

434 A Technical Proofs

435 First we note some auxiliary lemmas that will be used in the main proof and then prove the optimal
436 stable rank and spectral norm solutions..

437 A.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

438 **Lemma 3.** [Reproduced from Theorem 5 in Mirsky [22]] For any two matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ whose
439 singular values are $\sigma \{\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \cdots, \geq \sigma_n\}, \rho = \{\rho_1 \geq \rho_2 \cdots, \geq \rho_n\}$

$$\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\|_{\text{F}}^2 \geq \|\sigma - \rho\|_2^2$$

440 *Proof.* Consider the following symmetric matrices

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^\top & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}^\top & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}^\top & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

441 Let the singular values of $\mathbf{Z} = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$. Then the characteristic roots of \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} in
442 descending order are

$$\lambda(\mathbf{X}) = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n, -\rho_n, \dots, -\rho_1\}, \lambda(\mathbf{Y}) = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, -\sigma_n, \dots, -\sigma_1\}, \lambda(\mathbf{Z}) = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, -\tau_n, \dots, -\tau_1\}$$

443 By Lemma 2 in Wielandt [36],

$$[\sigma_1 - \rho_1, \dots, \sigma_n - \rho_n, \rho_n - \sigma_n, \dots, \rho_1 - \sigma_1] \preceq [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, -\tau_n, \dots, -\tau_1]$$

444 which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i - \rho_i)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_i)^2$$

445 This easily concludes our proof that $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\|_{\text{F}}^2 \geq \|\sigma - \rho\|_2^2$. \square

446 **Lemma 4.** Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ where $\text{SVD}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top$ and \mathbf{B} is the solution to the following
447 problem

$$\mathbf{B} = \arg \min_{\text{sr}(\mathbf{W})=s} \|\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}. \quad (5)$$

448 Then, $\text{SVD}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{U}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^\top$ where Λ is a diagonal matrix with non-negative entries.

449 *Proof.* If the solution \mathbf{Z} has a singular value decomposition of the form $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^\top$, then it already
450 satisfies our desired condition (of having the same singular vectors as \mathbf{W}). If the solution \mathbf{Z} has
451 different singular vectors, we will use proof by contradiction to show that there exists another matrix
452 \mathbf{X} , which has a singular value decomposition of the form $\mathbf{U}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^\top$ such that \mathbf{X} is also feasible and
453 $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{F}} \geq \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\|_{\text{F}}$.

454 Formally, let us assume that $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{S}\Lambda\mathbf{T}^\top$ is a solution to the problem 5 where $\mathbf{S} \neq \mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{T} \neq \mathbf{V}$.
455 Trivially, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^\top$ also lies in the feasible set as it satisfies $\text{sr}(\mathbf{X}) = s$ (as stable rank only
456 depends on the singular values). From Lemma 3, $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{F}}^2 \geq \|\Sigma - \Lambda\|_{\text{F}}^2$. However, $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\|_{\text{F}}^2 =$
457 $\|\mathbf{U}(\Sigma - \Lambda)\mathbf{V}^\top\|_{\text{F}}^2 = \|(\Sigma - \Lambda)\|_{\text{F}}^2$, where the last step is due to Frobenius norm being invariant to
458 unitary transformations. Thus, $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{Z}\|_{\text{F}} \geq \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\|_{\text{F}}$.

459 This completes the proof. Generally speaking, the optimal solution to the problem 5 with constraints
460 that only depends on the singular values (e.g. stable rank in this case) will have the same left and
461 right singular vectors as that of the original matrix. \square

462 **Lemma 5.** Let $\mathbf{y}_1 = a\mathbf{x}_1 + b\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$ and $\mathbf{y}_2 = a\mathbf{x}_2 + b\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2$, where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2$ denotes the unit vectors.
463 Then, $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{y}_2$ if $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2$.