

Supplementary information "Majorana fermions in chains of magnetic atoms on a superconductor"

S. Nadj-Perge, I. K. Drozdov, B. A. Bernevig and Ali Yazdani
Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544
 (Dated: March 25, 2013)

1. DETAILS OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL MODEL

The numerical calculations of the two dimensional model are preformed self-consistently [S1]. First we solve Bogoliubov de Gennes equation for the Hamiltonian of our system (Eq. 1) and find electron- and hole- like wave functions $u_{n,\sigma}(i, j)$ and $v_{n,\sigma}(i, j)$. The excitation spectrum is then used to determine local on-site value of superconducting gap $\Delta(i, j)$

$$\Delta(i, j) = -V/2 \sum_n \{(2f_n - 1)(u_{n,\uparrow}(i, j)^* v_{n,\downarrow}(i, j) + u_{n,\downarrow}(i, j) v_{n,\uparrow}^*(i, j))\} \quad (S1)$$

where V is the pairing strength, $f_n = 1/(e^{\epsilon_n/T} + 1)$ Fermi function, n sums over energy eigenvalues ϵ_n and T is the temperature. In the next iteration the obtained values $\Delta(i, j)$ are used to recalculate the excitations spectrum. This iterative process is stopped after 20 iterations, typically assuring relative error of $\Delta(i, j)$ to be less than 10^{-2} . In the last step we also calculate the spatial profile of local density of states (LDOS) defined as

$$\rho(i, j, \epsilon) = \sum_{n,\sigma} (|u_{n,\sigma}(i, j)|^2 \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_n) + |v_{n,\sigma}(i, j)|^2 \delta(\epsilon + \epsilon_n)).$$

We used open boundary conditions for the N_b direction and periodic and open boundary conditions in the N_a direction. Periodic boundary conditions are used to compute Pfaffian index of the system (as discussed in the section 2). When the Pfaffian is negative (i.e. in topological phase) open boundary conditions are used to verify the existence of the two zero energy modes with LDOS localized at the chain ends. These two states are identified as a pair of Majorana fermions (MFs).

Although self-consistent calculations are useful to explicitly verify the occurrence of MFs in more realistic conditions, they require intensive computational resources. Qualitatively similar results can be obtained in a toy model without imposing self-consistency. This type of calculations allow to quickly investigate the parameter space for which the system is in topological phase. Further, in the one-dimensional case (for $N_b = 1$) analytical conditions can be found in some cases as shown in the following sections.

2. HAMILTONIAN IN ONE DIMENSION AND THE TOPOLOGICAL INDEX

In the case of $N_b = 1$, the Hamiltonian in Eq. 1 reduces to:

$$H = \sum_{n\alpha} t_n f_{n\alpha}^\dagger f_{n+1\alpha} + t_n^* f_{n+1\alpha}^\dagger f_{n\alpha} - \mu \sum_{n\alpha} f_{n\alpha}^\dagger f_{n\alpha} + \sum_{n\alpha\beta} (\vec{B}_n \cdot \vec{\sigma})_{\alpha\beta} f_{n\alpha}^\dagger f_{n\beta} + \sum_n \Delta_0 f_{n\uparrow}^\dagger f_{n\downarrow}^\dagger + \Delta_0 f_{n\downarrow} f_{n\uparrow} \quad (S2)$$

In this one-dimensional Hamiltonian we assume a fixed value of Δ_0 for every site. As noted in the main text, for this model, tunnel coupling t defines solely hopping term between magnetic atoms. Note that this is an experimentally tunable parameter since it can be changed by placing atoms on different distances. To define Pfaffian of this system, we first rewrite the Hamiltonian using the real-fermion Majorana representation. The mapping from complex fermions to MF basis can be done in the following way:

$$a_{2n-1,\sigma} = f_{n\sigma} + f_{n\sigma}^\dagger, \quad a_{2n,\sigma} = -i(f_{n\sigma} - f_{n\sigma}^\dagger), \quad a_{n\sigma}^\dagger = a_{n\sigma}, \quad \{a_{n\sigma}, a_{m\sigma'}\} = 2\delta_{nm}\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}. \quad (S3)$$

