arXiv:physics/0305076v1 [physics.gen-ph] 19 May 2003

On the self-induced charge currents in electromagnetic materials and its effects in the torsion balance experiment*

Jian-Qi Shen [1,2] †

[1] *Centre for Optical and Electromagnetic Research, State Key Laboratory of Modern Optical Instrumentation, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou SpringJade 310027, P. R. China*

[2] *Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics and Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China*

(April 19, 2019)

We concern ourselves with the *self-induced charge currents* in electromagnetic materials and some related topics on its effects in the present paper. The contribution of self-induced charge currents of metamaterial media to *photon effective rest mass* is briefly discussed. We concentrate primarily on the torque, which is caused by the interaction of self-induced charge currents in dilute plasma with interstellar magnetic fields, acting on the torsion balance in the torsion balance experiment. It is shown by our evaluation that the muons and alpha-particles in secondary cosmic rays will contribute an effective rest mass about 10^{-54} Kg to the photon, which is compared to the newly obtained upper limit on photon rest mass in Luo's *rotating torsion balance* experiment.

I. PHOTON EFFECTIVE REST MASS DUE TO SELF-INDUCED CHARGE CURRENT

In is well known that in some simple electromagnetic media such as electron plasma, superconducting media and Lorentz dispersive materials (inside which the time-harmonic electromagnetic wave is propagating), the self-induced charge current density $\mathbf{J}$ [1] is proportional to the magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$. Thus the interaction term $\mu_0\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{A}$ in the Lagrangian density is therefore transformed into an effective mass term $-\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_{\rm eff}^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\mathbf{A}^2$ of electromagnetic fields [2], which is analogous to the rest mass term in the Londons' electromagnetics for superconductivity, Ginzberg-Landau superconductivity theory and Higgs mechanism. Note that in these media, the magnetic permeability[1] $\mu=1$, so it is easy for us to obtain $\mathbf{J}$ (and hence the *effective rest mass* of photon) by solving the equation of motion of charged particles acted upon by electromagnetic waves. However, for some artificial composite electromagnetic metamaterials, which are described by, *e.g.*, the *two time derivative Lorentz material* (2TDLM) model (in which both the electric permittivity and the magnetic permeability are of frequency dependence and therefore of complicated form) and some uniaxially (or biaxially) anisotropic media with permittivity and permeability being tensors, the above formulation may be not helpful in obtaining the *effective rest mass* of electromagnetic fields. In these cases, however, we have shown that the following formula [2]

$$\frac{m_{\rm eff}^2c^4}{\hbar^2}=(1-n^2)\omega^2, \tag{1.1}$$

which arises from the Einstein-de Broglie relation, can be applicable to the above problem. In the expression (1.1), n, $\hbar$ and c denote the optical refractive index, Planck's constant and speed of light in a vacuum, respectively.

The *two time derivative Lorentz material* (2TDLM) model first suggested by Ziolkowski and Auzanneau [3,4] is a generalization of the standard Lorentz material model. Ziolkowski has shown that this type of 2TDLM medium can be designed so that it allows communication signals to propagate in the medium at speeds exceeding the speed of light in a vacuum without violating causality [5]. For these artificial metamaterials, the model encompasses the permittivity and permeability material responses experimentally obtained [6]. The $\hat{\rm x}$-directed polarization and $\hat{\rm y}$-directed magnetization fields in such materials would have the following forms [5]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathcal{P}_x+\Gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{P}_x+\omega_0^2\mathcal{P}_x&=\epsilon_0\left(\omega_{\rm p}^2\chi_\alpha^{\rm e}\mathcal{E}_x+\omega_{\rm p}\chi_\beta^{\rm e}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{E}_x+\chi_\gamma^{\rm e}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathcal{E}_x\right),\\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathcal{M}_y+\Gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_y+\omega_0^2\mathcal{M}_y&=\omega_{\rm p}^2\chi_\alpha^{\rm m}\mathcal{H}_y+\omega_{\rm p}\chi_\beta^{\rm m}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{H}_y+\chi_\gamma^{\rm m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathcal{H}_y,\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

*This paper is concerned with the self-induced charge currents and some related physically interesting topics. It will be submitted nowhere else for the publication, just uploaded at the e-print archives.

