

# Multi-User Private Variable-Length Coding with Sequential Encoder

Amirreza Zamani<sup>†</sup>, Tobias J. Oechtering<sup>†</sup>, Deniz Gündüz<sup>‡</sup>, Mikael Skoglund<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Division of Information Science and Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology

<sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London

Email: amizam@kth.se, oech@kth.se, d.gunduz@imperial.ac.uk, skoglund@kth.se

**Abstract**—A multi-user private compression problem is studied, where a server has access to a database of  $N$  files,  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ , each of size  $F$  bits and is connected to an encoder that has access to a local buffer as well as a public cache with sizes  $CF$  and  $LF$  bits, respectively. The encoder is connected through a shared link to  $K$  users. We assume that each file  $Y_i$  is arbitrarily correlated with a private attribute  $X$ , which is not accessible by the server. Moreover, an adversary is assumed to have access to the shared link as well as the public cache. The users and the encoder have access to a shared secret key  $W$ . The goal is to design the delivered message  $C$  after the users send their demands to the server such that the average length of  $C$  is minimized, while satisfying: i. The message  $C$  and the contents of the public cache  $P$  do not reveal any information about  $X$ , i.e.,  $X$  and  $(C, P)$  are independent, which corresponds to the perfect privacy constraint; ii. User  $i$  is able to decode its demand,  $Y_{d_i}$ , by using  $C$ , and the shared key  $W$ . Here, the server sends a response  $C'$  to the encoder based on the demands using blocks of length  $CF$  bits (due to the limited size of the buffer), and the encoder sequentially encodes the blocks using the shared key, its local buffer and can store the output in the public cache. We propose a variable-length coding scheme that uses privacy-aware compression techniques.

## I. INTRODUCTION

We consider the scenario illustrated in Fig. 1, in which a server has access to a database consisting of  $N$  files  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N$ , where each file, of size  $F$  bits, is sampled from the joint distribution  $P_{X Y_1 \dots Y_N}$ , where  $X$  denotes the private latent variable. We assume that the server does not know the realization of the private variable  $X$ . The server is connected to an encoder equipped with a local buffer of size  $CF$  bits and has access to a public cache of size  $LF$  bits as well. The encoder is connected to  $K$  users over a shared link. Furthermore, we assume that the encoder and the users have access to a shared secret key denoted by  $W$ , of size  $T$ . In this work, the users send their demands to the encoder and the server, where  $d_k \in [N] \triangleq \{1, \dots, N\}$  denotes the demand of user  $k$ . The server sends a response, denoted by  $C' = (C'_1, C'_2, \dots)$ , to the encoder using blocks each of size  $CF$  bits, i.e.,  $|C'_i| = 2^{CF}$ , to satisfy all the demands. The encoder designs a message  $C_i$  using the  $i$ -th block of the server's response, i.e.,  $C'_i$ , and the shared key and send it over the shared link to the users. It can also store  $C_i$  in the public cache which is assumed to be not accessible by the users. The public cache enables the encoder to use previous stored messages to design the next message, i.e., the encoder can use  $(C_1, \dots, C_{i-1})$  to design message  $C_i$ .

We assume that an adversary has access to the shared link and the public cache as well, and uses the delivered message  $C = (C_1, C_2, \dots)$  and the content of public cache denoted




Fig. 1. In this work a server wants to send a response over a shared link to satisfy users' demands, but since the database is correlated with the private data existing schemes are not applicable. Here, the server sends the response to an encoder using blocks of size  $CF$  bits and the encoder hide the information about  $X$  using one-time-pad coding and send the rest of message using an extension of Functional Representation Lemma (FRL).