Note that the site index of the complex fermion f goes from 1 to N_a while the index of the real Majorana fermions goes from 1 to $2N_a$. Since for each complex fermion $f_{n\sigma}$ there is two real MFs $a_{2n\sigma}$ and $a_{2n-1\sigma}$ the number of sites is effectively doubled. In Majorana representation 1D Hamiltonian reads as

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{i\text{Re}(t_n)}{2} (a_{2n-1\sigma} a_{2n+2\sigma} - a_{2n\sigma} a_{2n+1\sigma}) + \frac{i\text{Im}(t_n)}{2} (a_{2n-1\sigma} a_{2n+1\sigma} + a_{2n\sigma} a_{2n+2\sigma}) - \frac{i\mu}{2} a_{2n-1\sigma} a_{2n\sigma} + \frac{iB_{nz}}{2} (a_{2n-1\uparrow} a_{2n\uparrow} - a_{2n-1\downarrow} a_{2n\downarrow}) + \frac{iB_{nx}}{2} (a_{2n-1\uparrow} a_{2n\downarrow} - a_{2n\uparrow} a_{2n-1\downarrow}) - \frac{iB_{ny}}{2} (a_{2n-1\uparrow} a_{2n-1\downarrow} + a_{2n\uparrow} a_{2n\downarrow}) + \frac{i\Delta_0}{2} (a_{2n-1\downarrow} a_{2n\uparrow} + a_{2n\downarrow} a_{2n-1\uparrow}) \quad (S4)$$

We have assumed a real order parameter $\Delta_0 = \Delta_0^*$. Importantly, Hamiltonian in this representation can be rewritten as

$$H = \frac{i}{4} \sum_{l,m=1}^{2N} a_{l\sigma} A_{l\sigma;m\sigma'} a_{m\sigma'} \quad (\text{S5})$$

where $A_{l\sigma;m\sigma'}^* = A_{l\sigma;m\sigma'} = -A_{m\sigma';l\sigma}$ is an $4N_a \times 4N_a$ ($2N_a$ MFs per spin species) antisymmetric matrix with elements easily readable from the above expression. For the upper defined form, we define the topological index as

$$\text{sign}(Pf(\frac{i}{4} A_{l\sigma;m\sigma'})) \quad (\text{S6})$$

which effectively describes the topological phase of the system [S2]. Any change between +1 and -1 of the index indicates a phase transition where the system changes its topological phase. Note that the extension of this index to the 2D model is straightforward and was done using the same majorana representation. Starting from a $(2 \times N_a \times N_b) \times (2 \times N_a \times N_b)$ matrix representation of the Hamiltonian (Eq. 1) in the complex fermion basis we get $(4 \times N_a \times N_b) \times (4 \times N_a \times N_b)$ Hamiltonian matrix in Majorana basis. The corresponding $A_{l\sigma;m\sigma'}$ matrix is again antisymmetric and the same definition of the topological index can be used.

3. ANALYTICAL SOLUTION FOR TOPOLOGICAL PHASE IN THE CASE OF SPIN HELIX

In this section we solve the one-dimensional Hamiltonian (Eq. S2) for periodic boundary conditions when angle θ between adjacent magnetic atoms is constant. Since all atoms are identical the magnetic moments on each site have same magnitude but differ in direction: $\vec{B}_n = B_0(\sin(\theta_n) \cos(\phi_n) \hat{x} + \sin(\theta_n) \sin(\phi_n) \hat{y} + \cos(\theta_n) \hat{z})$. We start from the complex fermion representation and first rotate the fermionic operators into an $\uparrow\downarrow$ basis on each atomic site using unitary rotation described in Ref. [S3]

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{n\uparrow} \\ f_{n\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = U_n \begin{pmatrix} g_{n\uparrow} \\ g_{n\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_n/2) & -\sin(\theta_n/2)e^{-i\phi_n} \\ \sin(\theta_n/2)e^{i\phi_n} & \cos(\theta_n/2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{n\uparrow} \\ g_{n\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S7})$$