†E-mail address: jqshen@coer.zju.edu.cn

[1]Note that here for the magnetic properties in superconductors, we adopt the current viewpoint rather than the magnetic-charge viewpoint (where the permeability can be viewed as $\mu=0$).

where $\chi_\alpha^{\mathrm{e,m}}$, $\chi_\beta^{\mathrm{e,m}}$ and $\chi_\gamma^{\mathrm{e,m}}$ represent, respectively, the coupling of the electric (magnetic) field and its first and second time derivatives to the local electric (magnetic) dipole moments. ω_{p}, Γ^{e}, Γ^{m} and ω_0 can be viewed as the plasma frequency, damping frequency and resonance frequency of the electric (magnetic) dipole oscillators, respectively. Thus the frequency-domain electric and magnetic susceptibilities are given

$$\chi^{\mathrm{e}}(\omega) = \frac{\left(\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)^2 \chi_\alpha^{\mathrm{e}} + i\omega\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{e}}\chi_\beta^{\mathrm{e}} - \omega^2\chi_\gamma^{\mathrm{e}}}{-\omega^2 + i\omega\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}} + \left(\omega_0^{\mathrm{e}}\right)^2}, \quad \chi^{\mathrm{m}}(\omega) = \frac{\left(\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)^2 \chi_\alpha^{\mathrm{m}} + i\omega\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{m}}\chi_\beta^{\mathrm{m}} - \omega^2\chi_\gamma^{\mathrm{m}}}{-\omega^2 + i\omega\Gamma^{\mathrm{m}} + \left(\omega_0^{\mathrm{m}}\right)^2}, \tag{1.3}$$

So, the refractive index squared in the 2TDLM model reads

$$n^2(\omega) = 1 + \chi^{\mathrm{e}}(\omega) + \chi^{\mathrm{m}}(\omega) + \chi^{\mathrm{e}}(\omega)\chi^{\mathrm{m}}(\omega). \tag{1.4}$$

Thus it follows from (1.1) that the *photon effective rest mass squared* in 2TDLM model is of the form

$$m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2\omega^2}{c^4}\left[\chi^{\mathrm{e}}(\omega) + \chi^{\mathrm{m}}(\omega) + \chi^{\mathrm{e}}(\omega)\chi^{\mathrm{m}}(\omega)\right]. \tag{1.5}$$

As an illustrative example, we apply Eq.(1.5) to the case of left-handed media, which is a kind of artificial composite metamaterials with negative refractive index in the microwave frequency region (10^9 Hz). More recently, this medium captured considerable attention in various fields such as condensed matter physics, materials science, applied electromagnetics and optics [6–11]. The most characteristic features of left-handed media are: (i) both the electric permittivity ϵ and the magnetic permeability μ are negative; (ii) the Poynting vector and wave vector of electromagnetic wave propagating inside it would be antiparallel, *i.e.*, the wave vector $\mathbf{k}$, the electric field $\mathbf{E}$ and the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ form a *left-handed* system; (iii) a number of peculiar electromagnetic and optical properties such as the reversal of both the Doppler shift and the Cherenkov radiation, anomalous refraction, modified spontaneous emission rates and even reversals of radiation pressure to radiation tension [7,8] arise. All these dramatically different propagation characteristics stem from the sign change of the group velocity. In what follows we calculate the *photon effective rest mass squared* by making use of Eq.(1.5) and the expressions

$$\epsilon(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma)}, \quad \mu(\omega) = 1 - \frac{F\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + i\omega\Gamma} \tag{1.6}$$

for the effective dielectric parameter and magnetic permeability, where the plasma frequency ω_{p} and the magnetic resonance frequency ω_0 are in the GHz range (*e.g.*, $\omega_{\mathrm{p}} = 10.0$ GHz, $\omega_0 = 4.0$ GHz [12]), the damping parameters $\gamma = 0.03\omega_{\mathrm{p}}$, $\Gamma = 0.03\omega_0$. The parameter F may often be chosen $0 < F < 1$, for example, $F = 0.56$ [12]. By using $n^2(\omega) = \epsilon(\omega)\mu(\omega)$, we obtain [13]

$$n^2(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2}{\omega^2}\left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1} + \frac{F\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2}{\omega^2}\left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\Gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1} - F\left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\Gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1}. \tag{1.7}$$

It follows that the mass squared m_{eff}^2 is obtained

$$m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{c^4}\left[\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2\left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1} - F\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2\left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\Gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1} + F\omega^2\left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\Gamma}{\omega}\right)^{-1}\right]. \tag{1.8}$$

Note that for the electron-plasma medium, where the electromagnetic parameters $\gamma = \Gamma = 0$, $F = 0$, one can arrive at the following familiar formula

$$m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2}{c^4} \tag{1.9}$$

with the plasma frequency squared $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2 = \frac{Ne^2}{\epsilon_0 m_{\mathrm{e}}}$ (here e, m_{e} and N respectively denote the electron charge, mass and electron number density in this electron plasma).

