Drell-Yan is given by

$$f_{q,n=1}^{\text{DIS}}(x, \Omega) = \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{-ixy^- P^+} \langle P\mathbf{s}_t | \bar{\xi}(y^-, \mathbf{0}) \mathcal{L}(y^-, \infty) \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \mathcal{L}(\infty, 0) \xi(0) | P\mathbf{s}_t \rangle, \quad (1)$$

and

$$f_{q,n=1}^{\text{DY}}(x, \Omega) = \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{-ixy^- P^+} \langle P\mathbf{s}_t | \bar{\xi}(y^-, \mathbf{0}) \mathcal{L}(y^-, -\infty) \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \mathcal{L}(-\infty, 0) \xi(0) | P\mathbf{s}_t \rangle, \quad (2)$$

respectively. We explicitly show the direction of the gauge link. We note that the gauge link outside $(0, y^-)$ does not cancel due to the insertion of $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ at $y^+ = \infty$. We illustrate $f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega)$ for both the DIS and the Drell-Yan in Fig. 1. Any gluons emitted from the gauge link \mathcal{L} at finite y^- reaching the detector $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ would have momentum $p^- \ll p^+$, typical of collinear gluons. However, for emissions near the boundary of the Penrose diagram, from $y^- \rightarrow \infty$ to $y^+ = \infty$, their momentum will tend to $p^+, p^- \rightarrow 0$. This could generate the Glauber contribution to the energy correlator, leading to spin asymmetry.




FIG. 1: Penrose diagrams for the nucleon energy correlator in DIS and Drell-Yan.

* xiliu@bnu.edu.cn

† zhuhx@pku.edu.cn

To proceed, we decompose the transverse spin state $|P\mathbf{s}_t\rangle$ by the helicity states of the incoming proton $|P\mathbf{s}_t\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=L,R} c_\alpha |P\alpha\rangle$ such that

$$f_{q,n=1}^{\text{DIS/DY}}(x, \Omega) = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'=L,R} \rho_{\alpha'\alpha} f_{q,n=1, \alpha\alpha'}^{\text{DIS/DY}}(x, \Omega), \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_{\alpha'\alpha} = c_{\alpha'} c_\alpha^*$ and $f_{q,n=1, \alpha\alpha'}^{\text{DIS/DY}}(x, \Omega)$ is the nucleon energy correlator in the helicity basis obtained by replacing $|P\mathbf{s}_t\rangle$ with $|P\alpha\rangle$ in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2).

Now following Collins [1], it is straightforward to show by the symmetry argument under the joint parity and time reversal transformation \mathcal{PT} that

$$f_{q,n=1, LR}^{\text{DIS}}(x, \Omega) = -f_{q,n=1, LR}^{\text{DY}}(x, \Omega), \quad f_{q,n=1, RL}^{\text{DIS}}(x, \Omega) = -f_{q,n=1, RL}^{\text{DY}}(x, \Omega), \quad (4)$$

where the gauge link switches directions under \mathcal{PT} . To see this, we note that majority parts within $f_{q,n=1, \alpha\alpha'}$ are similar to the TMDs considered in [1], with the exception of the energy flow operator

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \int_0^\infty dt \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 n_i T^{0i}(t, rn_i). \quad (5)$$

Here T^{0i} is the energy flux component of the energy stress tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ and transforms under \mathcal{PT} as

$$(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger T^{0i}(x) (\mathcal{PT}) = T^{0i}(-x). \quad (6)$$

The transform form can be readily derived. For instance, the quark stress tensor contains

$$T^{0i}(x) \propto i\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\mathcal{D}^i\psi(x) + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^i\mathcal{D}^0\psi(x) + \dots, \quad (7)$$

while we know that

$$\mathcal{P}^\dagger \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi(x)\mathcal{P} = (-1)^\mu \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi(t, -\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{T}^\dagger \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi(x)\mathcal{T} = (-1)^\mu \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi(-t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (8)$$

where $(-1)^\mu = 1$ for $\mu = 0$ and -1 for $\mu = 1, 2, 3$, therefore

$$(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi(x) (\mathcal{PT}) = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi(-x) \quad (9)$$

By further noting that $\mathcal{T}i = -i\mathcal{T}$, as well as $(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger \partial^\mu (\mathcal{PT}) = -\partial^\mu$, we arrive at Eq. (6).

