

Use of Combined Hartree-Fock-Roothaan Theory in Evaluation of Lowest States of K [Ar]4s⁰3d¹ and Cr⁺ [Ar]4s⁰3d⁵ Isoelectronic Series Over Noninteger n-Slater Type Orbitals

I.I. Guseinov, M. Ertürk and E. Şahin

Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Onsekiz Mart University, Çanakkale, Turkey

Abstract

By the use of integer and noninteger n-Slater Type Orbitals in combined Hartree-Fock-Roothaan method, self consistent field calculations of orbital and lowest states energies have been performed for the isoelectronic series of open shell systems K [Ar]4s⁰3d¹ (²D) (Z=19-30) and Cr⁺ [Ar] 4s⁰3d⁵ (⁶S) (Z=24-30). The results of calculations for the orbital and total energies obtained from the use of minimal basis sets of integer- and noninteger n-Slater Type Orbitals are given in tables. The results are compared with the extended-basis Hartree-Fock computations. The orbital and total energies are in good agreement with those presented in the literature. The results are accurately and considerably can be useful in the application of non-relativistic and relativistic combined Hartree-Fock-Roothaan approach for heavy atomic systems.

Key Words: Hartree-Fock-Roothaan equations, Noninteger n-Slater type orbitals, Open shell theory, Isoelectronic series

1. Introduction

The Hartree-Fock-Roothaan (HFR) or basis-set expansion method is a convenient and powerful tool for the study of electronic structure of atoms and molecules [1-3]. It is well known that the open shell HFR theory [4] and its extensions by others [5-15] are not applicable to any state of a single configuration, which has any symmetry of open shells. Most of these methods apply only to particular couplings conditions. In a previous paper [16], one of the authors has suggested the combined HFR (CHFR) method for arbitrary open shell systems. In Refs. [17, 18], the CHFR method numerically has been confirmed for He and some first row atoms in single and double zeta approximations. The choice of the basis functions is very important when the CHFR method is employed, because it determines both the computational efficiency and accuracy of the results obtainable within a given approaches. The most frequently used basis functions for atomic calculations are Slater type orbitals (STOs) [19] defined as

$$\chi_{n^*lm}(\zeta, \vec{r}) = \frac{(2\zeta)^{n^*+1/2}}{[\Gamma(2n^*+1)]^{1/2}} r^{n^*-1} e^{-\zeta r} S_{lm}(\theta, \varphi). \quad (1)$$

Here, $\Gamma(x)$ and $S_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$ are the gamma function and complex or real spherical harmonics, respectively; $\zeta > 0$ is the orbital exponent. The quantity n^* occurring in Eq. (1) is positive integer or noninteger principal quantum number of STOs (ISTOs and NSTOs). It should be noted that the STOs allow an adequate representation of important properties of the electronic wave function, such as the cusps at the nuclei [20] and the exponential decay at large distances [21]. The determination of nonlinear parameters n^* and ζ appearing in Eq. (1) is very important for describing the atomic orbitals.

Over the years, much progress has been made in using ISTOs and NSTOs as atomic basis functions in the electronic structure calculations of atoms [22-34]. The ground state energies of isoelectronic series with the open shells for first-row transition atoms were calculated by Clementi-Roetti [35] and C. F. Fischer [36] using Hartree-Fock limit (HFL) in the ISTOs basis sets and numerical Hartree-Fock (NHF) approaches, respectively. As we know from the literature that the isoelectronic series of atoms have not been investigated exhaustively using the NSTOs basis sets, particularly for the heavy atoms and ions.

The purpose of the present paper is to perform, as an application of the open shell CHFR method, the calculations for the lowest states of the isoelectronic series of K [Ar]4s⁰3d¹ and Cr⁺ [Ar]4s⁰3d⁵ configurations using ISTOs and NSTOs in the minimal basis set approximation. The computational method is described in the next section. Hartree atomic units used throughout this work.

