

Incremental Few-Shot Object Detection for Robotics

Yiting Li, Haiyue Zhu, Yeying Jin, Jun Ma, Chek Sing Teo,
Cheng Xiang, Prahlad Vadakkepat, and Tong Heng Lee

Abstract—Incremental few-shot learning is highly expected for practical robotics applications. On one hand, robot is desired to learn novel tasks quickly and flexibly using only few annotated training samples; on the other hand, robot is also desired to learn additional concepts continuously without forgetting the previous learned knowledge. In this work, we propose a novel Class-Incremental Few-Shot Object Detection (CI-FSOD) framework that enables deep object detection network to perform effective continual learning from just a few samples without re-accessing the previous training data. We achieve this by equipping the widely-used Faster-RCNN detector with three elegant components. Firstly, to best preserve performance on the pre-trained base classes, we propose a novel Double-Branch Framework which decouples the representation learning of base and novel categories into different branches. Secondly, to mitigate catastrophic forgetting on the accumulated new novel classes, we propose a Stable Moment Matching (SMM) method, which is able to restrict the overall weight displacement of the current-task optimum model updated from the local region of the previous task’s optimum. As a result, it achieves a balanced point between the new knowledge adaption and the old knowledge retention. Thirdly, to promote inter-task class separation in feature space, we propose a novel regularization technique that extends the classification boundary further away from the previous classes to avoid misclassification. Overall, our framework is simple yet effective and outperforms the previous SOTA with a significant margin of 2.4 points in AP performance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Object detection [1]–[3] is an fundamental vision task which is required by a lot of robotic applications, such as grasping, manipulation, and navigation, etc. [4]–[7] However, different with the standard computer vision task setting that prior large-scale dataset and sufficient computation resource are available for model learning, the successful deployment of object detection on robotic tasks pose many new challenges for the algorithms. First, as robot is desired to adapted to handle new tasks flexibly, the detection task is often involving frequently, so that the feature to learn novel classes from only few training samples is highly expected to avoid the time-consuming dataset preparation, especially for many applications in a low-data regime where the labeled data is rare and hard to collect. Secondly, robot is also desired to learn additional concepts continuously without forgetting the previous learned knowledge, which demands incremental

Y. Li, Y. Jin, J. Ma, C. Xiang, P. Vadakkepat, and T. H. Lee are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117583 (e-mail: yiting_li@u.nus.edu, e0178303@u.nus.edu, elemj@nus.edu.sg, elexc@nus.edu.sg, prahlad@nus.edu.sg, eleleeth@nus.edu.sg).

H. Zhu and C. S. Teo are with the Singapore Institute of Manufacturing Technology, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore 138634 (zhu.haiyue@simtech.a-star.edu.sg, csteo@simtech.a-star.edu.sg).




Fig. 1. We propose a Class-Incremental Few-Shot Object Detection (CI-FSOD) framework, it can incrementally adapt the online detector model to handle new detection tasks in an expendable manner by using only few-shot samples, while the performance on previous classes are not degraded significantly. Moreover, such model adaptation only utilize few-shot training samples for new classes in current incremental step without accessing the old-class samples, so that the adaptation is very lightweight which is friendly for robotics deployment with computation hardware limitation.

learning to online reliably register new tasks for a deep model in a continuous manner. Moreover, the model deployment on robotic system is normally with very limited computation resource, such as on edge device, etc., which further restricts the incremental learning on hardware limitation.

To address the above practical challenges for robotics society, we propose a novel framework in this work to achieve Class-Incremental Few-Shot Object Detection (CI-FSOD) simultaneously, shown as in Fig. 1. Unlike the conventional FSOD methods, CI-FSOD requires not only to reduce the hardware resource requirement but also to retain the comparable detection performance. Hence, a desirable solution should contain three important aspects. First, the model can learn efficiently only from a continual data stream without catastrophic forgetting. When the model adapts to new tasks, it cannot be fine-tuned with previous task data to achieve fast adaption and memory saving. Second, the model should generalize well even when the set of training samples is very small for novel classes. Third, previous knowledge gained from the large-scale base training set should be well preserved. It is noted that many previous approaches only focus on promoting feature representation for novel classes but fail to achieve good knowledge retention on the base classes.

