

Input

In the following, you will see an argument and 2 premises, where Premise 1 **provides a sufficient assumption to** the Argument. Please modify the Statements in the Argument until Premise 2 **provides a sufficient assumption to** the Argument instead, while Premise 1 fails to **provides a sufficient assumption to** the Argument. Note that no additional statement should be added.

Argument: Statement1: After the second world war, the charter of the newly formed united nations established an eleven-member security council and charged it with taking collective action in response to threats to world peace. **Statement2:** The charter further provided that the five nations that were then the major powers would permanently have sole authority to cast vetoes. **Statement3:** The reason given for this arrangement was that the burden of maintaining world peace would rest on the world's major powers, and no nation should be required to assume the burden of enforcing a decision it found repugnant.

Premise1: No nation that was not among the major powers at the end of the second world war would become a major power.

Premise2: Decisions reached by a majority of nations in response to threats to world peace would be biased in favor of one or more major powers.

Please write the modified argument below:

GPT

Argument: Statement1: **Statement3:** The reason given for this arrangement was that the decisions reached by a majority of nations could be biased in favor of one or more major powers, thus ensuring their support in enforcing these decisions.

Human

Argument: Statement1: **Statement3:** The reason given for this arrangement was that the burden of maintaining world peace would rest on the five major powers, and the decision made by security council won't be biased in favor of any major powers.