

Prompt-delayed γ -ray spectroscopy of neutron-rich $^{119,121}\text{In}$ isotopes Supplemental Material

S. Biswas,¹ A. Lemasson,^{1,*} M. Rejmund,¹ A. Navin,¹ Y.H. Kim,^{1,†} C. Michelagnoli,^{1,†} I. Stefan,²
R. Banik,^{3,4} P. Bednarczyk,⁵ Soumik Bhattacharya,^{3,4} S. Bhattacharyya,^{3,4} E. Clément,¹ H.
L. Crawford,⁶ G. de France,¹ P. Fallon,⁶ G. Frémont,¹ J. Goupil,¹ B. Jacquot,¹ H.J. Li,¹
J. Ljungvall,² A. Maj,⁵ L. Ménager,¹ V. Morel,¹ R. Palit,⁷ R.M. Pérez-Vidal,⁸ and J. Ropert¹

¹GANIL, CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3, Bd Henri Becquerel, BP 55027, F-14076 Caen Cedex 05, France

²Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France

³Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, 1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700064, India

⁴Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai-400094, India

⁵Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

⁶Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

⁷Department of Nuclear and Atomic Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, 400005, India

⁸Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC-Universitat de València, E-46980 València, Spain

(Dated: July 6, 2020)

Supplemental material including tabulated values of energies of the levels and γ -ray transitions energies and intensities reported in this work.

The energies and intensities of the γ -ray transitions observed in the present work for ^{119}In and ^{121}In are given respectively in the Tables I and II. The energy uncertainty of prompt and delayed γ rays is ~ 1 keV [1, 2]. The efficiencies for the prompt and delayed γ rays were determined separately. The spin-parities were assigned based on systematics and shell model calculations. The detailed information on the extraction of intensities is given below.

^{119}In

Prompt γ -rays : the intensity of the strongest low lying 1204 keV transition was normalized to 100. The intensities of the other γ -ray transitions (721, 422, 636 and 740) were normalized relative to 1204 keV transition. As 207 and 336 keV transitions lie just above the isomers ($19/2^-$) and ($25/2^+$), respectively, these have been normalized to 100. The intensities of the 289, 145, 599, 499, 1098, 313 and 511 keV transitions were normalized relative to the 207 keV transition. Whereas the intensity of the 460 keV transition was normalized relative to the 336 keV transition. The 60 and 64 keV transitions were not observed in the present data and so their intensities could not be determined.

Delayed γ -rays : the intensity of the 1204 keV transition was normalized to 100. The intensities of 1025, 178, 1020, 928, 94, 218 and 152 keV transitions were normalized relative to the 1204 keV transition.

^{121}In

Prompt γ -rays : the intensity of the strongest low lying 1181 keV transition was normalized to 100. The intensities of the other γ -ray transitions (988, 420, 640, 1052, 160 and 1021 keV) were normalized relative to the 1181 keV transition. The 169 and 326 keV transitions lie just above the isomers ($19/2^-$) and ($25/2^+$), respectively, they have been normalized to 100. The intensities of the 110, 573, 543, and 1116 keV transitions are normalized relative to the 169 keV transition. Whereas the 352 and 763 keV transitions were normalized based on the 326 keV transition. Two transitions of unknown energies X and Y (as Reported in Ref.[3]) could not be observed using the present experimental setup.

Delayed γ -rays : the intensity of the 1181 keV transition was normalized to 100. The intensities of the 953, 214 and 99 keV transitions were normalized relative to the 1181 keV transition. The 1021 and 160 keV transitions are not observed in the delayed spectrum very clearly and hence these two transitions were determined from the prompt γ -ray spectra. The 1052 keV transition was not observed in the delayed spectrum at all.

* lemasson@ganil.fr

† Present address: Institut Laue-Langevin, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex, France

TABLE I. Properties of the transitions assigned to ^{119}In obtained in this work. The relative intensities for the prompt (E_{γ_P}) and delayed (E_{γ_D}) transitions are reported, ordered by decreasing intensity.