Catastrophic forgetting affects the training of deep models, limiting their abilities to learn multiple tasks sequentially. To tackle catastrophic forgetting for the large-scale base classes

to maintain the overall performance, TFA [8] only fine-tunes the last two fc layers on a small balanced training set containing both base and novel classes, while the feature extractor is kept to be fixed. This could be viewed as a practical approach to preserve the previously learned feature distribution for base classes. However, it is unreasonable that the pre-trained ROI feature extractor, which contains much semantic information of base classes, could be used directly to represent unseen novel classes without further fine-tuning. In contrast, when we fine-tune TFA by unfreezing ROI feature extractor, it is surprising to see that the AP performance on novel classes could be significantly improved from 9.9 to 12.3 points. Such findings indicate that fine-tuning deep CNN feature extraction layers are crucial for learning unseen concepts since it can obtain better features. However, the worse results on base class (decreasing from 28.8 to 26.5 points) reveal that fine-tuning base classes with limited data may inevitably lead to catastrophic forgetting of the originally generalizable representation [9]. To better preserve base classes' performance, we propose to decouple the representation learning of base and novel classes into two independent branches. In particular, we propose a novel Double-Branch Framework to take care of both base-class retention and novel-class adaption simultaneously.

CI-FOSD also faces the class confusion on those incrementally encountered novel classes. In this work, we find that such issues can be attributed to two reasons. First, for models learn in a few-shot sequential manner, overfitting caused by data scarcity may further exacerbate catastrophic forgetting on the previously learned tasks. According to the well-established plasticity-stability dilemma [10], a model not only requires plasticity to adapt quickly to novel tasks but also requires stability to prevent catastrophic forgetting on the knowledge of old tasks. As a result, networks trained on sequential few-shot tasks tend to be less stable and forget previously seen tasks more severely. A common approach Incremental Moment Matching (mean-IMM) [11] proposes to prevent catastrophic forgetting by interpolating weights of different learning tasks, which aims at restricting the parameter for the current task to be nearby the minima of previous tasks. However, mean-IMM is developed for data-sufficient scenarios where abundant training samples are available for each novel class, which may not work well with few-shot scenarios. Moreover, it cannot achieve long-term memory unless storing model weights for all tasks, which can be highly memory-inefficient to a long sequence of tasks [12].

Moreover, consider the incremental learning on robotics deployment, the model adaptation can only access the data of the current task since no data of previous tasks can be stored and replayed. As a result, inter-class separation can only be achieved inside the current task, while the discrimination between inter-task classes is missed. For example, if the first task is to discriminate truck vs car and the second task is to classify bus vs airplane, no discriminative feature learned specifically to distinguish truck from the bus. In this work, we address the above mentioned issues from two




Fig. 2. Illustration of the proposed Double Branch Framework. 1) The static learning branch only updates its new-added weights on the box classifier in the current task, responsible for preserving the original base-class feature distributions. 2) The dynamic learning branch jointly updates its ROI feature extractor, box regressor, and the new-added box classifier weights, designed to model the new-coming classes better. Finally, corresponding parts of the prediction confidence vectors from two branches are sliced and concatenated for the overall prediction scores.

perspectives. First, an improved Stable Moment Matching (SMM) approach is proposed for CI-FSOD setting to overcome the over-plastic dilemma caused by data scarcity, so that the training stability can be reinforced by exerting a stronger resistance force towards model updating. Second, the inter-task class separation is enhanced for CI-FSOD by a margin-based regularization loss to optimize margins for the frequently misclassified old classes, which we store the ROI features of previous tasks rather than the original images for representing old classes. Thus, our proposal CI-FSOD keeps the lightweight and memory-saving model adaptation suitable for robotics deployment on edge device.

II. RELATED WORKS

Incremental Few-Shot Learning Incremental Few-shot learning is attracting increasing attention due to its realistic applications [13], [14]. However, most of the existing methods are proposed to address the single image classification problem, hence not readily applicable to object detection. Our method falls within the context of the regularization-based learning approach [11], [15], [16]. For example, when learning incrementally, ILDVQ [15] preserves feature representation of the network on older tasks by using a less-forgetting loss, where response targets are computed using data from the current task. As a result, ILDVQ does not require the storage of older training data. However, this strategy may be inefficient if the data for the new task is too few or just belongs to a distribution different from those of prior tasks. In contrast, we address the incremental few-shot learning problem from a new perspective of parameter space. The proposed Stable Moment Matching algorithm strengthens the stability of few-shot adaptation and is more robust to data scarcity.

Few-Shot Object Detection The most recent few-shot detection approaches are adapted from the few-shot learning paradigm. [17] proposes a distillation-based approach with background depression regularization to eliminate the redundant amount of distracting backgrounds. A meta-learning attention generation network is proposed in [18] to empha-

size the category-relevant information by reweighting top-layer feature maps with class-specific channel-wise attention vectors. Sharing the same insight, Meta-RCNN [19] applies the generated attention to each region proposal instead of the top-layer feature map. TFA [8] replaces the original classification head of Faster-RCNN with a cosine classifier to stabilize the adaptation procedure.

