

Supplementary Material

A Deep Attentive Convolutional Neural Network for Automatic Cortical Plate Segmentation in Fetal MRI

Haoran Dou, Davood Karimi, Caitlin K. Rollins, Cynthia M. Ortinau, Lana Vasung, Clemente Velasco-Annis, Abdelhakim Ouaham, Xin Yang, Dong Ni, Ali Gholipour

I. EFFECT OF DOMAIN SHIFT DUE TO DIFFERENT RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHMS ON SEGMENTATION.

We investigated the effect of domain shift due to different reconstruction algorithms on the performance of our segmentation model. Given our model trained on fetal MRI images reconstructed with the method by Kainz et al. [22], we evaluated the performance of our model on images reconstructed with 1) Kainz et al.'s method [22], and 2) the *NiftyMIC* method [24]. Images from five subjects were included in this experiment. Because our ground truth (manual) segmentations were in the original domain (i.e., reconstructions with [22]), we had to register *NiftyMIC* reconstructions to Kainz et al.'s reconstructions and resample them. The segmentation results with the two reconstruction methods are shown in Fig. S1 for two representative test cases. Despite the domain shift, as shown in Table S1, our model performed very well, achieving average Dice of 0.838 and ASD of 0.338 mm. This experiment shows that our model performed robustly in the presence of domain shift due to the use of different reconstruction algorithms.




Fig. S1. Visualization of the segmentations obtained from our model trained and tested with ground truth data on reconstructions from [22] (Kainz et al.) on reconstructions obtained from *NiftyMIC*, for two representative test cases. Since the ground truth was in the original domain (i.e., on Kainz reconstructions), we registered and resampled the *NiftyMIC* reconstructions to Kainz reconstructions prior to segmenting them.

TABLE S1

SEGMENTATION ACCURACY OF THE PROPOSED DEEP LEARNING MODEL WHEN THE DOMAIN WAS SHIFTED DUE TO THE USE OF A DIFFERENT RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM: NIFTYMIC WAS USED TO RECONSTRUCT IMAGES AS OPPOSED TO THE ALGORITHM BY KAINZ ET AL. [22] WHICH WAS USED IN THE TRAINING DATA. BECAUSE THE GROUND TRUTH SEGMENTATIONS WERE IN THE ORIGINAL DOMAIN (KAINZ ET AL. RECONSTRUCTIONS), WE HAD TO REGISTER AND RESAMPLE THE NIFTYMIC RECONSTRUCTIONS TO THE KAINZ RECONSTRUCTIONS. WE NOTE THAT ANY INACCURACY IN REGISTRATION RESULTS IN REDUCED DICE AND INCREASED ASD FOR THE NIFTYMIC METHOD. DESPITE THESE ISSUES, THE RESULTS SHOW THAT OUR MODEL GENERALIZED WELL TO NIFTYMIC RECONSTRUCTIONS.

Reconstruction method	Dice \uparrow	ASD(mm) \downarrow
Kainz	0.887 \pm 0.002	0.226 \pm 0.008
NiftyMIC	0.838 \pm 0.012	0.338 \pm 0.027