

# Few-Shot Learning for Chronic Disease Management: Leveraging Large Language Models and Multi-Prompt Engineering with Medical Knowledge Injection

Haoxin Liu (co-first author)  
Hefei University of Technology  
Email: [hxliu@mail.hfut.edu.cn](mailto:hxliu@mail.hfut.edu.cn)

Wenli Zhang, Ph.D. (co-first author)  
Iowa State University  
Email: [wzhang@iastate.edu](mailto:wzhang@iastate.edu)

Jiaheng Xie, Ph.D.  
University of Delaware  
Email: [jxie@udel.edu](mailto:jxie@udel.edu)

Buomsoo (Raymond) Kim, Ph.D.  
Iowa State University  
Email: [rkim0927@iastate.edu](mailto:rkim0927@iastate.edu)

Zhu Zhang, Ph.D.  
University of Rhode Island  
Email: [zhuzhang@uri.edu](mailto:zhuzhang@uri.edu)

Yidong Chai, Ph.D.  
Hefei University of Technology  
Email: [chaiyd@hfut.edu.cn](mailto:chaiyd@hfut.edu.cn)

Please send comments to Wenli Zhang at [wzhang@iastate.edu](mailto:wzhang@iastate.edu).

# Few-Shot Learning for Chronic Disease Management: Leveraging Large Language Models and Multi-Prompt Engineering with Medical Knowledge Injection

**Abstract:** The recent breakthrough in Artificial Intelligence (AI) has resulted in a profound impact on various domains including healthcare. Among them, this study harnesses state-of-the-art AI technology for chronic disease management, specifically in detecting various mental disorders through user-generated textual content. Existing studies typically rely on fully supervised machine learning, which presents challenges such as the labor-intensive manual process of annotating extensive training data for each disease and the need to design specialized deep learning architectures for each problem. To address such challenges, we propose a novel framework that leverages advanced AI techniques, including large language models and multi-prompt engineering. Specifically, we address two key technical challenges in data-driven chronic disease management: (1) developing personalized prompts to represent each user's uniqueness and (2) incorporating medical knowledge into prompts to provide context for chronic disease detection, instruct learning objectives, and operationalize prediction goals. We evaluate our method using four mental disorders, which are prevalent chronic diseases worldwide, as research cases. On the depression detection task, our method ( $F1 = 0.975\sim 0.978$ ) significantly outperforms traditional supervised learning paradigms, including feature engineering ( $F1 = 0.760$ ) and architecture engineering ( $F1 = 0.756$ ). Meanwhile, our approach demonstrates success in few-shot learning, i.e., requiring only a minimal number of training examples to detect chronic diseases based on user-generated textual content (i.e., only 2, 10, or 100 subjects). Moreover, our method can be generalized to other mental disorder detection tasks, including anorexia, pathological gambling, and self-harm ( $F1 = 0.919\sim 0.978$ ). In addition to the technical contributions, our proposed framework has the potential to improve the well-being of patients, control costs, and establish a more efficient and accessible healthcare system.

*Keywords:* prompt engineering; large language model; machine learning; computational design science; chronic disease management

## 1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) holds tremendous promise, encompassing a wide range of research directions, such as problem-solving, automation, efficiency, personalization, scientific discovery, and more (Abbasi et al. 2016, Benbya et al. 2020, Berente et al. 2021, Ram and Goes 2021). Recent advancements in AI, for

example, OpenAI's ChatGPT, have revolutionized the landscape of AI by demonstrating that machines can genuinely comprehend the intricacies of human language and interaction. Owing to the remarkable capabilities demonstrated by ChatGPT, both researchers and the general public are eagerly anticipating the dawn of a new era in AI, which can boast a broad spectrum of applications across various domains, including natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, art, automotive, entertainment, education, and, unsurprisingly, healthcare (Hamet and Tremblay 2017).

In this study, we leverage recent advances in AI and NLP to improve chronic disease management, using four mental disorders as research cases. Specifically, we explore whether large language models (LLMs)-based AI can make strides in chronic disease detection using user-generated textual content. Previous work in data-driven chronic disease detection demonstrates that patients with chronic diseases consistently share their symptoms, life events associated with their conditions, and details of their treatments through user-generated textual content online (Abbasi et al. 2019, Chau et al. 2020, Zhang and Ram 2020). Hence, using AI to analyze user-generated content holds great potential for enhancing the detection and management of chronic diseases by extracting valuable insights from individuals' firsthand experiences (Bardhan et al. 2020). For instance, online platforms can leverage chronic disease detection techniques to develop new services featuring personalized recommendations for users (e.g., encouraging individuals to seek help and treatments, promoting educational content and tools, offering treatment options, and fostering social support). Public administration can employ these techniques to strategically allocate resources to areas with high incidence rates, thereby enhancing the overall effectiveness of chronic disease programs. Policymakers can monitor large-scale user-generated textual content and facilitate the creation of evidence-based policies tailored to the specific needs of different patient cohorts.

However, existing methods on detecting chronic diseases through user-generated content show limitations in terms of real-world applicability and generalizability due to the heavy reliance on fully supervised learning. Each chronic disease has its own unique characteristics and features, and these distinctions could include variations in the symptoms that patients reported, the life events that led to the development or progression of the disease, and the specific treatments that were applied. As a result, researchers have to follow a labor-intensive process to analyze and predict outcomes for these chronic diseases. For instance, it is required to collect and label data, creating a dataset where examples are

categorized or identified according to the specific disease they were related to. However, it is difficult to reuse a dataset for a specific chronic disease for another disease using a fully supervised learning model, resulting in the need for creating multiple datasets for different chronic diseases. Furthermore, a customized machine learning model for each individual disease has to be designed and fine-tuned, which involves meticulously optimizing the algorithms, parameters, and features to make the model effective for that particular disease. This process is highly costly in terms of time, effort, and resources, significantly hampering the applicability of the resulting prediction model.

This study addresses this research gap by developing a generalizable and adaptable method capable of detecting multiple chronic diseases without constructing a large amount of training data or designing a customized model for each disease. With the emergence of LLMs in AI and their remarkable abilities across various downstream tasks, the learning paradigms in NLP-related tasks have evolved from traditional feature engineering and architecture engineering to more advanced learning paradigms, including fine-tuning and prompt engineering (Liu et al. 2021). The foundation for such an approach is grounded in LLMs that have already employed extensive training data, computing power, and algorithmic capabilities to achieve highly promising results across various domains, surpassing the performance of individually-trained, task-specific models. Given such technological advances, in the context of utilizing user-generated content for chronic disease management, it is imperative to leverage the immense potential of LLMs to minimize the cost of training and engineering of disease- or problem-specific models. Utilizing LLMs for detecting different chronic diseases can offer a more effective and efficient approach compared to traditional methods.

Nevertheless, challenges still remain in utilizing LLMs for chronic disease detection, considering the heterogeneity among various diseases and how each individual presents their conditions. While LLMs possess significant capabilities, their abilities are primarily rooted in extensive self-supervised learning on textual content. In contrast, our goal is to efficiently detect or predict various chronic diseases using user-generated textual content, which is often lengthy, noisy, and highly complex. This task extends beyond simply identifying explicit mentions of chronic diseases and presents significant challenges for these models in comprehending nuances, extracting, understanding, and inferring the implicit information related to chronic diseases. Meanwhile, within the medical domain, there exists a wealth of medical knowledge

that can be closely linked to the content reported in user-generated content and pertains to chronic diseases. It can provide significant assistance in employing LLMs for chronic disease detection. This motivates us to propose a new prompt engineering method to reduce the reliance on extensive labeled training data and complex model architecture engineering through the integration of LLMs and existing medical knowledge in the form of ontologies, which represent one of the most prevalent forms of domain knowledge.

In this research, positioned in the computational design science in information systems (IS), we propose a novel multi-prompt engineering-based framework for chronic disease detection. Since our research primarily relies on LLMs, we confine our research scope to chronic diseases with major characteristics that can be represented in text format. Mental disorders serve as appropriate research cases because the current diagnosis of mental disorders relies on self-reported symptoms and life events in natural languages (APA 2022); and prior research has identified a significant volume of mental disorder-related information within user-generated textual content in natural language format (Choudhury et al. 2013, Coppersmith et al. 2015, Nadeem 2016, Zhang et al. 2023). Therefore, we utilize four mental disorders as research cases, which are common chronic diseases many people suffer worldwide (CDC 2022, EPIC 2022).

Our proposed framework leverages LLMs and prompt engineering with the injection of medical knowledge to enable more effective detection of mental disorders on user-generated content. Our framework also achieves few-shot learning, which enables the model to learn from only a few examples per each mental disorder. Specifically, our proposed framework employs multiple prompt engineering techniques to interact with LLMs and elicit the desired mental disorder detection results. More precisely, we first employ *prefix-tuning* to create personalized prompts for individual patients. This design offers significant advantages: each patient presents a unique persona with distinct patterns, habits, and progression of diseases, influencing the generation of user-generated content. Consequently, personalized prompts can effectively capture such individualized information. Next, to accommodate the distinct characteristics of each mental disorder and leverage existing medical knowledge, we propose a new *rule-based prompt method* to integrate disease-related medical ontologies. This design also offers two significant advantages. First, it can enable more efficient prompt design: rule-based prompts can incorporate logical rules to compose task-specific prompts from several simple sub-prompts. Compared to other prompt engineering

methods (e.g., manually designing templates or selecting individual label words for different conditions), it is easier to design basic sub-prompts (i.e., to identify mental disorder symptoms, life events that may trigger the disorder, and treatments) and then combine these sub-prompts to create disease-specific prompts based on logic rules. Second, we can effectively integrate prior medical knowledge (i.e., symptoms, life events, and treatments of mental disorders) into prompts, which can be utilized to explicitly instruct the LLMs about the learning objectives and to operationalize the original prediction goals by associating them with specific label terms derived from medical knowledge. Compared to existing rule-based prompt tuning methods, our new approach incorporates three design principles for decomposing challenging prediction tasks, setting it apart from existing methods. (1) We employ the strategy of breaking down the exceptionally lengthy text input using moving windows. (2) Rather than directly predicting a challenging task, we break down the task into more specific subtasks that detect the crucial aspects of the original task. (3) We introduce existing domain knowledge in the form of an ontology to facilitate the accomplishment of these subtasks. These design principles are not only applicable to our specific prediction task but can also be generalized to other problem domains that require the decomposition of the original task.

To summarize, our key contributions are twofold. From the healthcare domain perspective, we propose a novel approach using prompt engineering and LLMs for the detection of mental disorders through user-generated textual content and achieve few-shot learning. The key advantage lies in eliminating the need for a substantial amount of labeled training data or customized architecture engineering for each specific disease or research problem. From the methodology perspective, we have two innovations. (1) We propose a multi-prompt method, synergizing prefix tuning and rule-based prompt engineering to address challenges in healthcare: personalized prompts and medical knowledge injection, which enhance method accuracy and efficacy. (2) We propose a new rule-based prompt method that efficiently tackles complex detection problems, integrating ontology-format domain knowledge, and its design principles can be extended to other problem domains, maximizing the potential of LLMs for real-world problem-solving.