Therefore, under the \mathcal{PT} operation, the energy flow operator transforms as

$$(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger \mathcal{E} (\mathcal{PT}) = \int_0^\infty dt \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 n_i T^{0i}(-t, -rn_i) = \int_0^{-\infty} dt \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 (-n_i) T^{0i}(t, -rn_i) \equiv \bar{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ records the energy flow along $-n_i$ direction at $r \rightarrow \infty$ from $t = 0$ to the infinite past.

In addition, the $f_{q,n=1}$ should not change with the replacement

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (11)$$

since the insertion of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ at infinite past contributes vanishingly to the matrix in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), which can be seen from the Penrose diagram in Fig. 1. Apparently, $\mathcal{E} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ is invariant under \mathcal{PT} and the rest part of the matrix element behaves the same under \mathcal{PT} as the TMD Sivers effect [1]. Therefore one immediately concludes Eq. (4).

One can decompose Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) by spin structures. The most generic decomposition [2] is

$$f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega) = f_{q,n=1}^{un.}(x, \Omega) + \kappa \epsilon_t^{\mu\nu} s_{t,\mu} n_{t,\nu} \Delta f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega) \quad (12)$$

where $\kappa_{\text{DY}} = -\kappa_{\text{DIS}}$. Here $f_{q,n=1}^{un.}(x, \Omega)$ is the unpolarized energy correlator and $\Delta f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega)$ encodes the Sivers effect.

II. DERIVATION OF EQ. (16)

We sum over all hadrons belonging to the same quantum number q_h along a direction n . The quark fragmenting energy correlator then becomes

$$D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\}) = \frac{z}{2N_C} \sum_{r=1, h \in q_h}^{r=\infty} \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{iy^- P/z} \text{Tr} \left[\langle 0 | \psi(y^-) \mathcal{E}_1 \cdots \mathcal{E}_n | \underbrace{h \cdots h}_r X \rangle \langle X | \underbrace{h \cdots h}_r | \bar{\psi} | 0 \rangle \frac{\Gamma}{2} \right]. \quad (13)$$

We note that $\int dz D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\})$ is the same as $\sum_{h \in q_h} \int dz d_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\})$ that counts the number of hadrons h with quantum number q_h fragmented from a parent quark. Here we try to argue the relation among $\int dz D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\})$, the correlation function with currents and track functions [3, 4].

For simplicity, we consider mesons h made up of quarks with one flavor. The interpolating annihilation operator for the meson can be chosen as [5]

$$a_{h,P_h} = \sqrt{\frac{P_h}{2(2\pi)^3}} \int dx \Psi_h(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_C}} \sum_c b_{xP_h}^c d_{-(1-x)P_h}^c \quad (14)$$

where b and d are annihilation operators for the valence quark and anti-quark moving along the direction of the meson h . Here c denotes the color index and we have made the summation over the color explicitly. The wave function $\Psi_h(x)$ is complete on the sub-space with quantum number q_h such that

$$\sum_{h \in q_h} \Psi^\dagger(y)_h \Psi_h(x) = \delta(x-y) \mathcal{P}_{q_h}, \quad (15)$$

where we sum over all possible states with the same quantum number q_h . \mathcal{P}_{q_h} is the projection operator that picks the sub-space with the quantum number q_h .

Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int dP_h P_h \sum_{h \in q_h} a_{h,P_h}^\dagger a_{h,P_h} &= \frac{1}{N_C} \int dP_h P_h \int dx \int dy \sum_{h \in q_h} \frac{P_h}{2(2\pi)^3} \Psi_h^\dagger(y) \Psi_h(x) \sum_{c,c'} d_{-(1-y)P_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{yP_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{xP_h}^c d_{-(1-x)P_h}^c \\ &= \frac{1}{N_C} \int \frac{dP_h}{2(2\pi)^3} P_h \int dx P_h \sum_{c,c'} d_{-(1-x)P_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{xP_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{xP_h}^c d_{-(1-x)P_h}^c \mathcal{P}_{q_h} \\ &= \frac{1}{N_C} \sum_{cc'} \left(\int dp p b_p^{c'\dagger} b_p^c \int \frac{dq}{2(2\pi)^3} d_{-q}^{c'\dagger} d_{-q}^c + \int \frac{dp}{2(2\pi)^3} b_p^{c'\dagger} b_p^c \int dq q d_{-q}^{c'\dagger} d_{-q}^c \right) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_c \left(\int dp p b_p^{c\dagger} b_p^c + \int dq q d_{-q}^{c\dagger} d_{-q}^c \right) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_c \int dp p (b_p^{c\dagger} b_p^c - d_p^{c\dagger} d_p^c) \mathcal{P}_{q_h}, \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