by  $P$  to extract information about  $X$ . The goal of the multi-user private delivery problem is to find a response  $C$  with minimum possible average length that satisfies a certain privacy constraint and the zero-error decodability constraint of users. Furthermore, the contents of the public cache  $P$  must satisfy the privacy constraint. Here, we consider the worst case demand combinations  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_K)$  to construct  $C$ , and the expectation is taken over the randomness in the database. In this work, we consider a perfect privacy constraint, i.e., we require  $(C, P)$  to be independent of  $X$ . Let  $\hat{Y}_{d_k}$  denote the decoded message of user  $k$  using  $W$  and  $C$ . User  $k$  should be able to recover  $Y_{d_k}$  reliably, i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}\{\hat{Y}_{d_k} \neq Y_{d_k}\} = 0, \forall k \in [K]$ . We remark that, we have a multi-user variable-length compression problem with a privacy constraint. Hence, to solve this problem we utilize techniques used in privacy mechanisms, and data compression, and combine them to build such a code. In particular, we use data compression techniques employed in [1] and privacy mechanism design in [2].

All two of the privacy mechanism and compression design problems have received increased attention in recent years [1]–[24].

Considering the compression problem with privacy constraints, a notion of perfect secrecy is introduced in [3] by Shannon, where the public and private data are statistically independent. In the Shannon cipher system, one

of  $M$  messages is sent over a channel wiretapped by an eavesdropper, and it is shown that perfect secrecy is achievable if and only if the shared secret key length is at least  $M$  [3]. Perfect secrecy, where public data and private data are independent, is also considered in [4], [5], where sanitized version of a database is disclosed for public use. Equivocation as a measure of information leakage for information theoretic security has been used in [6]–[9]. A rate-distortion approach to information theoretic secrecy is studied in [10]. A related source coding problem with secrecy is studied in [11]. The concept of privacy funnel is introduced in [12], where the privacy-utility trade-off has been studied considering the log-loss as a privacy measure as well as a distortion measure for utility. The privacy-utility trade-offs considering equivocation and expected distortion as measures of privacy and utility are studied in both [9] and [11]. In [14], the problem of privacy-utility trade-off considering mutual information both as measures of utility and privacy is studied. It is shown that under the perfect privacy assumption, the optimal privacy mechanism problem can be obtained as the solution of a linear program. In [15], the work [14] is generalized by relaxing the perfect privacy assumption allowing some small bounded leakage. This result is generalized to a non-invertible leakage matrix in [16]. In [1], an approach to partial secrecy that is called *secrecy by design* has been introduced and is applied to two information processing problems: privacy mechanism design and lossless compression. For the privacy design problem, bounds on privacy-utility trade-off are derived by using the Functional Representation Lemma. These results are derived under the perfect secrecy assumption. In [2], the privacy problems considered in [1] are generalized by relaxing the perfect secrecy constraint and allowing some leakages. In [21], the privacy-utility trade-off with two different per-letter privacy constraints is studied. Moreover, in [1], the problems of fixed length and variable length compression have been studied and upper and lower bounds on the average length of encoded message have been derived. These results are derived under the assumption that the private data is independent of the encoded message. Our problem here is closely related to [25] and [1], where in [1], for the problem of lossless data compression, strong information theoretic guarantees are provided and fundamental limits are characterized when the private data is a deterministic function of the useful data. In this paper, we generalize the problem considered in [1] by considering correlation between the database and the private latent data, and we use variable-length lossless compression techniques as in [1] to find an alternative solution to multi-user data delivery problems in the presence of an adversary. The key idea is to use a multi-part code construction, which is based on an extension of the Functional Representation Lemma (FRL) and one-time-pad coding to hide the information about  $X$  and reconstruct the demanded files at user side.

## II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let  $Y_i$  denote the  $i$ -th file in the database, which is of size  $F$  bits, i.e.,  $\mathcal{Y}_i \in \{1, \dots, 2^F\}$  and  $|\mathcal{Y}_i| = 2^F$ . In this work we assume that  $N \geq K$ ; however, the results can be generalized to other cases as well. Let the discrete random