Our research also has implications for IS including design science, healthcare IS, and business analytics. Our main design artifact in this study has the potential to solve a salient real-world problem in healthcare adopting state-of-the-art AI methods. That is, it has the capacity for personalization and automation using large-scale text data, delivering the potential to greatly improve chronic healthcare

management. Specifically, our work has significant practical implications for mental disorder detection. It provides an accurate detection method that can provide complementary information to existing mental disorder screening procedures. For public health management, our method enables large-scale analyses of a population's mental health beyond what has previously been possible with traditional methods.

## **2. Related Work**

### **2.1. Research Context: Chronic Disease Management in IS**

Chronic diseases, which are broadly defined as conditions that necessitate continuous medical attention and/or restrict daily activities, are increasingly taking a heavy toll on healthcare worldwide. They include medical conditions such as chronic mental disorders, obesity, and diabetes, which are the primary causes of death and disability in many countries and regions (CDC 2022, EPIC 2022). Hence, detecting and preventing the impact of such diseases through comprehensive and integrated care is critical in healthcare. Chronic disease management, described as “*an integrated care approach to managing illness, which includes screenings, check-ups, monitoring, coordinating treatment, and patient education*” (Bardhan et al. 2020), holds significant potential to reduce healthcare costs and enhance the quality of life for individuals dealing with chronic conditions.

Chronic disease management is a complex and multifaceted field with implications that span across healthcare, policy, and society as a whole. A promising approach in IS for chronic disease management is the utilization of user-generated content for chronic disease detection and risk factor identification (Bardhan et al. 2020). The basis for utilizing user-generated content in chronic disease and risk factor detection lies in the fact that, despite their diversity, many chronic diseases exhibit common features or similarities. These may include factors like the importance of lifestyle choices in managing the condition (e.g., diet and exercise), the need for ongoing medical care, and the self-reported symptoms and major life event changes that may trigger the chronic disease.

The soaring availability of health big data (e.g., user-generated textual content and mobile sensor signals) and recent advances in analytics technologies give rise to a new paradigm of health IS research. Especially, many health IS researchers strive to propose novel solutions for chronic disease management (Bardhan et al. 2020). For instance, Lin et al. (2017) propose a Bayesian multitask learning approach for

chronic disease risk profiling. Ben-Assuli and Padman (2020) analyze longitudinal data of chronic disease patients to assess and predict their readmission risks. Other researchers have focused on managing specific chronic diseases or specific patient groups, e.g., heart disease (Bardhan et al. 2014), respiratory disorders (Zhang and Ram 2020), and senior patients (Zhu et al. 2021).

In line with most of the extant machine learning and AI research in IS, these studies on chronic disease management have primarily focused on supervised machine learning, i.e., improving feature or architecture engineering. Consequently, a substantial amount of labeled training data and a specific model architecture are required for addressing each type of disease or research problem. We aim to address this challenge in this study, thereby improving the chronic disease management process and overall healthcare. To this end, we leverage state-of-the-art AI technologies, including LLMs and prompt engineering. Our focus is on operationalizing generalizable chronic disease detection and enabling few-shot learning with multiple mental disorders as research cases.

## **2.2. Evolution of Paradigms in NLP-related Supervised Machine Learning**

Supervised learning, a subcategory of machine learning and AI, has found extensive applications across diverse domains, facilitating tasks such as classifications, detections, and predictions. It is characterized by its use of labeled training datasets to supervise algorithms that produce outcomes accurately. In NLP (i.e., textual content-related machine learning), supervised learning has its paradigms and has evolved through various stages (Figure 1): from feature engineering and architecture engineering to pre-training and fine-tuning, and finally, to pre-training and prompt engineering (Liu et al. 2021).

Until recently, most studies have focused on fully supervised learning. Since fully supervised learning requires a substantial amount of labeled data to train high-performing models, and large-scale labeled data for specific NLP or healthcare-related tasks are limited, researchers have primarily focused on *feature engineering* before the advent of deep learning. Feature engineering involves extracting meaningful features from data using domain knowledge. For instance, Chau et al. (2020) focus on identifying emotional distress in user-generated content by employing a combination of feature extraction, feature selection, rules derived from domain experts, and machine learning classification.

With the emergence of deep learning, which has the capacity to automatically extract features from data

without feature engineering, researchers shifted their focus to model *architecture engineering*. These approaches involve designing appropriate deep learning structures to introduce inductive biases into models, facilitating the learning of useful features. A notable contribution in IS is the work of Yang et al. (2022), in which the authors develop a deep learning architecture for personality detection using user-generated content. Their research design is deliberately crafted to incorporate advanced deep learning architecture engineering, including transfer learning and hierarchical attention network architectures, alongside concepts from relevant psycholinguistic theories.




Figure 1. Different NLP Supervised Learning Paradigms and Their Key Scholarly Contributions

Since 2018, NLP-related machine learning models transitioned to a new paradigm known as *pre-train and fine-tune* (Devlin et al. 2018), where a fixed architecture language model (e.g., BERT, T5, and GPT) can be pre-trained on a massive amount of text data. *Pre-training* typically involves tasks such as completing contextual sentences (e.g., fill-in-the-blank tasks), which do not require expert knowledge and can be directly performed on pre-existing large-scale data (i.e., self-supervised learning). The pre-trained model is then adapted to downstream tasks by *fine-tuning* (i.e., introducing additional parameters). This

shift led researchers to focus on *objective engineering*, involving designing better objective functions for both pre-training and fine-tuning tasks (Liu et al. 2019, Sanh et al. 2020, Yang et al. 2019).

Table 1. Example of Prompts in NLP Tasks

Task	Original input	Prompt	Input to an LLM	Feedback from an LLM
Sentiment prediction	I missed the bus today.	• <i>I felt so</i>	I missed the bus today. <i>I felt so [mask]</i> .	The LM fills in the <i>[mask]</i> with an emotion word, e.g., <i>frustrating</i> .
Translation		• <i>English:</i> • <i>French:</i>	<i>English:</i> I missed the bus today. <i>French:</i> <i>[mask]</i> .	The LM fills in the <i>[mask]</i> with the corresponding French sentence, e.g., <i>J'ai raté le bus aujourd'hui</i> .

During the process of objective engineering, researchers discover that aligning downstream task objectives with pre-trained objectives can be beneficial. Therefore, for downstream tasks, they introduce prompts to reframe the task objectives to match the fill-in-the-blank format of pre-trained models (see Table 1). It was found that using different prompts on the same input could enable various tasks, allowing downstream tasks to align effectively with pre-trained objectives, resulting in improved predictive performance. Subsequently, it was discovered that even using different prompts for the same task can result in a variance in the prediction performance. That is, not only how the language model is trained but also how the prompt is designed can have a significant impact on the performance. Therefore, many researchers have shifted their focus to *prompt engineering*, exploring the design of effective prompts for downstream tasks (Liu et al. 2021).

### 2.3. Prompt Engineering

We first elucidate the key differences between prompt engineering and other NLP-related supervised learning paradigms as follows. Feature engineering, architecture engineering, and fine-tuning share a common pattern: training a machine learning model to process labeled training examples  $(x, y)$  and predict an output  $\hat{y}$  as  $p(\hat{y}|x)$ . In contrast, prompt engineering follows a distinct learning process: using an LLM, it directly models the probability of an outcome  $z$  (see Table 1: Feedback from LM). To leverage these models for prediction tasks, the original input  $x$  undergoes modification using a template  $T$  to create a new input  $x'$  (i.e., the prompt). This new input has unfilled slots *[mask]*, and the LLM is then utilized to probabilistically fill in the missing information *[mask]*, resulting in  $z$ , from which, the ultimate output  $\hat{y}$  can be derived through  $p(\hat{y}|z)$ .

Take the sentiment prediction task in Table 1 as an example. The original input  $x$  is the text “*I missed*

the bus today” or its vector representation. The corresponding label, denoted as  $y$ , is “negative (sentiment).”

In learning paradigms other than prompt engineering,  $\hat{y}$  is directly derived through

$P(\hat{y}|"I missed the bus today")$ . However, in prompt engineering, researchers first design a prompt denoted as  $x'$  (i.e., a new input to an LLM): "I missed the bus today. I felt so [mask]." The LLM fills the unfilled slots of  $x'$ , resulting in  $z$ , "frustrating." The prediction result  $\hat{y}$  is determined by the value of  $z$ . As  $z$  is closely associated with a negative sentiment, the prediction outcome  $\hat{y}$  is consequently classified as "negative." The determination of which words are more closely associated with “negative” or “positive” can be either pre-defined or learned automatically, which is referred to as the verbalizer  $V$ .

Table 2. Representative Prompt Engineering Methods and Comparison with Our Method  
(a) Classification based on Prompt Design

Cat.	Shape of prompts		Manual/automated prompts		Discrete/continuous prompts		Static/dynamic prompts	
	Cloze	Prefix	Manual	Automated	Discrete	Continuous	Static	Dynamic
LAMA (Petroni et al. 2019)	✓		✓				✓	
TemplateNER (Cui et al. 2021)	✓		✓				✓	
GPT-3 (Brown et al. 2020)			✓				✓	
Prefix-Tuning (Li and Liang 2021)		✓				✓		✓
Prompt tuning (Lester et al. 2021)		✓				✓		✓
AutoPrompt (Shin et al. 2020)				✓	✓			
<b>Ours</b>	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓

(b) Classification based on Multi-prompt Learning

Cat.	Prompt Ensemble	Prompt Augmentation	Prompt Composition	Prompt Decomposition
BARTScore (Yuan et al. 2021)	✓			
GPT-3 (Brown et al. 2020)		✓		
PTR (Han et al. 2021)			✓	
TemplateNER (Cui et al. 2021)				✓
<b>Ours</b>	✓		✓	

Note:

- Cloze prompt: A type of prompt designed to generate responses that fill in the blanks or complete a sentence.
- Prefix prompt: A type of prompt where a specific instruction or context is provided at the beginning of the input to guide the language model’s response.
- Manual prompt: Manually create intuitive templates based on human introspection.
- Automated prompt: Automate the template design process.
- Static prompt: Using the same prompt for each input.
- Dynamic prompt: Generating a custom template for each input.
- Discrete prompt (hard prompts): A prompt described in a discrete space, usually corresponding to natural language phrases.
- Continuous prompts (a.k.a. soft prompts): Perform prompting directly in the embedding space of the model: (1) relax the constraint that the embeddings of template words be the embeddings of natural language (e.g., English) words, and (2) remove the restriction that the template is parameterized by the pre-trained LLM’s parameters.

The objective of prompt engineering is to develop a prompting function, denoted as  $x' = f_{prompt}(x)$ , to achieve optimal performance in the subsequent task. Prompt engineering can significantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the prediction process since it enables LLMs to undergo pre-training on vast

amounts of pre-existing textual data. Moreover, by defining  $f_{prompt}(x)$ , the model can facilitate few-shot or even zero-shot learning, seamlessly adapting to new scenarios with minimal or no labeled data.

