From Big to Small: Multi-Scale Local Planar Guidance for Monocular Depth Estimation (Supplementary Material)

Jin Han Lee, Myung-Kyu Han, Dong Wook Ko and Il Hong Suh Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Hanyang University

{jinhanlee, mkhan91, pumpblack, ihsuh}@hanyang.ac.kr

1. Model Architecture

encoder							
layer	k	S	ch	in	out	input	note
conv1	7	2	3/96	Н	H/2	input image	
maxp1	3	2	96/96	H/2	H/4	conv1	max pooling
dblock1	-	1	96/384	H/4	H/4	maxp1	dense block
tblock1	-	2	384/192	H/4	H/8	dblock1	transition block
dblock2	-	1	192/768	H/8	H/8	tblock1	dense block
tblock2	-	2	768/384	H/8	H/16	dblock2	transition block
dblock3	-	1	384/2112	H/16	H/16	tblock2	dense block
tblock3	-	2	2112/1056	H/16	H/32	dblock3	transition block
dblock4	-	1	1056/2208	H/32	H/32	tblock3	dense block
decoder							
layer	k	up	ch	in	out	input	note
upconv5	3	2	2208/512	H/32	H/16	dblock4	
iconv5	3	1	896/512	H/16	H/16	upconv5+tblock2	skip connection
upconv4	3	2	512/256	H/16	H/8	iconv5	dense features
iconv4	3	1	448/256	H/8	H/8	upconv4+tblock1	skip connection
aspp	-	1	256/128	H/8	H/8	iconv4	ASPP module
reduc8x8	1	1	128/4	H/8	H/8	aspp	1×1 reduction
lpg8x8	-	8	4/1	H/8	Н	reduc8x8	local planar guidance
upconv3	3	2	128/128	H/8	H/4	aspp	
iconv3	3	1	225/128	H/4	H/4	upconv3+maxp1+lpg8x8/4	skip connection
reduc4x4	1	1	128/4	H/4	H/4	iconv3	1×1 reduction
lpg4x4	-	4	4/1	H/4	Н	reduc4x4	local planar guidance
upconv2	3	2	128/64	H/4	H/2	iconv3	
iconv2	3	1	161/64	H/2	H/2	upconv2+conv1+lpg4x4/2	skip connection
reduc2x2	1	1	64/4	H/2	H/2	iconv2	1×1 reduction
lpg2x2	-	2	4/1	H/2	Н	reduc2x2	local planar guidance
upconv1	3	2	64/32	H/2	Н	iconv2	
iconv1	3	1	35/32	Н	Н	upconv1+lpg8x8+lpg4x4+lpg2x2	
depth	3	1	32/1	Н	Н	iconv1	final depth estimation

Table 1: The proposed network architecture. From left to right, **layer**: name of the layer, **k**: kernel size, **s**: stride in convolution layers, **up**: upsampling ratio in *upconv*, **ch**: number of channels in input/output, **in** and **out**: spatial resolution of the input and output, **input**: input of the layer.

We show the structure of the proposed network architecture in Table 1. *dblock* and *tblock* are dense block and transition block with initial number of filters 96, growth rate 48 and reduction 0.5 from *DenseNet161* [1]. The output from layer *upconv4* is the dense features we define in the paper. *aspp* is the atrous spatial pyramid pooling layer [2]. "+" and "/x" operations in **input** field denote concatenation and nearest neighbor downsampling with ratio x, respectively. As it can be seen from the table, the only additional trainable parameters required for the proposed LPG layers are the kernel weights and biases in *reduc8x8*, *reduc4x4* and *reduc2x2*.

2. More Qualitative Results from NYU Depth V2 Test Split

We also provide more qualitative results from NYU Depth V2 test split in Figures 1-6. As we describe in the manuscript, outputs from lpg8x8 layer tend to show the global 3D shape of the scenes while outputs from lpg2x2 and lpg4x4 show finer details that are additive together with the outputs from the other LPG layers to get the final depth estimation.

3. Qualitative Results from a sequence of KITTI Dataset

Finally, we attach a video showing our depth estimation results on a sequence of the KITTI Eigen test split (2011_10_03_drive_0027, no frames in the sequence are used for the training). Compared to the existing works, we can see that the proposed method produces much clearer results especially on object boundaries.

References

- G. Huang, Z. Liu, L. Van Der Maaten, and K. Q. Weinberger. Densely connected convolutional networks. In CVPR, volume 1, page 3, 2017. 2
- [2] M. Yang, K. Yu, C. Zhang, Z. Li, and K. Yang. Denseaspp for semantic segmentation in street scenes. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 3684–3692, 2018. 2



(a) Input



(d) Output from lpg2x2



(b) Ground truth



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(c) Estimated depth map



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from *lpg2x2*



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from lpg2x2

(e) Output from *lpg4x4*

(f) Output from *lpg8x8*

Figure 1: Qualitative results on the NYU Depth V2 test split with outputs from the proposed LPG layers.







(d) Output from lpg2x2



(b) Ground truth



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(c) Estimated depth map



(f) Output from lpg8x8



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from lpg2x2



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(f) Output from lpg8x8



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from lpg2x2

(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*

Figure 2: Qualitative results on the NYU Depth V2 test split with outputs from the proposed LPG layers.



(a) Input



(d) Output from lpg2x2



(b) Ground truth



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(c) Estimated depth map



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from *lpg2x2*



(a) Input



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*

(b) Ground truth



(f) Output from lpg8x8

(c) Estimated depth map





(e) Output from *lpg4x4*

(f) Output from *lpg8x8*

Figure 3: Qualitative results on the NYU Depth V2 test split with outputs from the proposed LPG layers.





(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from lpg2x2



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(c) Estimated depth map



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(d) Output from lpg2x2

(a) Input



(b) Ground truth





(d) Output from lpg2x2

(e) Output from *lpg4x4*

(f) Output from *lpg8x8*

Figure 4: Qualitative results on the NYU Depth V2 test split with outputs from the proposed LPG layers.



(a) Input



(d) Output from lpg2x2



(b) Ground truth



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(c) Estimated depth map



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth







(d) Output from lpg2x2



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from lpg2x2





(f) Output from *lpg8x8*

Figure 5: Qualitative results on the NYU Depth V2 test split with outputs from the proposed LPG layers.



(a) Input



(d) Output from lpg2x2



(b) Ground truth



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(c) Estimated depth map



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from *lpg2x2*



(e) Output from *lpg4x4*



(f) Output from *lpg8x8*



(a) Input



(b) Ground truth



(c) Estimated depth map



(d) Output from lpg2x2

(e) Output from *lpg4x4*

(f) Output from *lpg8x8*

Figure 6: Qualitative results on the NYU Depth V2 test split with outputs from the proposed LPG layers.