variable (RV)  $X$  defined on the finite alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$  describe the private latent variable and be arbitrarily correlated with the files in the database  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$  where  $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{Y}_1| \times \dots \times |\mathcal{Y}_N| = (2^F)^N$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{Y}_N$ . We show the joint distribution of the private data and the database by  $P_{XY_1 \dots Y_N}$  and marginal distributions of  $X$  and  $Y_i$  by vectors  $P_X$  and  $P_{Y_i}$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}|}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{2^F}$ . The relation between  $X$  and  $Y$  is given by the matrix  $P_{X|Y_1 \dots Y_N}$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}| \times (2^F)^N}$ . Let  $P$  denotes the content of the public cache. The shared secret key is denoted by the discrete RV  $W$  defined on  $\{1, \dots, T\}$ , and is assumed to be known by the encoder and the users, but not the adversary. Furthermore, we assume that  $W$  is uniformly distributed and is independent of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let the vector  $(Y_{d_1}, \dots, Y_{d_K})$  denote the demands sent by the users at the beginning of the delivery phase, where  $(d_1, \dots, d_K) \in [N]^K$ . A variable-length prefix-free code with a shared secret key of size  $T$  consists of mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{server}) \mathcal{C}' : [|\mathcal{Y}|] \times [N]^K &\rightarrow \{0, 1\}^* \\ (\text{encoder}) \mathcal{C} : \{0, 1\}^{\lfloor CF \rfloor} \times \{0, 1\}^{\lfloor LF \rfloor} \times [T] \times [N]^K &\rightarrow \{0, 1\}^* \\ (\text{decoder}) \mathcal{D}_k : \{0, 1\}^* \times [T] \times [N]^K &\rightarrow 2^F, \forall k \in [K]. \end{aligned}$$

The output of the server  $\mathcal{C}'(Y, d_1, \dots, d_K)$  is the codeword the server sends to the encoder by using blocks of  $CF$  bits to satisfy the demands of users  $(Y_{d_1}, \dots, Y_{d_K})$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}'_i$  be the  $i$ -th block of the codeword  $\mathcal{C}'$ . Due to the limited size of the local buffer  $C$ , The encoder uses a sequentially coding scheme as follows: First, the encoder receives  $\mathcal{C}'_1$  and encode it using the shared key. Let the output be  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . The encoder sends  $\mathcal{C}_1$  over the shared link and also stores it to the public cache. Then, the encoder receives the second block  $\mathcal{C}'_2$  and encode it using  $W$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . The output is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and is sent through the shared link and is stored to the public cache. Here, we assume that  $L$  is a large quantity. Furthermore, the encoder encodes  $i$ -th block  $\mathcal{C}'_i$  using  $W$  and  $(\mathcal{C}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}'_{i-1})$ . The encoding scheme continues until the last block of the codeword  $\mathcal{C}'$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots)$  denote the delivered message consisting of the messages sent over the shared link. At the user side, user  $k$  employs the decoding function  $\mathcal{D}_k$  to recover its demand  $Y_{d_k}$ , i.e.,  $\hat{Y}_{d_k} = \mathcal{D}_k(W, \mathcal{C}, d_1, \dots, d_K)$ . Since the code is prefix free, no codeword in the image of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a prefix of another codeword. The variable-length code  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$  is lossless if for all  $k \in [K]$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{C}, W, d_1, \dots, d_K) = Y_{d_k}) = 1. \quad (1)$$

In the following, we define perfectly private codes. The code  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$  is *perfectly private* if

$$I(\mathcal{C}; X) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Let  $\xi$  be the support of  $\mathcal{C}$ , where  $\xi \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ . For any  $c \in \xi$ , let  $\mathbb{L}(c)$  be the length of the codeword. The lossless code  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$  is  $(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)$ -variable-length if

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C})) \leq \alpha, \quad \forall w \in [T] \text{ and } \forall d_1, \dots, d_K, \quad (3)$$

and  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$  satisfies (1). Finally, let us define the set  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K) \triangleq \{(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K) : (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K) \text{ is perfectly-private and}$$

$(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)$ -variable-length}. The cache-aided private compression design problem can be stated as follows

$$\mathbb{L}(P_{XY_1 \cdot Y_N}, T, C) = \inf_{(C', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha, T, d_1, \dots, d_K)} \alpha. \quad (4)$$

**Remark 1.** By letting  $L = 0$ ,  $C$  large enough, and  $N = K = 1$ , (4) leads to the privacy-compression rate trade-off studied in [1], where upper and lower bounds have been derived.