As U_n is a unitary transformation fermions $g_{n\sigma}$ have the same anticommutation properties as $f_{n\sigma}$. This transformation doesn't change the form of the chemical potential and gap terms, whereas the magnetic moment term becomes diagonal. The hopping term now acquires inter-spin components, and is no longer diagonal in spin. The rotated Hamiltonian now reads as

$$H = \sum_{n,\alpha,\beta} t_n \Omega_{n,\alpha,\beta} g_{n\alpha}^\dagger g_{n+1\beta} + t_n^* \Omega_{n,\beta,\alpha}^* g_{n+1\alpha}^\dagger g_{n\beta} + B_0 \sigma_{z\alpha\beta} g_{n\alpha}^\dagger g_{n\beta} - \mu \sum_{n\alpha} g_{n\alpha}^\dagger g_{n\alpha} + \sum_n \Delta_0 (g_{n\uparrow}^\dagger g_{n\downarrow}^\dagger + g_{n\downarrow} g_{n\uparrow}) \quad (\text{S8})$$

where Ω_n is defined as

$$\Omega_n = U_n^\dagger U_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & -\beta_n^* \\ \beta_n & \alpha_n^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S9})$$

with matrix elements being $\alpha_n = \cos(\theta_n/2) \cos(\theta_{n+1}/2) + \sin(\theta_n/2) \sin(\theta_{n+1}/2) e^{-i(\phi_n - \phi_{n+1})}$ and $\beta_n = -\sin(\theta_n/2) \cos(\theta_{n+1}/2) e^{i\phi_n} + \cos(\theta_n/2) \sin(\theta_{n+1}/2) e^{i\phi_{n+1}}$. In the case of magnetic moments aligned in the x-z plane with constant angle θ between adjacent moments coefficients α_n and β_n reduce to $\alpha = \cos(\theta/2)$ and $\beta = \sin(\theta/2)$ respectively. We rewrite the rotated operators $g_{n\sigma}$ in Majorana basis as follows:

$$g_{n\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{2n-1\sigma} + ib_{2n\sigma}), \quad g_{n\sigma}^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(b_{2n-1\sigma} - ib_{2n\sigma}). \quad (\text{S10})$$

The b Majorana operators are the rotated a Majorana operators in the basis parallel to the magnetic field. In this basis, the Hamiltonian becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \sum_n \frac{t_n(\alpha_n - \alpha_n^*)}{4} (b_{2n-1\uparrow} b_{2n+1\uparrow} + b_{2n\uparrow} b_{2n+2\uparrow} - b_{2n-1\downarrow} b_{2n+1\downarrow} - b_{2n\downarrow} b_{2n+2\downarrow}) + \\ & + \frac{it_n(\alpha_n + \alpha_n^*)}{4} (b_{2n-1\uparrow} b_{2n+2\uparrow} - b_{2n\uparrow} b_{2n+1\uparrow} + b_{2n-1\downarrow} b_{2n+2\downarrow} - b_{2n\downarrow} b_{2n+1\downarrow}) + \\ & + \sum_n \frac{t_n(\beta_n - \beta_n^*)}{4} (b_{2n-1\uparrow} b_{2n+1\downarrow} + b_{2n\uparrow} b_{2n+2\downarrow} - b_{2n-1\downarrow} b_{2n+1\uparrow} - b_{2n\downarrow} b_{2n+2\uparrow}) + \\ & + \frac{-it_n(\beta_n + \beta_n^*)}{4} (b_{2n-1\uparrow} b_{2n+2\downarrow} - b_{2n\uparrow} b_{2n+1\downarrow} + b_{2n-1\downarrow} b_{2n+2\uparrow} + b_{2n\downarrow} b_{2n+1\uparrow}) + \\ & + \sum_n \frac{iB_n}{2} (b_{2n-1\uparrow} b_{2n\uparrow} - b_{2n-1\downarrow} b_{2n\downarrow}) - \frac{i\mu}{2} (b_{2n-1\uparrow} b_{2n\uparrow} + b_{2n-1\downarrow} b_{2n\downarrow}) + \frac{i\Delta_0}{2} (b_{2n-1\downarrow} b_{2n\uparrow} - b_{2n-1\uparrow} b_{2n\downarrow}) \quad (\text{S11}) \end{aligned}$$

