

Cache-Aided Private Variable-Length Coding with Sequential Encoder

Amirreza Zamani[†], Tobias J. Oechtering[†], Deniz Gündüz[‡], Mikael Skoglund[†]

[†]Division of Information Science and Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology

[‡]Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London

Email: amizam@kth.se, oech@kth.se, d.gunduz@imperial.ac.uk, skoglund@kth.se

Abstract—A private cache-aided compression problem is studied, where a server has access to a database of N files, (Y_1, \dots, Y_N) , each of size F bits and is connected to an encoder that has access to a local buffer as well as a public cache with sizes CF and LF bits, respectively. The encoder is connected through a shared link to K users, each equipped with a local cache of size MF bits. In the placement phase, the server and encoder fill the users' caches without knowing their demands, while the delivery phase takes place after the users send their demands to the server. We assume that each file Y_i is arbitrarily correlated with a private attribute X , which is not accessible by the server. Moreover, an adversary is assumed to have access to the shared link as well as the public cache. The users and the encoder have access to a shared secret key W . The goal is to design the cache contents and the delivered message \mathcal{C} such that the average length of \mathcal{C} is minimized, while satisfying: i. The message \mathcal{C} and the contents of the public cache P do not reveal any information about X , i.e., X and (\mathcal{C}, P) are independent, which corresponds to the perfect privacy constraint; ii. User i is able to decode its demand, Y_{d_i} , by using \mathcal{C} , its local cache Z_i , and the shared key W . Here, the server sends a response \mathcal{C}' to the encoder based on the demands using blocks of length CF bits (due to the limited size of the buffer), and the encoder sequentially encodes the blocks using the shared key, its local buffer and can store the output in the public cache. We propose a variable-length coding scheme that combines privacy-aware compression with coded caching techniques.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider the scenario illustrated in Fig. 1, in which a server has access to a database consisting of N files Y_1, \dots, Y_N , where each file, of size F bits, is sampled from the joint distribution $P_{XY_1 \dots Y_N}$, where X denotes the private latent variable. We assume that the server does not know the realization of the private variable X . The server is connected to an encoder equipped with a local buffer of size CF bits and has access to a public cache of size LF bits as well. The encoder is connected to K users over a shared link, where user i has access to a local cache memory of size MF bits. Furthermore, we assume that the encoder and the users have access to a shared secret key denoted by W , of size T . The system works in two phases: the placement and delivery phases, respectively, [1]. In the placement phase, the server and encoder fill the local caches using the database. Let Z_k denote the content of the local cache memory of user k , $k \in [K] \triangleq \{1, \dots, K\}$ after the placement phase. In the delivery phase, first the users send their demands to the encoder and the server, where $d_k \in [N]$ denotes the demand of user k . The server sends a response, denoted by $\mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{C}'_1, \mathcal{C}'_2, \dots)$, to the encoder using blocks each

of size CF bits to satisfy all the demands. The encoder designs a message \mathcal{C}_i using the i -th block of the server's response, i.e., \mathcal{C}'_i , and the shared key and send it over the shared link to the users. It can also store \mathcal{C}_i in the public cache which is assumed to be not accessible by the users. The public cache enables the encoder to use previous stored messages to design the next message, i.e., the encoder can use $(\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{i-1})$ to design message \mathcal{C}_i .

We assume that an adversary has access to the shared link and the public cache as well, and uses the delivered message $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots)$ and the content of public cache denoted by P to extract information about X . However, the adversary does not have access to the users' local cache contents or the secret key. Since the files in the database are all correlated with the private latent variable X , the coded caching and delivery techniques introduced in [1] do not satisfy the privacy requirement. The goal of the cache-aided private delivery problem is to find a response \mathcal{C} with minimum possible average length that satisfies a certain privacy constraint and the zero-error decodability constraint of users. Furthermore, the contents of the public cache P must satisfy the privacy constraint. Here, we consider the worst case demand combinations $d = (d_1, \dots, d_K)$ to construct \mathcal{C} , and the expectation is taken over the randomness in the database. In this work, we consider a perfect privacy constraint, i.e., we require (\mathcal{C}, P) to be independent of X . Let \hat{Y}_{d_k} denote the decoded message of user k using W , \mathcal{C} , and Z_k . User k should be able to recover Y_{d_k} reliably, i.e., $\mathbb{P}\{\hat{Y}_{d_k} \neq Y_{d_k}\} = 0, \forall k \in [K]$. We remark that, we have a cache-aided variable-length compression problem with a privacy constraint. Hence, to solve this problem we utilize techniques used in privacy mechanisms, data compression, and cache design and coded delivery problems, and combine them to build such a code. In particular, we use data compression techniques employed in [2] and caching design techniques in [1].