As the literature underscores, the design of a prompt can have substantial influences on the overall performance of a prompt-based method (Liu et al. 2021). Therefore, various prompt engineering methods have been proposed, which can be categorized based on the shape of prompts, manual/automated prompts, discrete/continuous prompts, and static/dynamic prompts, each with distinct characteristics and associated pros and cons. The choice of prompt engineering method depends on both the task at hand and the specific LLMs employed to address the task. We provide a summary of representative studies in Table 2. Recently, many studies have highlighted the significant improvement in the effectiveness of prompt engineering methods through the utilization of multiple prompts—a concept known as multi-prompt engineering. Several key strategies for multi-prompt learning have been identified, including prompt ensembling, prompt augmentation, prompt composition, and prompt decomposition (Liu et al. 2021).

Although prompt engineering has shown significant potential among different tasks and scenarios, many challenges remain (Liu et al. 2021). Two of the most significant technical challenges in this field are as follows. (1) Prompt design for complex tasks: the formulation and design of prompts for complex tasks are not straightforward (Liu et al. 2021). Particularly, prompt design in mental disorder detection using textual data is under-explored. Each patient possesses unique characteristics and patterns, including but not limited to, linguistic styles (such as a tendency to complain, convey setbacks; or a tendency to endure, face challenges positively), habits of using social media, and the extent to which one is willing to openly discuss their own illnesses, a unique course of progression in their illness, and so on. Moreover, different types of mental disorders exhibit distinct (but sometimes similar) symptoms, risk factors, and treatments. (2) Prompt engineering with structured domain knowledge (Aghajanyan et al. 2021, Chen et al. 2022, Han et al. 2021): in many NLP tasks, inputs may exhibit various structures (e.g., syntax trees or relational structures from relationship extraction); effectively expressing these structures in prompt engineering poses a significant challenge. In the realm of mental disorder management, chronic disease management, and healthcare in general, a substantial volume of medical knowledge exists in structured formats (e.g., ontologies, which are tree or network structures). Leveraging the existing domain knowledge can greatly enhance disease

detection using textual data. However, this domain remains largely underexplored, presenting a potentially crucial and interesting avenue for research.

In this work, we aim to address these two technical challenges. Specifically, we focus on the following two streams of work: prefix tuning and rule-based prompting.

### 2.3.1. Prefix Tuning for Personalized Prompts

Prefix tuning was proposed by Li and Liang (2021) for standard neural generation (i.e., table-to-text) tasks. The underlying intuition of this method lies in the idea that by providing an appropriate *context* to an LLM, which can influence the encoding of  $x$  and direct the LLM on what information to extract from  $x$ .

Therefore, the *context* can guide the LLM to effectively solve downstream tasks. Nevertheless, it is not clear whether such a *context* exists or how to identify such a *context* for each individual  $x$ . Therefore, the authors propose the prefix tuning method to automatically optimize continuous prefixes for inputs as the *context*. Formally, for a training example  $(x, y)$ , they define

$$f_{prompt} = [Prefix; x; Prefix'; y] \quad (1)$$

where *Prefix* and *Prefix'* are placeholders for values associated with the training example  $(x, y)$ . The *Prefix* and *Prefix'* for all training examples consist of a trainable matrix  $P_\theta[i, :]$ , where  $i \in P_{idx}$  and  $P_{idx}$  denotes the sequence of prefix indices. Therefore, the feedback from LLM is

$$z_i = \begin{cases} P_\theta[i, :], & \text{if } i \in P_{idx}, \\ LM_\phi \left( f_{prompt}(x), z_{<i} \right), & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

As the authors stated,  $z_i$  represents a function of the trainable  $P_\theta$  (of dimension  $|P_{idx}| \times \dim(z_i)$ ). When  $i \in P_{idx}$ ,  $z_i$  directly copies from  $P_\theta$ ; when  $i \notin P_{idx}$ ,  $z_i$  still depends on  $P_\theta$ , as it is the prefix context and subsequent feedback from  $LM_\phi$  relies on the activations of the preceding feedback. Empirically, they reparametrize the  $P_\theta[i, :]$  using matrix  $P'_\theta[i, :]$  (of dimension  $|P_{idx}| \times k$ , where  $k$  is a hyperparameter) using a feedforward neural network for stable training:  $P_\theta[i, :] = MLP_\theta(P'_\theta[i, :])$ . The learning goal is

$$\max_\phi \log p_{\phi(y|x)} = \sum_{i \in y_{idx}} \log p_\phi \left( f_{prompt}(x, y) | z_{<i} \right) \quad (3)$$

where  $p_\phi$  is an LLM distribution,  $\phi$  is the LLM parameters that are fixed.

One significant advantage of this method is that it provides flexibility and adaptability to individual

input  $x$ . Given that the prepended vectors (i.e.,  $Prefix$  and  $Prefix'$ ) are automatically updated during training, each prefix vector (i.e.,  $P_\theta[l,:]$ ) is customized for individual input  $x$  simultaneously. We exploit this feature of prefix tuning to generate personalized prompts for user textual data. In our research context of mental disorder detection using user-generated content, it is desirable to provide a distinct prompt for each user for optimal performance since different users have unique characteristics and underlying patterns. Thus, prefix tuning represents a promising research direction for developing continuous prompts optimized for each individual, thereby enhancing the performance of mental disorder detection. Specifically, our intentions are twofold: (1) to refine the design of  $f_{prompt}$  (Equation 1) to better fulfill the role of a personalized prompt tailored to individual users for mental disorder detection; and (2) to seamlessly integrate the learning objective of prefix tuning (Equation 3) with other prompt learning goals through a multi-prompt approach (i.e., prompt ensemble) to more effectively address the challenges associated with mental disorder detection.

### 2.3.2. Knowledge Injection through Rule-based Prompts

Prompt tuning with rules (PTR) was proposed by Han et al. (2021) to solve multi-class relation classification problems (i.e., relationship extraction in NLP). This study underscores the limitations of other widely-used prompt engineering methods in addressing complex text classification tasks: (1) manual prompt design is both laborious and prone to errors, (2) and for auto-generated prompts, the validation of the efficacy is a resource-intensive and time-consuming process.

The essence of the PTR to solve challenging classification tasks is threefold. First, for a highly challenging text classification problem (i.e., given  $(x, y)$  and predict  $p(\hat{y}|x)$ ), PTR breaks down the classification question into several simpler sub-classification tasks, namely, breaking down  $p(y|x)$  to  $p(y^1|x) \dots p(y^f|x) \dots p(y^k|x)$ , where  $k$  indicates the number of subtasks. Then, PTR incorporates logical rules to compose task-specific prompts with several simpler *sub-prompts* and accomplish the complex classification task. Formally, for each sub-classification task  $p(y^f|x)$ , PTR sets a template  $T^f(x)$  and a set of verbalizer words  $V^f = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . The template  $T^f(x)$  and verbalizer  $V^f$  constitute the prompting function  $f_{prompt}^f(x)$ . The logical rule is defined as

$$p(y^1|x) \wedge p(y^2|x) \dots p(y^{f-1}|x) \wedge p(y^f|x) \dots p(y^{k-1}|x) \wedge p(y^k|x) \rightarrow p(y|x) \quad (4)$$

Second, PTR incorporates prior knowledge for each sub-classification task, reducing the laborious and error-prone nature of manual prompt construction and mitigating the uncertainties associated with auto-generated prompts. Formally, when constructing each sub-prompt  $f_{prompt}^f(x)$  for each sub-classification task  $p(y^f|x)$ , prior knowledge can be injected in both the design of  $T^f(x)$  and verbalizer  $V^f$  to facilitate the prediction and performance of  $p(y^f|x)$ . For instance, consider a classical sub-classification problem in named entity recognition. Let  $T^f(x) = "x \text{ is the } [mask] \text{ entity}"$  and  $V^f = {"person", "organization", \dots}$ . Since this sub-task involves named entity recognition, the templates and verbalizers can be meticulously customized to assist an LLM in accurately identifying the entity category. For a classical relation prediction problem, let  $T^f(x) = "x \text{ entity}_1 [mask] \text{ entity}_2"$  and  $V^f = {"was born in", "is parent of", \dots}$ . Again, the templates and verbalizers for this sub-classification problem can be tailored to assist an LLM in completing a relation prediction task.

Lastly, PTR composes sub-prompts of various sub problems into a complete task-specific prompt,

$$f_{prompt}(x) = \begin{cases} T(x) = [T^1(x); \dots; T^f(x); \dots; T^k(x)], \\ V[mask]_1 = \{v_1^1, v_2^1, \dots\}, \dots, V[mask]_2 = \{v_1^f, v_2^f, \dots\}, \dots, V[mask]_k = \{v_1^k, v_2^k, \dots\}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $[\cdot; \cdot; \cdot]$  is the aggregation function of sub-templates. The learning objective of PTR is

$$\max_{\phi} p_{\phi}(y|x) = \log \prod_{f=1}^r p_{\phi}([mask]_f = LM_{\phi}(y)|T(x)) \quad (6)$$

where  $r$  is the number of masked positions in  $T(x)$  and  $[mask]_f = LM_{\phi}(y)$  is to map the class  $y$  to the set of label words  $V[mask]_f$ .

In our research context, predicting whether an individual has a specific mental disorder by directly utilizing an LLM and ultra long social media posts as inputs is challenging. As mentioned, various mental disorders exhibit distinct or sometimes similar symptoms, risk factors, and treatments. Therefore, PTR is an efficient way to design sub-prompts to capture different aspects of mental disorders (e.g., symptoms, risk factors, and treatments) to simplify the detection task using user-generated content. Furthermore, PTR is an ideal method to incorporate the existing domain knowledge which is widely available and essential in mental disorder management, chronic disease management, and healthcare. Hence, in this study, we attempt

to encode and incorporate existing medical knowledge by proposing a new rule-based prompt engineering method for improved mental disorder detection performance. Specifically, our key innovations include: (1) modify the logic rules implemented in the original PTR (Equation 4) and the learning goal of PTR (Equation 6) to transfer PTR to the mental disorder detection task; (2) explore an effective mechanism to inject existing medical knowledge of mental disorder detection in the PRT prompt engineering process (Equation 5), and (3) seamlessly integrate the learning objective of PTR (Equation 6) with other prompt learning goals through a multi-prompt approach (i.e., prompt ensemble and prompt composition) to more effectively address the challenges associated with mental disorder detection.

#### **2.4. Key Novelties of Our Study**

From the perspective of design science, we make three technical contributions with our main IT artifact developed for mental disorder detection using textual data. First, we present a novel framework grounded in LLMs and prompt engineering, facilitating the few-shot detection of multiple mental disorders through user-generated text content. Notably, this framework confers a significant advantage by obviating the necessity for an extensive volume of labeled training data or the intricate engineering of customized architectures for each distinct disease or research problem. The proposed framework can be extended to tasks related to detecting other mental disorders and chronic diseases, especially those exhibiting discernible characteristics within user-generated textual content. Second, within our framework, we propose a multi-prompt engineering approach, effectively synergizing various prompt engineering techniques, including prefix tuning and rule-based prompt engineering. This strategic amalgamation is specifically tailored to address the unique technical challenges within the healthcare domain. It involves the utilization of personalized prompts and the integration of existing medical domain knowledge, thereby markedly enhancing the accuracy and efficacy of our method. Third, as an integral component of our framework, we propose a new rule-based prompt engineering method, adept at efficiently dissecting complex textual content-based detection problems. This method seamlessly integrates domain knowledge existing in the ontology format—one of the widely adopted formats for domain knowledge. The design principle extends to other research problems necessitating the decomposition of challenging tasks and maximizes the utilization of LLM’s potential to address real-world challenges.