**Remark 2.** In this paper, to design a code, we consider the worst case demand combinations. This follows since (3) must hold for all possible combinations of the demands.

### III. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we derive an upper bound on  $\mathbb{L}(P_{XY_1 \cdot Y_N}, T)$  defined in (4). For this, we employ the multi-part code construction, which is similar to the two-part code used in [1]. We first encode the private data  $X$  using a one-time-pad [17, Lemma 1], then sequentially encode the server's response using an extension of the Functional Representation Lemma. To do so, let us, first recall FRL.

**Lemma 1.** (FRL [1, Lemma 1]): For any pair of RVs  $(X, Y)$  distributed according to  $P_{XY}$  supported on alphabets  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , respectively, where  $|\mathcal{X}|$  is finite and  $|\mathcal{Y}|$  is finite or countably infinite, there exists a RV  $U$  supported on  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $X$  and  $U$  are independent, i.e.,

$$I(U; X) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$Y$  is a deterministic function of  $U$  and  $X$ , i.e.,

$$H(Y|U, X) = 0, \quad (6)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{X}|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, if  $X$  is a deterministic function of  $Y$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{Y}| - |\mathcal{X}| + 1. \quad (8)$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is constructive and is useful to obtain the next lemma. Next, we provide an extension of FRL that helps us find upper bound on  $\mathbb{L}(P_{XY_1 \cdot Y_N}, T, C)$ .

**Lemma 2.** For a fixed integer  $k \geq 1$ , let RVs  $(X, Y, U_1, \dots, U_k)$  be distributed according to  $P_{XYU_1 \cdot U_k}$  where  $I(X; U_1, \dots, U_k) = 0$ . Then, there exists a RV  $U_{k+1}$  such that  $X$  and  $(U_1, \dots, U_{k+1})$  are independent, i.e.,

$$I(U_1, \dots, U_{k+1}; X) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$Y$  is a deterministic function of  $(U_1, \dots, U_{k+1})$  and  $X$ , i.e.,

$$H(Y|U_1, \dots, U_{k+1}, X) = 0, \quad (10)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{U}_{k+1}| \leq |\mathcal{X}||\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_k|(|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1. \quad (11)$$

*Proof:* Let  $U_1^i \triangleq (U_1, \dots, U_i)$  and let  $U_{k+1}$  be produced based on FRL using  $X \leftarrow (X, U_1, \dots, U_k)$  and  $Y \leftarrow Y$ . We have

$$I(X; U_1^{k+1}) = I(X; U_1^k) + I(X; U_{k+1}|U_1^k) = 0,$$

where the last line follows since the first term is zero by the assumption and the second term is zero due to independence of  $U_{k+1}$  and  $(X, U_1, \dots, U_k)$ . ■

Next, we present our achievable scheme which leads to an upper bound on (4).

**Theorem 1.** Let RVs  $(X, Y) = (X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$  be distributed according to  $P_{XY_1 \cdot Y_N}$  supported on alphabets  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and let the shared secret key size be  $|\mathcal{X}|$ , i.e.,  $T = |\mathcal{X}|$ . Furthermore, let the local buffer size be  $F$  bits, i.e.,  $C = 1$ ,  $L$  be large enough, and  $d_i \neq d_j, \forall i \neq j$ . Then, we have

$$\mathbb{L}(P_{XY}, |\mathcal{X}|, 1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^K [\log(|\mathcal{U}_i|)] + [\log(|\mathcal{X}|)]. \quad (12)$$

where

$$|\mathcal{U}_i| \leq |\mathcal{X}||\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}|(|\mathcal{Y}_{d_i}| - 1) + 1, \quad (13)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq |\mathcal{X}|(|\mathcal{Y}_{d_1}| - 1) + 1, \quad (14)$$

and  $|\mathcal{Y}_{d_i}| = 2^F, \forall i \in [K]$ .