All three of the privacy mechanism, compression and caching design problems have received increased attention in recent years [1]–[28]. Specifically, in [1], a cache-aided network consisting of a single server connected to several users through a shared bottleneck error-free link, is considered, and the rate-memory trade-off has been characterized within a constant gap. This bound has been improved for different scenarios in [3], [4]. In particular, the exact rate-memory trade-off for uncoded placement has been characterized in [5]. In [6], a cache-aided coded content delivery




Fig. 1. In this work a server wants to send a response over a shared link to satisfy users' demands, but since the database is correlated with the private data existing schemes are not applicable. In the delivery phase, the server sends the response to an encoder using blocks of size CF bits and the encoder hide the information about X using one-time-pad coding and send the rest of message using an extension of Functional Representation Lemma (FRL).

problem is studied where users have different distortion requirements.

Considering the compression problem with privacy constraints, a notion of perfect secrecy is introduced in [7] by Shannon, where the public and private data are statistically independent. Perfect secrecy, where public data and private data are independent, is also considered in [8], [9]. Equivocation as a measure of information leakage for information theoretic security has been used in [10]–[13]. A rate-distortion approach to information theoretic secrecy is studied in [14]. A related source coding problem with secrecy is studied in [15]. The privacy-utility trade-offs considering equivocation and expected distortion as measures of privacy and utility are studied in both [13] and [15]. In [17], the problem of privacy-utility trade-off considering mutual information both as measures of utility and privacy is studied. It is shown that under the perfect privacy assumption, the optimal privacy mechanism problem can be obtained as the solution of a linear program. In [18], the work [17] is generalized by relaxing the perfect privacy assumption allowing some small bounded leakage. This result is generalized to a non-invertible leakage matrix in [19]. In [2], an approach to partial secrecy that is called *secrecy by design* has been introduced and is applied to two information processing problems: privacy mechanism design and lossless compression. For the privacy design problem, bounds on privacy-utility trade-off are derived by using the Functional Representation Lemma. These results are derived under the perfect secrecy assumption. In [24], the privacy problems considered in [2] are generalized by relaxing the perfect secrecy constraint and allowing some leakages. In [25], the privacy-utility trade-off with two different per-letter privacy constraints is studied. Moreover, in [2], the problems of fixed length and variable length compression have been studied and upper and lower bounds on the average length of encoded message have been derived. These results are derived under the assumption that

the private data is independent of the encoded message. Our problem here is closely related to [1] and [2], where in [2], for the problem of lossless data compression, strong information theoretic guarantees are provided and fundamental limits are characterized when the private data is a deterministic function of the useful data.

In this paper, we generalize the problem considered in [1] by considering correlation between the database and the private latent data, and we use variable-length lossless compression techniques as in [2] to find an alternative solution to cache-aided data delivery problems in the presence of an adversary. The key idea is to use a multi-part code construction, which is based on an extension of the Functional Representation Lemma (FRL) and one-time-pad coding to hide the information about X and reconstruct the demanded files at user side.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let Y_i denote the i -th file in the database, which is of size F bits, i.e., $\mathcal{Y}_i \in \{1, \dots, 2^F\}$ and $|\mathcal{Y}_i| = 2^F$. In this work we assume that $N \geq K$; however, the results can be generalized to other cases as well. Let the discrete random variable (RV) X defined on the finite alphabet \mathcal{X} describe the private latent variable and be arbitrarily correlated with the files in the database $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ where $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{Y}_1| \times \dots \times |\mathcal{Y}_N| = (2^F)^N$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{Y}_N$. We show the joint distribution of the private data and the database by $P_{XY_1 \dots Y_N}$ and marginal distributions of X and Y_i by vectors P_X and P_{Y_i} defined on $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}|}$ and \mathbb{R}^{2^F} . The relation between X and Y is given by the matrix $P_{X|Y_1 \dots Y_N}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}| \times (2^F)^N}$. Let P denotes the content of the public cache. The shared secret key is denoted by the discrete RV W defined on $\{1, \dots, T\}$, and is assumed to be known by the encoder and the users, but not the adversary. Furthermore, we assume that W is uniformly distributed and is independent of X and Y . Similarly to [1], we have K caching functions to be used during the placement phase:

$$\theta_k : [|\mathcal{Y}|] \rightarrow [2^{\lfloor FM \rfloor}], \forall k \in [K], \quad (1)$$

such that

$$Z_k = \theta_k(Y_1, \dots, Y_N), \forall k \in [K]. \quad (2)$$

Let the vector $(Y_{d_1}, \dots, Y_{d_K})$ denote the demands sent by the users at the beginning of the delivery phase, where $(d_1, \dots, d_K) \in [N]^K$. A variable-length prefix-free code with a shared secret key of size T consists of mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{server}) \mathcal{C}' : [|\mathcal{Y}|] \times [N]^K &\rightarrow \{0, 1\}^* \\ (\text{encoder}) \mathcal{C} : \{0, 1\}^{\lfloor CF \rfloor} \times \{0, 1\}^{\lfloor LF \rfloor} \times [T] \times [N]^K &\rightarrow \{0, 1\}^* \\ (\text{decoder}) \mathcal{D}_k : \{0, 1\}^* \times [T] \times [2^{\lfloor MF \rfloor}] \times [N]^K &\rightarrow 2^F, \forall k \in [K]. \end{aligned}$$

The output of the server $\mathcal{C}'(Y, d_1, \dots, d_K)$ is the codeword the server sends to the encoder by using blocks of CF bits to satisfy the demands of users $(Y_{d_1}, \dots, Y_{d_K})$. Let \mathcal{C}'_i be the i -th block of the codeword \mathcal{C}' . Due to the limited size of the local buffer C , The encoder uses a sequentially coding scheme as follows: First, the encoder receives \mathcal{C}'_1 and encode it using the shared key. Let the output be \mathcal{C}_1 . The encoder sends \mathcal{C}_1 over the shared link and also stores it to the public cache. Then, the encoder receives the second block \mathcal{C}'_2 and

encode it using W and \mathcal{C}_1 . The output is denoted by \mathcal{C}_2 and is sent through the shared link and is stored to the public cache. Here, we assume that L is a large quantity. Furthermore, the encoder encodes i -th block \mathcal{C}'_i using W and $(\mathcal{C}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}'_{i-1})$. The encoding scheme continues until the last block of the codeword \mathcal{C}' . Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots)$ denote the delivered message consisting of the messages sent over the shared link \mathcal{C}_i . At the user side, user k employs the decoding function \mathcal{D}_k to recover its demand Y_{d_k} , i.e., $\hat{Y}_{d_k} = \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k, W, \mathcal{C}, d_1, \dots, d_K)$. Since the code is prefix free, no codeword in the image of \mathcal{C} is a prefix of another codeword. The variable-length code $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$ is lossless if for all $k \in [K]$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{C}, W, Z_k, d_1, \dots, d_K) = Y_{d_k}) = 1. \quad (3)$$

In the following, we define perfectly private codes. The code $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$ is *perfectly private* if

$$I(\mathcal{C}; X) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let ξ be the support of \mathcal{C} , where $\xi \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$. For any $c \in \xi$, let $\mathbb{L}(c)$ be the length of the codeword. The lossless code $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$ is $(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)$ -*variable-length* if

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C})) \leq \alpha, \quad \forall w \in [T] \text{ and } \forall d_1, \dots, d_K, \quad (5)$$

and $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$ satisfies (3). Finally, let us define the set $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)$ as follows:

$\mathcal{H}(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K) \triangleq \{(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K) : (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K) \text{ is perfectly-private and } (\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)\text{-variable-length}\}$. The cache-aided private compression design problem can be stated as follows

$$\mathbb{L}(P_{XY_1 \cdot Y_N}, T, C) = \inf_{(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)} \alpha. \quad (6)$$

Remark 1. By letting $M = L = 0$, C large enough, and $N = K = 1$, (6) leads to the privacy-compression rate trade-off studied in [2], where upper and lower bounds have been derived.