It should be emphasized that there is a difference in the definition of *photon effective rest mass* between two types of experimental schemes of testing photon mass[2]. In the discussion of wave dispersion and potential variations of the

[2]These two types of experimental schemes are as follows: one is based on the Hamiltonian density of electrodynamics and the other based on Maxwellian equations.

speed of light with frequency, which are based on the Maxwellian equations (*rather than the Hamiltonian or Lagrangian density of interacting electromagnetic system*), the effective rest mass squared of photons is expressed by Eq.(1.9). Historically, this problem has been discussed by many investigators, *e.g.*, Feinberg who considered the possibility of the variation of the speed of light with frequency in the sharply defined optical and radio pulses from pulsars and took into account in more detail the observed variation of arrival time with frequency for the radio waves attributed to the interaction with interstellar electrons [14]. However, for those experimental schemes of testing photon rest mass, which are based on the Hamiltonian density (*rather than the Maxwellian equations*), the effective rest mass of photons due to media dispersion (*i.e.*, the interaction of wave with charged particles in environmental dilute plasma such as the secondary cosmic rays) is two times that expressed in Eq.(1.9). The torsion balance experiment is just this type of schemes, which will be considered in what follows.

II. EFFECTS OF SELF-INDUCED CHARGE CURRENTS IN THE TORSION BALANCE EXPERIMENT

In this section, we consider the potential effects of self-induced charge currents arising in the torsion balance experiments [15,16]. The Lagrangian density of electrodynamics reads

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_\gamma^2 A_\mu A_\mu + \mu_0 J_\mu A_\mu, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mu_\gamma^2 = \left(\frac{m_\gamma c}{\hbar}\right)^2$ with m_γ, $\hbar$ and c being the photon rest mass, Planck's constant and speed of light in a free vacuum, respectively, and μ_0 denotes the magnetic permeability in a vacuum. It follows from (2.1) that the canonical momentum density is written

$$\pi_\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}_\mu}, \quad \vec{\pi}(x) = -\mathbf{E}(x). \tag{2.2}$$

In the electron plasma, due to the conservation law of the canonical momentum density, *i.e.*, $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(m_\mathrm{e}\mathbf{v} + e\mathbf{A}) = 0$, we have $\mathbf{v} = -\frac{e}{m_\mathrm{e}}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{C}$ with $\mathbf{C}$ being a constant velocity. By using the formula $\mathcal{H} = -\mathbf{E}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{A}} - \mathcal{L}$ and electric current density $\mathbf{J} = Ne\mathbf{v} = -\frac{Ne^2}{m_\mathrm{e}}\mathbf{A} + Ne\mathbf{C}$, one can arrive at (in SI)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2\mu_0}\left[\frac{\mathbf{E}^2}{c^2} + \mathbf{B}^2 + \mu_\gamma^2\mathbf{A}^2 + 2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m_\mathrm{e}}\mathbf{A}^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_\gamma^2 c^2}\left(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E} - \frac{\mathbf{J}_0}{\epsilon_0}\right)^2\right] + \mathbf{J}_0\mathbf{A}_0 - Ne\mathbf{C}\cdot\mathbf{A}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{J}_0 = \rho$ and $\mathbf{A}_0 = \phi$ are respectively the electric charge density and electric scalar potential, and ϵ_0 represents the electric permittivity in a vacuum and $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}}$. Note that here $\frac{1}{\mu_\gamma^2 c^2}\left(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E} - \frac{\mathbf{J}_0}{\epsilon_0}\right)^2$ results from both the mass term $\frac{\mu_\gamma^2}{c^2}\mathbf{A}_0^2$ and the Gauss's law $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E} = -\mu_\gamma^2\mathbf{A}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{J}_0}{\epsilon_0}$.

It follows from (2.3) that the *total effective rest mass squared* of electromagnetic fields in electron plasma is given as follows

$$\mu_\mathrm{tot}^2 = \mu_\gamma^2 + 2\frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m_\mathrm{e}}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is worthwhile to point out that according to the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation $\nabla\times\mathbf{B} = \mu_0\epsilon_0\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{E} + \mu_0\mathbf{J} - \mu_\gamma^2\mathbf{A}$ and the consequent $\nabla\times\mathbf{B} = \mu_0\epsilon_0\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{E} - [\mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m_\mathrm{e}}]\mathbf{A} + \mu_0 Ne\mathbf{C}$, the μ_tot^2 should be $\mu_\mathrm{tot}^2 = \mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m_\mathrm{e}}$ rather than that in (2.4). This minor difference between these two μ_tot^2 results from the derivative procedure applied to the Lagrangian density by using the Euler-Lagrange equation. Although it seems from the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation that $\mu_\mathrm{tot}^2 = \mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 Ne^2}{m_\mathrm{e}}$, the factor-2 in (2.4) cannot be ignored in calculating the torque acting on the torsion balance due to the photon effective rest mass, which will be confirmed in what follows. In the classical electromagnetics, the following familiar formulae are given