III. METHODS

A. Problem Formulation

The CI-FSOD problem is normally formulated as a two-phase learning task. In the first representation learning phase ($n = 0$), a detection model $F_0(\cdot|\mathbf{W}_0)$ is pretrained on a large set of base classes where \mathbf{W}_0 denotes the learned weight parameters. In the second incremental learning phase ($n > 0$), given the sequential new few-shot task \mathcal{T}_n , the model updating $F_n(\cdot|\mathbf{W}_n)$ is performed over multiple stages from \mathcal{T}_1 to \mathcal{T}_n . During the n -th learning step, the previous category space \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} is expanded with new classes \mathcal{C}_n in \mathcal{T}_n , so that $\mathcal{Y}_n = \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{C}_n$, where $\mathcal{Y}_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{C}_n = \emptyset$ is assumed for simplicity. The objective of CI-FSOD is to effectively learn a $F_n(\cdot|\mathbf{W}_n)$ which is capable to detect all the classes in \mathcal{Y}_n with high accuracy. In this work, we use “base” and “novel” classes to differentiate the classes in representation learning phase ($n = 0$, not few-shot manner) and incremental learning phase ($n > 0$, few-shot manner). Moreover, we use “new” and “old” classes to denote the new coming classes in \mathcal{T}_n and previous few-shot classes from \mathcal{T}_1 to $n-1$, noted that both of them are belong to novel classes.

B. Overall Framework

In this work, a novel Double-Branch Framework (DBF) is proposed to address the balance problem between base-class knowledge retention and novel-class knowledge adaptation for CI-FSOD. The schematics of DBF is shown as in Fig. 2, where the base detector here we use Faster-RCNN for illustration example. It is also noted the proposed DBF framework is also compatible to other base detectors such as YOLO, etc. The speciality of Fig. 2 exists on its proposal classification head, where DBF is implemented with two parallel branches, termed as the “Static Learning Branch” and “Dynamic Learning Branch”.

The static learning branch adopts a similar training strategy as TFA [8]. When a new incremental task \mathcal{T}_n (few-shot training data with only classes \mathcal{C}_n) comes, it freezes the backbone, ROI feature extractor, and box regressor, while only continually expands the weight matrix of box classifier and fine-tunes it with cosine similarity. Thus, the original feature representation is preserved to represent base-class feature distribution best in the static learning branch. In contrast, the dynamic learning branch is trained by unfreezing the ROI feature extractor to learn better feature embedding for novel classes, together with a proposed SMM (Section III.B) and another effective inter-task class regularization (Section III.C) to better prevent catastrophic forgetting when learning long-sequential tasks. After that, the final predicted proposal classification output is concatenated from the two branches




Fig. 3. (a) In data-rare cases, the low-error surface around each local minima is sharp and narrow. Thus, the likelihood for these low-error surface overlapping becomes very small when the learning continues, dramatically increasing the difficulty of finding optimal solutions that perform well on different tasks. (b) Our intuition is that by restricting the magnitude of parameter displacement for each task, the obtained local minima can stay closer, allowing more ellipsoids to overlap. (c) Linear interpolation with the knowledge base model is performed in each iteration with an adaptive ratio in SMM.

as illustrated in Fig. 2, where the base-class scores are from the static learning branch and the novel-class scores are from the dynamic learning branch. Such a combination provides a better unbiased prediction of the overall learned classes in CI-FSOD setting.

It is worth to note, that for both branches, when expands the box classifier to include a new incremental task, we don’t randomly initialize the whole weight matrix. Instead, an weight imprinting technique [20] is used to set the new-class weights by averaging the corresponding normalized feature vectors per class, which is represented as

$$z_{avg} = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\phi_{\theta}(x_i)}{\|\phi_{\theta}(x_i)\|_2}, \quad x_i \in C_j \quad (1)$$

where $\phi_{\theta}(x_i)$ donates the extracted foreground region-proposal feature for a new class in \mathcal{C}_n . The final classifier weight is calculated by normalizing the averaged features, where $w_{C_j} = z_{avg} / \|z_{avg}\|_2$.

