

# Supplemental Materials for “TMDs from Semi-inclusive Energy Correlators”

Xiaohui Liu<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Hua Xing Zhu<sup>3,4,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Key Laboratory of Multi-scale Spin Physics, Ministry of Education,  
Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

(Dated: March 13, 2024)

## I. SIVERS EFFECT IN SIEC

Here we study the Sivers effect of the semi-inclusive energy correlator (SIEC). The definition of the target region SIEC, or the nucleon energy correlator, with one insertion of the energy flow operator  $\mathcal{E}$  for DIS and Drell-Yan is given by

$$f_{q,n=1}^{\text{DIS}}(x, \Omega) = \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{-ixy^- P^+} \langle P\mathbf{s}_t | \bar{\xi}(y^-, \mathbf{0}) \mathcal{L}(y^-, \infty) \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \mathcal{L}(\infty, 0) \xi(0) | P\mathbf{s}_t \rangle, \quad (1)$$

and

$$f_{q,n=1}^{\text{DY}}(x, \Omega) = \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{-ixy^- P^+} \langle P\mathbf{s}_t | \bar{\xi}(y^-, \mathbf{0}) \mathcal{L}(y^-, -\infty) \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \mathcal{L}(-\infty, 0) \xi(0) | P\mathbf{s}_t \rangle, \quad (2)$$

respectively. We explicitly show the direction of the gauge link. We note that the gauge link outside  $(0, y^-)$  does not cancel due to the insertion of  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  at  $y^+ = \infty$ . We illustrate  $f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega)$  for both the DIS and the Drell-Yan in Fig. 1. Any gluons emitted from the gauge link  $\mathcal{L}$  at finite  $y^-$  reaching the detector  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  would have momentum  $p^- \ll p^+$ , typical of collinear gluons. However, for emissions near the boundary of the Penrose diagram, from  $y^- \rightarrow \infty$  to  $y^+ = \infty$ , their momentum will tend to  $p^+, p^- \rightarrow 0$ . This could generate the Glauber contribution to the energy correlator, leading to spin asymmetry.




FIG. 1: Penrose diagrams for the nucleon energy correlator in DIS and Drell-Yan.

\* xiliu@bnu.edu.cn

† zhuhx@pku.edu.cn

To proceed, we decompose the transverse spin state  $|P\mathbf{s}_t\rangle$  by the helicity states of the incoming proton  $|P\mathbf{s}_t\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=L,R} c_\alpha |P\alpha\rangle$  such that

$$f_{q,n=1}^{\text{DIS/DY}}(x, \Omega) = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'=L,R} \rho_{\alpha'\alpha} f_{q,n=1, \alpha\alpha'}^{\text{DIS/DY}}(x, \Omega), \quad (3)$$

where  $\rho_{\alpha'\alpha} = c_{\alpha'} c_\alpha^*$  and  $f_{q,n=1, \alpha\alpha'}^{\text{DIS/DY}}(x, \Omega)$  is the nucleon energy correlator in the helicity basis obtained by replacing  $|P\mathbf{s}_t\rangle$  with  $|P\alpha\rangle$  in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2).

Now following Collins [1], it is straightforward to show by the symmetry argument under the joint parity and time reversal transformation  $\mathcal{PT}$  that

$$f_{q,n=1, LR}^{\text{DIS}}(x, \Omega) = -f_{q,n=1, LR}^{\text{DY}}(x, \Omega), \quad f_{q,n=1, RL}^{\text{DIS}}(x, \Omega) = -f_{q,n=1, RL}^{\text{DY}}(x, \Omega), \quad (4)$$

where the gauge link switches directions under  $\mathcal{PT}$ . To see this, we note that majority parts within  $f_{q,n=1, \alpha\alpha'}$  are similar to the TMDs considered in [1], with the exception of the energy flow operator

$$\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \int_0^\infty dt \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 n_i T^{0i}(t, rn_i). \quad (5)$$

Here  $T^{0i}$  is the energy flux component of the energy stress tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$  and transforms under  $\mathcal{PT}$  as

$$(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger T^{0i}(x) (\mathcal{PT}) = T^{0i}(-x). \quad (6)$$

The transform form can be readily derived. For instance, the quark stress tensor contains

$$T^{0i}(x) \propto i\bar{\psi}\gamma^0 \mathcal{D}^i \psi(x) + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^i \mathcal{D}^0 \psi(x) + \dots, \quad (7)$$

while we know that

$$\mathcal{P}^\dagger \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi(x) \mathcal{P} = (-1)^\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi(t, -\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathcal{T}^\dagger \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi(x) \mathcal{T} = (-1)^\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi(-t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (8)$$

where  $(-1)^\mu = 1$  for  $\mu = 0$  and  $-1$  for  $\mu = 1, 2, 3$ , therefore

$$(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi(x) (\mathcal{PT}) = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi(-x) \quad (9)$$

By further noting that  $\mathcal{T}i = -i\mathcal{T}$ , as well as  $(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger \partial^\mu (\mathcal{PT}) = -\partial^\mu$ , we arrive at Eq. (6).