2. Theory and Computational Method

It is well known that the total energy functional in HFR method is the average of the energies of all the important symmetry-adapted configuration state functions. The orbitals are optimized so that the energy functional reaches its minimum. According to CHFR theory the postulated energy for arbitrary number of closed and open shell electronic configurations, in the case of atoms is given by [16]:

$$E(LS) = 2 \sum_i^n f_i h_i + \sum_{i,j,k,l}^n (2 A_{kl}^{ij} J_{kl}^{ij} - B_{kl}^{ij} K_{kl}^{ij}). \quad (2)$$

Here, f_i is the fractional occupancy of shell i . The h_i , J_{kl}^{ij} and K_{kl}^{ij} are the one electron, and Coulomb and exchange integrals, respectively. The coupling-projection (CP) coefficients A_{kl}^{ij}

and B_{kl}^{ij} appearing in Eq. (2) in the case of closed-closed and closed-open shells interaction are determined as

$$A_{kl}^{ij} = B_{kl}^{ij} = f_i f_k \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}. \quad (3)$$

The necessity for the determination of the open-open shells interaction CP coefficients arises of using the following relation of the expectation value for the total energy:

$$E(^{2S+1}L) = \frac{1}{(2L+1)(2S+1)} \sum_{M_L M_S} \int \Psi_{M_L M_S}^{LS*} \hat{H} \Psi_{M_L M_S}^{LS} d\tau. \quad (4)$$

In order to find the CP coefficients, we should compare the results obtained from Eq. (2) with those in Eq. (4) (See Refs. [16-18] and references therein for more details about these coefficients). The wave functions $\Psi_{M_L M_S}^{LS}$ occurring in Eq. (4) can be constructed by the use of determinantal Slater [37] or determinantal modified Slater method (see Sec. (4) of [16]). We notice that the CP coefficients have the following symmetry properties:

$$A_{kl}^{ij} = A_{ij}^{kl}, \quad A_{kl}^{ij} = A_{lk}^{ji}, \quad (5)$$

$$B_{kl}^{ij} = B_{ij}^{kl}, \quad B_{kl}^{ij} = B_{lk}^{ji}. \quad (6)$$

The CP coefficients, in the case of isoelectronic series K [Ar]4s⁰3d¹ (²D), which have a single electron in open shell, can be determined by the use of Eq. (3). The values of open-open shell CP coefficients for the lowest state of isoelectronic series Cr⁺ are given in table 1. In table 1, the Slater atomic orbitals are denoted by

<i>nlm</i> :	100	200	211	210	21-1	300	311	310	31-1	322	321	320	32-1	32-2
<i>i</i> :	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14

Now we rewrite Eq. (2) in terms of the linear combination coefficients C. Then, we obtain for the energy functional the following algebraic relation:

$$E = 2 \sum_q (\rho h)_{qq} + \sum_{ij,kl} \sum_{pq} (2C_{ip}^\dagger A_{kl}^{ij} \Gamma_{kl}^{pq} C_{qj} - C_{ip}^\dagger B_{kl}^{ij} \Lambda_{kl}^{pq} C_{qj}), \quad (7)$$

where $\rho = CfC^\dagger$ and

$$\Gamma_{kl}^{pq} = (C^\dagger I^{pq} C)_{kl} \quad (8)$$

$$\Lambda_{kl}^{pq} = (C^\dagger K^{pq} C)_{kl}. \quad (9)$$

Two electron integrals I_{kl}^{pq} and K_{kl}^{pq} occurring in these equations are defined as

$$I_{kl}^{pq} = \iint \chi_p^*(x_1) \chi_k^*(x_2) \frac{1}{r_{21}} \chi_q(x_1) \chi_l(x_2) dv_1 dv_2 \quad (10)$$

$$K_{kl}^{pq} = \iint \chi_p^*(x_1) \chi_k^*(x_2) \frac{1}{r_{21}} \chi_l(x_1) \chi_q(x_2) dv_1 dv_2. \quad (11)$$

The Coulomb and exchange integrals defined by Eqs. (10) and (11), respectively, have the same form except the indices. These one-center two electron integrals over the NSTOs basis sets can be evaluated by the use of relations in terms of the gamma and hypergeometric functions presented in Refs. [31, 38].