C. Stable Moment Matching (SMM)

Catastrophic forgetting is one of the main challenges for CI-FSOD. During the long-sequential incremental learning, data for previous tasks are often unavailable due to the hardware resource limitation or privacy issues [21], which causes the performance of the model on previous tasks to degrade dramatically. Regularization-based approaches such as EWC [16] and mean-IMM [11] preserve previous knowledge by preventing the parameter updating for the current task from going far away from the previous optimum. In this work, an improved approach, i.e., Stable Moment Matching, is proposed for CI-FSOD with simplicity and effectiveness, which is compatible and integrated into the dynamic learning branch of the proposed DBF framework.

Mean-IMM assumes a linear connector without high loss barriers between the local minima for two tasks when they start from the same initialization, so that one possible optimum performs well for both tasks may lie on the interpolation line of the individual two solutions. However, we argue that such linear connectivity can only be guaranteed when the loss surfaces around those minima are wide and




Fig. 4. Illustration of the proposed Stable Moment Matching (SMM). Knowledge base is obtained by only fine-tuning the new-added weights in the box classifier. SMM simply interpolates the parameters of two models during each iteration. Once finishing the current task, we use the last interpolated model as the new knowledge base for next task.

flat [10], [22], [23], which allows the low-error ellipsoid around each optimum to have a high chance to overlap with the others. Hence, we can foresee that one key problem of directly applying the original mean-IMM into CI-FSOD setting is, when adapting to new tasks with small training data, the model is often over-trained and likely to encounter overfitting, thus resulting in the obtained low-error ellipsoid around each local optimum to be sharp and narrow [24], [25]. As a consequence, the narrow local minima are less likely to overlap with the others. Thus the chance of existing loss-smooth linear connector between two tasks quickly becomes very small as the learning continues, illustrated as in Fig. 3 (a). Hence, a model is often over-plastic (forgetting quickly) and less stable in the few-shot learning setting. To ensure that linear connectivity between different local minima exists, ellipsoids of each local minima should always overlap with each other even when the learning continues [26]. Thus our intuition is that local minima for different tasks should be restricted to stay strictly close with each other in order for more flexibility in finding the parameters that perform well for all tasks even after learning in a sequential incremental few-shot manner.

Assume the local minima obtained through SGD from two continual tasks \mathcal{T}_{n-1} and \mathcal{T}_n are \mathbf{w}^{n-1} and \mathbf{w}^n , respectively, where \mathbf{w} represents model parameters contained in ROI feature extractor, box classifier, and box regressor in CI-FSOD. Mean-IMM simply adopts parameter interpolation as,

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \alpha \mathbf{w}^{n-1} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{w}^n, \quad (2)$$

where α is the interpolation ratio. If $\alpha = 0.5$, \mathbf{w}^* becomes the mean of \mathbf{w}^{n-1} and \mathbf{w}^n . However, mean-IMM ignores the fact that the existence of the linear connectivity between two local minima is highly dependent on the small overall displacement between \mathbf{w}^{n-1} and \mathbf{w}^n , defined as $\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n = \|\mathbf{w}^{n-1} - \mathbf{w}^n\|^2$. In this work, we propose an optimized learning regime by exerting a stronger resistance force on the overall displacement between the sequentially learned local minima. In particular, the proposed Stable Moment Matching (SMM) improves mean-IMM by continually updating model weights through parameter interpolation for each iteration with an adaptive interpolation ratio. Specifically, the representation learning of each task are decoupled into two phases: classifier learning phase $Phase_{fc}$ and representation learning phase $Phase_{ex}$.

In $Phase_{fc}$, assuming the model weights obtained from the previous task \mathcal{T}_{n-1} is \mathbf{w}^{n-1} , when a new task \mathcal{T}_n comes, we adopt the commonly used weight imprinting strategy [20] to initialize the classification weights for the new classes contained in \mathcal{T}_n . Then, we freeze the backbone, RPN and ROI feature extractor, and only fine-tune the new imprinted weights in the fc classifier as well as the box regressor. The obtained model \mathbf{w}_b^n , denoted as knowledge base, preserves previous task knowledge to the best content thus can be used as a base model for $Phase_{ex}$. In $Phase_{ex}$, we unfreeze the ROI feature extractor and use the knowledge base \mathbf{w}_b^n for iterative linear interpolation. Once finish the t -th gradient descent update, the linear interpolation is conducted between the knowledge base \mathbf{w}_b^n and the newly updated weights \mathbf{w}_t^n which obtained from the latest t -th single gradient descent,

$$^{intpl} \mathbf{w}_t^n = \mathbf{w}_b^n \cdot \alpha + \mathbf{w}_t^n \cdot (1 - \alpha), \quad (3)$$

where α is the interpolation ratio. Iteratively, the obtained interpolated weights $^{intpl} \mathbf{w}_t^n$ is then used as the starting point for the next $t + 1$ iteration, where the process is visualized in Fig. 3 (c). Specifically, given a mini-batch of images and a learning rate η , the model is updated according to the gradient descent for one iteration,

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1}^n = ^{intpl} \mathbf{w}_t^n - \eta \cdot \nabla \mathbf{L}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{L} represents the overall loss function. During the training of each task, the knowledge base model is fixed to be the same until converging. Only when a new task \mathcal{T}_{n+1} comes, the knowledge base model \mathbf{w}_b^{n+1} will be updated accordingly as mentioned in $Phase_{fc}$. The overall flowchart of the proposed SMM is illustrated in Fig. 4.

