

A Novel Single Packet IP Traceback Strategy for Data-Flow Analysis

Peter Hillmann, Frank Tietze, and Gabi Dreo Rodosek
Universität der Bundeswehr München

Scenario

Motivation:


- Increasing number and quality of attacks on IT systems.
- Multiple sources and attackers (e.e. DDoS), attacks in collective.
- Necessity of an adequate defense system.
- Unique, provable identification of the attackers including traceback and localization.

Assumptions:

- Dynamically changing routes.
- Packets get lost and the order within a connection can get changed.
- Attackers can generate any packet with any faked parameters.
- Attackers can cooperate and have knowledge about traceback strategies.

Requirements:

- Single packet traceback.
- Detect and differentiate multiple attackers.
- Fast path reconstruction and preventive applicable.
- Traced router / Locations of more than 50 hops.



Application areas:

- Improved attack detection, additional information for IDS / IPS.
- Identification of *Covert Channels*.
- Verification of *Zone Routing* and *Load-Balancing*.
- Support for network analyses and routing anomalies.

Tracemax

1. Pre-configuration and ID assignment


- Each physical port of every network component gets an ID assigned. (oriented on the port number; can be seen as lower ISO/OSI layer information)
- IDs are assigned in advance and not unique throughout the entire system.
- Every router is not allowed to get the same incoming ID of several connected routers. (This is achieved by incrementing one of the intended IDs. Multiple solutions are possible.)
- IDs are usually very small (≤ 6 Bits).

2. Marking scheme

- Every router on the path writes the predefined ID in the Option Field of the IP packet. (official Space with no side effects)
- Marking a packet with the ID of the outgoing interface before passing.
- Next router can prove the value.
- Option Field has sufficient size of max 40 Bytes: 6 Bits ID is much smaller than 32 Bits IP address.
- Only slight increase of network load.
- Abstract IDs do not provide private topology information of an ISP.


3. Reconstruction scheme

- Receiver extract the IDs out of the IP header.
- The sequence of IDs defines the used connections and thereby the path of the packet.
- Last endpoint of capturing must be known.
- Correlation of the sequence with the network infrastructure and the predefined IDs.
- Process: Step-by-step backwards, starting from the receiver node until the last marked node is found.
- Example ID sequence: 23323 \Leftarrow



Version	IHL	Type of Service	Total Length
Identification		Flags	Fragment Offset
Time to Live		Protocol	Header Checksum
Source IP-Address			
Destination IP-Address			
Options (+ Padding)			

IP header version 4



Path reconstruction

Implementation and Evaluation

- Prototypic implementation using the tool *Scapy*, written in Python - see paper.
- Preamble of the Option Field: '\x56\x28'
- 1 Bit Copied Flag = no
- 2 Bits Option Class = measurement parameter
- 5 Bits Option Number = unassigned option number
- 8 Bits Option Length = as required, dynamic
- Realistic experiments: real network with multiple network components and several computer.
- Traces are recorded by *Wireshark*.
- The Table compares Tracemax with the existing strategies: + advantage; - disadvantage; o neutral.

Algorithms	Traced Hops / Locations	Effectiveness	Efficiency	Robustness	Scalability	Costs	No ISP cooperation	Deploy entire Sub-Network	Short Detection Time	Preventive usable	Single Packet Traceback	Detect multiple senders
Ingress Filtering	0	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Deterministic Router Stamping	9	+	o	o	o	+	+	o	+	+	+	+
Probabilistic Router Stamping	∞	+	o	o	o	+	+	o	-	+	-	-
Packet Marking Node	∞	+	+	o	+	o	+	o	o	+	+	+
Packet Marking Edge	1 edge	o	-	o	+	o	+	o	-	+	-	-
Link Testing Debugging	∞	o	-	+	o	o	o	-	-	-	-	-
Link Testing Flooding	∞	-	-	o	-	+	o	+	-	-	-	-
Logging	∞	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
ICMP	<256	o	o	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
ISP Traceback	ISP	-	o	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	o
Tracemax	>50	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	+