### 3. Research Design

In this study, we introduce a novel multi-prompt engineering method for detecting mental disorders through user-generated textual content. The innovative design of our multi-prompt engineering method aims to tackle two technical challenges: (1) personalized prompts for individual users and each mental disorder, capturing the unique characteristics and underlying patterns of each user, and (2) integrating prompts with structured medical knowledge to contextualize the task, which instructs the LLMs on the learning objectives and operationalizes prediction goals. Subsequently, the outcomes of the prompts serve as the input for an LLM, which determines whether the targeted user exhibits signs of a mental disorder. The flowchart of our method is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Flowchart of Our Method

#### 3.1. Problem Formulation

We focus on user-generated textual content on an online platform (e.g., Reddit, Twitter, or Facebook), which potentially encompasses each user’s self-reported information relevant to mental disorder detection.

Each textual post is denoted as  $x_i$ . We collect data from a user base  $U$  with  $l$  users. For a given period of time, we observe the user-generated content of the focal user  $u \in U$  from  $N$  text posts, denoted by

$x_u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$ , ordered in time, and  $N_u = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  as each user has an arbitrary number of posts.

Each user  $u$  may suffer from one or more mental disorders in a disease set  $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_j, \dots, d_N\}$ . For each disease  $d_j$ , an ontology  $O_j$  can be constructed to depict the symptoms, risk factors, and treatments of the

disease  $d_j$ . Given a user’s text posts  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$  and a target disease  $d_j$ , we aim to design a new

multi-prompt function  $f_{prompt}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$  to address two technique challenges: personalized prompts and prompts integrated with medical knowledge  $O_j$ . As the foundation of our approach, we build upon LLMs, denoted as  $LM_\Phi$ , with parameters  $\Phi$ . The prediction outcome is  $y_{d_j} = \{0, 1\}$ , where 1 suggests that the focal user  $u$  suffers or will suffer from the target disease  $d_j$ . Formally, the mental disorder  $d_j$  detection problem is a binary probabilistic classification problem (Formula 7), which applies to all diseases in  $D$ .

$$\widehat{y}_{d_j} = \underset{y_{d_j}}{\operatorname{argmax}} p\left(y_{d_j} | LM_\Phi\left(f_{prompt}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})\right)\right) \quad (7)$$

### 3.2. Multi-prompt Engineering with Personalization and Knowledge Injection

As outlined in the literature review, the objective of the prompt engineering function,  $f_{prompt}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$ , is to leverage the capabilities of LLMs while streamlining the complexity of disease- or problem-specific model design. The key scholarly contribution of this study lies in the development of  $f_{prompt}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$ .

#### 3.2.1. Automated Continuous Dynamic Prefix Tuning for Personalized Prompts

When patients describe their experience on social media, their patterns and persona<sup>1</sup> are distinct from each other. This motivates us to design a personalized prompt for each user for the mental disorder detection problem. We leverage the attributes of prefix tuning (Li and Liang 2021), where each prefix vector is customized for individual input simultaneously, and adapt it to our multi-prompt method to achieve this goal. Specifically, we designate a one-dimensional vector  $v$  of length  $k$  for each user  $u$ ,

$$f_{prompt\_prefix}(x) = [v \oplus x] \quad (8)$$

where  $\oplus$  denotes concatenation and  $k$  is a hyper-parameter. In the user base  $U$  with  $l$  users, a trainable matrix  $P$  (of dimension  $l \times (k + LM_\Phi\_tokenizing(x))$ ) will be parametrized using a feedforward neural network,  $P_\theta = MLP_\theta$ , during training, where  $\theta$  is the trainable parameters of the  $MLP$  and is used to create unseen users'  $f_{prompt\_prefix}(x)$ . Each row of  $P$  can be trained (i.e., reparameterized) simultaneously during the training process to reflect each user's unique characteristics, allowing for personalized prompts.

Consequently, the expected feedback from LLM is

---

<sup>1</sup> Including but not limited to, linguistic styles (such as a tendency to complain, convey setbacks; or a tendency to endure, face challenges positively), habits of using social media, and the extent to which one is willing to openly discuss their own illnesses, a unique course of progression in their illness, and so on.

$$z_i = \begin{cases} P_\theta[:k], & \text{if } i \leq k, \\ LM_\phi\left(f_{prompt}(x), z_{<i}\right), & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $i$  is the  $i$ -th digits of  $z$ . When  $i \leq k$ ,  $z_i$  directly copies from  $P_\theta$ ; when  $i > k$ ,  $z_i$  still depends on  $P_\theta$ , as it is the prefix context and subsequent feedback of our multi-prompt function  $f_{prompt}(x)$  (section 3.2.3) relies on the activations of the preceding feedback.

### 3.2.2. A New Rule-Based Prompt for Injecting Ontological Structural Medical Knowledge

The mental disorder detection task is at the user level using all the posts in a time period. Therefore, compared to other NLP tasks, the unique challenge of this task lies in the input to a machine learning

prediction model,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$ , which contains ultra long user-generated unstructured text content

with variable lengths (see section 4.1 Table 4, around 171,789 ~ 44,077,018 tokens per user). However, extracting valid information from these data is challenging for traditional machine learning models that rely on feature engineering. On the other hand, conventional end-to-end deep learning models may not be able

to remember and learn from ultra long dependencies from  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$  (e.g., RNNs) or be

constrained by a fixed sequence length established during training (e.g., Transformers), making handling a large amount of arbitrary number text posts challenging.

For standard prompt engineering methods, even with the assistance of highly potent LLMs, it remains a challenging task. This is due to (1) the presence of a significant amount of noise in the user-generated content (e.g., the text content can be unrelated to mental disorders, or similar symptoms shared across various mental disorders), making the prediction task difficult. (2) Considering the limited memory capacity of LLMs based on the number of parameters, most LLMs are insufficient to handle the extensive input required for user-level mental disorder detection. Even if the LLMs can handle such inputs, these models typically have a large number of parameters, imposing significant costs in applications. Motivated by these challenges, we propose a new rule-based prompt engineering method with three design principles, differing from existing approaches.

**Design principle 1.** Instead of using  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_u})$  as the input, we expand the individual

elements  $x_i$  within  $x$  and concatenate them into a long list of tokens,  $x = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{|x|}\}$ , where  $t_j$  indicates a single token and  $|x|$  is the magnitude of  $x$ . We then design a sliding window  $\bar{x}$  and assume  $m$  sliding windows in total. Therefore, a sliding window  $\bar{x}$  of size  $w$  can be represented as  $x[i: i + w]$ , for  $i = \{0, w, 2w, \dots, (m - 1)w\}$ . The number  $m$  and the size  $w$  of moving windows are hyperparameters correlated and constrained by  $|x|$ . Empirically, let  $|\bar{x}| \ll |x|$  and the content in the sliding window  $\bar{x} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{|\bar{x}|}\}$  is used as the new input of  $f_{prompt}(\cdot)$  and  $LM_\phi$ , significantly reducing the negative impacts caused by ultra long sequences in user-level disease detection tasks.

**Design principle 2.** Instead of directly relying on  $LM_\phi$  to determine whether a user  $u$  has a mental disorder, we can break down this task into three sub-tasks: assessing whether a focal user  $u$  exhibits: (1) symptoms, such as anxiety, fatigue, low mood, reduced self-esteem, change in appetite or sleep, suicide attempt, etc. (APA 2022, Martin et al. 2006, Rush et al. 2003); (2) major life event changes, such as divorce, body shape change, violence, abuse, drug or alcohol use, and so on (Beck and Alford 2014); and (3) treatments, such as medication, therapy, or a combination of these two (Beck and Alford 2014).

We decompose the task of detecting user-level mental disorders into three subtasks, relying on the following assumptions: if the user  $u$  displays an increased number of symptoms, self-reports a greater number of life events that may cause or exacerbate a disease  $d_j$ , or discusses the use of treatments associated with the disease  $d_j$ , the accumulation of such mentions suggests a higher likelihood that the user  $u$  currently suffers from or will suffer from the target disease  $d_j$ .

Meanwhile, the reason for decomposing the task into these three subtasks is that, in user-generated text, these three aspects are often self-reported by users with mental disorders and are detectable (Coppersmith et al. 2015, Nadeem 2016, Zhang et al. 2023). It is worth noting that there are other indicators for detecting mental disorders, such as family history, genetics, and poor nutrition. However, since our research context revolves around user-generated text content, we concentrate on factors that are detectable.

We aim to design an inclusive method that can (1) identify users with easily noticeable signs of disease  $d_j$  who are currently undergoing treatment and (2) provide early detection for users at risk of disease  $d_j$  in the future, which includes detecting symptoms and life events that may exacerbate depression. In the

*disease detection* task, treatment entities play a significant role. This is because, within the population of individuals discussing disease  $d_j$ , there is a subset of users who have already received clinical diagnoses and have undergone various treatments. When a user openly discusses treatments of disease  $d_j$ , it strongly indicates that the user likely has disease  $d_j$ . To ensure the comprehensiveness of our mental disorder detection method, which aims to identify as many patients as possible, treatment-related entities can be highly effective. On the other hand, when it comes to the *early disease detection task*, our method leverages other disease-related factors for early detection, including symptoms and major life event changes.

Formally, we define the following logic rule:

$$p(y_{d_j}^{symptom} | \bar{x}) \vee p(y_{d_j}^{life\_event} | \bar{x}) \vee p(y_{d_j}^{treatment} | \bar{x}) \rightarrow p(y_{d_j} | \bar{x}) \quad (10)$$

where  $\vee$  is the logical connective "or". The logical "or" ( $\vee$ ) is inclusive, meaning that at least one of the  $p(y_{d_j}^f | \bar{x})$ , where  $f \in \{symptom, life\_event, treatment\}$  must be true for the compound proposition,  $p(y | \bar{x}) = 1$ , to be true. Practically,  $p(y_{d_j}^f | \bar{x})$  represents the probability feedback from  $LM_\phi$ , indicating whether  $LM_\phi$  judges  $\bar{x}$  to be associated with the sub-task  $f$ . In actual calculations, for a user  $u$ , if there are more  $\bar{x}$  within  $x$  are determined by  $LM_\phi$  that they are "symptom" or "life event" or "treatment" of mental disorder  $d_j$ , there is a higher probability that our framework will predict a correspondingly higher probability that this focal user  $u$  has mental disorder  $d_j$ .