From the standpoint of parameter space, an observation can be made for the total displacement $\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n$ of model parameters for a n -th new task. Assuming the learning step length $|\eta \cdot \nabla \mathbf{L}|$ is bounded by some constant $|\nabla \mathbf{L}|_{max}$, the upper bound of $\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n$ for SMM with N iterations of fine-tuning can be derived using recursion with (3) and (4) as,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n|_{max}^{SMM} &= \sum_{t=1}^N (1 - \alpha)^t |\mathbf{L}|_{max} \\ &= \frac{(1 - (1 - \alpha)^N) |\mathbf{L}|_{max}}{\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathbf{L}|_{max}}{\alpha}, \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which indicates that the displacement of our approach is upper-bounded by $\frac{|\mathbf{L}|_{max}}{\alpha}$. By Similarly, according to the mean-IMM approach, its corresponding upper bound of $\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n$ with N iterations of fine-tuning can be derived as,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n|_{max}^{mean-IMM} &= (1 - \alpha) \sum_{t=1}^N |\mathbf{L}|_{max} \\ &= (1 - \alpha) N |\mathbf{L}|_{max}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Obviously, it can be easily seen that when N increases, $|\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n|_{max}^{mean-IMM} > |\Delta \mathbf{w}_{n-1}^n|_{max}^{SMM}$ is easy to hold as the upper-bound of our method is independent of the iteration




Fig. 5. (a) Category confusion caused by feature drift. Samples of old tasks are well separated from new tasks initially. However, when a model is biased towards new-task classes during the fine-tuning, features of old classes are drifted and may be mixed up with those new classes. (b) Category confusion is caused by similar visual classes, such as different categories of dogs. (c) The proposed inter-task separation loss assigns more decision margins for the drifted old classes and promotes a more compact representation for current-task new classes.

number N , which indicates the proposed SMM can provide smaller and controllable parameter displacement than mean-IMM for existence of the linear connectivity, illustrated as in Fig. 3 (b). Moreover, a L2 regularization term [11] for further promoting the linear connectivity between the current model $^{intpl}\mathbf{w}_t^n$ and its corresponding knowledge base \mathbf{w}_b^n can be defined as $L_{reg} = ||^{intpl}\mathbf{w}_t^n - \mathbf{w}_b^n||^2$.

With a constant learning step length, the interpolation ratio α will determine the distance of the overall trajectory. A smaller α may increase the upper bound of the overall displacement from the beginning of training to bring more plasticity. However, using a small interpolation rate means applying a larger update to the model weights for a sequential learning problem. Therefore, the resultant model may learn fast but also forget quickly. Hence, we propose an adaptive interpolation ratio that starts with a small interpolation rate at the beginning of training. Then, we slightly increase the interpolation rate for each subsequent task to stabilize the optimization trajectory and prevent forgetting. Precisely, the adaptive interpolation ratio α for the n -th task in q -th training epoch is calculated as,

$$\alpha(n, q) = (r_{step} \cdot n + r_{base}) \cdot q / N_{epoch}, \quad (7)$$

where r_{base} and r_{step} are the base rate and step increasing rate. N_{epoch} represents the total number of training epochs for each task. Note that the current epoch number q will be reset to 0 at the beginning of each task.

D. Inter-Task Separation Loss

In the sequential learning manner of CI-FSOD, no training samples of previous tasks can be stored for fine-tuning. Normally, only inter-class separation for the current task can be achieved through per-task learning, while the discrimination between classes from different tasks is missing. This issue could cause two problems: 1) features of old classes from previous tasks may suffer from drift as the fine-tuned feature extractor is likely to be biased towards those novel classes from the new task. Thus the previously learned classification weights for old classes are no longer compatible to the current feature embedding space [15], [27]. 2) feature regions of novel classes in a new task could overlap with those old classes if similar discriminative features are

shared, leading to more ambiguity among classes in the feature space. Such issues are conceptually illustrated in Fig. 5(a) and (b), respectively.