*Sketch of proof:* The complete proof is provided in Appendix A. We use multi-part code construction to achieve the upper bound. As shown in Fig. 2, the encoder first encodes the private data  $X$  using one-time-pad coding [17, Lemma 1] and sends it over the shared link, which uses  $\lceil \log(|\mathcal{X}|) \rceil$  bits. The rest follows since by assumption the encoder has access to the realization of  $X$  and in the one-time-pad coding, the RV added to  $X$  is the shared key, which is of size  $|\mathcal{X}|$ , and as a result the output has uniform distribution. Next, since  $C = 1$ , the server simply let the response  $\mathcal{C}'$  be  $(Y_{d_1}, \dots, Y_{d_K})$  and sends it to the encoder using blocks of  $CF$  bits, i.e., at  $i$ -th time it sends  $Y_{d_i}$ . At each time, the encoder receives  $Y_{d_i}$ . Then, the encoder produces  $U_1^Q$  as follows. First, the encoder receives  $Y_{d_1}$  and produces  $U_1$  based on FRL using  $Y \leftarrow Y_{d_1}$  and  $X \leftarrow X$ . The encoder uses any lossless codes to encode  $U_1$  and sends it over the shared link. Furthermore, it stores it to the public cache. Note that  $I(U_1; X) = 0$ ,  $H(Y_{d_1}|U_1, X) = 0$ , and  $|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq |\mathcal{X}|(|\mathcal{Y}_{d_1}| - 1) + 1$ . Next, the encoder receives  $Y_{d_2}$  and produces  $U_2$  using Lemma 2 with  $k = 1$  and encode it to send over the shared link. Latter follows since the encoder has access to  $U_1$  which is stored in the public cache. We have  $I(X; U_1, U_2) = 0$ ,  $H(Y_{d_2}|U_1, U_2, X) = 0$ , and  $|\mathcal{U}_2| \leq |\mathcal{X}||\mathcal{U}_1|(|\mathcal{Y}_{d_2}| - 1) + 1$ . After receiving the  $i$ -th codeword of the response  $\mathcal{C}'$ , i.e.,  $Y_{d_i}$ , the encoder produces  $U_i$  using Lemma 2 with  $k = i - 1$  and  $U_1^{i-1}$  which are stored in the public cache. We have  $I(X; U_1^i) = 0$ ,  $H(Y_{d_i}|U_1^i, X) = 0$ , and  $|\mathcal{U}_i| \leq |\mathcal{X}||\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}|(|\mathcal{Y}_{d_i}| - 1) + 1$ . This procedure continuous until encoding the last codeword of the response  $Y_{d_K}$ . Note that the leakage from the delivered messages sent over the shared link and public cache to the adversary is zero since by construction we have  $I(X; U_1^Q) = 0$ . Furthermore, if we choose the randomness used in the one-time-pad coding independent of  $(X, U_1^Q)$  we have  $I(X; U_1^Q, \tilde{X}) = 0$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  is the output of the one-time-pad coding.

As shown in Fig. 3, at user side, each user, e.g., user  $i$ , first decodes  $X$  using one-time-pad decoder. Then, it decodes  $Y_{d_i}$  using  $(\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_i)$  and  $X$ . Finally, using this scheme the compression rate in (12) is achieved. □




Fig. 2. Sequentially encoding design: illustration of the achievability scheme of Theorem 1. Multi-part code construction is used to produce the delivered message,  $\mathcal{C}$ . The encoder sends  $\mathcal{C}$  over the channel, which is independent of  $X$ .




Fig. 3. Illustration of the sequentially decoding process of the users for the achievability scheme of Theorem 1.