Remark 2. In this paper, to design a code, we consider the worst case demand combinations. This follows since (5) must hold for all possible combinations of the demands.

III. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we derive an upper bound on $\mathbb{L}(P_{XY_1 \cdot Y_N}, T)$ defined in (6). For this, we employ the multi-part code construction, which is similar to the two-part code used in [2]. We first encode the private data X using a one-time-pad [20, Lemma 1], then encode the RV found by the achievable scheme in [1, Theorem 1] by using an extension of the Functional Representation Lemma and blocks of CF bits. To do so, let us, first recall FRL.

Lemma 1. (FRL [2, Lemma 1]): For any pair of RVs (X, Y) distributed according to P_{XY} supported on alphabets \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively, where $|\mathcal{X}|$ is finite and $|\mathcal{Y}|$ is finite or countably infinite, there exists a RV U supported on \mathcal{U} such that X and U are independent, i.e.,

$$I(U; X) = 0, \quad (7)$$

Y is a deterministic function of U and X , i.e.,

$$H(Y|U, X) = 0, \quad (8)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{X}|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1. \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, if X is a deterministic function of Y , we have

$$|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{Y}| - |\mathcal{X}| + 1. \quad (10)$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is constructive and is useful to obtain the next lemma. Next, we provide an extension of FRL that helps us find upper bound on $\mathbb{L}(P_{XY_1 \cdot Y_N}, T, C)$.

Lemma 2. For a fixed integer $k \geq 1$, let RVs (X, Y, U_1, \dots, U_k) be distributed according to $P_{XYU_1 \cdot U_k}$ where $I(X; U_1, \dots, U_k) = 0$. Then, there exists a RV U_{k+1} such that X and (U_1, \dots, U_{k+1}) are independent, i.e.,

$$I(U_1, \dots, U_{k+1}; X) = 0, \quad (11)$$

Y is a deterministic function of (U_1, \dots, U_{k+1}) and X , i.e.,

$$H(Y|U_1, \dots, U_{k+1}, X) = 0, \quad (12)$$

and

$$|U_{k+1}| \leq |\mathcal{X}||U_1| \cdot |U_k|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Let $U_1^i \triangleq (U_1, \dots, U_i)$ and let U_{k+1} be produced based on FRL using $X \leftarrow (X, U_1, \dots, U_k)$ and $Y \leftarrow Y$. We have

$$I(X; U_1^{k+1}) = I(X; U_1^k) + I(X; U_{k+1}|U_1^k) = 0,$$

where the last line follows since the first term is zero by the assumption and the second term is zero due to independence of U_{k+1} and (X, U_1, \dots, U_k) . \square

Next, we present a summary of the achievable scheme proposed in [1, Theorem 1]. We first consider a cache size $M \in \{\frac{N}{K}, \frac{2N}{K}, \dots, N\}$ and define $p \triangleq \frac{MK}{N}$, which is an integer. In the placement phase, each file, e.g., Y_n , $n \in [N]$, is split into $\binom{K}{p}$ equal size subfiles and labeled as follows

$$Y_n = (Y_{n,\Omega} : \Omega \subset [K], |\Omega| = p). \quad (14)$$

For all n , the server places $Y_{n,\Omega}$ in the cache of user k if $k \in \Omega$. As argued in [1], each user caches total of $N \binom{K-1}{p-1} \binom{F}{p} = MF$ bits, which satisfies the memory constraint with equality. In the delivery phase, the server sends the following message over the shared link

$$\mathcal{C}' \triangleq (C_{\gamma_1}, \dots, C_{\gamma_Q}), \quad (15)$$

where $Q = \binom{K}{p+1}$ and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$, γ_i is the i -th subset of $[K]$ with cardinality $|\gamma_i| = p + 1$, furthermore,