Eliminating such inter-task class ambiguities is challenging as it requires storing data for all old classes in previous tasks, which may cause data privacy or hardware memory issues for CI-FSOD. In this work, we propose a more feasible approach that only stores representative feature embeddings rather than raw images, which is more memory-efficient and privacy-secure. Although feature space generally suffers from drifting along each incremental step, such drift can be effectively restricted to a minimal amount by our proposed SMM regularization. Therefore, the stored historical embedding can be used as a practical approximation to represent old-class feature distributions.

Our approach is based on the observation that old classes' embeddings are easy to confuse with new classes in future tasks. Therefore, a margin-based separation loss can be used to expand decision regions for old classes and encourage a more compact representation for new classes. As a result, the model's generalization capability can be improved. This concept is illustrated in Fig. 5(c). Specifically, the foreground ROI feature embeddings are sampled during each historical incremental task ($\mathcal{T}_{i=1 \text{ to } n-1}$) and stored as $\mathbf{X}_{old} = \{f(\mathbf{x})\}$, where $f(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the extracted feature for the corresponding ROI proposals. Given a new-task few-shot dataset \mathcal{T}_n , we randomly sample a fixed number of their foreground ROI features $\mathbf{X}_{new} = \{f(\mathbf{x})\}$ through each image. In the meantime, an equal number of historical feature embeddings are sampled from \mathbf{X}_{old} for each old class. With the cosine similarity, if a ROI feature \mathbf{x} belongs to the new classes, we use the normalized weights of its ground-truth class weight vector θ_{new}^+ as a positive template, and the negative template θ_{old}^- is selected from the old classes' weight vector that yields the highest similarity to $f(\mathbf{x})$, while the same arrangement is applied to those old-class feature embeddings. Mathematically, the proposed inter-task separation loss can be formulated as

$$L_{mgn} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_n \in New} \max(m - \kappa \theta_{new}^+ f(\mathbf{x}_n) + \kappa \theta_{old}^- f(\mathbf{x}_n), 0) + \sum_{\mathbf{x}_o \in Old} \max(m - \kappa \theta_{old}^+ f(\mathbf{x}_o) + \kappa \theta_{new}^- f(\mathbf{x}_o), 0) \quad (8)$$

where m is a margin, κ is a scale parameter used to ensure the convergence of training [28], and θ_{new}^+ and θ_{old}^+ are the positive templates for new-class and old-class proposals, respectively, using the weight vectors for the ground-truth classes. θ_{old}^- and θ_{new}^- are the negative templates for new-class and old-class proposals, respectively, using the highest-similarity weight vectors from the other groups, i.e., old and new classes.

The goal of this branch is to best preserve base-class knowledge without catastrophic forgetting, thus during each incremental step n , only the new imprinted weights are fine-tuned for those new classes in task \mathcal{T}_n , while all other parts of the network are being frozen.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

We use Faster-RCNN as our base detector and an ImageNet pretrained Resnet-50 [29] is employed as the backbone. For the pre-training phase, a detection model is optimized with Stochastic Gradient Descent for the first five epoch, with a minibatch size of 16, learning rate of 0.02, momentum of 0.9, and weight decay of 0.0001. For the incremental fine-tuning phase, we sequentially add one class per task. Training epoch for each task is set to be 7. A smaller learning rate of 0.005 is adopted for the two branches. For the adaptive interpolation ratio $\alpha(n, t)$, we set $r_{step} = 0.015$, $r_{base} = 0.1$. For L_{mgn} , we use $\kappa = 10$ to ensure the training convergence. For the loss importance $L_{total} = L_{ce} + \lambda_1 \cdot L_{mgn} + \lambda_2 \cdot L_{reg}$, we use $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 0.001$. Our implementation is based on Pytorch with 4 GTX 2080TI, code to reproduce the results will be made publicly available.

A. Results on MS-COCO Dataset

To evaluate our approach, we follow the common practice of k -shot but with the critical difference that no image of the previous task is stored. In particular, we follow the same experiment setting with Meta-RCNN [19]. As shown in Table I, we have compared our approach to multiple baselines. The first two baselines, “Feature-Reweight” [18] and “ONCE” [30] are meta-learning approaches. The third baseline denoted as “Imprinting” denotes the original imprinting approach used for image classification [20]. For the fourth baseline, “TFA-fc,” we extend the original TFA, which was developed for a non-incremental setting into a sequential learning manner. In particular, upon each task arrival, we only fine-tune the new-imprinted weights of each novel class for that task. We further unfreeze the ROI feature extractor and box regressor for fine-tuning in the following baseline denotes as “TFA-ex.” In addition, we also include the commonly-used method Incremental Moment Matching into our baseline methods, where denotes as “TFA-ex + mean-IMM.” To evaluate our proposed framework DBF, we only fine-tune the new-imprinted fc weights for the current task for the static learning branch, while all other structures are fixed. For the dynamic learning branch, we fine-tune the ROI feature extractor, box regressor, and the new-imprinted weights (only the current task) for the box classifier with multiple strategies.

