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| Group | SMILES | SA Score | No. of synthesis routes | Steps min (from most advanced CASM) | Steps max (from CASM <\$1000) | Yield \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost of } \\ \text { SMs } \\ \text { us } \$ / \mathbf{1 0 0 g} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| easy 1 | CN1CCC(CNC( $=0$ ) $\mathrm{NCCNC}(=0) \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{ccccc} 2) \mathrm{CC1}$ | 1.9372 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 52 | 1094 |  |
| easy 2 | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cc1ccc}(\mathrm{NS}(=0)(=0) \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{F}) \operatorname{cccc} 2 \mathrm{~F}) \mathrm{cc1}$ | 1.9372 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 73 | 1112 |  |
| easy 3 | $\operatorname{CCN}(\mathrm{Cc1ccccc1}) \mathrm{clccc}(\mathrm{N}) \operatorname{cc1C}(=0) 0$ | 1.9373 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 70 | 184 |  |
| easy 4 | $\operatorname{COc1ccc}(\mathrm{NC}(=0) \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{ccco2}) \mathrm{cc1I}$ | 1.9374 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 58 | 273 |  |
| easy 5 | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NCCc1ccccc} 1) \mathrm{clccc}(\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{S}(=0)(=0) \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{CCCCCCC} 2) \mathrm{c} 1$ | 1.9375 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 52 | 2344 |  |
| easy 6 | $\mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{Nc} 1 \mathrm{ccc}(\mathrm{CNC}(=0) \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{ccc} 3 \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{c} 2) \mathrm{CCC3}) \mathrm{cc1}$ | 1.9375 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 29 | 1256 |  |
| medium 1 | CCN(C(=0)Nc1cccc(CCN2CCCC2)c1)C(C)c1ccc(C\#N)cc1 | 2.6859 | 39 | 2 | 3 | 33 | 1907 |  |
| medium 2 | $\mathrm{CCC}(\mathrm{CC})(\mathrm{c} 1 \mathrm{ccccc} 1) \mathrm{c} 1 \mathrm{nc} 2 \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{c}(=0)[\mathrm{nH}] 1) \mathrm{CCCC2}$ | 2.6859 | 27 | 3 | 4 | 25 | 37 |  |
| medium 3 | CC(NC(=0)CCc1nncn1-c1ccccc1)c1ccc(C(F)(F)F)cc1 | 2.6860 | 31 | 3 | 4 | 34 | 2437 |  |
| medium 4 | CN1CCN(C(CNC(=0) 22 cccs 2$) \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{ccco2}) \mathrm{CC1}$ | 2.6860 | 63 | 2 | 2 | 56 | 1012 | 2nd ranked route |
| medium 5 | CCc1ccc(C) $(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{CNC}(=0) \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{CCCC}(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{CC} 2) \mathrm{cc1}$ | 2.6858 | 39 | 4 | 6 | 31 | 1697 |  |
| medium 6 | CN(CC1CCCN1C)C(=0)c1cccc(OCc2c(F)cccc2CI)c1 | 2.6858 | 41 | 2 | 3 | 32 | 3055 |  |
| hard 1 | CC1CCC(C) $=0$ ) O ) $(\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{CCOC}(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C2}) \mathrm{C1}$ | 3.6575 | 43 | 2 | 4 | 31 | 1028 |  |
| hard 2 | $\mathrm{N} \# \mathrm{Cc} 1 \mathrm{cc}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{cc} 2 \mathrm{c} 1 \mathrm{Cl} 1 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC1C}(\mathrm{c} 1 \mathrm{ccc}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{cc1}) \mathrm{N} 2$ | 3.6576 | 63 | 4 | 5 | 23 | 2509 |  |
| hard 3 | $\operatorname{COCC}(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{NC}(=0) \mathrm{c} 1 \mathrm{nnn}[\mathrm{nH}] 1) \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{OC}$ | 3.6575 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 34 | 80 |  |
| hard 4 | Cc1nn(CC(C)C)cc1C(C)NCC(C)(O)c1ccsc1 | 3.6576 | 37 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 195 |  |
| hard 5 | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{OC}(=0) \mathrm{C} 1=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Nc} 2 \mathrm{ccc}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{O}) \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Nc} 2 \mathrm{nnnn2C1c1ccccc}$ | 3.6574 |  | >10 | $>10$ |  |  |  |
| hard 6 | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{CCCC1C}) \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C1CCC}(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{CC1}$ | 3.6578 | 28 | 3 | 4 | 26 | 153 |  |
| hard 7 | Cc1ccc(C(C)n2cc(C(=0)N3CCOCC3C3CC3)nn2)o1 | 3.6578 | 86 | 5 | 6 | 22 | 2624 | common rules, 4 steps |
| hard 8 | Cc1cc(C)(N)c2cncn2C2CC2)sc1Br | 3.6573 | 22 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 4599 | common rules, 4 steps |
| hard 9 | CCCNC1(C\#N) $\mathrm{CCCC1CCSc1ccc}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{cc1}$ | 3.6571 | 35 | 3 | 3 | 36 | 2550 |  |
| hardest | $\mathrm{CCCC}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{C1}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CC2CCN1CC21C=CCC1}$ | 6.07 |  | >10 | >10 |  |  |  |

Yield and SM costs based on synthesis in column E

| Averages | Possible Selectivity Issues | SA Score | No. of synthesis routes | Steps min (from most advanced CASM) | Steps max (from CASM <\$1000) | Yield \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost of } \\ & \text { SMs } \\ & \text { US } \$ / 100 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Easy | 0/6 | 1.9 | 26.0 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 55.7 | 1043.8 |
| Medium | 1/6 | 2.7 | 40.0 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 35.2 | 1690.8 |
| Hard | 4/9 | 3.7 | 42.1 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 26.1 | 1717.3 |

## Yellow Marking:

Estimated possibility of selectivity issues in steps for top ranked route

Description based on the full routes, which corresponds to the number of steps in . Column D is the shortest route from the most advanced ommercially available starting material that doesn't meet the \$1000 price criterion. Where a route is marked in yellow, this means that there may be a possibility of selectivity issues with one or more reactions in the top-ranked route. In the case of medium 4, the second ranked route is included because the first contained a transformation that, seem very unlikely to work without protecting groups, which would add more steps. Compounds hard 7 and hard 8 required 4 steps with common reaction rules to solve to commercially available starting materials. Compounds hard 5 and hardest could not be solved on any f the settings up to and including 4 steps with rare rules after three ounds of analysis. The starting material compounds found in the first round were resubmitted for two further analyses and did not reach a commercially available starting material.