$$C_{\gamma_i} \triangleq \bigoplus_{j \in \gamma_i} Y_{d_j, \gamma_i \setminus \{j\}}, \quad (16)$$

where \bigoplus denotes bitwise XOR function. Note that $Y_{d_j, \gamma_i \setminus \{j\}}$ is the subfile that is not cached by user j , but is requested by it. In other words, considering each subset of $[K]$ with cardinality $|\gamma_i| = p + 1$, using the message C_{γ_i} , each user, e.g., user j , is able to decode the subfile $Y_{d_j, \gamma_i \setminus \{j\}}$ that is not cached by it. Considering all the messages in (15) user i can decode file Y_{d_i} completely using \mathcal{C}' and its local cache

content Z_i . Note that each subfile C_{γ_i} has size $\frac{F}{\binom{K}{p}}$ bits. As pointed out in [1], for other values of M we can use the memory-sharing technique. For more details see [1, Proof of Theorem 1].

A. Perfect privacy results:

In this part, we present our achievable scheme which leads to upper bounds on (6). For simplicity let $|\mathcal{C}'|$ be the cardinality of the codeword defined in (15) where $|\mathcal{C}'| = |\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1}| \times \dots \times |\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_Q}|$.

Theorem 1. *Let RVs $(X, Y) = (X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ be distributed according to $P_{XY_1 \dots Y_N}$ supported on alphabets \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , and let the shared secret key size be $|\mathcal{X}|$, i.e., $T = |\mathcal{X}|$. Furthermore, let the local buffer size be $\frac{F}{\binom{K}{p}}$ bits, i.e., $C = \frac{1}{\binom{K}{p}}$, L be large enough, and let $M \in \{\frac{N}{K}, \frac{2N}{K}, \dots, N\}$. Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{L}(P_{XY}, |\mathcal{X}|, \frac{1}{\binom{K}{p}}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^Q [\log(|\mathcal{U}_i|)] + [\log(|\mathcal{X}|)]. \quad (17)$$

where

$$|\mathcal{U}_i| \leq |\mathcal{X}| |\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}| (|\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_i}| - 1) + 1, \quad (18)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq |\mathcal{X}| (|\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1}| - 1) + 1, \quad (19)$$

and $p = \frac{KM}{N}$, $Q = \binom{K}{p+1}$, and $|\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_i}| = 2^{CF} = 2^{\binom{K}{p}}$, $\forall i \in [Q]$.

Sketch of proof: The complete proof is provided in Appendix A. In the placement phase, we use the same scheme as discussed before. In the delivery phase, we use the following strategy. We use multi-part code construction to achieve the upper bound. As shown in Fig. 2, the encoder first encodes the private data X using one-time-pad coding [20, Lemma 1] and sends it over the shared link, which uses $[\log(|\mathcal{X}|)]$ bits. The rest follows since by assumption the encoder has access to the realization of X and in the one-time-pad coding, the RV added to X is the shared key, which is of size $|\mathcal{X}|$, and as a result the output has uniform distribution. Next, the server produces the response \mathcal{C}' as in (15) and sends it to the encoder using blocks of CF bits. At each time, the encoder receives C_{γ_i} since the size of buffer is the same as the size of C_{γ_i} . Then, the encoder produces U_1^Q as follows. First, the encoder receives C_{γ_1} and produces U_1 based on FRL using $Y \leftarrow C_{\gamma_1}$ and $X \leftarrow X$, where C_{γ_1} , defined in (16), is the first codeword of the response \mathcal{C}' . The encoder uses any lossless codes to encode U_1 and sends it over the shared link. Furthermore, it stores it to the public cache. Note that $I(U_1; X) = 0$, $H(C_{\gamma_1}|U_1, X) = 0$, and $|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq |\mathcal{X}|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1$. Next, the encoder receives C_{γ_2} and produces U_2 using Lemma 2 with $k = 1$ and sends it over the shared link. Latter follows since the encoder has access to U_1 which is stored in the public cache. We have $I(X; U_1, U_2) = 0$, $H(C_{\gamma_2}|U_1, U_2, X) = 0$, and $|\mathcal{U}_2| \leq |\mathcal{X}| |\mathcal{U}_1| (|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1$. After receiving the i -th codeword of the response \mathcal{C}' , i.e., C_{γ_i} , the encoder produces U_i using Lemma 2 with $k = i - 1$ and U_1^{i-1} which are stored in the public cache. We have $I(X; U_1^i) = 0$, $H(C_{\gamma_i}|U_1^i, X) = 0$, and $|\mathcal{U}_i| \leq |\mathcal{X}| |\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}| (|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1$.