Recently, we have constructed a self-consistent field program in Mathematica international mathematical software based on the CHFR method using ISTOs and NSTOs basis sets [39]. All the nonlinear parameters were optimized with the help of quasi-Newton method. Initial values of the nonlinear parameters occurring in NSTOs were taken from the ISTOs results. The values of nonlinear parameters obtained are used, then, in the optimization of NSTOs nonlinear parameters. We observed that the final virial ratios do not deviate from the exact value of -2 by more 10^{-7} . All of the values of parameters tabulated have nine decimals. Because of this, the ratios obtained are so much more precise than those of Ref. [35]. We notice that our optimizations in all of the calculations are sufficiently accurate.

3. Results and Discussion

The CHFR method described above and developed to date appears to yield good results when applied in calculations for atomic systems. In case of the ISTOs and NSTOs basis sets, the total energies (E_{ISTO} and E_{NSTO}), their differences ($\Delta E_{E_{\text{ISTO}}-E_{\text{NSTO}}}$) and energy differences E_{NSTO} and HFL ($\Delta E_{E_{\text{NSTO}}-E_{\text{HFL}}}$) for the isoelectronic series $\text{K}[\text{Ar}]4s^03d^1$ (2D) and $\text{Cr}^+[\text{Ar}]4s^03d^5$ (6S) configurations are given in tables 2 and 3, respectively. We see from tables that the total energy differences $\Delta E_{E_{\text{ISTO}}-E_{\text{NSTO}}}$ increases as the atomic number Z increases. We also observed that the efficiency of NSTOs increases rapidly with the increased atomic number Z with respect to the ISTOs. The comparison of our results obtained in NSTOs basis sets with corresponding Clementi-Roetti's HFL energies [35] shows that the energy differences $\Delta E_{E_{\text{NSTO}}-E_{\text{HFL}}}$ are almost not changed.

The total energy errors for the ISTOs and NSTOs basis sets relative to the NHF [36] for the isoelectronic series K and Cr^{+1} are shown in figures 1 and 2, respectively. We call attention to the fact that the total energy errors $\Delta E_{E_{\text{ISTO}}-E_{\text{NHF}}}$ for the ISTOs basis sets increase smoothly with an increase in both the atomic number Z and number of electrons. In the NSTOs basis sets calculations, on the contrary, the trend of total energy errors $\Delta E_{E_{\text{NSTO}}-E_{\text{NHF}}}$ is

in opposite direction consistently. As seen from figures 1 and 2, the results of NSTOs basis sets calculations are about 3-4 times more accurate than those ISTOs basis set.

We notice that the Clementi-Roetti HFL energies [35] for the $Z=28$ in isoelectronic series of configuration $K[Ar]4s^03d^1$ (2D) is incorrect. It should be noted that for $Z=20$ in K isoelectronic series Clementi-Roetti configuration ($K[Ar]4s^13d^0$) is different from our configuration ($K[Ar]4s^03d^1$).

In tables 4 and 5, we present orbital energies obtained from the NSTOs basis sets for the isoelectronic series K and Cr^{+1} . For these isoelectronic series, all the orbital energies are improved in NSTOs calculations within the minimal basis framework.

Table 6 lists the optimum nonlinear parameters n^* and ζ for the $Z=25$ in K and Cr^{+1} isoelectronic series. It should be noted that the deviation from nominal values of principal quantum numbers is largest for 3d orbital, i.e., $1.93 \leq n_{3d}^* \leq 2.51$. The improvement of the NSTOs basis sets by the extension of the n^* from integer to noninteger values is due to the fact that these optimal values are significantly different from the nominal values of orbitals.