#### A. Application: Cache-aided networks

In this part, we study an application of Theorem 1 in cache-aided networks. we consider the scenario illustrated in Fig. 1, where each user has access to a local cache memory of size  $MF$  bits as well. The system works in two phases: the placement and delivery phases, respectively, [25]. In the placement phase, the server and encoder fill the local caches using the database. Let  $Z_k$  denote the content of the local cache memory of user  $k$ ,  $k \in [K]$  after the placement phase. Similar to the problem considered in this paper, in the delivery phase, first the users send their demands to the encoder and the server, where  $d_k \in [N]$  denotes the demand of user  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}' = (C'_1, C'_2, \dots)$  be the response that the server sends to the encoder using blocks each of size  $CF$  bits to satisfy all the demands. Similarly, the encoder designs a message  $C_i$  using the  $i$ -th block of the server's response, i.e.,  $C'_i$ , previous designed messages  $C_1^{i-1}$ , and the shared key and send it over the shared link to the users. We assume that the adversary does not have access to the users' local cache contents. Since the files in the database are all correlated with the private latent variable  $X$ , the coded caching and delivery techniques introduced in [25] do not satisfy the privacy requirement. The goal of the cache-aided private delivery problem is to find a response  $\mathcal{C}$  with minimum possible average length that satisfies a certain privacy constraint and the zero-error decodability constraint of users. The code  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K)$  can be properly defined considering user local cache contents. For instance, we use  $\mathcal{C}'(Y, d_1^K, Z_1^K)$  as the output of the server. In the next theorem, let  $\mathcal{C}' \triangleq (C_{\gamma_1}, \dots, C_{\gamma_Q})$  be the code

designed by the achievable scheme in [25, Theorem 1], where  $Q \triangleq \binom{K}{p+1}$  and  $p \triangleq \frac{KM}{N}$  is an integer.

**Theorem 2.** Let the local buffer size be  $\frac{F}{\binom{K}{p}}$  bits, i.e.,  $C = \frac{1}{\binom{K}{p}}$ ,  $L$  be large enough, and let  $M \in \{\frac{N}{K}, \frac{2N}{K}, \dots, N\}$ . Then, we have

$$\mathbb{L}(P_{XY}, |\mathcal{X}|, \frac{1}{\binom{K}{p}}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^Q [\log(|\mathcal{U}_i|)] + [\log(|\mathcal{X}|)]. \quad (15)$$

where

$$|\mathcal{U}_i| \leq |\mathcal{X}| |\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}| (|C_{\gamma_i}| - 1) + 1, \quad (16)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq |\mathcal{X}| (|C_{\gamma_1}| - 1) + 1, \quad (17)$$

and  $|C_{\gamma_i}| = 2^{CF} = 2^{\frac{F}{\binom{K}{p}}}$ ,  $\forall i \in [Q]$ .

#### IV. CONCLUSION

We have introduced a cache-aided compression problem with privacy constraint, where the information delivered over the shared link during the delivery phase is independent of an underlying variable  $X$  that is correlated with the files in the database that can be requested by the users. We propose an achievable scheme using multi-part code construction that benefits from the Functional Representation Lemma and an extension of the Functional Representation Lemma to encode the response of the server, which is constructed based on the specific user demands. For the first part of the code we hide the private data using one-time pad coding.

#### APPENDIX A

*Proof of Theorem 1:*

Let  $W$  be the shared secret key with key size  $T = |\mathcal{X}|$ , which is uniformly distributed over  $\{1, \dots, T\} = \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$  and independent of  $(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ . As shown in Fig. 2, first, the private data  $X$  is encoded using the shared secret key [17, Lemma 1]. Thus, we have

$$\tilde{X} = X + W \bmod |\mathcal{X}|.$$

Next, we show that  $\tilde{X}$  has uniform distribution over  $\{1, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$  and  $I(X; \tilde{X}) = 0$ . We have

$$H(\tilde{X}|X) = H(X + W|X) = H(W|X) = H(W) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|). \quad (18)$$

Furthermore,  $H(\tilde{X}|X) \leq H(\tilde{X})$ , and combining it with (18), we obtain  $H(\tilde{X}|X) = H(\tilde{X}) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|)$ . For encoding  $\tilde{X}$  the encoder uses  $\lceil \log(|\mathcal{X}|) \rceil$  bits and sends it over the shared link. We denote the encoded message  $\tilde{X}$  by  $\tilde{C}_1$ . Due to the local buffer's size, the server sends  $\mathcal{C}'$  using blocks of  $CF$  bits, i.e., sends  $Y_{d_i}$  at the  $i$ -th time. Then, the encoder produces  $U_1^Q = (U_1, \dots, U_Q)$  as follows. First, the encoder receives  $Y_{d_1}$  and produces  $U_1$  based on FRL using  $Y \leftarrow Y_{d_1}$  and  $X \leftarrow \tilde{X}$ . Thus, we have

$$I(U_1; X) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$H(C_{\gamma_1}|U_1, X) = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq |\mathcal{X}| (|\mathcal{Y}| - 1) + 1. \quad (21)$$