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{B} = \mu_0\mathbf{J}, \quad m_d = \pi r^2 I, \quad I = \mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{S}, \quad \vec{\tau} = \mathbf{m}_d\times\mathbf{B} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}, \quad a_d = \pi r^2\frac{\Phi}{\mu_0}, \quad \Phi = \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$ holds when the electric field strength $\mathbf{E}$, electric current density $\mathbf{J}$ are vanishing in the Ampère-Maxwell equation. In Eq.(2.5), $m_d = \pi r^2 I$ means that a current loop of radius r carrying current I gives rise to a magnetic dipole moment m_d; $\mathbf{S}$ in $I = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ denotes the area vector through which the electric current density vector $\mathbf{J}$ penetrates; $\vec{\tau} = \mathbf{m}_d \times \mathbf{B}$ means that an electric-current loop with a magnetic dipole moment $\mathbf{m}_d$, immersed in a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, experiences a torque $\vec{\tau} = \mathbf{m}_d \times \mathbf{B}$. Eqs.(2.6) means in the torsion balance experiment, a toroid coil contains a loop of magnetic flux Φ which acts as a dipole source a_d of magnetic vector potential via $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ with the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ within the toroid as the source term.

It follows from (2.3) that in the Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}$ of electromagnetic system, there are $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}^2$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_\gamma^2 + 2\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}\right)\mathbf{A}^2$ (*i.e.*, $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}\right)^2$), which provide us with some useful insights into calculating the torque produced by the interaction of magnetic dipole vector potential moment $\mathbf{a}_d$ with the ambient cosmic magnetic vector potential acting upon the toroid in the torsion balance experiment. For convenience, the Equations (2.6) may be rewritten as follows

$$\nabla \times (\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0}\right), \quad \mu_{\rm tot} a_d = \pi r^2 \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\Phi}{\mu_0}\right), \quad \frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\Phi}{\mu_0} = \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{S}. \tag{2.7}$$

In order to calculate the torque acting on the torsion balance, we compare the equations and expressions in (2.7) with those in (2.5) as follows:

	the familiar case	the case due to photon mass
in $\mathcal{H}$	$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}^2$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}\right)^2$
Equations	$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$	$\nabla \times (\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0}\right)$
dipole moment	$m_d = \pi r^2 I$	$\mu_{\rm tot} a_d = \pi r^2 \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\Phi}{\mu_0}\right)$
flux	$I = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{S}$	$\frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\Phi}{\mu_0} = \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{S}$
torque	$\vec{\tau} = \mathbf{m}_d \times \mathbf{B}$	$\vec{\tau} = ?$

*Table *1*

Thus it follows from the analogies in the above table that, $? = (\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{a}_d) \times (\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A})$, *i.e.*, the torque $\vec{\tau} = \mu_{\rm tot}^2 \mathbf{a}_d \times \mathbf{A}$. Here the torque $\vec{\tau}$ arising from the interaction between the dipole field of magnetic potentials (resulting from the electric current I in the toroid windings) with the ambient vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ acts on the torsion balance. It should be noted again that here $\mu_{\rm tot}^2 = \mu_\gamma^2 + 2\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}$ rather than $\mu_{\rm tot}^2 = \mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}$.

Note that there exists a term $\mu_0 N e \mathbf{C}$ in the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{E} - [\mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}]\mathbf{A} + \mu_0 N e \mathbf{C}$. Does this term has influences on the torsion balance? In what follows we will discuss this problem.

In the similar fashion, we consider the familiar Hamiltonian density $-\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, which describes the interaction of the magnetic moments with the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$. According to the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation with $\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{E}$ and $\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}\mathbf{A}$ being ignored, we have $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 N e \mathbf{C}$, which can be rewritten as $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = (\mu_0 \mu_{\rm tot})\left(\frac{N e \mathbf{C}}{\mu_{\rm tot}}\right)$. In the meanwhile, $\mathcal{H} = -N e \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ can also be rewritten as $\mathcal{H} = -\left(\frac{N e \mathbf{C}}{\mu_{\rm tot}}\right) \cdot (\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A})$.