The forcefulness of the NSTOs basis sets in calculations of the heavy atoms has been studied for isoelectronic series K and Cr^{+1} . We showed that the efficiency of the NSTOs basis sets is increased especially with increase the atomic number Z in isoelectronic series of $K[Ar]4s^03d^1$ (2D) and $Cr^+[Ar]4s^03d^5$ (6S) configurations. NSTOs total and orbital energies are closer to the corresponding NHF values than the ISTOs ones. The size of the present noninteger n-Slater orbitals is smaller than that of the usual extended integer n-Slater orbitals presented in literature. This reduction considerably extends the range of applications of the NSTOs in calculations of atomic properties. We notice that the optimization process in our calculations is not restricted by condition $n^* \geq 1$ for fulfilling the cusp value and asymptotic long-range behavior of orbitals. We plan further to take into account these criteria of atomic orbitals. Work is in progress in our group for the analytical CHFR calculations of ground and excited states of heavy atoms and their ions. It should be noted that the analytical solutions of CHFR equations, Eqs. (2) and (7), can be performed for the arbitrary states of electronic configurations which have any number of open shells (whatever their symmetry is). The CHFR method can be of considerable help and importance in the simplification of open shell atomic structure calculations. This method has, in our opinion, an advantage and simplex over standard approaches presented in literature. The CHFR method and a computer code are presented which allow more forceful computation of HFL energies. The resulting wave

functions and parameters are available by request through e-mail addresses: ihuseyin@comu.edu.tr or merturk@comu.edu.tr.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the TÜBİTAK project No. TBAG-2396 (103T172). One of us, EŞ, thanks TUBITAK-BIDEB for financial support for his PhD. education.

4. References

1. C. A. Weatherford, H. W. Jones, *International Conference on ETO Multicenter Integrals* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1982)
2. C. C. J. Roothaan, P. S. Bagus, *Methods in Computational Physics* (Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1963)
3. R. Carbo, R. M. Riera, *A general SCF theory* (Springer, Berlin, 1978)
4. C. C. J. Roothaan, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **32**, 179 (1960)
5. F. W. Birss, S. Fraga, *J. Chem. Phys.* **38**, 2552 (1963)
6. S. Fraga, F. W. Birss, *J. Chem. Phys.* **40**, 3203 (1964)
7. S. Huzinaga, *J. Chem. Phys.* **51**, 3971 (1969)
8. K. Hirao, H. Nakatsuji, *J. Chem. Phys.* **59**, 1457 (1973)
9. T. Kagawa, *Phys. Rev. A.* **12**, 2245 (1975)
10. H. J. Silverstone, *J. Chem. Phys.* **67**, 4172 (1977)
11. R. Carbo, J. M. Riera, *Lecture Notes in Chemistry* (Vol.5, A General SCF Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1978)
12. G. Fick, J. Wirsich, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **18**, 753 (1980)
13. M. Haser, *J. Chem. Phys.* **95**, 8259 (1991)
14. K. Koc, Y. Ishikawa, *Phys. Rev. A.* **49**, 794 (1994)
15. P. M. Kozłowski, E. R. Davidson, *Chem. Phys. Letters.* **226**, 440 (1994)
16. I. I. Guseinov, *J. Math. Chem.* **42**, 177 (2007)
17. I. I. Guseinov, B. A. Mamedov, M. Ertürk, H. Aksu, E. Şahin, *Few-Body Systems.* **41**, 211 (2007)
18. I. I. Guseinov, M. Ertürk, E. Şahin, H. Aksu, *Chin. J. Chem.* **26**, 213 (2008)
19. J. C. Slater, *Phys. Rev.* **36**, 57 (1930)
20. T. Kato, *Commun. Pure and Appl. Math.* **10**, 151 (1957)