This procedure continuous until encoding the last codeword of the response C_{γ_Q} . Note that the leakage from the delivered messages sent over the shared link and public cache to the adversary is zero since by construction we have $I(X; U_1^Q) = 0$. Furthermore, if we choose the randomness used in the one-time-pad coding independent of (X, U_1^Q) we have $I(X; U_1^Q, \tilde{X}) = 0$, where \tilde{X} is the output of the one-time-pad coding.




Fig. 2. Sequentially encoding design: illustration of the achievability scheme of Theorem 1. Multi-part code construction is used to produce the delivered message, \mathcal{C} . The encoder sends \mathcal{C} over the channel, which is independent of X .

As shown in Fig. 3, at user side, each user, e.g., user i , first decodes X using one-time-pad decoder. Then, it sequentially decodes \mathcal{C}' using \mathcal{C} and X . Finally, it decodes Y_{d_i} using local cache Z_i and the response \mathcal{C}' . Finally, using this scheme the compression rate in (17) is achieved. \square




Fig. 3. Illustration of the sequentially decoding process of user i for the achievability scheme of Theorem 1.

Remark 3. *Although in Theorem 1 we assume that $M \in \{\frac{N}{K}, \frac{2N}{K}, \dots, N\}$, the results can be generalized for other values of M using the memory sharing technique of [1, Theorem 1].*

Remark 4. *In this work, we assume that the privacy leakage constraint needs to be fulfilled in the delivery phase and not in the placement phase. This assumption can be motivated since the placement phase occurs during the off-peak hours and we can assume that the adversary does not listen to the channel during that time, thus, sending coded contents of the database does not violate the privacy criterion. Furthermore, it is assumed that the adversary does not have access to the local cache contents. It only listens to channel during the peak hours of the network, i.e., delivery phase.*

IV. CONCLUSION

We have introduced a cache-aided compression problem with privacy constraint, where the information delivered over the shared link during the delivery phase is independent of an underlying variable X that is correlated with the files in the database that can be requested by the users. We propose an achievable scheme using multi-part code construction that benefits from the Functional Representation Lemma and an extension of the Functional Representation Lemma to encode the response of the server, which is constructed based on the specific user demands. For the first part of the code we hide the private data using one-time pad coding.

APPENDIX A

Proof of Theorem 1:

In the placement phase we use the same scheme as in [1]. In the delivery phase, we use the following strategy. Let W be the shared secret key with key size $T = |\mathcal{X}|$, which is uniformly distributed over $\{1, \dots, T\} = \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$ and independent of (X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N) . As shown in Fig. 2, first, the private data X is encoded using the shared secret key [20, Lemma 1]. Thus, we have

$$\tilde{X} = X + W \bmod |\mathcal{X}|.$$

Next, we show that \tilde{X} has uniform distribution over $\{1, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$ and $I(X; \tilde{X}) = 0$. We have

$$H(\tilde{X}|X) = H(X+W|X) = H(W|X) = H(W) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|). \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, $H(\tilde{X}|X) \leq H(\tilde{X})$, and combining it with (20), we obtain $H(\tilde{X}|X) = H(\tilde{X}) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|)$. For encoding \tilde{X} the encoder uses $\lceil \log(|\mathcal{X}|) \rceil$ bits and sends it over the shared link. We denote the encoded message \tilde{X} by \tilde{C}_1 . Let \mathcal{C}' be the response that the server sends in the delivery phase for the scheme proposed in [1, Theorem 1], also given in (15). Due to the local buffer's size, the server sends \mathcal{C}' using blocks of CF bits, i.e., sends C_{γ_i} at the i -th time. Then, the encoder produces $U_1^Q = (U_1, \dots, U_Q)$ as follows. First, the encoder receives C_{γ_1} and produces U_1 based on FRL using $Y \leftarrow C_{\gamma_1}$ and $X \leftarrow \tilde{X}$. Thus, we have

$$I(U_1; X) = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$H(C_{\gamma_1}|U_1, X) = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq |\mathcal{X}|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1. \quad (23)$$