To explicitly calculate the Pfaffian index and energy eigenvalues we need to Fourier transform MF operators as

$$b_{2n-1\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_n e^{-iqn} b_{q,1,\sigma}, \quad b_{2n\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_n e^{-iqn} b_{q,2,\sigma} \quad (\text{S12})$$

where 1, 2 are the two flavors of MF operators coming from splitting the on-site fermion, and q is the Fourier vector which takes values to be determined below (there are several cases for the values of q depending on the boundary conditions, which in turn depend on the value of the rotation of the spin). We can then write the Hamiltonian in the basis $b_q = (b_{q,1,\uparrow}, b_{q,1,\downarrow}, b_{q,2,\uparrow}, b_{q,2,\downarrow})$:

$$H = \frac{i}{4} \sum_q b_q^\dagger A(q) b_q \quad (\text{S13})$$

where $A(q)$ matrix has nonzero matrix elements:

$$A_{13}(q) = 2t\alpha \cos(q) + B_0 - \mu, \quad A_{14}(q) = 2it\beta \sin(q) - \Delta_0 \quad (\text{S14})$$

$$A_{23}(q) = -2it\beta \sin(q) + \Delta_0, \quad A_{24}(q) = 2t\alpha \cos(q) - B_0 - \mu \quad (\text{S15})$$

Periodic boundary conditions restrain the angle θ to be $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{N_a} N_h$ where N_h defines number of times the magnetic moment is rotating in an N_a site system. In other words, the magnetic moment rotates by an angle $2\pi N_h$ going from site $n = 1$ to site $n = N_a$. A further complication may arise since we are rotating a spin 1/2 system. If the magnetic moment rotates an odd number of times, in the rotated basis we have a situation where spin 1/2 is rotating an odd number of times giving rise to a -1 sign. This changes the periodic boundary conditions to anti-periodic. Therefore, the allowed values of the Fourier vector q depend on whether N_h and N_a are odd or even. The four cases with allowed values of q are summarized as follows:

$$q = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{N_a} j & \text{If } \text{mod}[N_h, N_a] \leq N_a/2 \text{ and if } N_h \text{ even} \\ \frac{\pi}{N_a} (2j+1) & \text{If } \text{mod}[N_h, N_a] \leq N_a/2 \text{ and if } N_h \text{ odd} \\ \frac{2\pi}{N_a} j & \text{If } \text{mod}[N_h, N_a] > N_a/2 \text{ and if } \text{mod}[N_a - \text{mod}[N_h, N_a], 2] = 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{N_a} (2j+1) & \text{If } \text{mod}[N_h, N_a] > N_a/2 \text{ and if } \text{mod}[N_a - \text{mod}[N_h, N_a], 2] = 1 \end{cases} \quad (\text{S16})$$

Replacing the allowed q in the expression for Pfaffian one can obtain topological index:

$$\text{Sign}(Pf[A(q=0)])\text{Sign}(Pf[A(q=\pi)]) = \text{Sign}([B_0^2 - (\Delta_0^2 + (\mu + 2\alpha t)^2)][B_0^2 - (\Delta_0^2 + (\mu - 2\alpha t)^2)]). \quad (\text{S17})$$

Note that $q = 0, \pi$ values are allowed only in only when N_h and N_s are both even. The nontrivial topological phase appears when the above index is -1 i.e. when:

$$\sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + (|\mu| + 2|\alpha t|)^2} > |B_0| > \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + (|\mu| - 2|\alpha t|)^2}. \quad (\text{S18})$$

This is the necessary condition for Majorana modes to appear in the one dimensional model assuming constant θ . For actually having Majorana modes the system also has to be gapped. Therefore it is necessary to calculate the energy spectrum which can be easily obtained by diagonalizing the Eq. S13. For each q four eigenvalues are

$$E(q) = \pm \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{B^2 + 4(1 - \alpha^2)t^2 \sin^2(q) + (\mu - 2\alpha t \cos(q))^2 + \Delta_0^2} \pm 2\sqrt{B_0^2 \Delta_0^2 + (\mu - 2\alpha t \cos(q))^2 (B_0^2 + 4(1 - \alpha^2)t^2 \sin^2(q))} \quad (\text{S19})$$

where all possible combinations of the \pm signs are possible. At $q = 0$, the bands closest to the 0 energy become $\pm(B_0 - \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + (\mu - 2\alpha t)^2})$ and at $q = \pi$ they are $\pm(B_0 + \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + (\mu + 2\alpha t)^2})$. This shows that when the Pfaffian changes sign at the edge of the topological phase the gap also vanishes.