**Design principle 3.** Having  $LM_\phi$  directly determine whether  $\bar{x}$  is a "symptom," "life event," or "treatment" of a mental disorder  $d_j$  remains a challenging task as the features of  $d_j$  can be highly specific and complex, but sometimes, certain features of mental disorders can be remarkably similar. For instance, feelings of excessive guilt or self-blame are often linked with depression but can also manifest in other disorders, including PTSD, anorexia, and self-harm. Accurately distinguishing between different mental disorders and providing effective interventions is crucial. For depression, it is important to create a friendly environment and offer information related to treatment. For PTSD, it is essential to avoid triggering information related to trauma. In the case of anorexia nervosa and self-harm, users may be at risk of life-threatening situations, necessitating more immediate help and intervention.

We can further enhance the performance of  $LM_\phi$  by employing prompt engineering to clearly instruct  $LM_\phi$  on the specific characteristics of the  $\{symptom, life\_event, treatment\}$  associated with  $d_j$ . It is noteworthy that the specificity of these three aspects in various mental disorders is well-documented in the medical literature. If appropriately integrated, such existing medical knowledge can significantly alleviate the challenges faced by  $LM_\phi$  and predictive models in detecting mental disorders at the user level using user-generated text content. The injection of medical knowledge into prompt design, therefore, is a significant and promising direction.

Accordingly, to leverage medical domain knowledge for mental disorder detection, we adhere to previous studies and adopt the established mental disorder ontology  $O_j$  for each disease  $d_j$  that explicitly explains the terminologies used in disease  $d_j$ 's diagnosis and treatments (Zhang et al. 2023). The ontology  $O_j$  focuses on specific aspects of disease  $d_j$ , particularly the medical terminologies used in diagnosing disease  $d_j$  that are possible to detect from user-generated textual content, formally denoted as  $O_{j-f} \in \{symptom, life\ event, treatment\}$ . The purpose of the ontology is to facilitate the detection of symptoms, major life events, and treatments from user-generated text content. Based on the extensive literature review (APA 2022, Beck and Alford 2014, Martin et al. 2006, Rush et al. 2003), a list of concepts,  $o_{j_j}$ , related to  $d_j$  diagnosis (e.g., dejected mood, self-blame, fatal illness, psychotherapy, etc.) is compiled. Next, we organize the terminologies  $o_{j_j}$  into three classes for each disease  $d_j$ : symptom ( $O_{j\_symptom}$ , a collection of symptoms), life event ( $O_{j\_life\ event}$ , a collection of major life event changes that may cause or exacerbate  $d_j$ ), or treatment ( $O_{j\_treatment}$ , medications and therapies). Meanwhile, we determine the relationships between terminologies  $o_{j_j}$  and classes  $O_{j-k}$  as  $o_{j_j} : relation\ O_{j-k}$  (e.g., for “depression” and one of its symptoms “dejected mood”,  $o_{depression\_dejected\ mood} : is\ a\ O_{depression\_symptoms}$ ).

Adhering to the three design principles, we formulate our new rule-based prompting function as follow:

$$f_{prompt\_rule}(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} T_{d_j}(\bar{x}) = [T_{d_j}^{symptom}(\bar{x}); T_{d_j}^{life\_event}(\bar{x}); T_{d_j}^{treatment}(\bar{x})], \\ V_{d_j}[mask]_{symptom} = \{o_j\}, o_j \in O_{j\_symptom}, \text{ when } p(y_{d_j}^{symptom} = 1|\bar{x}), \\ V_{d_j}[mask]_{life\_event} = \{o_j\}, o_j \in O_{j\_life\_event}, \text{ when } p(y_{d_j}^{life\_event} = 1|\bar{x}), \\ V_{d_j}[mask]_{treatment} = \{o_j\}, o_j \in O_{j\_treatment}, \text{ when } p(y_{d_j}^{treatment} = 1|\bar{x}). \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where  $T^f(\bar{x}) = "\bar{x} : relation [mask]_f \text{ of } \{d_j\} f"$ ,  $V_{d_j}[mask]_f = \{o_j\}$  denotes all the concept in the ontology  $O_j$  that belongs to class  $f$ , and  $f \in \{symptom, life\_event, treatment\}$ .

Existing medical knowledge, represented as ontology  $O_j$ , is injected into  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\bar{x})$  in two ways, and aids  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\bar{x})$  to instruct the  $LM_\phi$  to better accomplish the user-level mental disorder detection task: (1) the relation (*: relation*) between concept  $o_j$  and concept class  $O_{j_k}$  is injected in to the prompt template  $T_{d_j}(\bar{x})$ , therefore directly instruct the  $LM_\phi$  the learning objective (i.e., filling the *[mask]*), connecting text  $\bar{x}$ , disease  $d_j$ , and three aspects of disease  $f$ ; (2) the concepts  $o_j$  of ontology  $O_j$  is injected into the verbalizer  $V_{d_j}$ , which projects the original prediction goals (i.e.,  $\bar{x}$  is a "symptom," "life event," or "treatment" of a mental disorder  $d_j$ ) to a set of label words (i.e.,  $o_j$ ). As the prediction goal is a binary classification problem, the verbalizer words for negative examples are designed using manual verbalization methods, incorporating the most frequent words with the highest sentiment tendency.

Take "depression" as an example,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{depression}(\bar{x}) &= "\bar{x} \text{ is a } [mask]_{depression} \text{ of depression symptom; } \bar{x} \text{ is a } [mask]_{life\_event} \text{ of depression life event; } \bar{x} \text{ is a } [mask]_{treatment} \text{ of depression treatment.}" \\ V_{depression}[mask]_{symptom} &= \{anxiety, dejected mood, \dots\}, \\ V_{depression}[mask]_{life\_event} &= \{divorce, domestic violence, \dots\}, \\ V_{depression}[mask]_{treatment} &= \{supportive psychotherapy, abilify, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Essentially,  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\bar{x})$  instructs the  $LM_\phi$  to evaluate whether  $\bar{x}$  discloses disease  $d_j$ 's symptoms, life events, or treatments. This task is much simpler compared to the original user-level mental detection task and, therefore, aids the  $LM_\phi$  in performance improvement. For instance, consider the case where  $\bar{x} = "I \text{ feel so lost after my divorce ....}"$ . The  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\bar{x})$  directs the  $LM_\phi$  to discern whether  $\bar{x}$  represents a symptom, a major life event, or a treatment associated with depression. The feedback from the  $LM_\phi$  yields probabilities:  $p(y_{depression}^{symptom} | \bar{x}) = 0.5996$ ,  $p(y_{depression}^{life\_event} | \bar{x}) = 0.8789$ , and

$$p(y_{depression}^{treatment} | \bar{x}) = 0.0001.$$

### 3.2.3. Prompt Ensembling of Multi-prompt Engineering for Mental Disorder Detection

The prompt engineering methods,  $f_{prompt\_prefix}(\cdot)$  and  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\cdot)$ , focused on constructing a single prompt for different motivations for the mental disorder detection task using user-generated textual content. We now employ the prompt ensemble method to generate our multi-prompt function,  $f_{prompt}(\cdot)$ , for two reasons: (1) both  $f_{prompt\_prefix}(\cdot)$  and  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\cdot)$  are crucial in the context of mental disorder detection, and we need to combine them to accomplish the task together, (2) a significant body of research has demonstrated that the use of multiple prompts can further improve the efficacy of prompting methods (Liu et al. 2021).

While there are several methods for creating a multi-prompt function, we have opted for the prompt ensemble method, which involves utilizing multiple prompts for a given input during the inference phase to make predictions. In our experimental design,  $f_{prompt\_prefix}(\cdot)$  belongs to continuous prompts, while  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\cdot)$  belongs to discrete prompts. The prompt ensemble method can handle the composition of both discrete and continuous prompts and it serves three purposes: (1) leveraging the complementary advantages of both  $f_{prompt\_prefix}(\cdot)$  and  $f_{prompt\_rule}(\cdot)$ , (2) addressing the challenges of prompt engineering by eliminating the need to select a single best-performing prompt, and (3) stabilizing performance on downstream tasks (Liu et al. 2021). Formally,

$$f_{prompt}(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} T_{d_j}(\bar{x}) = [v \oplus T_{d_j}^{symptom}(\bar{x}); T_{d_j}^{life\_event}(\bar{x}); T_{d_j}^{treatment}(\bar{x})] \\ V_{d_j} = \{V_{d_j}[mask]_{symptom}, V_{d_j}[mask]_{life\_event}, V_{d_j}[mask]_{treatment}\} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Note: if the  $\bar{x} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{|\bar{x}|}\}$  originates from the same  $x = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{|x|}\}$ , these  $\bar{x}$  share the same prefix vector  $v$ .

The input to the  $LM_\phi$  is the numerical vector representation of  $T_{d_j}(\bar{x})$  which depends on the tokenizing method of  $LM_\phi$ , namely,  $[v \oplus LM\_tokenizing(T_{d_j}^{symptom}(\bar{x}); T_{d_j}^{life\_event}(\bar{x}); T_{d_j}^{treatment}(\bar{x}))]$ . The expected feedback from the model is

$$z_i = \begin{cases} P_\theta[:k], & \text{if } i \leq k, \\ [mask]_i, z_{<i}, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The information within the  $[mask]$  is contingent upon two key factors: (1)  $P_\theta$ , serving as the prefix

context, where all subsequent feedback hinges on the activations from the preceding feedback; (2) the template  $T_{d_j}(\bar{x})$ , which provides direct instructions and context to the  $LM_\phi$ ; constraint how  $LM_\phi$  fills in the content of  $[mask]$  in  $T_{d_j}(\bar{x})$ .

The learning goal of our multi-prompt learning method is:

$$p(y_{d_j}|x) = \frac{1}{\lambda m} \sum_{f=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^m p\left([mask]_f = LM_\phi(y_{d_j}) | T_{d_j}(\bar{x})\right) \quad (14)$$

where  $r$  is the number of masked positions in  $f_{prompt}(\bar{x})$  (in our context  $r = 3$ ),  $[mask]_f = LM_\phi(y_{d_j})$  is to map the class  $y_{d_j}$  to the set of label words  $V_{d_j}[mask]_f$ , and  $m$  is the number of sliding windows  $\bar{x}$  in  $x$ .

The normalization term  $\frac{1}{\lambda m}$  is introduced in Formula (14) for two reasons: (1)  $p(\cdot)$  represents probability feedback from  $LM_\phi$ , and the sum of multiple probabilities could exceed 1. The upper limit of

$\sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^m p(\cdot)$  is  $m + r$  (if each  $p(\cdot)$  returns a value of 1). Since  $r$  is a very small number in our setting, we

simplify the upper limit to  $m$ ; the lower limit of this summation of multiple probabilities is 0 (if each  $p(\cdot)$

returns a value of 0). Consequently, if we normalize  $\sum_{i=1}^m p(\cdot)$  to the range  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m p(\cdot) - lower\_limit\right) / (upper\_limit - lower\_limit)(1 - 0) + 0 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m p(\cdot). \quad (2)$$

Additionally, since we employ a sliding window  $\bar{x}$  to break down  $x$  and thereby simplify the mental disorder detection task, a possibility arises: multiple sliding window  $\bar{x}$  instances may be describing the same symptoms, life events, or treatment for a disease  $d_j$ . Therefore, we also use  $\frac{1}{m}$  as a penalization factor. Overall, we incorporate the normalization term  $\frac{1}{\lambda m}$  into our learning goal, where  $\lambda$  is a hyperparameter.