The encoder uses any lossless codes to encode U_1 and sends it over the shared link. Let \mathcal{C}_1 denote the encoded message U_1 . Furthermore, the encoder stores \mathcal{C}_1 to the public cache. Next, the encoder receives C_{γ_2} and produces U_2 based on Lemma 2 with $k = 1$ and U_1 that is stored in the public cache, encodes it to \mathcal{C}_2 and sends it over the shared link. Thus, we obtain

$$I(U_1, U_2; X) = 0,$$

$$H(C_{\gamma_2}|U_2, U_1, X) = 0,$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_2| \leq |\mathcal{X}||\mathcal{U}_1|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1.$$

Furthermore, the encoder stores \mathcal{C}_2 in the public cache. After receiving the i -th codeword of the response \mathcal{C}' , i.e., C_{γ_i} , the

encoder produces U_i using Lemma 2 with $k = i - 1$ and U_1^{i-1} which are stored in the public cache. We have

$$I(U_1, \dots, U_i; X) = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$H(C_{\gamma_i}|U_1, \dots, U_i, X) = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_i| \leq |\mathcal{X}||\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1. \quad (26)$$

This procedure continues until encoding C_{γ_Q} to \mathcal{C}_Q . By using the construction used in Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\tilde{X}, U_1^Q) &= H(\tilde{X}) + \sum_i H(U_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^Q \lceil \log(|\mathcal{X}||\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1) \rceil + \lceil \log(|\mathcal{X}|) \rceil, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $U_1, \dots, U_Q, \tilde{X}$ are jointly independent. Furthermore, we choose W to be independent of $(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N, U_1, \dots, U_Q)$ which results in $I(\tilde{X}; U_1^Q) = 0$.

Next, we present the decoding strategy at the user side. Since W is shared with each user, user i decodes X by using \tilde{C}_1 and W , i.e., by using one-time-pad decoder. By adding $|\mathcal{X}| - W$ to \tilde{X} we obtain X . Then, as shown in Fig. 3, user i decodes C_{γ_1} using \mathcal{C}_1 and X . This follows by (22). User i sequentially encodes \mathcal{C}_i based on (25). After decoding the whole sequence $(C_{\gamma_1}, \dots, C_{\gamma_Q})$, user i uses its local cache Z_i and \mathcal{C}' to decode Y_{d_i} , for more details see [1, Theorem 1].

What remains to be shown is the leakage constraint. We choose W independent of $(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N, U_1, \dots, U_Q)$. Let P denote the contents of the public cache. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathcal{C}; X) &= I(P, \tilde{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_1^Q; X) = I(\tilde{X}, U_1^Q; X) \\ &= I(U_1^Q; X) + I(\tilde{X}; X|U_1^Q) = I(\tilde{X}; X|U_1^Q) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(\tilde{X}|U_1^Q) - H(\tilde{X}|X, U_1^Q) \\ &= H(\tilde{X}|U_1^Q) - H(X + W|X, U_1^Q) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} H(\tilde{X}|U_1^Q) - H(W) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} H(\tilde{X}) - H(W) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|) - \log(|\mathcal{X}|) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from (24); (b) follows since W is independent of (X, U_1^Q) ; and (c) from the independence of U_1^Q and \tilde{X} . The latter follows since we have

