

Quantified Examples for Structured Systems

Technical Note

Felix Rey

Tuesday 21st August, 2018

Part I - Basic Structured Systems

Introduction

We generate a set of basic structured systems (A, B) that can be used to analyze the validity of structure and separation measures, particularly in context of virtual subsystems as described in [1]. For being flexible in the generation of such systems, we make two simplifications: (i) we associate exactly one state to each subsystem; and (ii) we focus the structure analysis on the dynamics matrix A by choosing a diagonal input matrix B . Hence, the structure of the system (A, B) is determined by the pattern of nonzero elements in the dynamics matrix A .

Matrix Element Choice

For choosing the nonzero elements of (A, B) , we randomly sample each element from a uniform distribution between -1 and 1 . If the resulting system is controllable and marginally stable, we accept it for the example problem set.

Structure Types

We use the following types of structure.

- **Full**

In a full system, each state is influenced by all other states, making the underlying topology a complete directed graph. In $\mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$, the occupation pattern is

$$A_{\text{full}} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Sparse**

In our representation of a sparse system, on average 40% of the off-diagonal elements are

zero. In $\mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$, an example for the occupation pattern is

$$A_{\text{sparse}} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & & \times & \\ & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Lower-Triangular**

In a lower-triangular system, each state is influenced by all previous states. In $\mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$, the occupation pattern is

$$A_{\text{lower-triangular}} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & & & \\ \times & \times & & \\ \times & \times & \times & \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Banded**

Our representation of a banded system is constituted by an 1-banded dynamics matrix. In the underlying topology, each state or subsystem is bidirectionally coupled with the previous and following one. In $\mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$, the occupation pattern is

$$A_{\text{banded}} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & & \\ \times & \times & \times & \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Lower-Banded**

Our representation of a lower-banded system is constituted by an 1-lower-banded dynamics matrix. The states form a cascade, where each stage is influenced by the previous one. In $\mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$, the occupation pattern is

$$A_{\text{lower-banded}} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & & & \\ \times & \times & & \\ & \times & \times & \\ & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Star-Topology**

In our representation of a star-topology system, the states are only coupled by a single star-point. In $\mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$, the occupation pattern is

$$A_{\text{star-topology}} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & & & \\ & \times & & \\ & & \times & \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example Set

We use the six previously noted structure types and a state dimension $x \in \{5, 10, 20, 40\}$. For each of the 24 combinations, we sample 20 problem instances, resulting in a set of 480 problems. We provide the example set in the Matlab storage file `basic_structured_problems.mat` alongside this document.

Part II - Cascade System

System Overview

Following [2], we consider a cascade system as shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Section of a cascade system around the i -th stage.

Each stage in the cascade is described by

$$x_i^{k+1} = \Phi_i x_i^k + H_i u_i^k + E_{i-1} x_{i-1}^k, \quad (1)$$

where the stage dynamics matrix is $\Phi_i \in \mathbb{R}^{x_i \times x_i}$, the stage input matrix is $H_i \in \mathbb{R}^{x_i \times u_i}$, and the connection to the following stage is determined by $E_i \in \mathbb{R}^{x_i \times x_i}$, which we choose to be of rank 1. We use a network of $S = 20$ stages, each with $x_i = 6$, and $u_i = 1$.

The combined system with $x = [x_1; \dots; x_{20}]$ and $u = [u_1; \dots; u_{20}]$ has $x = 120$ states and $u = 20$ inputs. The resulting system matrices are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 & & & & & \\ E_1 & \Phi_2 & & & & \\ & E_2 & \Phi_3 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & E_{19} & \Phi_{20} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & & & & & \\ & H_2 & & & & \\ & & H_3 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & H_{20} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where A is lower-banded and B is block-diagonal.

Stage Systems

We use Mathworks Matlab's function `drss`, which generates random discrete-time state-space models (Φ_i, H_i, E_i) for each stage. We provide the Matlab storage file `cascade_matrices.mat` alongside this document, which contains all stage models.

MPC Setting

We use the MPC setting

$$\min_{u,x} \sum_{k=1}^N (\|x^{k+1} - r_x\|_Q^2 + \|u^k - r_u\|_R^2) \quad (3a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x^{k+1} = Ax^k + Bu^k \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, N \quad (3b)$$

$$(x^{k+1}, u^k) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U} \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, N \quad (3c)$$

with an MPC horizon $N = 5$.

Constraints and Weights

For the state and input constraints, we use $\mathcal{X} = [-1, 1]^x$ and $\mathcal{U} = [-1, 1]^u$. The tracking objective is weighted by $Q = I_x$ and $R = 0.1 I_u$.

Problem Instances

We generate a set of $T = 200$ problem instances of type (3). First, we define trajectories for $r_x(t)$ and $r_u(t)$, where $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ is the discrete simulation time. We use $r_u(t) = 0_u$ for all t . For all states, we use the same reference, i.e., $r_x(t) = 1_{x \times 1} \bar{r}_x(t)$, where $\bar{r}_x(t)$ is an interpolation of 30 random attraction points as shown in Fig. 2




Figure 2: Reference trajectory used for each state.

Given the reference trajectories and the initial state $x(1) = 0_x$, we solve T problems of type (3), each of them parametrized with the current trajectory value and an initial state as predicted by the previous problem instance. Therefore, we get a set of T problem parametrizations (r_x, r_u, x^0) that lead to feasible instances of problem (3). The resulting state and input trajectories are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, where the respective constraints are shown in red. The chosen reference signal sufficiently excites the system dynamics, and constraints are regularly active. We provide a collection of all problem instances in the Matlab storage file `cascade_scenario.mat` alongside this document.




Figure 3: State trajectories generated by successive solution of (3) for the reference signal shown in Fig. 2.




Figure 4: Input trajectories generated by successive solution of (3) for the reference signal shown in Fig. 2.

References

- [1] F. Rey, P. Hokayem, and J. Lygeros, “Ask not what ADMM can do for you, ask what you can do for ADMM – virtual subsystems in MPC,” in *56th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 2017.
- [2] M. Cantoni, F. Farokhi, E. Kerrigan, and I. Shames, “Structured computation of optimal controls for constrained cascade systems,” *International Journal of Control*, pp. 1–10, 2017.