## 4. Evaluation

### 4.1. Experimental Environment and Language Models Selection

All prompt engineering methods rely on pre-trained LLMs to accomplish downstream tasks. Therefore, the characteristics of pre-trained LLMs, including their main training objective, type of text noising, auxiliary

training objective, attention mask, and typical architecture, significantly influence the design of prompt methods and performance of downstream tasks in the pre-train and prompt engineering learning paradigm in the NLP domain (Liu et al. 2021). Most mainstream LLMs adopt the Transformer architecture, which can be classified into three types based on their characteristics (see Table 3): decoder models, encoder models, and encoder-decoder models.

Table 3. Summary of Mainstream Transformer-based LLM

Category	Training	Examples
Decoder models ( <i>Auto-regressive models</i> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use only the decoder of a Transformer model.</li> <li>At each stage, for a given word the attention layers can only access the words positioned before it in the sentence.</li> <li>Pretraining: predicting the next word in the sentence.</li> </ul>	CTRL, GPT, GPT-2, Transformer XL
Encoder models ( <i>auto-encoding models</i> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use only the encoder of a Transformer model.</li> <li>At each stage, the attention layers can access all the words in the initial sentence (i.e., bi-directional attention).</li> <li>Pretraining: corrupting a given sentence (e.g., masking random words) and tasking the model with finding or reconstructing the initial sentence.</li> </ul>	ALBERT, BERT, DistilBERT, ELECTRA, RoBERTa
Encoder-decoder models ( <i>sequence-to-sequence models</i> )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use both parts of the Transformer architecture.</li> <li>At each stage, the encoder attention layers can access all the words in the initial sentence, whereas the decoder attention layers can only access the words positioned before a given word in the input.</li> <li>Pretraining: using the objectives of encoder or decoder models, or replacing random words and predicting the masked words.</li> </ul>	BART, Marian, T5

In this study, the primary emphasis does not lie in LLMs and their associated characteristics. Given the specific nature of our experimental environment<sup>2</sup>, prompt design (prefix tuning and rule-based prompt), prediction task (mental disorder detection), and research context (chronic disease predictive analytics), we select two LLMs as the base models for our prompt engineering approach for experimental validations: GPT-2 (decoder model) and T5-base (encoder-decoder model). The choice of LLMs is based on the following reasons. (1) We exclude encoder models (e.g., BERT) because our prompt design incorporates prefix-tuning to achieve personalized prompts. The implementation of prefix tuning requires LLMs to allow the injection of past\_key\_values of HuggingFace LLMs (caching and reusing the intermediate hidden states from the previous steps) into the model. Encoder models on HuggingFace do not permit such operations. (2) Size of LLMs. T5-base and GPT-2 have similar levels of parameter sizes (220 million and 345 million, respectively). Therefore, the performance differences are not significantly attributed to variations in the number of LLM parameters. Additionally, we refrain from choosing larger models for the

<sup>2</sup> Pre-trained LLMs from Hugging Face (<https://huggingface.co/>), OpenPrompt for prompt implementation (<https://github.com/thunlp/OpenPrompt>), PyTorch 2.0.1, GPU: GeForce RTX 4090.

following reasons: 1) Larger LLMs necessitate high performance computers with high-spec GPUs that are not easily accessible, and handling larger models would significantly prolong the experimental time; 2) To ensure the applicability of our prompt engineering approach, we do not want our model to only achieve satisfactory performance on expensive large models, thereby burdening users. 3) Previous studies indicate that, in general, when having similar training objectives, text noises, attention masks, and architectures, larger LLMs (i.e., with more parameters) tend to exhibit better performance in downstream tasks using them (Raffel et al. 2023). To explore this, we conduct a pre-experiment comparing T5-small (60 million parameters) with T5-base (220 million parameters). The prediction performance (i.e., F1 score) on the depression detection task is 0.965 for T5-small and 0.975 for T5-base, aligning with this observed trend. In other words, our method, employing larger LLMs, may demonstrate similar or better overall performance. 4) We exclude the use of non-open source LLMs (e.g., GPT-4) and do not employ LLM APIs. This decision stems from the fact that our prediction task and research context in the healthcare domain involve user-generated data or patient information. Utilizing such models could pose potential privacy issues.

By examining our prompt engineering method powered by two different types of widely used LLMs, we aim to achieve two key objectives: (1) to validate the generalizability of our prompt engineering approach, and (2) to examine how different language models—characterized by distinct model structures and training objectives—can influence the overall detection performance.

## **4.2. Datasets**

We select four mental disorders as our testbeds: depression, anorexia, pathological gambling, and self-harm, as these conditions inflict significant harm on individuals and pose a considerable burden on society. Early detection and intervention are beneficial for all stakeholders. All four datasets come from the eRisk database (Losada et al. 2018, Parapar et al. 2021). For page limit considerations, we select the depression dataset as the primary evaluation dataset due to its prevalence and broad societal impact. We perform comparisons with benchmarks, few-shot learning analysis, and early prediction analysis. We further show our method’s generalizability in the other three datasets, including prediction performance evaluation on both LLMs and ablation studies.

The depression detection contains 1,076,582 archival Reddit posts (24,941,458 words) from 1,707

labeled subjects (214 depression patients and 1,493 non-depression users). The anorexia detection dataset has 824,261 archival Reddit posts (18,364,490 words) from 1,287 labeled subjects (134 anorexia patients and 1,153 non-anorexia users). The pathological gambling dataset contains 2,157,303 archival Reddit posts (46,196,890 words) from 4,427 labeled subjects (245 pathological gambling patients and 4,182 non-pathological gambling users). The self-harm dataset has 170,433 archival Reddit posts (3,245,701 words) from 340 labeled subjects (41 self-harm patients and 299 non-self-harm users). The summary statistics of the four datasets are shown in Table 4. The following evaluations are the average performance of 10 experiment runs. We also report the standard deviation of these experiments to show our results’ statistical significance. We use 60% of the data for training, 20% for validation, and 20% for testing.

Table 4. Datasets Summary

Dataset		Num. of posts	Num. of words	Avg. num. of posts per subject	Avg. num. of days from first to last post	Num. of subjects
Depression	<i>P</i>	90,222	2,480,216	421	676	214
	<i>N</i>	986,360	22,461,242	660	664	1,493
Anorexia	<i>P</i>	42,493	1,583,227	317	679	134
	<i>N</i>	781,768	16,781,263	678	848	1,153
Pathological Gambling	<i>P</i>	69,301	2,119,872	282	545	245
	<i>N</i>	2,088,002	44,077,018	499	663	4,182
Self-harm	<i>P</i>	6,927	171,789	169	495	41
	<i>N</i>	163,506	3,073,912	546	500	299

Note: P = positive examples; N = Negative examples.

The common characteristics of these four datasets are: (1) The task of detecting mental disorders using user-generated content is a user-level task involving numerous unstructured text posts and long lists of words, which is challenging to machine learning models. (2) Within these user-generated text contents, only a minimal amount of information pertains to users self-reporting factors related to mental disorders. A substantial amount of other information is unrelated to mental disorders, making it noisy in our research context. (3) The labels in these four datasets are imbalanced. This imbalance was intentionally introduced by the original authors to reflect the true distribution of mental disorders in the general population, thereby reducing sampling bias. However, this imbalance also presents challenges for machine learning predictions.

### 4.3. Prediction Evaluations: Depression Detection as a Case Study

We first evaluate our proposed prompt engineering method on the depression detection task for two reasons: (1) depression stands out as a significant contributor to the global disease burden, affecting an estimated 4% of the world’s population (WHO 2022); (2) depression is one of the mental disorders that has

been intensively studied by other researchers using user-generated text content. Therefore, we first focus on depression detection and compare our methods with other state-of-the-art methods (Benton et al. 2017, Chau et al. 2020, Choudhury et al. 2013, Coppersmith et al. 2014, Khan et al. 2021, Lin et al. 2020, Malviya et al. 2021, Preoțiuc-Pietro et al. 2015, Reece et al. 2017). Table 5 reports the results.

Table 5. Comparison with State-of-the-art Depression Detection Studies

Model		AUC	F1	Precision	Recall
Traditional Machine Learning with Feature Engineering	Choudhury et al. (2013)	0.569 ± 0.001	0.588 ± 0.002	0.716 ± 0.001	0.569 ± 0.003
	Coppersmith et al. (2014)	0.685 ± 0.002	0.705 ± 0.001	0.735 ± 0.003	0.685 ± 0.002
	Preoțiuc-Pietro et al. (2015)	0.723 ± 0.003	0.760 ± 0.002	0.820 ± 0.001	0.720 ± 0.001
	Benton et al. (2017)	0.716 ± 0.029	0.722 ± 0.026	0.730 ± 0.022	0.716 ± 0.029
	Reece et al. (2017)	0.729 ± 0.012	0.717 ± 0.011	0.708 ± 0.013	0.729 ± 0.011
	Chau et al. (2020)	0.623 ± 0.006	0.573 ± 0.005	0.570 ± 0.002	0.622 ± 0.005
Deep Learning with Representation Learning	CNN-based (Lin et al. 2020)	0.710 ± 0.005	0.711 ± 0.004	0.728 ± 0.018	0.710 ± 0.005
	LSTM-based (Khan et al. 2021)	0.765 ± 0.004	0.756 ± 0.004	0.751 ± 0.008	0.765 ± 0.004
	Transformer-based (Malviya et al. 2021)	0.751 ± 0.002	0.734 ± 0.005	0.724 ± 0.010	0.751 ± 0.002
<b>Ours</b>	Prompt engineering + GPT-2	<b>0.966 ± 0.006</b>	<b>0.978 ± 0.003</b>	<b>0.990 ± 0.005</b>	<b>0.966 ± 0.005</b>
	Prompt engineering + T5	0.961 ± 0.011	0.975 ± 0.006	0.989 ± 0.005	0.960 ± 0.007

Existing depression detection methods that utilize user-generated content can be classified into two groups: traditional machine learning methods with feature engineering and deep learning with representation learning (i.e., represent text content using word embeddings). For both groups of baseline models, our proposed method shows significant performance improvement. Compared with the best-performing model in traditional machine learning (Preoțiuc-Pietro et al. 2015), our method improves the F1 score by 28.68% (0.218). Compared with the best-performing deep learning model (Khan et al. 2021), our method improves the F1 score by 29.36% (0.222). Our method shows superior performance to these methods due to the following reasons: (1) The depression detection task is at the user level instead of the post level. User-level processing is technically more complex, which involves analyzing a large number of unstructured text data of the focal user. However, extracting valid information from these traces is a challenge for traditional machine learning models that rely on feature engineering. On the other hand, conventional deep learning models may struggle to memorize text sequences (e.g., LSTM) that are exceptionally lengthy and exhibit a wide range of lengths (e.g., Transformers), thus leading to inferior performance to our method. (2) Our dataset is highly imbalanced, with an imbalance ratio of 1:6. This data is representative of real-world statistics, where the prevalence of adults with a major depressive episode was 17% among individuals aged 18-25, a demographic that includes a significant number of users on the

Internet. It is very challenging for these baseline models to excel in this context.