Notice that for anti-ferromagnetic coupling ($\theta = \pi$) the criteria in Eq. S18 collapses to a single point i.e for this magnetic moment orientation system never crosses into topological phase. Naively, one could expect that topological phase is maximized for ferromagnetic coupling ($\theta = 0$). In this case the energy eigenvalues (Eq. S19) reduce to $E(q) = \pm B \pm \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + (\mu - 2t \cos(q))^2}$. Unfortunately, for this case, in the whole range where Pfaffian is negative the system is also gapless and therefore it does not have topological phase.

The absence of MF modes in the ferromagnetic and anti-ferromagnetic configurations can be also confirmed using a self consistent two dimensional model as illustrated by example shown in Fig. S1. In the anti-ferromagnetic




FIG. S1. Energy spectrum showing lowest 24 states of the magnetic chain for anti-ferromagnetic (a) and ferromagnetic (b) orientation of magnetic moments ($N_a \times N_b = 24 \times 25$, $t/\Delta_0 = 3.83$, $\mu/\Delta_0 = 3.19$, $T/\Delta_0 = 0.012$). For anti-ferromagnetic coupling (a) the topological index stays positive ($Pf > 0$) in the whole range of B . For ferromagnetic coupling Pfaffian changes sign around $B/\Delta_0 \approx 6$ but the mini-gap in this range (for $B/\Delta_0 > 6$) practically does not exist. Note that at around $B/\Delta_0 \approx 5$ the lowest energy levels also come very close to zero energy, but the Pfaffian does not change sign around this point.

configuration the levels approach zero energy but the two lowest levels never cross, (Fig. S1(a)). Therefore, the chain remains in the trivial phase ($Pf > 0$) for the whole range of B . On the other hand, for the ferromagnetic configuration several levels practically collapse as the lowest energy level crosses zero, Fig. S1(b). In this configuration $Pf < 0$ but there is no well defined gap of the system. In other word system is gapless and the MF modes can not be therefore separated from the remaining states in the spectrum.

3a. Topological phase of the simple zig-zag chain

The analytical results above can be extended to more complex chains. In this subsection we consider zig-zag chains of impurities where atoms are placed in two rows as shown in Fig. 5 of the main text. This configuration is interesting since, for antiferromagnetic coupling, it represents a frustrated spin system with potentially ground state where spins are canted off from purely anti-ferromagnetic alignment. A zig-zag chain can be thought of as a skewed ladder, where one of the chains in the ladder is shifted mid-bond with respect to the other chain. In this case, the zig-zag chain can be thought of as a single chain with both nearest neighbour hopping t_{1n} and second neighbour hopping t_{2n} . To find the Hamiltonian for we can again project to the on-site spin basis $\vec{B}_n = B_n(\sin(\theta_n) \cos(\phi_n) \hat{x} + \sin(\theta_n) \sin(\phi_n) \hat{y} + \cos(\theta_n) \hat{z})$ with $B_n > 0$ and the Hamiltonian becomes:

$$H = \sum_{n,\alpha,\beta} t_{1n} \Omega_{1n,\alpha,\beta} g_{n\alpha}^\dagger g_{n+1\beta} + t_{2n} \Omega_{2n,\alpha,\beta} g_{n\alpha}^\dagger g_{n+2\beta} + B_n \sigma_{z\alpha\beta} g_{n\alpha}^\dagger g_{n\beta} - \mu \sum_{n\alpha} g_{n\alpha}^\dagger g_{n\alpha} + \sum_n \Delta_0 (g_{n\uparrow}^\dagger g_{n\downarrow}^\dagger + g_{n\downarrow} g_{n\uparrow}) \quad (\text{S20})$$

where the matrix Ω_{1n} is identical to the one before while:

$$\Omega_{2n} = U_n^\dagger U_{n+2} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{2n} & -\beta_{2n}^* \\ \beta_{2n} & \alpha_{2n}^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S21})$$

where $\alpha_{2n} = \cos(\theta_n/2) \cos(\theta_{n+2}/2) + \sin(\theta_n/2) \sin(\theta_{n+2}/2) e^{-i(\theta_n - \theta_{n+2})}$ and $\beta_{2n} = -\sin(\theta_n/2) \cos(\theta_{n+2}/2) e^{i\phi_n} + \cos(\theta_n/2) \sin(\theta_{n+2}/2) e^{i\theta_{n+2}}$.

