

Supplementary information for

Molecular Generation with Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)

Esben Jannik Bjerrum^{1,*}Richard Threlfall²

1) Wildcard Pharmaceutical Consulting, Frødings Allé 41, 2860 Søborg, Denmark.

2) Wiley-VCH, Boschstrasse 12, 69469 Weinheim, Germany

*) esben@wildcardconsulting.dk

arXiv:1705.04612

Group	SMILES	SA Score	No. of synthesis routes	Steps min (from most advanced CASM)	Steps max (from CASM <\$1000)	Yield %	Cost of SMs US\$/100g	Comments
easy 1	<chem>CN1CCC(CNC(=O)NCCNC(=O)c2cccc2)CC1</chem>	1.9372	20	2	2	52	1094	
easy 2	<chem>O=C(O)Cc1ccc(NS(=O)(=O)c2c(F)cccc2F)cc1</chem>	1.9372	23	1	1	73	1112	
easy 3	<chem>CCN(Cc1cccc1)c1ccc(N)cc1C(=O)O</chem>	1.9373	34	1	1	70	184	
easy 4	<chem>COC1ccc(NC(=O)c2ccco2)cc1</chem>	1.9374	39	1	2	58	273	
easy 5	<chem>O=C(NCCc1cccc1)c1ccc(F)c(S(=O)(=O)N2CCCCC2)c1</chem>	1.9375	20	2	3	52	2344	
easy 6	<chem>CN(C)C(=O)Nc1ccc(CNC(=O)c2ccc3c(c2)CCC3)cc1</chem>	1.9375	20	2	4	29	1256	
medium 1	<chem>CCN(C(=O)Nc1cccc(CCN2CCCC2)c1)C(C)c1ccc(C#N)cc1</chem>	2.6859	39	2	3	33	1907	
medium 2	<chem>CCC(CC)(c1cccc1)c1nc2c(c(=O)[nH]1)CCCC2</chem>	2.6859	27	3	4	25	37	
medium 3	<chem>CC(NC(=O)CCc1nnn1-c1cccc1)c1ccc(C(F)F)cc1</chem>	2.6860	31	3	4	34	2437	
medium 4	<chem>CN1CCN(C(CNC(=O)c2cccs2)c2ccco2)CC1</chem>	2.6860	63	2	2	56	1012	2nd ranked route
medium 5	<chem>CCc1ccc(C(C)(C)CNC(=O)N2CCCC(N(C)C)CC2)cc1</chem>	2.6858	39	4	6	31	1697	
medium 6	<chem>CN(CC1CCCN1C)C(=O)c1cccc(OCc2c(F)cccc2Cl)c1</chem>	2.6858	41	2	3	32	3055	
hard 1	<chem>CC1CCC(C(=O)O)C(N2CCOC(C)(C)C2)C1</chem>	3.6575	43	2	4	31	1028	
hard 2	<chem>N#Cc1cc(Cl)cc2c1C1C=CCC1C(c1ccc(Br)cc1)N2</chem>	3.6576	63	4	5	23	2509	
hard 3	<chem>COCC(C)(NC(=O)c1nnn[nH]1)C(=O)OC</chem>	3.6575	23	4	4	34	80	
hard 4	<chem>Cc1nn(CC(C)C)cc1C(C)NCC(C)(O)c1ccsc1</chem>	3.6576	37	3	5	20	195	
hard 5	<chem>CC(C)OC(=O)C1=C(Nc2ccc(Cl)c(C(=O)O)c2OC(C)C)Nc2nnnn2C1c1cccc</chem>	3.6574		>10	>10			
hard 6	<chem>CC(C(=O)N1C(C)CCCC1C)N(C)C1CCC(C)(C)CC1</chem>	3.6578	28	3	4	26	153	
hard 7	<chem>Cc1ccc(C(C)n2cc(C(=O)N3COCC3C3CC3)nn2)o1</chem>	3.6578	86	5	6	22	2624	common rules, 4 steps
hard 8	<chem>Cc1cc(C(N)c2cncn2C2CC2)sc1Br</chem>	3.6573	22	4	4	17	4599	common rules, 4 steps
hard 9	<chem>CCCNC1(C#N)CCCC1CCSc1ccc(Br)cc1</chem>	3.6571	35	3	3	36	2550	
hardest	<chem>CCCC(CN)C1(O)CC2CCN1CC21C=CCC1</chem>	6.07		>10	>10			

Yield and SM costs based on synthesis in column E

Averages	Possible Selectivity Issues	SA Score	No. of synthesis routes	Steps min (from most advanced CASM)	Steps max (from CASM <\$1000)	Yield %	Cost of SMs US\$/100g
Easy	0/6	1.9	26.0	1.5	2.2	55.7	1043.8
Medium	1/6	2.7	40.0	2.7	3.7	35.2	1690.8
Hard	4/9	3.7	42.1	3.5	4.4	26.1	1717.3

Yellow Marking:

Estimated possibility of selectivity issues in steps for top ranked route

Description based on the full routes, which corresponds to the number of steps in column E. Column D is the shortest route from the most advanced commercially available starting material that doesn't meet the \$1000 price criterion. Where a route is marked in yellow, this means that there may be a possibility of selectivity issues with one or more reactions in the top-ranked route. In the case of medium 4, the second-ranked route is included because the first contained a transformation that, seem very unlikely to work without protecting groups, which would add more steps. Compounds hard 7 and hard 8 required 4 steps with common reaction rules to solve to commercially available starting materials. Compounds hard 5 and hardest could not be solved on any of the settings up to and including 4 steps with rare rules after three rounds of analysis. The starting material compounds found in the first round were resubmitted for two further analyses and did not reach a commercially available starting material.