

Supplementary material for "Correlation effects on ground-state properties of ternary Heusler alloys: first-principles study"

V.D. Buchelnikov^{1,2}, V.V. Sokolovskiy^{1,2}, O.N. Miroshkina¹, M.A. Zagrebin^{1,2,3},
 J. Nokelainen⁴, A. Pulkkinen⁴, B. Barbiellini^{4,5}, and E. Lähderanta⁴
¹*Faculty of Physics, Chelyabinsk State University, 454001 Chelyabinsk, Russia*
²*National University of Science and Technology "MISiS", 119049 Moscow, Russia*
³*National Research South Ural State University, 454080 Chelyabinsk, Russia*
⁴*Lappeenranta University of Technology, FI-53851 Lappeenranta, Finland and*
⁵*Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA*

In order to provide deeper analysis of the SCAN functional, we performed additional calculations for half-metallic Co_2FeSi and semiconductor CoFeTiAl Heusler compounds, for which the correlation effects are expected to play a prevailing role in electronic structure. Electronic structure calculations with PBE and SCAN functionals were performed using 8-atom cubic supercell for Co_2FeSi and CoFeTiAl with the space group of $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $F43m$, respectively. For the latter structure, Co, Fe, Ti, and Al occupy $4c$ ($1/4, 1/4, 1/4$), $4d$ ($3/4, 3/4, 3/4$), $4b$ ($1/2, 1/2, 1/2$), and $4a$ ($0, 0, 0$) positions, correspondingly. Ferromagnetic ordering was considered for both compositions.

Fig. S?? presents calculated total energy differences as a function of lattice constant for Co_2FeSi and CoFeTiAl . It is seen from this figure that equilibrium lattice parameter calculated with SCAN is less than calculated with PBE one. This difference is about 1% for both compounds. PBE lattice parameter is closer to experimental value with respect to SCAN one (see Table S??).




FIG. S 1: (Colour online) The total energy differences as a function of lattice constant for cubic (a) Co_2FeSi and (b) CoFeTiAl calculated with PBE and SCAN.

The dependencies of energy difference on the tetragonal distortion c/a for Co_2FeSi and CoFeTiAl are shown in Fig. S??. For Co_2FeSi , PBE calculations yield the tendency to pseudomartensitic transformation. This trend is associated with evident minimum of $\Delta E(c/a)$ function with $c/a > 1$. However, the energy of the pseudotetragonal distorted phase ($c/a > 1$) is higher than the cubic one. On the contrary to PBE, SCAN results do not give a tendency to pseudomartensitic transition, and $\Delta E(c/a)$ curve has the one minimum corresponding to the cubic phase ($c/a = 1$). In case of CoFeTiAl , energy curves have just one minimum at $c/a = 1$ and demonstrate the similar behavior for both PBE and SCAN.

Electronic densities of states (DOS) for Co_2FeSi and CoFeTiAl calculated at the optimized lattice parameter with the help of PBE and SCAN are presented in Fig. S??. For Co_2FeSi , it is seen that calculated DOS for the majority spin band have the metallic nature due to occupied band at the Fermi level (E_F). As for minority spin band, PBE yields the pseudo half-metallic behavior due to presence of the energy gap shifted slightly to lower energies with respect to E_F . However, SCAN DOS for the minority spin reveals the energy gap near E_F which indicates the half-metallic behavior. For CoFeTiAl nonmagnetic semiconductor behavior results from both PBE and SCAN DOSs curves. Besides, the value of SCAN energy gap is slightly higher than PBE one.




FIG. S 2: (Colour online) The calculated total energy differences as a function of tetragonal ratio c/a for (a) Co_2FeSi and (b) CoFeTiAl .




FIG. S 3: (Colour online) The total DOS calculated with PBE and SCAN for the austenitic phase of (a) Co_2FeSi and (b) CoFeTiAl Heusler compounds. Here the solid and dash lines denote the PBE and SCAN calculations.

The equilibrium lattice constants a_0 and total magnetic moments μ calculated with the help of PBE and SCAN are summarized in Table S???. SCAN total magnetic moments for Co_2FeSi and CoFeTiAl are nearly integer and satisfy the Slater-Pauling rule ($\mu_{tot} = N_V - 24$), where N_V is the number of valence electrons in the unit cell consisting of four atoms. In general, for both Co_2FeSi and CoFeTiAl , the results of SCAN calculations are in good agreement with the ones of GW approximation? ? .

TABLE S I: The equilibrium lattice constants a_0 and total magnetic moments μ calculated with PBE and SCAN in comparison with the available experimental data. N_V is the number of valence electrons.

	N_V	a_0 [\AA]			Magnetic moment [$\mu_B/\text{f.u.}$]		
		PBE	SCAN	exp.	PBE	SCAN	exp.
Co_2FeSi	30	5.625	5.570	5.64 [?]	5.534	6.022	5.65 [?]
CoFeTiAl	24	5.806	5.756	5.851	0	0	0 [?]

¹ M. Meinert, Ch. Friedrich, G.Reiss, S. Blügel, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 245115 (2012).

² M. Tas, E. Şaşıoğlu, I. Galanakis, C. Friedrich, S. Blügel, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 195155 (2016).

³ B. Balke, S. Wurmehl, G. H. Fecher, C. Felser, M.C.M. Alves, F. Bernardi, J. Morais, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 172501 (2007).

⁴ B. Deka, D. Chakraborty, A. Srinivasan, Physica B **448**, 173 (2014).

⁵ *Spintronics: from Materials to Devices* ed by C. Felser and G.H. Fecher (New York: Springer) p 369 (2013).