E_{γ_P}	I_γ	$J_i^\pi \rightarrow J_f^\pi$	E_i	E_f
207	100	$(21/2^-) \rightarrow (19/2^-)$	2493	2286
289	81(2)	$(23/2^-) \rightarrow (21/2^-)$	2782	2493
145	77(3)	$(25/2^-) \rightarrow (23/2^-)$	2927	2782
599	38(2)	$(27/2^-) \rightarrow (25/2^-)$	3526	2927
499	15(3)	$(29/2^-) \rightarrow (27/2^-)$	4025	2927
1098	27(3)	$(29/2^-) \rightarrow (25/2^-)$	4025	2927
313	10(4)			
511	9(4)			
1204	100	$(13/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+$	1204	0
721	57(3)	$(7/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+$	721	0
422	45(3)	$(11/2^+) \rightarrow (7/2^+)$	1143	721
636	34(4)	$(15/2^+) \rightarrow (11/2^+)$	1779	1143
740	11(4)	$(19/2^+) \rightarrow (15/2^+)$	2519	1779
336	100	$(27/2^+) \rightarrow (25/2^+)$	2992	2656
460	68(2)	$(29/2^+) \rightarrow (27/2^+)$	3452	2992
<hr/>				
E_{γ_D}	I_γ	$J_i^\pi \rightarrow J_f^\pi$	E_i	E_f
1204	100	$(13/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+$	1204	0
1020	55(20)	$(17/2^+) \rightarrow (13/2^-)$	2224	1204
928	44(21)	$(15/2^-) \rightarrow (13/2^+)$	2132	1204
94	40(22)	$(17/2^-) \rightarrow (15/2^-)$	2226	2132
218	37(23)	$(21/2^+) \rightarrow (19/2^-)$	2504	2286
152	33(24)	$(25/2^+) \rightarrow (21/2^+)$	2656	2504
1025	15(7)	$(11/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+$	1025	0
178	8(5)	$(13/2^+) \rightarrow (11/2^+)$	1204	1025

TABLE II. Properties of the γ -ray transitions assigned to ^{121}In obtained in this work. The relative intensities for the prompt (E_{γ_P}) and delayed (E_{γ_D}) transitions are reported, ordered by decreasing intensity. The X and Y energies refers respectively to the energy of the unobserved $(17/2^-) \rightarrow (15/2^-)$ and $(19/2^-) \rightarrow (17/2^-)$ transitions as reported in Ref. [3]. Because of these unobserved transitions, excitation energies of levels above the $15/2^-$ level are not determined.

E_{γ_P}	I_γ	$J_i^\pi \rightarrow J_f^\pi$	E_i	E_f
1181	100	$(13/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^-$	1181	0
988	35(2)	$(7/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+$	988	0
1021	37(2)	$(11/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+$	1021	0
1052	25(3)	$(17/2^+) \rightarrow (13/2^+)$	2233	1181
420	16(2)	$(11/2^-) \rightarrow (7/2^+)$	1408	988
160	11(5)	$(13/2^+) \rightarrow (11/2^+)$	1181	1021
640	10(2)	$(15/2^+) \rightarrow (11/2^+)$	2048	1408
169	100	$(21/2^-) \rightarrow (19/2^-)$	2303 + X + Y	2134 + X + Y
361	85(3)	$(23/2^-) \rightarrow (21/2^-)$	2664 + X + Y	2303 + X + Y
110	57(4)	$(25/2^-) \rightarrow (23/2^-)$	2774 + X + Y	2664 + X + Y
573	35(4)	$(27/2^-) \rightarrow (25/2^-)$	3347 + X + Y	2774 + X + Y
543	20(4)	$(29/2^-) \rightarrow (27/2^-)$	3890 + X + Y	3347 + X + Y
1116	18(5)	$(29/2^-) \rightarrow (25/2^-)$	3890 + X + Y	2774 + X + Y
326	100	$(27/2^+) \rightarrow (25/2^+)$	2773 + X + Y	2447 + X + Y
352	69(3)	$(29/2^+) \rightarrow (27/2^+)$	3125 + X + Y	2773 + X + Y
763	28(3)	$(31/2^+) \rightarrow (29/2^+)$	3888 + X + Y	3125 + X + Y
<hr/>				
E_{γ_D}	I_γ	$J_i^\pi \rightarrow J_f^\pi$	E_i	E_f
1181	100	$(13/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^-$	1181	0
953	40(18)	$(15/2^-) \rightarrow (13/2^+)$	2134	1181
214	25(13)	$(21/2^+) \rightarrow (19/2^-)$	2348 + X + Y	2134 + X + Y
99	10(5)	$(25/2^+) \rightarrow (21/2^+)$	2447 + X + Y	2348 + X + Y

-
- [1] Y. H. Kim, A. Lemasson, M. Rejmund, A. Navin, S. Biswas, C. Michelagnoli, I. Stefan, R. Banik, P. Bednarczyk, S. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, E. Clément, *et al.*, [Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 162 \(2017\)](#)
- [2] S. Biswas, A. Lemasson, M. Rejmund, A. Navin, Y. H. Kim, C. Michelagnoli, I. Stefan, R. Banik, P. Bednarczyk, S. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, E. Clément, *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. C **99**, 064302 \(2019\)](#)
- [3] R. Lucas, M.-G. Porquet, T. Venkova, I. Deloncle, M. Houry, C. Theisen, A. Astier, A. Bauchet, S. Lalkovski, G. Barreau, N. Buforn, T. Doan, *et al.*, [Eur. Phys. J. A **15**, 315 \(2002\)](#)