


I. STABILITY PLOTS



In this appendix we present similar stability plots to those shown in the main text. These are given in Figs. 3, 4 and 7 to 9 for the $B \rightarrow D^*$ form factors and in Figs. 1, 2, 5, 6 and 10 to 12 for the $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ form factors. We also give similar plots to those given in the main text showing variations in our chiral-continuum extrapolation parameters. These are given in Figs. 13 to 18.

FIG. 1. Values of f^s for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.









FIG. 2. Values of g^s for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.

FIG. 3. Values of F_1 for $B \rightarrow D^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.









FIG. 4. Values of F_2 for $B \rightarrow D^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.

FIG. 5. Values of F_1^s for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.









FIG. 6. Values of F_2^S for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.

FIG. 7. Values of F_{T_1} for $B \rightarrow D^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.









FIG. 8. Values of F_{T_2} for $B \rightarrow D^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.

FIG. 9. Values of F_{T_3} for $B \rightarrow D^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.









FIG. 10. Values of $F_{T_1}^s$ for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.

FIG. 11. Values of $F_{T_2}^s$ for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.




FIG. 12. Values of $F_{T_3}^s$ for $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$ for different combinations of correlator fits using different parameters. The red line and error band corresponds to our chosen combination and the blue line and error band corresponds to the form factors resulting from different fit combinations. We see that no combination of correlator fits results in a significant variation.




FIG. 13. Values of the form factors f^s and g^s evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$, corresponding to the blue, red and green points respectively, for different combinations of chiral-continuum extrapolation parameters. $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of $b_n^{Y,jkl}$ defined in the main text by 0.5, $\sigma_\pi \rightarrow \sigma_\pi/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} and $g_{D^*D\pi}$ by 0.5, and $\mathcal{O}(n_w, n_{M_H}, n_{am_c}, n_{am_h})$ indicates the order to which we sum in n, i, j, k respectively in our fit function.







FIG. 14. Values of the form factors F_1 and F_1^s evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$, corresponding to the blue, red and green points respectively, for different combinations of chiral-continuum extrapolation parameters. $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of $b_n^{Y,jkl}$ defined in the main text by 0.5, $\sigma_\pi \rightarrow \sigma_\pi/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} and $g_{D^*D\pi}$ by 0.5, and $\mathcal{O}(n_w, n_{M_H}, n_{am_c}, n_{am_h})$ indicates the order to which we sum in n, i, j, k respectively in our fit function.

FIG. 15. Values of the form factors F_2 and F_2^s evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$, corresponding to the blue, red and green points respectively, for different combinations of chiral-continuum extrapolation parameters. $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of $b_n^{Y,jkl}$ defined in the main text by 0.5, $\sigma_\pi \rightarrow \sigma_\pi/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} and $g_{D^*D\pi}$ by 0.5, and $\mathcal{O}(n_w, n_{M_H}, n_{am_c}, n_{am_h})$ indicates the order to which we sum in n, i, j, k respectively in our fit function.







FIG. 16. Values of the form factors F_{T_1} and $F_{T_1}^s$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$, corresponding to the blue, red and green points respectively, for different combinations of chiral-continuum extrapolation parameters. $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of $b_n^{Y,jkl}$ defined in the main text by 0.5, $\sigma_\pi \rightarrow \sigma_\pi/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} and $g_{D^*D\pi}$ by 0.5, and $\mathcal{O}(n_w, n_{M_H}, n_{am_c}, n_{am_h})$ indicates the order to which we sum in n, i, j, k respectively in our fit function.

FIG. 17. Values of the form factors F_{T_2} and $F_{T_2}^s$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}$, corresponding to the blue, red and green points respectively, for different combinations of chiral-continuum extrapolation parameters. $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of $b_n^{Y,jkl}$ defined in the main text by 0.5, $\sigma_\pi \rightarrow \sigma_\pi/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} and $g_{D^*D\pi}$ by 0.5, and $\mathcal{O}(n_w, n_{M_H}, n_{am_c}, n_{am_h})$ indicates the order to which we sum in n, i, j, k respectively in our fit function.




FIG. 18. Values of the form factors F_{T_3} and $F_{T_3}^s$ evaluated at $q^2 = 1\text{GeV}^2$, $q^2 = 5\text{GeV}^2$ and $q^2 = 10\text{GeV}^2$, corresponding to the blue, red and green points respectively, for different combinations of chiral-continuum extrapolation parameters. $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of $b_n^{Y,jkl}$ defined in the main text by 0.5, $\sigma_\pi \rightarrow \sigma_\pi/2$ indicates that we multiply the prior widths of \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} and $g_{D^*D\pi}$ by 0.5, and $\mathcal{O}(n_w, n_{M_H}, n_{am_c}, n_{am_h})$ indicates the order to which we sum in n, i, j, k respectively in our fit function.