in the second line, we have used the completeness condition for Ψ_h ; in the third line, we have let $p = xP_h$ and $q = (1-x)P_h$. The replacement in the last line is valid because the operator will act on a physical (color-singlet) state $|X\rangle$ and only colorless $q\bar{q}$ pairs can occur in h such that $\mathcal{P}_{q_h}|X\rangle \sim \sum_{h \in h_q} |h(q^c(k)\bar{q}^c(k')); X'\rangle$ [5]. The result is not surprising, it simply indicates that, on the q_h subspace, counting the number of hadrons with momentum P_h is equivalent to counting the number of valence quarks along the direction of the hadron.

Now by using [6]

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_c \int \frac{dp}{2(2\pi)^3} p (b_p^{c\dagger} b_p^c - d_p^{c\dagger} d_p^c) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^- \lim_{x^+ \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{x^+}{2} \right)^2 \frac{\bar{n}_\mu}{2} J^\mu(x) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}), \quad (17)$$

where J^μ is the EM current $J^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi(x)$, \hat{n} is along the direction of hadrons h , $v^- \equiv \hat{n} \cdot v$ and $v^+ = \bar{\hat{n}} \cdot v$, we arrive at Eq. (16)

$$\frac{1}{P} \int dP_h D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\}) = \frac{32\pi^3}{P^2} \langle \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \rangle, \quad (18)$$

where $z = \frac{P_h}{P}$, P is the parent quark momentum, and

$$\langle \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2N_c} \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{iy^-P} \langle 0 | \psi(y^-, \mathbf{0}) \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \Gamma \bar{\psi}(0) | 0 \rangle, \quad (19)$$

The derivation extends to multi-flavors and higher moments. For instance, for π 's, one can choose $J^\mu = (\bar{u}\gamma^\mu u - \bar{d}\gamma^\mu d)/2$ in the iso-spin limit. One can also show that

$$\frac{1}{P^2} \int dP_h P_h D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\}) = \frac{64\pi^3}{P^3} \langle \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) (\mathcal{T}_h(\hat{n}) + \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n})) \rangle \quad (20)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_h(\hat{n}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^- \lim_{x^+ \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{x^+}{2} \right)^2 \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \left(\frac{i}{2} D^+ \right) \psi(x) \mathcal{P}_{q_h}. \quad (21)$$

Last we note that when $n = 0$, it reduces to the track function in its general mean [4, 7], such that $\int dz D_{q,0}(z) = T_q(1)$, $\int dz z D_{q,0}(z) = T_q(2)$, so on and so forth, or

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}_h \rangle \sim \langle \sigma_q(1) \rangle, \quad \langle \mathcal{T}_h \rangle \sim \langle \sigma_q(2) \rangle, \quad \dots \quad (22)$$

where $\sigma_q(n)$ is the central moments [7].

-
- [1] J. C. Collins, Nucl. Phys. B **396**, 161 (1993), hep-ph/9208213.
 - [2] P. J. Mulders and R. D. Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B **461**, 197 (1996), hep-ph/9510301, [Erratum: Nucl.Phys.B 484, 538–540 (1997)].
 - [3] H.-M. Chang, M. Procura, J. Thaler, and W. J. Waalewijn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 102002 (2013), 1303.6637.
 - [4] M. Jaarsma, Y. Li, I. Moulton, W. Waalewijn, and H. X. Zhu, JHEP **06**, 139 (2022), 2201.05166.
 - [5] Y. Jia, Z. Mo, and X. Xiong, Eur. Phys. J. C **83**, 1169 (2023), 2310.17640.
 - [6] H. Chen, JHEP **01**, 035 (2024), 2311.00350.
 - [7] Y. Li, I. Moulton, S. S. van Velzen, W. J. Waalewijn, and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 182001 (2022), 2108.01674.