If we now assume that the magnetic moment form spiral configuration, just like in the single-chain case, the situation is easy to analyze. We take $t_{1n} = t_1$, $t_{2n} = t_2$ constant across the chain. By taking $\phi_n = 0$ and $\theta_n = (n-1) \frac{2\pi}{N_s} N_h$ in

which the spin is rotating N_h times in an N_s site zig-zag chain, we have:

$$\alpha_{1n} = \alpha_1 = \cos(\pi N_h/N_s), \quad \beta_{1n} = \beta_1 = \sin(\pi N_h/N_s), \quad \alpha_{2n} = \alpha_2 = \cos(2\pi N_h/N_s), \quad \beta_{2n} = \beta_2 = \sin(2\pi N_h/N_s) \quad (\text{S22})$$

By going to the Majorana basis and Fourier space the Hamiltonian can again in the same form as Eq. S13 $H = \frac{i}{4} \sum_q b_q^\dagger A(q) b_q$ with nonzero elements of the matrix $A(q)$ being

$$A_{13}(q) = 2t_1\alpha_1 \cos(q) + 2t_2\alpha_2 \cos(2q) + B_0 - \mu, \quad A_{14}(q) = 2it_1\beta_1 \sin(q) + 2it_2\beta_2 \sin(2q) - \Delta_0, \quad (\text{S23})$$

$$A_{23}(q) = -2it_1\beta_1 \sin(q) - 2it_2\beta_2 \sin(2q) + \Delta_0, \quad A_{24}(q) = 2t_1\alpha_1 \cos(q) + 2t_2\alpha_2 \cos(2q) - B_0 - \mu. \quad (\text{S24})$$

This result is simple extension the introduction of the second neighbor gives just another added hopping contribution in the Majorana basis. The energy spectrum of the problem reads:

$$E(q) = \pm \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{B_0^2 + a(q) + b(q) + \Delta_0^2 \pm 2\sqrt{B_0^2\Delta_0^2 + b(q)(B_0^2 + a(q))}} \quad (\text{S25})$$

where $a(q) = (2\beta_1 t_1 \sin(q) + 2\beta_2 t_2 \sin(2q))^2$, $b(q) = (\mu - 2\alpha_1 t_1 \cos(q) - 2\alpha_2 t_2 \cos(2q))^2$ corresponds to replacing the $2\beta t \sin(q) \rightarrow 2\beta_1 t_1 \sin(q) + 2\beta_2 t_2 \sin(2q)$ and $2\alpha t \cos(q) \rightarrow 2t_1\alpha_1 \cos(q) + 2t_2\alpha_2 \cos(2q)$ in the simple chain problem.

The topological index Pfaffian of the problem also comes out as a simple substitution but since the harmonics of the next nearest neighbor term is $\cos(2q)$, this term does not change sign between $q = 0$ and $q = \pi$. The final condition for nontrivial phase is given by following expression

$$\sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + (\mu + 2\alpha_1 t_1 - 2\alpha_2 t_2)^2} > |B_0| > \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + (\mu - 2\alpha_1 t_1 - 2\alpha_2 t_2)^2}. \quad (\text{S26})$$

Note that the existence of a next nearest coupling, in addition to possibly providing favorable magnetic configuration, effectively shifts the chemical potential by $-2\alpha_2 t_2$.

-
- [S1] P. D. Sacramento, V. K. Dugaev, and V. R. Vieira, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 014512 (Jul 2007)
[S2] A. Y. Kitaev, Physics-Uspekhi **44**, 131 (2001)
[S3] T.-P. Choy, J. M. Edge, A. R. Akhmerov, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 195442 (Nov 2011)