$$0 \leq I(\tilde{X}; X|U_1^Q) = H(\tilde{X}|U_1^Q) - H(W)$$

$$\stackrel{(i)}{=} H(\tilde{X}|U_1^Q) - H(\tilde{X}) \leq 0.$$

Thus, \tilde{X} and U_1^Q are independent. Step (i) follows by the fact that W and \tilde{X} are uniformly distributed over $\{1, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$, i.e., $H(W) = H(\tilde{X})$. Thus, the leakage from public cache and delivered message over the shared link to the adversary is zero.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Fundamental limits of caching," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 2856–2867, 2014.
- [2] Y. Y. Shkel, R. S. Blum, and H. V. Poor, "Secrecy by design with applications to privacy and compression," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 824–843, 2021.
- [3] S. H. Lim, C.-Y. Wang, and M. Gastpar, "Information-theoretic caching: The multi-user case," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 7018–7037, 2017.
- [4] C.-Y. Wang, S. H. Lim, and M. Gastpar, "A new converse bound for coded caching," in *Information Theory and Applications Workshop, 2016*, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [5] Q. Yu, M. A. Maddah-Ali, and A. S. Avestimehr, "The exact rate-memory tradeoff for caching with uncoded prefetching," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2017.
- [6] Q. Yang and D. Gündüz, "Coded caching and content delivery with heterogeneous distortion requirements," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 4347–4364, 2018.
- [7] C. E. Shannon, "Communication theory of secrecy systems," *The Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 656–715, 1949.
- [8] C. Dwork, A. Roth *et al.*, "The algorithmic foundations of differential privacy," *Foundations and Trends® in Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 9, no. 3–4, pp. 211–407, 2014.
- [9] C. Dwork, F. McSherry, K. Nissim, and A. Smith, "Calibrating noise to sensitivity in private data analysis," in *Theory of cryptography conference*. Springer, 2006, pp. 265–284.
- [10] D. Gündüz, E. Erkip, and H. V. Poor, "Lossless compression with security constraints," in *2008 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 2008, pp. 111–115.
- [11] —, "Source coding under secrecy constraints," *Securing Wireless Communications at the Physical Layer*, pp. 173–199, 2010.
- [12] R. F. Schaefer, H. Boche, A. Khisti, and H. V. Poor, *Information theoretic security and privacy of information systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [13] L. Sankar, S. R. Rajagopalan, and H. V. Poor, "Utility-privacy tradeoffs in databases: An information-theoretic approach," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 838–852, 2013.
- [14] H. Yamamoto, "A rate-distortion problem for a communication system with a secondary decoder to be hindered," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 835–842, 1988.
- [15] —, "A source coding problem for sources with additional outputs to keep secret from the receiver or wiretappers (corresp.)," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 918–923, 1983.
- [16] C. Schieler and P. Cuff, "Rate-distortion theory for secrecy systems," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 12, pp. 7584–7605, 2014.
- [17] B. Rassouli and D. Gündüz, "On perfect privacy," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Information Theory*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 177–191, 2021.
- [18] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "A design framework for strongly χ^2 -private data disclosure," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 16, pp. 2312–2325, 2021.
- [19] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "Data disclosure with non-zero leakage and non-invertible leakage matrix," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 17, pp. 165–179, 2022.
- [20] Y. Y. Shkel and H. V. Poor, "A compression perspective on secrecy measures," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Information Theory*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 163–176, 2021.
- [21] F. P. Calmon, A. Makhdoumi, M. Medard, M. Varia, M. Christiansen, and K. R. Duffy, "Principal inertia components and applications," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 5011–5038, Aug 2017.
- [22] S. Asoodeh, M. Diaz, F. Alajaji, and T. Linder, "Estimation efficiency under privacy constraints," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 1512–1534, 2019.
- [23] I. Issa, S. Kamath, and A. B. Wagner, "Maximal leakage minimization for the shannon cipher system," in *2016 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 2016, pp. 520–524.
- [24] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "Bounds for privacy-utility trade-off with non-zero leakage," in *2022 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, 2022, pp. 620–625.
- [25] —, "Bounds for privacy-utility trade-off with per-letter privacy constraints and non-zero leakage," in *2022 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*, 2022, pp. 13–18.
- [26] S. Asoodeh, M. Diaz, F. Alajaji, and T. Linder, "Information extraction under privacy constraints," *Information*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 15, 2016.
- [27] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "On the privacy-utility trade-off with and without direct access to the private data," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.12475*, 2022.
- [28] B. Rassouli, F. E. Rosas, and D. Gündüz, "Data disclosure under perfect sample privacy," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, pp. 1–1, 2019.