The encoder uses any lossless codes to encode  $U_1$  and sends it over the shared link. Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  denote the encoded message

$U_1$ . Furthermore, the encoder stores  $\mathcal{C}_1$  to the public cache. Next, the encoder receives  $Y_{d_2}$  and produces  $U_2$  based on Lemma 2 with  $k = 1$  and  $U_1$  that is stored in the public cache, encodes it to  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and sends it over the shared link. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(U_1, U_2; X) &= 0, \\ H(C_{\gamma_2} | U_2, U_1, X) &= 0, \\ |\mathcal{U}_2| &\leq |\mathcal{X}| |\mathcal{U}_1| (|\mathcal{Y}_{d_2}| - 1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the encoder stores  $\mathcal{C}_2$  in the public cache. After receiving the  $i$ -th codeword of the response  $\mathcal{C}'$ , i.e.,  $Y_{d_i}$ , the encoder produces  $U_i$  using Lemma 2 with  $k = i - 1$  and  $U_1^{i-1}$  which are stored in the public cache. We have

$$I(U_1, \dots, U_i; X) = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$H(Y_{d_i} | U_1, \dots, U_i, X) = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_i| \leq |\mathcal{X}| |\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}| (|\mathcal{Y}_{d_i}| - 1) + 1. \quad (24)$$

This procedure continues until encoding  $Y_{d_K}$  to  $\mathcal{C}_K$ . By using the construction used in Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\tilde{X}, U_1^Q) &= H(\tilde{X}) + \sum_i H(U_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K [\log(|\mathcal{X}| |\mathcal{U}_1| \cdot |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}| (|\mathcal{Y}_{d_i}| - 1) + 1)] + \lceil \log(|\mathcal{X}|) \rceil, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $U_1, \dots, U_Q, \tilde{X}$  are jointly independent. Furthermore, we choose  $W$  to be independent of  $(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N, U_1, \dots, U_Q)$  which results in  $I(\tilde{X}; U_1^Q) = 0$ .

Next, we present the decoding strategy at the user side. Since  $W$  is shared with each user, user  $i$  decodes  $X$  by using  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1$  and  $W$ , i.e., by using one-time-pad decoder. By adding  $|\mathcal{X}| - W$  to  $\tilde{X}$  we obtain  $X$ . Then, as shown in Fig. 3, user 1 decodes  $Y_{d_1}$  using  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $X$ . This follows by (20). User  $i$  sequentially decodes  $Y_{d_i}$  based on (23).