We acknowledge that machine learning and deep learning methods are trained using the depression dataset (Table 4), and their model parameters are much fewer than LLMs (i.e., GPT-2 and T-5). LLMs are pre-trained using a significantly larger text corpus. The significant performance gain is partially due to the immense capability of the LLMs themselves. Therefore, it is expected that our LLMs and prompt-based method outperforms machine learning and deep learning methods. We would like to clarify that the inherent ability of LLMs is not our contribution. Our contribution focuses on prompt engineering design, which can further enhance the capability of LLMs. We will demonstrate the effectiveness of our contribution (multi-prompt engineering) in the ablation studies (Section 4.4).

The key feature of prompt engineering is its reliance on LLMs pre-trained on extensive textual data, thereby acquiring general-purpose language understanding; therefore, with the assistance of well-designed prompts, it is possible to achieve few-shot learning with minimal labeled data. We now assess the efficacy of our proposed prompt engineering method in accomplishing few-shot learning for the depression detection task. We substantially decreased the number of training examples from the original training set of 1,000 subjects (i.e.,  $1,707 \times 60\%$ ) to only 100, 10, and 2 subjects. Figure 3 shows the results.




Figure 3. Few-shot Learning Performance of the Proposed Method

The results indicate that our prompt engineering approach can achieve satisfactory performance even with a training size of just 2 subjects. In comparison to the best-performing benchmarks, our method demonstrates significant improvement in the F1 scores, elevating it from 0.756 (best-performing deep learning) to 0.760 (best-performing traditional machine learning) to a range of 0.874 (T5) to 0.936 (GPT-2). Moreover, as the training size increases, there is a corresponding enhancement in performance.

It is noteworthy that other models fail to converge or achieve satisfactory performance when the number of training examples is within the range of 2, 10, or 100. The prompt engineering method we propose carries substantial implications for few-shot learning in the context of mental disorder detection through the analysis of user-generated content. (1) It reduces the demand for a large amount of labeled training data, significantly alleviating the burden on researchers and platforms and paving the way for large-scale, continuous monitoring of mental disorders. (2) For emerging diseases with rare cases and scarce examples, our proposed method holds promise in quickly capturing the necessary predictive information through personalized prompts and medical knowledge injection with minimal training data, thus achieving satisfactory prediction outcomes. It provides unique opportunities for flexible and rapid monitoring of the emergence, trends, and features of emerging diseases in the population through user-generated content, which other methods are unable to achieve.




Figure 4. Early Prediction Analyses of the Proposed Method

In addition to few-shot learning, early identification is essential when detecting mental disorders to provide information to platforms and early warning to potential patients as soon as possible. It facilitates potential patients in receiving timely reminders and taking proactive treatments, thereby preventing the

exacerbation of mental disorders and the resulting disease burden. We use a one-month (4 weeks) duration of data as input. By taking the onset of depression as the anchor point, we incorporate data from various time frames before the onset of depression, specifically  $x$  weeks before the onset of depression, where  $x = \{2, 4, 8, 24\}$ . A significant challenge in this early prediction lies in the fact that we only use one-month duration of data as input, the average number of posts per user significantly decreases, and the information pertaining to depression becomes progressively sparse (it may even lack relevant information associated with depression). The experiment results (see Figure 4) indicate that even in such a challenging predictive task, our proposed prompt engineering method, when utilizing data from 2-6 weeks before the onset of depression and 24-28 weeks before depression onset, our F1 score consistently ranges from 0.727 to 0.738. This level of performance stands in comparison to traditional machine learning and deep learning models that leverage the entirety of available data for prediction.




Figure 5. Time Window of Input Posts

We also examine how far back in time our framework’s input needs to be traced regarding the prediction. For real-world applications, utilizing a user’s entire historical data for prediction can result in lengthy sequences and substantial data, posing data storage and collection challenges. We utilize data from  $x$  weeks before the prediction until the prediction time as input, where  $x = \{2, 4, 8, 24\}$ . The challenge in this prediction task also lies in that, as the input period shortens, the number of posts decreases, and signals related to depression also weaken. The experimental results (Figure 5) show that the performance of our method is not significantly affected by the shortened time window. It achieves F1 scores over 0.8 using data

12-24 weeks (i.e., 3-6 months) before the prediction ( $F1 = 0.826$  with T5) and it shows an F1 score close to 0.8 even when using only two weeks’ data ( $F1 = 0.793$  with T5). These results indicate that our method can yield a satisfactory prediction performance when there is only data available from a specific period before the prediction rather than entire historical data, enhancing the applicability of our method.

#### 4.4. Generalizability Tests and Ablation Studies

To assess the generalizability of our prompt engineering model to various mental disorders, we conducted additional tests on its performance concerning other mental disorders, including anorexia, pathological gambling, and self-harm (section 4.2 and Table 4). Our prompt engineering method demonstrates excellent performance across all four mental disorders, as indicated by the experimental results (Table 6). This outstanding performance is evident in terms of AUC, F1, precision, and recall. The F1 scores range from 0.919 to 0.978, showcasing the robust generalizability of our approach.

Table 6. Generalizability Performance Across Four Mental Disorders

LLM	Mental disorder	AUC	F1	Precision	Recall
GPT-2	Depression	$0.966 \pm 0.006$	$0.978 \pm 0.003$	$0.990 \pm 0.005$	$0.966 \pm 0.005$
	Anorexia	$0.958 \pm 0.006$	$0.957 \pm 0.007$	$0.968 \pm 0.010$	$0.946 \pm 0.010$
	Pathological Gambling	$0.970 \pm 0.007$	$0.964 \pm 0.006$	$0.979 \pm 0.014$	$0.951 \pm 0.017$
	Self-harm	$0.925 \pm 0.009$	$0.919 \pm 0.010$	$0.978 \pm 0.014$	$0.867 \pm 0.025$
T5	Depression	$0.961 \pm 0.011$	$0.975 \pm 0.006$	$0.989 \pm 0.005$	$0.960 \pm 0.007$
	Anorexia	$0.951 \pm 0.007$	$0.950 \pm 0.007$	$0.940 \pm 0.020$	$0.961 \pm 0.008$
	Pathological Gambling	$0.985 \pm 0.004$	$0.978 \pm 0.007$	$0.975 \pm 0.016$	$0.982 \pm 0.005$
	Self-harm	$0.933 \pm 0.005$	$0.929 \pm 0.005$	$0.969 \pm 0.009$	$0.891 \pm 0.016$

The results have significant implications for the prediction and management of mental disorders, as platforms can leverage the same method with the incorporation of appropriate medical knowledge, achieving effective mental disorder detection performance without the necessity for specific model architecture engineering for different diseases. Our proposed method substantially alleviates the pressure on researchers and platforms related to architecture engineering, facilitating the genuine utilization of user-generated content for large-scale prediction and monitoring of various mental disorders. Consequently, the mental disorder detection results can provide interventions and valuable information to potential patients, contributing to the reduction of the social burden associated with mental disorders. Simultaneously, through a cautious integration of pertinent medical knowledge concerning other chronic diseases (i.e., characteristics of chronic diseases detectable in user-generated content), our method has the potential to generalize to other chronic diseases. Using type 2 diabetes as an example, previous research has

demonstrated that for individuals with type 2 diabetes, their dietary habits, exercise routines, sleep patterns, body images, as well as certain demographic information are all detectable on social media. Moreover, these aspects can be applied in predicting and managing type 2 diabetes (Bell et al. 2018). Our approach has the potential to effectively integrate existing medical knowledge about type 2 diabetes with the powerful capabilities of LLMs to predict type 2 diabetes using user-generated content.




Figure 6. Ablation Studies

Since our prompt engineering approach contains multiple components, we conduct ablation studies to evaluate the effect of each component. We first remove the prefix-tuning component and then remove the

rule-based component for comparison. Figure 6 shows the ablation studies results - performance gains from both the prefix-tuning component and rule-based component are shown. Removing any components hinders the prediction performance, vindicating the effectiveness of both components in our method. Based on our experimental results, we also have other interesting discoveries. In general, GPT-2, when combined with rule-based prompt engineering, leads to more performance gains. Additionally, the combination of T5 and prefix tuning results in more significant performance improvements. The results indicate the effectiveness of rule-based prompt engineering or prefix tuning can be influenced by the architecture of the LLMs and the nature of the pre-training process. GPT-2, being a decoder model, may benefit more from rule-based strategies due to its autoregressive nature. In contrast, T5, with its encoder-decoder architecture, can benefit from task-specific conditioning through prefix tuning. The design of a multiple-prompt method may depend on the specific task and the characteristics of the methods being used.

## **5. Discussion**

AI is a focal point of global interest, captivating the imagination of individuals and organizations alike. Its emergence signifies a myriad of groundbreaking possibilities for the future, carrying with it the potential to bestow profound societal advantages across diverse domains. Among these, the healthcare domain stands out as an exceptionally promising field where AI can make a substantial impact.

In this work, we adapt advanced AI techniques, including large language models and prompt engineering, to explore how AI can contribute to chronic disease management, especially mental disorder detection on user-generated textual content. The significant advantages of our research lie in its pioneering approach to overcoming long-standing barriers in this field: eliminating the need to collect large amounts of labeled training data for each specific disease or to design specialized supervised learning model architectures for each research problem. At the same time, we place a strong emphasis on addressing the technical challenges using user-generated text data in the healthcare domain. This includes developing personalized methods to represent each user's uniqueness, as well as seamlessly integrating and leveraging disease-related medical knowledge to provide context for the task, instruct learning objectives, and operationalize prediction goals for various types of mental disorders.

We evaluate the effectiveness of our research design by employing multiple mental disorders as

research cases. The experimental results reveal several key findings. First, the performance of our approach, which combines prompt engineering with LLMs, significantly outperforms other supervised learning paradigms, including feature engineering and architecture engineering. Second, our method successfully accomplishes few-shot learning for various mental disorders. In other words, we only need to provide the AI model with a small number of examples, and it can effectively identify potential users at risk of mental disorders within user-generated textual content.

From a design science perspective, our contributions are threefold. First, we introduce a novel framework rooted in LLMs and prompt engineering, which enables the few-shot detection of multiple mental disorders through user-generated text content. Notably, it bestows a significant advantage by eliminating the need for an extensive volume of labeled training data or the intricate engineering of customized architectures for each distinct disease or research problem. Second, within our framework, we employ a multi-prompt engineering approach, effectively synergizing various prompt engineering techniques—specifically, prefix tuning and rule-based prompt engineering. This strategy is tailored to tackle the distinctive technical challenges within the healthcare domain, entailing the use of personalized prompts and the integration of existing medical domain knowledge, which significantly elevates the accuracy and efficacy of our methods. Third, as part of our framework, we propose a new rule-based prompt engineering method, which efficiently breaks down complex textual content-based detection problems and seamlessly integrates domain knowledge existing in ontology format (one of the widely adopted formats for domain knowledge). The versatility of this new rule-based prompt engineering method extends to other research problems that require the decomposition of challenging tasks and can maximize the utilization of LLM’s potential to address real-world issues.

