LeNet-5 (1998)

Pros
- Simple and easy to implement
- Helped establish core CNN concepts

Cons
- Limited capacity and not very deep by today's standards

AlexNet (2012)

Pros
- Hugely impactful as one of the earliest successful deep CNNs

Cons
- Not very efficient for mobile/ embedded applications

VGGNet (2014)

Pros
- Very effective performance on image recognition tasks

Cons
- Massively parameterized, requiring huge compute/ storage

GoogleNet (2014 – 2017)

Pros
- Inception V1 (2014): Much more efficient than VGG through inception modules and bottleneck layers
- Inception V2/V3 (2015/2016): Strong performance, Iterative improvements increased efficiency through factorized convolutions and auxiliary classifiers
- Inception V4 (2017): Combined inception architecture with residual connections to enable even deeper models

Cons
- Still sizable models not optimized for mobile resources
- Further increased model complexity and size

ResNet (2015 – 2017)

Pros
- Residual connections enabled training of very deep (100+ layers) models effectively

Cons
- Models are large and computationally demanding

MobileNet (2017 – 2019)

Pros
- MobileNet V1,V2 (2017,2018): Specifically designed for mobile efficiency through depthwise separable convolutions
- MobileNet V3 (2020): Further optimizations through efficient convolutions and pruning yielded significant efficiency gains

Cons
- MobileNet V1,V2 (2017,2018): Performance often trails full-sized models
- MobileNet V3 (2020): Models still smaller/less capable than full CNNs

ShuffelNet (2017 – 2018)

Pros
- Used group convolutions and channel shuffling to improve efficiency

Cons
- Performance also trails larger models

GhostNet (2020)

Pros
- Novel sparse training and bottleneck designs for efficiency

Cons
- Model capabilities still limited compared to larger networks

Common Activation Functions