What remains to be shown is the leakage constraint. We choose  $W$  independent of  $(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N, U_1, \dots, U_Q)$ . Let  $P$  denote the contents of the public cache. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathcal{C}; X) &= I(P, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1, \mathcal{C}_1^Q; X) = I(\tilde{X}, U_1^Q; X) \\ &= I(U_1^Q; X) + I(\tilde{X}; X | U_1^Q) = I(\tilde{X}; X | U_1^Q) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(\tilde{X} | U_1^Q) - H(\tilde{X} | X, U_1^Q) \\ &= H(\tilde{X} | U_1^Q) - H(X + W | X, U_1^Q) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} H(\tilde{X} | U_1^Q) - H(W) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} H(\tilde{X}) - H(W) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|) - \log(|\mathcal{X}|) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from (22); (b) follows since  $W$  is independent of  $(X, U_1^Q)$ ; and (c) from the independence of  $U_1^Q$  and  $\tilde{X}$ . The latter follows since we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq I(\tilde{X}; X | U_1^Q) = H(\tilde{X} | U_1^Q) - H(W) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} H(\tilde{X} | U_1^Q) - H(\tilde{X}) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\tilde{X}$  and  $U_1^Q$  are independent. Step (i) follows by the fact that  $W$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are uniformly distributed over  $\{1, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$ , i.e.,  $H(W) = H(\tilde{X})$ . Thus, the leakage from public cache and delivered message over the shared link to the adversary is zero.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Y. Shkel, R. S. Blum, and H. V. Poor, "Secrecy by design with applications to privacy and compression," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 824–843, 2021.
- [2] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "Bounds for privacy-utility trade-off with non-zero leakage," in *2022 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, 2022, pp. 620–625.
- [3] C. E. Shannon, "Communication theory of secrecy systems," *The Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 656–715, 1949.
- [4] C. Dwork, A. Roth *et al.*, "The algorithmic foundations of differential privacy," *Foundations and Trends® in Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 9, no. 3–4, pp. 211–407, 2014.
- [5] C. Dwork, F. McSherry, K. Nissim, and A. Smith, "Calibrating noise to sensitivity in private data analysis," in *Theory of cryptography conference*. Springer, 2006, pp. 265–284.
- [6] D. Gündüz, E. Erkip, and H. V. Poor, "Lossless compression with security constraints," in *2008 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 2008, pp. 111–115.
- [7] —, "Source coding under secrecy constraints," *Securing Wireless Communications at the Physical Layer*, pp. 173–199, 2010.
- [8] R. F. Schaefer, H. Boche, A. Khisti, and H. V. Poor, *Information theoretic security and privacy of information systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [9] L. Sankar, S. R. Rajagopalan, and H. V. Poor, "Utility-privacy tradeoffs in databases: An information-theoretic approach," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 838–852, 2013.
- [10] H. Yamamoto, "A rate-distortion problem for a communication system with a secondary decoder to be hindered," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 835–842, 1988.
- [11] —, "A source coding problem for sources with additional outputs to keep secret from the receiver or wiretappers (corresp.)," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 918–923, 1983.
- [12] A. Makhdoumi, S. Salamatian, N. Fawaz, and M. Médard, "From the information bottleneck to the privacy funnel," in *2014 IEEE Information Theory Workshop*, 2014, pp. 501–505.
- [13] C. Schieler and P. Cuff, "Rate-distortion theory for secrecy systems," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 12, pp. 7584–7605, 2014.
- [14] B. Rassouli and D. Gündüz, "On perfect privacy," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Information Theory*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 177–191, 2021.
- [15] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "A design framework for strongly  $\chi^2$ -private data disclosure," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 16, pp. 2312–2325, 2021.
- [16] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "Data disclosure with non-zero leakage and non-invertible leakage matrix," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 17, pp. 165–179, 2022.
- [17] Y. Y. Shkel and H. V. Poor, "A compression perspective on secrecy measures," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Information Theory*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 163–176, 2021.
- [18] F. P. Calmon, A. Makhdoumi, M. Médard, M. Varia, M. Christiansen, and K. R. Duffy, "Principal inertia components and applications," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 5011–5038, Aug 2017.
- [19] S. Asoodeh, M. Diaz, F. Alajaji, and T. Linder, "Estimation efficiency under privacy constraints," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 1512–1534, 2019.
- [20] I. Issa, S. Kamath, and A. B. Wagner, "Maximal leakage minimization for the shannon cipher system," in *2016 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 2016, pp. 520–524.
- [21] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "Bounds for privacy-utility trade-off with per-letter privacy constraints and non-zero leakage," in *2022 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*, 2022, pp. 13–18.
- [22] S. Asoodeh, M. Diaz, F. Alajaji, and T. Linder, "Information extraction under privacy constraints," *Information*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 15, 2016.
- [23] A. Zamani, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "On the privacy-utility trade-off with and without direct access to the private data," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.12475*, 2022.
- [24] B. Rassouli, F. E. Rosas, and D. Gündüz, "Data disclosure under perfect sample privacy," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, pp. 1–1, 2019.
- [25] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Fundamental limits of caching," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 2856–2867, 2014.