Regarding the results, we have several observations. 1) The accuracy of the existing meta-learning approaches is still far away from satisfaction. Although fine-tuning meta learners can further improve them, the episodic learning scheme is memory inefficient when the number of classes increases, making them not feasible for real-world CI-FSOD scenarios. 2) Compared with the baseline TFA-fc, unfreezing ROI feature extractor (TFA-ex) leads to worse results, which indicate that naively fine-tuning the feature representation with sequential few-shot data may cause aggravated catastrophic forgetting. (3) mean-IMM can relieve such aggravated catastrophic forgetting to some extent. However, compared with the strong baseline TFA-fc, its effectiveness is

TABLE I
COMPARISON WITH CI-FSOD BASELINES ON MS-COCO.

Method	5-Shot		10-Shot	
	Novel AP	Base AP	Novel AP	Base AP
Feature-Reweight	0.8	3.3	1.5	3.7
ONCE	1.0	17.9	1.2	17.9
Imprinting	3.8	28.6	5.8	28.5
TFA-fc	4.5	28.8	6.2	28.7
TFA-ex	3.4	20.3	5.1	19.5
TFA-ex + mean-IMM	4.6	23.1	6.5	22.6
DBF (ours)	3.2	28.7	5.0	28.7
DBF + mean-IMM (baseline)	4.7	28.8	6.5	28.7
DBF + SMM (ours)	5.6	28.8	7.3	28.7
DBF + SMM + Inter-Sep (ours)	6.3	28.8	8.6	28.7

TABLE II
COMPARISON WITH BASELINES FROM MS-COCO TO PASCAL VOC.

Methods	5-Shot	10-Shot
ONCE	2.4	2.6
Imprinting	3.9	10.6
TFA-fc	4.3	12.7
DBF + SMM + Inter-Sep (ours)	6.5	15.1

still marginal. 4) The proposed SMM approach outperforms the original mean-IMM approach by 1.1 points under 10-shots, which indicates that restricting the overall parameter displacement is crucial for preventing catastrophic forgetting. 5) The proposed inter-task class separation regularization consistently performs better than training with traditional cross-entropy loss, indicating the importance of inter-task class discrimination. In the end, our proposed methods gain +1.8 AP for 5-shot and +2.4 AP for 10-shot above current SOTA, which is more significant than the gaps between any previous advancements.