21. S. Agmon, *Lectures On Exponential Decay of Solutions of Second Order Elliptic Equations: Bound on Eigenfunctions of N-Body Schrodinger Operators* (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1982)
22. R. G. Parr, H. W. Joy, J. Chem. Phys. **26**, 424 (1957)
23. A. F. Saturno, R. G. Parr, J. Chem. Phys. **29**, 490, (1958)
24. D. M. Bishop, Adv. Quantum Chem. **3**, 25 (1967)
25. T. Koga, A. J. Thakkar, Theor. Chem. Acc. **85**, 363 (1993)
26. T. Koga, Y. Seki, A. J. Thakkar, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 3141 (1993)
27. T. Koga, M. Omura, H. Teruya, A. J. Thakkar, J. Phys. B. **28**, 3113 (1995)
28. T. Koga, K. Kanayama, J. Phys. B. **30**, 1623 (1997)
29. T. Koga, S. Watanabe, K. Kanayama, R. Yasuda, A. J. Thakkar, J. Chem. Phys. **103**, 3000 (1995)
30. B. Miguel, T. Koga, J. M. Garcia de la Vega, Theor. Chem. Acc. **104**, 167 (2000)
31. T. Koga, K. Kanayama, A. J. Thakkar, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **62**, 1 (1997)
32. T. Koga, K. Kanayama, Chem. Phys. Lett. **266**, 123 (1997)
33. T. Koga, J. M. Garcia de la Vega, B. Miguel, Chem. Phys. Lett. **283**, 97 (1998)
34. T. Koga, T. Shimazaki, T. Satoh, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) **496**, 95 (2000)
35. E. Clementi, C. Roetti, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables. **14**, 177 (1974)
36. C. Froese Fischer, *The Hartree-Fock methods for atoms* (Wiley, New York, 1977) and <http://atoms.vuse.vanderbilt.edu/hf.html>
37. J. C. Slater, *Quantum Theory of Atomic Structure* (Vol.2, Mc Graw-Hill, New York 1960)
38. I. I. Guseinov, B. A. Mamedov, Theor. Chem. Acc. **108**, 21 (2002)
39. I. I. Guseinov et. al., unpublished program, 2006.

Table 1. The values of open-open shells coupling-projection coefficients for isoelectronic series of the lowest state electronic configuration $\text{Cr}^+ [\text{Ar}] 4s^0 3d^5 ({}^6S)$

i	j	K	l	$A_{kl}^{ij} = A_{ij}^{kl}$	$B_{kl}^{ij} = B_{ij}^{kl}$
10	10	11	11	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
		12	12	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
		13	13	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
		14	14	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
11	11	12	12	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
		13	13	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
		14	14	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
12	12	13	13	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
		14	14	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
13	13	14	14	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

Table 2. The ISTO (E_{ISTO}) and NSTO (E_{NSTO}) total energies, total energy differences $\Delta E_{E_{\text{ISTO}}-E_{\text{NSTO}}}$ and $\Delta E_{E_{\text{NSTO}}-E_{\text{HFL}}}$ for the isoelectronic series $\text{K} [\text{Ar}] 4s^0 3d^1 ({}^2D)$

Atomic Number (Z)	- E_{ISTO}	- E_{NSTO}	$\Delta E_{E_{\text{ISTO}}-E_{\text{NSTO}}}$	$\Delta E_{E_{\text{NSTO}}-E_{\text{HFL}}}$
19	598.004932042	598.851381634	0.846449592	-
20	675.292908562	676.252721310	0.959812748	0.317168690
21	757.792879118	758.849276755	1.056397637	0.242913245
22	845.390053687	846.509362081	1.119308394	0.239847919
23	938.016084491	939.207319355	1.191234864	0.238080645
24	1035.659729808	1036.932938799	1.273208991	0.237261201
25	1138.314776362	1139.680087694	1.365311332	0.236412306
26	1245.977048758	1247.444559744	1.467510986	0.236240256
27	1358.643498206	1360.223277271	1.579779065	0.237722729
28	1476.311794713	1478.013899031	1.702104318	-
29	1598.980104698	1600.814595675	1.834490977	0.239904325
30	1726.646954721	1728.623908862	1.976954141	0.240791138

Table 3. The ISTO (E_{ISTO}) and NSTO (E_{NSTO}) total energies, total energy differences $\Delta E_{E_{\text{ISTO}}-E_{\text{NSTO}}}$ and $\Delta E_{E_{\text{NSTO}}-E_{\text{HFL}}}$ for the isoelectronic series $\text{Cr}^+ [\text{Ar}] 4s^0 3d^5 ({}^6S)$

Atomic Number (Z)	- E_{ISTO}	- E_{NSTO}	$\Delta E_{E_{\text{ISTO}}-E_{\text{NSTO}}}$	$\Delta E_{E_{\text{NSTO}}-E_{\text{HFL}}}$
24	1040.506195491	1042.636094901	2.129899411	0.502705099
25	1146.418778218	1148.633507128	2.214728910	0.474792872
26	1257.856029647	1260.156391428	2.300361781	0.457708572
27	1374.789004246	1377.181383800	2.392379554	0.446916200
28	1497.202404334	1499.694945177	2.492540843	0.439554823
29	1625.086610845	1627.688157939	2.601547093	0.431942061
30	1758.434948335	1761.154680347	2.719732012	0.431219652