Therefore, under the  $\mathcal{PT}$  operation, the energy flow operator transforms as

$$(\mathcal{PT})^\dagger \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{PT}) = \int_0^\infty dt \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 n_i T^{0i}(-t, -rn_i) = \int_0^{-\infty} dt \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 (-n_i) T^{0i}(t, -rn_i) \equiv \bar{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  records the energy flow along  $-n_i$  direction at  $r \rightarrow \infty$  from  $t = 0$  to the infinite past.

In addition, the  $f_{q,n=1}$  should not change with the replacement

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (11)$$

since the insertion of  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  at infinite past contributes vanishingly to the matrix in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), which can be seen from the Penrose diagram in Fig. 1. Apparently,  $\mathcal{E} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{PT}$  and the rest part of the matrix element behaves the same under  $\mathcal{PT}$  as the TMD Sivers effect [1]. Therefore one immediately concludes Eq. (4).

One can decompose Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) by spin structures. The most generic decomposition [2] is

$$f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega) = f_{q,n=1}^{un.}(x, \Omega) + \kappa \epsilon_t^{\mu\nu} s_{t,\mu} n_{t,\nu} \Delta f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega) \quad (12)$$

where  $\kappa_{\text{DY}} = -\kappa_{\text{DIS}}$ . Here  $f_{q,n=1}^{un.}(x, \Omega)$  is the unpolarized energy correlator and  $\Delta f_{q,n=1}(x, \Omega)$  encodes the Sivers effect.

## II. DERIVATION OF EQ. (16)

We sum over all hadrons belonging to the same quantum number  $q_h$  along a direction  $n$ . The quark fragmenting energy correlator then becomes

$$D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\}) = \frac{z}{2N_C} \sum_{r=1, h \in q_h}^{r=\infty} \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{iy^- P/z} \text{Tr} \left[ \langle 0 | \psi(y^-) \mathcal{E}_1 \cdots \mathcal{E}_n | \underbrace{h \cdots h}_r X \rangle \langle X | \underbrace{h \cdots h}_r | \bar{\psi} | 0 \rangle \frac{\Gamma}{2} \right]. \quad (13)$$

We note that  $\int dz D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\})$  is the same as  $\sum_{h \in q_h} \int dz d_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\})$  that counts the number of hadrons  $h$  with quantum number  $q_h$  fragmentated from a parent quark. Here we try to argue the relation among  $\int dz D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\})$ , the correlation function with currents and track functions [3, 4].

For simplicity, we consider mesons  $h$  made up of quarks with one flavor. The interpolating annihilation operator for the meson can be chosen as [5]

$$a_{h,P_h} = \sqrt{\frac{P_h}{2(2\pi)^3}} \int dx \Psi_h(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_C}} \sum_c b_{xP_h}^c d_{-(1-x)P_h}^c \quad (14)$$

where  $b$  and  $d$  are annihilation operators for the valence quark and anti-quark moving along the direction of the meson  $h$ . Here  $c$  denotes the color index and we have made the summation over the color explicity. The wave function  $\Psi_h(x)$  is complete on the sub-space with quantum number  $q_h$  such that

$$\sum_{h \in q_h} \Psi^\dagger(y)_h \Psi_h(x) = \delta(x-y) \mathcal{P}_{q_h}, \quad (15)$$

where we sum over all possible states with the same quantum number  $q_h$ .  $\mathcal{P}_{q_h}$  is the projection operator that picks the sub-space with the quantum number  $q_h$ .

Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int dP_h P_h \sum_{h \in q_h} a_{h,P_h}^\dagger a_{h,P_h} &= \frac{1}{N_C} \int dP_h P_h \int dx \int dy \sum_{h \in q_h} \frac{P_h}{2(2\pi)^3} \Psi_h^\dagger(y) \Psi_h(x) \sum_{c,c'} d_{-(1-y)P_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{yP_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{xP_h}^c d_{-(1-x)P_h}^c \\ &= \frac{1}{N_C} \int \frac{dP_h}{2(2\pi)^3} P_h \int dx P_h \sum_{cc'} d_{-(1-x)P_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{xP_h}^{c'\dagger} b_{xP_h}^c d_{-(1-x)P_h}^c \mathcal{P}_{q_h} \\ &= \frac{1}{N_C} \sum_{cc'} \left( \int dp p b_p^{c'\dagger} b_p^c \int \frac{dq}{2(2\pi)^3} d_{-q}^{c'\dagger} d_{-q}^c + \int \frac{dp}{2(2\pi)^3} b_p^{c'\dagger} b_p^c \int dq q d_{-q}^{c'\dagger} d_{-q}^c \right) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_c \left( \int dp p b_p^{c\dagger} b_p^c + \int dq q d_{-q}^{c\dagger} d_{-q}^c \right) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_c \int dp p (b_p^{c\dagger} b_p^c - d_p^{c\dagger} d_p^c) \mathcal{P}_{q_h}, \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