In addition to technical contributions, our study has implications for IS such as computational design science, healthcare IS, and business analytics and intelligence. The primary design artifact proposed in this study has the capability to solve a real-world problem, namely, chronic disease management, adopting state-of-the-art methods in NLP and AI. Moreover, it possesses the ability to personalize and automate the chronic disease management process, hence offering the potential to enhance healthcare practice using advanced information technology.

Our research also has significant practical implications. We have demonstrated the application of

prompt engineering and the utilization of LLMs in the realm of managing chronic diseases through user-generated text content. User-generated content platforms and medical professionals can utilize similar methods to incorporate medical knowledge, enabling the large-scale discovery and monitoring of chronic diseases in a low-cost way, which can facilitate early identification and intervention of chronic diseases. With minor adjustments to the applied medical knowledge, our approach can also be expanded to the management of more chronic diseases. Taking diabetes as an example, we can adapt the medical domain knowledge to observe, track, and intervene in users' lifestyles, diets, physical activity, and sleep patterns; we can not only identify chronic diseases but also improve their management and potentially prevent them. Ultimately, this can enhance the well-being of individuals with chronic conditions, control healthcare costs, and ensure a more efficient and accessible healthcare system.

Our work comes with limitations. First, the ethical and privacy considerations. The implementation of AI-based technology in healthcare necessitates a careful consideration of ethical and privacy concerns. It is imperative to ensure the responsible and ethical use of AI in the healthcare domain, safeguarding the privacy and well-being of patients and individuals. Our approach takes into account personalized prompts, which can to some extent address the issue of user privacy. This direction is still worthy of further research. Second, hallucination issues with LLMs can arise (e.g., fake, random, or irresponsible responses from LLMs). In healthcare applications, where accuracy in detection is of utmost importance, the need for careful scrutiny of detection results cannot be overstated. By integrating medical knowledge into our work, we have substantially reduced the occurrence of such issues. Exploring how the introduction of domain-specific knowledge can effectively curb irresponsible responses from LLMs is a promising avenue for future research. Another future research direction involves the exploration of multimodal large pre-trained models capable of processing text, images, or videos. Our framework can be extended to user-generated multimodal data, encompassing images and videos that capture users' body shapes, food consumption, exercises, living environments, and more. Consequently, this extension enables the identification of chronic diseases associated with more lifestyle factors, which may be implicitly manifested in images and videos. Such insights can be harnessed for the detection and management of chronic diseases.

## 6. Conclusion

Recent advancements in AI offer new hope for technological innovations in various fields. In healthcare, AI holds significant promise. We explore whether state-of-the-art AI technologies can make a difference in chronic disease management within the context of user-generated content. Our study demonstrates the immense potential and promise of AI in the healthcare domain: it not only enhances chronic disease detection accuracy but also reduces the need for a large number of labels in machine learning and the necessity for architecture engineering for each specific research question.

AI's capacity to perform tasks effectively holds great potential for enhancing healthcare management. AI offers the advantages of (1) tailoring solutions to specific healthcare needs, enabling customization, and (2) automating a multitude of tasks, alleviating the workload on healthcare professionals and enhancing overall efficiency. The integration of AI into healthcare management has the potential to significantly enhance the precision and efficiency of administrative processes. By optimizing chronic disease management and streamlining healthcare procedures, AI can facilitate interventions and more effective treatments for patients. Through increased efficiency and early disease recognition, AI can aid healthcare institutions in cost savings—an especially noteworthy benefit, given the resource-intensive nature of the healthcare sector, with any funds saved being available for reinvestment in the enhancement of patient care.

## Reference

- Abbasi A, Li J, Adjeroh D, Abate M, Zheng W (2019) Don't Mention It? Analyzing User-Generated Content Signals for Early Adverse Event Warnings. *Inf. Syst. Res.* 30(3):1007–1028.
- Abbasi A, Sarker S, Chiang R (2016) Big Data Research in Information Systems: Toward an Inclusive Research Agenda. *J. Assoc. Inf. Syst.* 17(2).
- APA (2022) *DSM-5-TR(tm) Classification* (American Psychiatric Association Publishing).
- Bardhan I, Chen H, Karahanna E (2020) Connecting Systems, Data, and People: A Multidisciplinary Research Roadmap for Chronic Disease Management. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 44(1):185–200.
- Bardhan I, Oh J ha (Cath), Zheng Z (Eric), Kirksey K (2014) Predictive Analytics for Readmission of Patients with Congestive Heart Failure. *Inf. Syst. Res.* 26(1):19–39.
- Beck MDAT, Alford PDBA (2014) *Depression: Causes and treatment* (University of Pennsylvania Press).
- Bell D, Laparra E, Kousik A, Ishihara T, Surdeanu M, Kobourov S (2018) Detecting Diabetes Risk from Social Media Activity. *Proc. Ninth Int. Workshop Health Text Min. Inf. Anal.* (Association for Computational Linguistics, Brussels, Belgium), 1–11.
- Ben-Assuli O, Padman R (2020) Trajectories of Repeated Readmissions of Chronic Disease Patients: Risk Stratification, Profiling, and Prediction. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 44(1):201–226.
- Benbya H, Nan N, Tanriverdi H, Yoo Y (2020) Complexity and Information Systems Research in the Emerging Digital World. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 44(1):1–17.
- Berente N, Gu B, Recker J, Santhanam R (2021) Special Issue Editor's Comments: Managing Artificial Intelligence. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 45(3):1433–1450.
- CDC (2022) About Chronic Diseases. Retrieved (December 19, 2023), <https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/about/index.htm>.

- Chau M, Li T, Wong P, Xu J, Yip P, Chen H (2020) Finding People with Emotional Distress in Online Social Media: A Design Combining Machine Learning and Rule-Based Classification. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 44(2):933–955.
- Choudhury MD, Gamon M, Counts S, Horvitz E (2013) Predicting Depression via Social Media. *Seventh Int. AAAI Conf. Weblogs Soc. Media.*
- Coppersmith G, Dredze M, Harman C, Hollingshead K (2015) From ADHD to SAD: Analyzing the Language of Mental Health on Twitter through Self-Reported Diagnoses. *Proc. 2nd Workshop Comput. Linguist. Clin. Psychol. Linguist. Signal Clin. Real.* (Association for Computational Linguistics, Denver, Colorado), 1–10.
- Devlin J, Chang MW, Lee K, Toutanova K (2018) BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. *ArXiv181004805 Cs.*
- EPIC (2022) Mental illness is a chronic illness. *EPIC Assist.* Retrieved (December 19, 2023), <https://epicassist.org/mental-illness-is-chronic/>.
- Hamet P, Tremblay J (2017) Artificial intelligence in medicine. *Metabolism* 69:S36–S40.
- Han X, Zhao W, Ding N, Liu Z, Sun M (2021) PTR: Prompt Tuning with Rules for Text Classification. (September 15) <http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.11259>.
- Khan MR, Rizvi SZ, Yasin A, Ali M (2021) Depression Analysis of Social Media Activists Using the Gated Architecture Bi-LSTM. *2021 Int. Conf. Cyber Warf. Secur. ICCWS.* 76–81.
- Li XL, Liang P (2021) Prefix-Tuning: Optimizing Continuous Prompts for Generation. (January 1) <http://arxiv.org/abs/2101.00190>.
- Lin YK, Chen H, Brown RA, Li SH, Yang HJ (2017) Healthcare Predictive Analytics for Risk Profiling in Chronic Care: A Bayesian Multitask Learning Approach. *MIS Q.*
- Liu P, Yuan W, Fu J, Jiang Z, Hayashi H, Neubig G (2021) Pre-train, Prompt, and Predict: A Systematic Survey of Prompting Methods in Natural Language Processing. (July 28) <http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.13586>.
- Liu Y, Ott M, Goyal N, Du J, Joshi M, Chen D, Levy O, Lewis M, Zettlemoyer L, Stoyanov V (2019) RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach. (July 26) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11692>.
- Losada DE, Crestani F, Parapar J (2018) Overview of eRisk: Early Risk Prediction on the Internet. Bellot P, Trabelsi C, Mothe J, Murtagh F, Nie JY, Soulier L, SanJuan E, Cappellato L, Ferro N, eds. *Exp. IR Meets Multilinguality Multimodality Interact.* Lecture Notes in Computer Science. (Springer International Publishing, Cham), 343–361.
- Martin A, Rief W, Klaiberg A, Braehler E (2006) Validity of the Brief Patient Health Questionnaire Mood Scale (PHQ-9) in the general population. *Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry* 28(1):71–77.
- Nadeem M (2016) Identifying Depression on Twitter. *ArXiv160707384 Cs Stat.*
- Parapar J, Martin-Rodilla P, Losada DE, Crestani F (2021) eRisk 2021: Pathological Gambling, Self-harm and Depression Challenges. Hiemstra D, Moens MF, Mothe J, Perego R, Potthast M, Sebastiani F, eds. *Adv. Inf. Retr. Lecture Notes in Computer Science.* (Springer International Publishing, Cham), 650–656.
- Preoțiu-Pietro D, Eichstaedt J, Park G, Sap M, Smith L, Tobolsky V, Schwartz HA, Ungar L (2015) The role of personality, age, and gender in tweeting about mental illness. *Proc. 2nd Workshop Comput. Linguist. Clin. Psychol. Linguist. Signal Clin. Real.* (Association for Computational Linguistics, Denver, Colorado), 21–30.
- Raffel C, Shazeer N, Roberts A, Lee K, Narang S, Matena M, Zhou Y, Li W, Liu PJ (2023) Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer. (September 19) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.10683>.
- Ram S, Goes P (2021) Focusing on Programmatic High Impact Information Systems Research, Not Theory, to Address Grand Challenges. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 45(1):479–483.
- Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Ibrahim HM, Carmody TJ, Arnow B, Klein DN, Markowitz JC, et al. (2003) The 16-Item quick inventory of depressive symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 54(5):573–583.
- Sanh V, Debut L, Chaumond J, Wolf T (2020) DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. (February 29) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.01108>.
- Yang Z, Dai Z, Yang Y, Carbonell J, Salakhutdinov RR, Le QV (2019) XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding. *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.* (Curran Associates, Inc.).
- Zhang W, Ram S (2020) A Comprehensive Analysis of Triggers and Risk Factors for Asthma Based on Machine Learning and Large Heterogeneous Data Sources. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 44(1):305–349.
- Zhang W, Xie J, Zhang Z, Liu X (2023) Depression Detection Using Digital Traces on Social Media: A Knowledge-aware Deep Learning Approach. (August 1) <http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.05389>.
- Zhu H, Samtani S, Brown R, Chen H (2021) A Deep Learning Approach for Recognizing Activity of Daily Living (ADL) for Senior Care: Exploiting Interaction Dependency and Temporal Patterns. *Manag. Inf. Syst. Q.* 45(2):859–896.