

In each task, **you will be given** a question, a set of Wikipedia passages (with their article title provided), a reference response, and a model-predicted response. Each passage is presented as a sequence of sentences (title is indexed as sentence #0). **Your goal is to** 1) label mistakes made in the model prediction and 2) make corrective edits to the model prediction based on your labeled mistakes.

Important Definitions: An ideal response to a question should provide both **answer(s)** that directly responds to the question and **crucial auxiliary information** for better comprehension of the answer(s). We consider auxiliary information as *crucial* if it is used in the reference response. Additionally, all information in an ideal response should be **factually consistent with (i.e., grounded in) the passages**. Note that the reference response is written by a human with potentially different grounding passages, and thus, you might find **answers** that can be found in the passages but are not included in the reference, which are **STILL** expected to be in the model prediction. On the other hand, answers in the reference that cannot be found in or verifiable by the passages are **NOT** expected to be in the model prediction. **To conclude, all answers** are expected in the model prediction **IF AND ONLY IF** it can be found in the passages. **Crucial auxiliary information** is expected in the model prediction **IF AND ONLY IF** it can be found in both the reference response and the passages.

Here are the detailed annotation steps:

STEP 1 - Read the question and label mistakes made in a model-predicted response. As explained above, leverage the reference, **BUT** rely on the passages. Decide the mistake type and follow detailed instructions as follows. We encourage you to use CTRL+F/CMD+F for navigating the reference and passages using keywords.

- **Erroneous Span (i.e., substring):** Highlight each span in the model prediction that contains one of the following errors. Label each span **as short as possible** and make sure each labeled span only contain **one single** information piece. You will be prompted to select the error type and provide an explanation if apply. For each span, label **only one** error type. If a span contains multiple errors, select the error type based on the order below (e.g., if a span contains "irrelevant" information, ignore any "inconsistent fact" it contains).
 - i. **[Irrelevant]:** The span contains "irrelevant" information (e.g., neither an answer nor crucial auxiliary information, defined in the first 2 sentences in "*Important Definitions*"). To detect "irrelevant" errors, you do not need to consider whether the information is factually consistent with the passages or not.
 - ii. **[Repetitive]:** The span repeats information in its previous text. Provide the previous text being repeated (**as short as possible**). **Note that** a "repetitive" span should still be marked even if its previous text being repeated contains an factual or coherence issue (defined below). However, if the previous text is "irrelevant", it should be marked as "irrelevant" too.
 - iii. **[Inconsistent Fact]:** The span is factually inconsistent with the passages. Enter the passage id and sentence id(s) as evidence. **Note that** if you find multiple evidences in the passages, mark only one of them. The need for multiple passage ids usually indicates that you should separate the error into multiple ones (due to multiple information pieces).
 - iv. **[Unverifiable Fact]:** The span is factually unverifiable (i.e., not mentioned in any passage), after **carefully** checking all passages. Common sense (e.g., "a bicycle has two wheels") doesn't need to be verified. However, do not count knowledge only commonly known in a specific region/community as commonsense. This can be subjective, and simply follow your best judgment.
 - v. **[Incoherent]:** The span contains major grammar error (ignore minor typos), is uninterpretable, contradicts to common sense, or is not coherent with its context.
- **Missing Information:** Identify information that is expected but missing in the model prediction. Check "*Important Definitions*" above to see how to identify such information. Classify **each piece** of missing information as **[Missing Answer]** or **[Missing Major/Minor Auxiliary Information]**, and enter the passage id and sentence id(s) as evidence. Mark the missing auxiliary information as **major** if you think the information is indeed helpful for understanding the answer. Otherwise (e.g., a bit off-topic), mark it as **minor**. Simply follow your best judgment. Follow the same "**Note that**" rule under "*[Inconsistent Fact]*" above.

STEP 2 - Correct the model prediction to address your labeled mistakes in STEP 1. **Instead of** copying and pasting the reference into the box, make minimal local edits on the original prediction. Make sure the corrected version is fluent and free of typos. In rare cases when you find no useful information in passages for answering the question, you can leave the box as blank if necessary.