

	Actors Who?	Objects What?	Processes How?	Impacts What impacts?
<b>Transparency</b> (Project -> itself)	<p><b>Researchers:</b> perform research, analysis plans, curate data, build analysis models, analyze &amp; present the scientific findings</p> <p><b>Data Organizations</b> (The Maastricht Study &amp; Statistics-Netherlands) obtain informed consent from individuals &amp; provide data elements.</p> <p><b>Developers:</b> deploy the infrastructure at the data organization, connect resources, assess, security &amp; check analysis output before sending it to researchers. (Q1, Q8)</p>	<p><b>Researchers:</b> create linear regression models (open-repository: <a href="https://gitlab.com/CBDS/DataSharing">gitlab.com/CBDS/DataSharing</a>) &amp; scientific publications*.</p> <p><b>Data organizations:</b> metadata information, a legal framework of jointly analyzing data with other organizations</p> <p><b>Developer:</b> open-source code for the infrastructure (licenses <a href="https://gitlab.com/CBDS/DataSharing">gitlab.com/CBDS/DataSharing</a>) (Q14,Q15)</p>	<p>Federated learning is used to move the analysis model to the data. Only the analysis results are sent back to the researchers. Data always stays at the data organization. All communications (e.g. encrypted information exchange, model transfer, results transfer) are encrypted and signed by the data organization using Secure File Transfer Protocol. (Q23,Q24)</p>	<p>This project combines distributed personal data in a privacy-preserving manner which can potentially increase the use of distributed personal data for research. In the long term, this project helps increase the trust between data subjects and data organizations, encourage data organizations to make their data FAIR (in order to collaborate with other data organization). (Q27,Q29)</p>
<b>Accountability</b> (Project -> organisation)	<p>Data protection officers from each data organization, researcher's organizations are accountable with the terms and conditions of using software or packages from researchers and developers. (Q 60)</p>	<p>We compared the healthcare costs data used by this project with the aggregated healthcare costs data from the entire Dutch population to detect the possible biases in the dataset. But no bias data is found in the project. (Q66)</p>	<p>The project has ethical approval from the data organizations. Each process is described in the metadata page (see above) &amp; with the open repository (above). Researchers &amp; developers do not directly access data.. The generated models are checked and approved by the data organizations before being executed. (Q69,Q71)</p>	<p>Using social security numbers as the unique identifiers to link multiple datasets was prohibited by strict national regulations. A combination of personal identifiable information (e.g. gender, age, address) was used instead. This is equally sensitive but legally allowed for research use. This challenge was summarized in a publication**. (Q74)</p>
<b>Privacy/Confidentiality</b> (Project -> Human autonomy defined as the ability of humans to make their own decisions)	<p>Informed consents between data subjects (study participants) and data organizations, confidential agreement between researchers and data organization, project collaboration agreement, non-disclosure agreement, and joint controller agreement between data organizations. (Q32,33,34)</p>	<p>No new personal data was collected. The used data was collected by the two data organizations. No sensitive private data were disclosed. Metadata such as data collection methods, measurement, &amp; population are findable &amp; accessible. (Q36,Q37,Q41)</p>	<p>If data subjects request to remove their data the organization deletes the database record. The record automatically disappears from the analysis. Four encryption protocols (SHA256, EdDSA, Diffie-Hellman, Mcbits) and 2 authentication processes are applied in the infrastructure. (Q50,Q51,Q52)</p>	<p>The outcomes (the models, the infrastructure) are publicly available. Since no data or related information is shared or exposed the new data paradigm proposed by this project can potentially increase the trust &amp; confidence of individuals. (Q53,Q54)</p>
<b>Societal Values</b> (Project-> society)	<p><b>Researchers:</b> generate knowledge linking diabetes to healthcare costs</p> <p><b>Organizations:</b> provide additional value to society from the data they collect</p> <p><b>Study participants:</b> contribute &amp; benefit from research findings.</p> <p><b>Funders:</b> provide financial support to both researchers and partners</p> <p><b>Policymaker:</b> better allocate resources &amp; improve healthcare services (Q78)</p>	<p>Our open-source code &amp; publications (above) explicit the factors that can bias the results . Factors, such as oversampling diabetes patients, categorizing healthcare costs, are supported by the literature, evidence studies, and governmental documents. (Q79)</p>	<p>Compared with similar studies on different populations and used other data sources to validate the results. (Q80)</p>	<p>The privacy-preserving method we used in the project protects individual's privacy which can potentially increase trust from the general public about how their personal data is used. (Q81)</p>