B. Results on MS-COCO to Pascal VOC

We then evaluated the proposed method in a cross-dataset setting, where model is first trained on MS-COCO and evaluated on PASCAL VOC2007 test set. The results in Table II confirm the generalization advantages of our method when transfers to a test domain different from the training one.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we propose a generic learning scheme for CI-FSOD, which incrementally adapt the online detector model to handle new detection tasks in an expendable manner by using only few-shot samples. Our approach also addresses the performance degradation issue of CI-FSOD on previous classes by the proposed DBF and SMM methods. More, an Inter-Task Class Separation loss is proposed to promote a better separation margin between old and new classes without accessing the previous old-class training images, so it is very suitable for robotics deployment on edge device. The effectiveness is validated by extensive experiments, where our approach yields the state-of-the-art performance and outperform the previous algorithm with a significant margin.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun, “Faster R-CNN: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 28, 2015, pp. 91–99.
- [2] A. Bochkovskiy, C.-Y. Wang, and H.-Y. M. Liao, “YOLOv4: Optimal speed and accuracy of object detection,” 2020.
- [3] Z. Cai and N. Vasconcelos, “Cascade r-cnn: Delving into high quality object detection,” *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018.
- [4] H. Karaoguz and P. Jensfelt, “Object detection approach for robot grasp detection,” in *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2019, pp. 4953–4959.
- [5] H.-k. Chiu, A. Prioletti, J. Li, and J. Bohg, “Probabilistic 3d multi-object tracking for autonomous driving,” *2021 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2021.
- [6] H. Bae, E. Brophy, R. Chan, B. Chen, F. Feng, G. Graffieti, V. Goel, X. Hao, H. Han, S. Kanagarajah, S. Kumar, S. K. Lam, T. L. Lam, C. Lan, Q. Liu, V. Lomonaco, L. Ma, D. Maltoni, G. Parisi, and L. Zhou, “Iros 2019 lifelong robotic vision: Object recognition challenge [competitions],” *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, vol. 27, pp. 11–16, 06 2020.
- [7] E. Langer, T. Patten, and M. Vincze, “Robust and efficient object change detection by combining global semantic information and local geometric verification,” in *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2020, pp. 8453–8460.
- [8] X. Wang, T. E. Huang, T. Darrell, J. E. Gonzalez, and F. Yu, “Frustratingly Simple Few-Shot Object Detection,” *arXiv e-prints*, 2020.
- [9] B. Zhou, Q. Cui, X.-S. Wei, and Z.-M. Chen, “Bbn: Bilateral-branch network with cumulative learning for long-tailed visual recognition,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2020, pp. 9719–9728.
- [10] S. I. Mirzadeh, M. Farajtabar, R. Pascanu, and H. Ghasemzadeh, “Understanding the role of training regimes in continual learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.06958*, 2020.
- [11] S.-W. Lee, J.-H. Kim, J. Jun, J.-W. Ha, and B.-T. Zhang, “Overcoming catastrophic forgetting by incremental moment matching,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.08475*, 2017.
- [12] M. Riemer, I. Cases, R. Ajemian, M. Liu, I. Rish, Y. Tu, and G. Tesauro, “Learning to learn without forgetting by maximizing transfer and minimizing interference,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.11910*, 2018.
- [13] C. Finn, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine, “Model-agnostic meta-learning for fast adaptation of deep networks,” in *ICML*, 2017.
- [14] J. Snell, K. Swersky, and R. Zemel, “Prototypical networks for few-shot learning,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 30, 2017.
- [15] K. Chen and C.-G. Lee, “Incremental few-shot learning via vector quantization in deep embedded space,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [16] J. Kirkpatrick, R. Pascanu, N. Rabinowitz, J. Veness, G. Desjardins, A. A. Rusu, K. Milan, J. Quan, T. Ramalho, A. Grabska-Barwinska et al., “Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks,” *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, vol. 114, no. 13, pp. 3521–3526, 2017.
- [17] H. Chen, Y. Wang, G. Wang, and Y. Qiao, “LSTD: A low-shot transfer detector for object detection,” in *AAAI*, 2018.
- [18] B. Kang, Z. Liu, X. Wang, F. Yu, J. Feng, and T. Darrell, “Few-shot object detection via feature reweighting,” in *ICCV*, 2019.
- [19] X. Yan, Z. Chen, A. Xu, X. Wang, X. Liang, and L. Lin, “Meta R-CNN: Towards general solver for instance-level low-shot learning,” 10 2019, pp. 9576–9585.
- [20] H. Qi, M. Brown, and D. G. Lowe, “Low-shot learning with imprinted weights,” *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5822–5830, 2018.
- [21] K. Shmelkov, C. Schmid, and K. Alahari, “Incremental learning of object detectors without catastrophic forgetting,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2017, pp. 3400–3409.
- [22] S. I. Mirzadeh, M. Farajtabar, D. Gorur, R. Pascanu, and H. Ghasemzadeh, “Linear mode connectivity in multitask and continual learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.04495*, 2020.
- [23] J. Frankle, G. K. Dziugaite, D. Roy, and M. Carbin, “Linear mode connectivity and the lottery ticket hypothesis,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 3259–3269.
- [24] P. Izmailov, D. Podoprikin, T. Garipov, D. Vetrov, and A. G. Wilson, “Averaging weights leads to wider optima and better generalization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05407*, 2018.
- [25] M. Wortsman, M. Horton, C. Guestrin, A. Farhadi, and M. Rastegari, “Learning neural network subspaces,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.10472*, 2021.
- [26] S. Cha, H. Hsu, T. Hwang, F. P. Calmon, and T. Moon, “Cpr: Classifier-projection regularization for continual learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.07326*, 2020.
- [27] L. Yu, B. Twardowski, X. Liu, L. Herranz, K. Wang, Y. Cheng, S. Jui, and J. v. d. Weijer, “Semantic drift compensation for class-incremental learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2020, pp. 6982–6991.
- [28] H. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Zhou, X. Ji, D. Gong, J. Zhou, Z. Li, and W. Liu, “Cosface: Large margin cosine loss for deep face recognition,” 2018.
- [29] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, “Deep residual learning for image recognition,” *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2016.
- [30] J.-M. Perez-Rua, X. Zhu, T. Hospedales, and T. Xiang, “Incremental few-shot object detection,” 2020.