

Reviews For Paper

Paper ID1342

TitleBayesian models of data streams with Hierarchical Power Priors

Masked Reviewer ID: Assigned_Reviewer_1

Review:

Question	
Summary of the paper (Summarize the main claims/contributions of the paper.)	The paper proposes a version of streaming variational Bayes in which the learning/forgetting rate (or equivalently, the transition model) is learned adaptively from the data in a Bayesian way, in order to capture concept drift, and to avoid having to select this parameter manually. The approach is to use an implicit transition model under a KL-divergence constraint, which also corresponds to something called a "power prior." The authors propose to place a Bayesian hyperprior on this prior's parameter (corresponding to the learning rate), allowing it to be inferred from data. They also extend this model to include multiple learning rates for different submodel components. Variational inference is performed using a further lower bound to resolve non-conjugacy.
Clarity (Assess the clarity of the presentation and reproducibility of the results.)	Above Average
Clarity - Justification	The manuscript is precise and clear. The related work section does a good job of placing this work in context.
Significance (Does the paper contribute a major breakthrough or an incremental advance?)	Excellent (substantial, novel contribution)
Significance - Justification	A principled Bayesian inference approach is used to resolve important limitations of streaming/online variational methods: selecting the learning/forgetting rate automatically from data, and adapting to concept drift. Automatically selecting the forgetting rate is more important in the online/streaming context than in the batch setting, since data are continually arriving, and so it may not be feasible to do a grid search on this parameter. The approach is general and applies at the level of exponential families.
Correctness (Is the paper technically correct?)	Paper is technically correct
Correctness - Justification	The Bayesian model-based approach is sound and the approximations made are reasonable. Experiments on three datasets, and synthetic data, versus strong baselines are convincing.
Overall Rating	Strong accept
Detailed comments. (Explain the basis	While simply including a hyperprior on top of power priors/implicit transition models is a relatively straightforward idea from a modeling perspective, it has important consequences, and the authors have explored this idea

for your ratings while providing constructive feedback.)	thoroughly. The lower-bounding approach to resolve non-conjugacy in the hyperprior is also novel. Small comment: the figures are difficult to read when printed in black and white.
Reviewer confidence	Reviewer is knowledgeable

Masked Reviewer ID: Assigned_Reviewer_3

Review:

Question	
Summary of the paper (Summarize the main claims/contributions of the paper.)	This paper presents a Bayesian approach to modeling a stream of data sampled from a distribution that evolves over time. The proposed method directly models the chain of evolving parameters and, during inference, copes with non-conjugacy of the introduced transition distribution by using on new variational lower-bound.
Clarity (Assess the clarity of the presentation and reproducibility of the results.)	Excellent (Easy to follow)
Clarity - Justification	This paper is well written and easy to follow.
Significance (Does the paper contribute a major breakthrough or an incremental advance?)	Above Average
Significance - Justification	While not an expert in this subfield, the contribution of this paper struck me as important. The proposed approach builds nicely upon prior work on streaming variational Bayesian inference and addresses an important practical challenge for many modeling tasks: data are not i.i.d, they often come from an evolving distribution. The proposed approach appears principled and practical, with an eye towards reducing dependence on manually specified hyper-parameters. The experimental results indicate that the method works as intended. What's not to like!
Correctness (Is the paper technically correct?)	Paper is technically correct
Correctness - Justification	I didn't go through the proofs line by line -- but overall the mathematical reasoning was clear and careful. The experiments were thorough, considering both a synthetic dataset and three relative large real datasets from different domains. The baselines considered were relevant and strong.
Overall Rating	Strong accept
Detailed comments. (Explain the basis for your ratings while providing constructive feedback.)	Overall, I like this paper and think it should be accepted. It builds nicely upon prior work and presents a new way to address an important modeling challenge. The evaluations are thorough and the results are positive.
Reviewer	Reviewer is knowledgeable

Masked Reviewer ID: Assigned_Reviewer_4

Review:

Question	
Summary of the paper (Summarize the main claims/contributions of the paper.)	The paper presents an approach to performing conditionally conjugate exponential family inference on data streams. In particular, the authors address non-stationary data streams by explicitly modeling temporal changes of the global parameters using hierarchical power priors. They validate the approach on three data sets and compare against two existing approaches: streaming VB and population VB.
Clarity (Assess the clarity of the presentation and reproducibility of the results.)	Above Average
Clarity - Justification	The paper is well written. My only comment is that Figures 3, 4, and 5 would be much clearer with axis labels.
Significance (Does the paper contribute a major breakthrough or an incremental advance?)	Above Average
Significance - Justification	The paper presents an appealing and sensible advance on the existing state of art for the important problem of inference on data streams.
Correctness (Is the paper technically correct?)	Paper is technically correct
Correctness - Justification	I did not find any flaws in the technical aspects of the paper.
Overall Rating	Strong accept
Detailed comments. (Explain the basis for your ratings while providing constructive feedback.)	<p>The paper was an enjoyable read and presents an interesting advance over the state of the art. As the authors discuss, existing methods on streaming data add nuisance parameter(s) that control the forgetfulness of the posterior w.r.t. historical data. It seems sensible, as the authors suggest, that these parameters should themselves be governed by the data such that fast [slow] changes in the data generating distribution increase [decrease] forgetting.</p> <p>It would be interesting to situate the ideas in this paper, particularly Eq. 5, in relation to the trust region update of [Theis & Hoffman, ICML 2015]. There is also a connection to assumed density filtering, as per the original SVB, that might be worth mentioning.</p> <p>Here are a few additional comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">+ Sec. 4.2: "the resulting model can roughly be illustrated as in Fig. 1(b)" —> please explain why it's only roughly illustrated+ Eq. 10: is there a reason why λ is not bolded as elsewhere?+ Sec. 5.3.1: "the overall distribution does not fall inside the conditional conjugate exponential family, hence PVB cannot be applied here" —> I do not agree, PVB can be used in conjunction with black box variational methods.
Reviewer	

confidence	Reviewer is knowledgeable
------------	---------------------------