Table 4. The NSTO orbital energies (ϵ_{NSTO}) for the lowest states (2D) of isoelectronic series K from $Z=19$ to $Z=30$

Z	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
$-\epsilon_{1s}$	133.603651258	149.445801069	166.438177745	184.487104267	203.553605446	223.630444090	244.714652843	266.804425631	289.898648114	313.996500667	339.097385523	365.200830066
$-\epsilon_{2s}$	14.527120013	16.849342760	19.579452447	22.627510239	25.947895215	29.531782211	33.375355895	37.476297577	41.833107950	46.444697164	51.310255845	56.429144725
$-\epsilon_{2p}$	11.546200130	13.650400657	16.161008648	18.987356287	22.084638327	25.444311400	29.062752228	32.937780295	37.068012490	41.452453486	46.090369027	50.981182656
$-\epsilon_{3s}$	1.827697709	2.312210347	3.093788374	4.047669496	5.129549919	6.331782843	7.651310971	9.086435448	10.636113250	12.299627093	14.076463021	15.966229441
$-\epsilon_{3p}$	1.035139568	1.424593499	2.106449792	2.954319846	3.928433380	5.022108584	6.232674030	7.558616900	8.998997265	10.553159136	12.220621649	14.001013606
$-\epsilon_{3d}$	0.056565108	0.326254285	0.875393640	1.565447443	2.381668575	3.319089997	4.375106731	5.548039832	6.836733031	8.240340802	9.758222895	11.389865889

Table 5. The NSTO orbital energies (ϵ_{NSTO}) for the lowest states (6S) of isoelectronic series Cr^{+1} from $Z=24$ to $Z=30$

Z	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
$-\epsilon_{1s}$	220.595019376	241.141101900	262.723648049	285.326617591	308.943013703	333.569080966	359.202552327
$-\epsilon_{2s}$	26.375409912	29.667863580	33.258682357	37.128132456	41.267218875	45.670924483	50.336094178
$-\epsilon_{2p}$	22.301117799	25.369558579	28.734013305	32.375242950	36.284563846	40.457184582	44.890126812
$-\epsilon_{3s}$	3.444607661	4.371169138	5.450280137	6.663125942	8.001499573	9.461049106	11.039175810
$-\epsilon_{3p}$	2.216167820	3.041733119	4.013835251	5.116176253	6.341803787	7.687074998	9.149830560
$-\epsilon_{3d}$	0.529619234	1.187798200	1.984292134	2.908309596	3.954801558	5.120898920	6.404779781

Table 6. The nonlinear parameters of the NSTOs basis sets for the atomic number $Z=25$ in isoelectronic series of K and Cr^+

Atomic Number $Z=25$	K [Ar]4s ⁰ 3d ¹ (² D)		Cr ⁺ [Ar] 4s ⁰ 3d ⁵ (⁶ S)	
	n^*	ζ	n^*	ζ
n_{1s}	0.996452092	24.494169683	0.996475180	24.496025348
n_{2s}	2.585633206	11.488829069	2.579379023	11.441813217
n_{2p}	1.877837774	9.812004191	1.876183273	9.783910946
n_{3s}	3.202373740	4.783804983	2.801893062	4.065240858
n_{3p}	2.882563193	4.204804891	2.502967207	3.460314356
n_{3d}	2.340092169	3.358124840	2.042644122	2.421278904




Figure 1. The energy differences $\Delta E_{E_{ISTO}-NHF}$ and $\Delta E_{E_{NSTO}-NHF}$ as a function of atomic number Z for the lowest states (²D) of isoelectronic series K (the results of NHF are obtained from Ref. [36])




Figure 2. The energy differences $\Delta E_{E_{ISTO-NHF}}$ and $\Delta E_{E_{NSTO-NHF}}$ as a function of atomic number Z for the lowest states (6S) of isoelectronic series Cr^{+1} (the results of NHF are obtained from Ref. [36])