in the second line, we have used the completeness condition for  $\Psi_h$ ; in the third line, we have let  $p = xP_h$  and  $q = (1-x)P_h$ . The replacement in the last line is valid because the operator will act on a physical (color-singlet) state  $|X\rangle$  and only colorless  $q\bar{q}$  pairs can occur in  $h$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{q_h} |X\rangle \sim \sum_{h \in h_q} |h(q^c(k)\bar{q}^c(k')); X'\rangle$  [5]. The result is not surprising, it simply indicates that, on the  $q_h$  subspace, counting the number of hadrons with momentum  $P_h$  is equivalent to counting the number of valance quarks along the direction of the hadron.

Now by using [6]

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_c \int \frac{dp}{2(2\pi)^3} p (b_p^{c\dagger} b_p^c - d_p^{c\dagger} d_p^c) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^- \lim_{x^+ \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{x^+}{2} \right)^2 \frac{\bar{n}_\mu}{2} J^\mu(x) \mathcal{P}_{q_h} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}), \quad (17)$$

where  $J^\mu$  is the EM current  $J^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi(x)$ ,  $\hat{n}$  is along the direction of hadrons  $h$ ,  $v^- \equiv \hat{n} \cdot v$  and  $v^+ = \bar{\hat{n}} \cdot v$ , we arrive at Eq. (16)

$$\frac{1}{P} \int dP_h D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\}) = \frac{32\pi^3}{P^2} \langle \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \rangle, \quad (18)$$

where  $z = \frac{P_h}{P}$ ,  $P$  is the parent quark momentum, and

$$\langle \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2N_c} \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{iy^- P} \langle 0 | \psi(y^-, \mathbf{0}) \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \Gamma \bar{\psi}(0) | 0 \rangle, \quad (19)$$

The derivation extends to multi-flavors and higher moments. For instance, for  $\pi$ 's, one can choose  $J^\mu = (\bar{u} \gamma^\mu u - \bar{d} \gamma^\mu d)/2$  in the iso-spin limit. One can also show that

$$\frac{1}{P^2} \int dP_h P_h D_{q,n}(z, \{\Omega_i\}) = \frac{64\pi^3}{P^3} \langle \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1) \dots \mathcal{E}(\Omega_n) (\mathcal{T}_h(\hat{n}) + \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n}) \mathcal{Q}_h(\hat{n})) \rangle \quad (20)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_h(\hat{n}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^- \lim_{x^+ \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{x^+}{2} \right)^2 \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \left( \frac{i}{2} D^+ \right) \psi(x) \mathcal{P}_{q_h}. \quad (21)$$

Last we note that when  $n = 0$ , it reduces to the track function in its general mean [4, 7], such that  $\int dz D_{q,0}(z) = T_q(1)$ ,  $\int dz z D_{q,0}(z) = T_q(2)$ , so on and so forth, or

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}_h \rangle \sim \langle \sigma_q(1) \rangle, \quad \langle \mathcal{T}_h \rangle \sim \langle \sigma_q(2) \rangle, \quad \dots \quad (22)$$

where  $\sigma_q(n)$  is the central moments [7].

- 
- [1] J. C. Collins, Nucl. Phys. B **396**, 161 (1993), hep-ph/9208213.
  - [2] P. J. Mulders and R. D. Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B **461**, 197 (1996), hep-ph/9510301, [Erratum: Nucl.Phys.B 484, 538–540 (1997)].
  - [3] H.-M. Chang, M. Procura, J. Thaler, and W. J. Waalewijn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 102002 (2013), 1303.6637.
  - [4] M. Jaarsma, Y. Li, I. Moul, W. Waalewijn, and H. X. Zhu, JHEP **06**, 139 (2022), 2201.05166.
  - [5] Y. Jia, Z. Mo, and X. Xiong, Eur. Phys. J. C **83**, 1169 (2023), 2310.17640.
  - [6] H. Chen, JHEP **01**, 035 (2024), 2311.00350.
  - [7] Y. Li, I. Moul, S. S. van Velzen, W. J. Waalewijn, and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 182001 (2022), 2108.01674.