It is known that in the sufficiently large space filled with homogeneous magnetic moments with volume density (magnetization) being $\mathbf{M}$, the interior magnetic field strength $\mathbf{B}$ produced by homogeneously distributed magnetic moments is just $\mu_0 \mathbf{M}$[3], *i.e.*, $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mu_0 \mathbf{M}$ or $\nabla \times (\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}) = (\mu_0 \mu_{\rm tot})\,\mathbf{M}$.

---

[3]This may be considered in two ways: (i) if the direction of $\mathbf{M}$ is assumed to be parallel to the third component of Cartesian coordinate system, then the potentially non-vanishing components of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ are also the third ones. It follows from the Ampère's law $\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}_{\rm free}$ with $\mathbf{J}_{\rm free} = 0$ that H_3 is constant, and H_3 can be viewed as zero. So, according to the expression $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0(\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M})$, we obtain $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{M}$; (ii) In accordance with Ampère's circuital law, the magnetic induction B inside a long solenoid with N circular loops carrying a current I in the region remote from the ends is axial, uniform and equal to μ_0 times the number of Ampère-turns per meter nI, *i.e.*, $B = \mu_0 nI$, where $n = \frac{N}{l}$ with l being the solenoid length. Let S denote the cross-section area of this long solenoid. The volume density of magnetic moments IS resulting from the carried current I is $M = \frac{(IS)nl}{V}$ with the solenoid volume $V = Sl$. This, therefore, means that the volume density of magnetic moments just equals the number of Ampère-turns per meter nI, *i.e.*, $M = nI$. So, we have $B = \mu_0 M$.

Thus the comparisons between "*the familiar case*" and *the case due to the constant* $\mathbf{C}$ are illustrated in the following table,

	the familiar case	the case due to constant $\mathbf{C}$
in $\mathcal{H}$	$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}^2$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}\right)^2$
interaction Hamiltonian density	$\mathcal{H} = -\mathbf{M}\cdot\mathbf{B}$	$\mathcal{H} = -\left(\frac{Ne\mathbf{C}}{\mu_{\rm tot}}\right)\cdot\left(\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}\right)$
Equations	$\nabla\times\left(\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}\right) = \left(\mu_0\mu_{\rm tot}\right)\mathbf{M}$	$\nabla\times\mathbf{B} = \left(\mu_0\mu_{\rm tot}\right)\left(\frac{Ne\mathbf{C}}{\mu_{\rm tot}}\right)$
torque	$\vec{\tau} = \mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{B}$	$\vec{\tau} = ?$

*Table *2*

It follows that $? = \left(\frac{Ne\mathbf{C}}{\mu_{\rm tot}}\right)\times\left(\mu_{\rm tot}\mathbf{A}\right)$, *i.e.*, $\vec{\tau} = Ne\mathbf{C}\times\mathbf{A}$, which dose not act on the toroid in the torsion balance experiment.

Since we have discussed the related preliminary preparation for the effects of self-induced charge currents, we think the order of magnitude of its effects in experiments (*e.g.*, the extra torque due to the photon effective mass acting on the toroid in the torsion balance experiment) deserves evaluation.

III. DISCUSSION AND REMARKS: SELF-INDUCED CHARGE CURRENTS IN DILUTE PLASMA

Here we discuss the effective rest mass of photon resulting from the self-induced charge currents in the torsion balance experiments [15,16]. The self-induced charge current arises mainly from the two sources around us: (i) muon (μ) component and alpha-particles in cosmic rays; (ii) decay daughter (alpha-particles) of radioactive radon gas ($^{222}_{86}$Rn, $^{220}_{86}$Rn) in room environment.

It is known that at the sea level, the current density of muon component in secondary cosmic rays is about $1\times10^{-2}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Assuming that the muon velocity approaches speed of light, the volume density of muon can be derived and the result is $N_\mu = 0.3\times10^{-6}\ \mathrm{m}^{-3}$. So, according to Eq.(2.4) (*i.e.*, $m_{\rm eff} = \frac{\hbar}{c}\sqrt{\frac{2Ne^2}{\epsilon_0 m_\mu c^2}}$), electromagnetic wave with wavelength[4] $\lambda \gg 100$ m at the sea level acquires an effective rest mass about 0.6×10^{-53} Kg. Note that the above-mentioned muon volume density ($0.3\times10^{-6}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$) is the datum at the sea level. In the Luo's rotating torsion balance experiment whose total apparatus is located in their cave laboratory, on which the least thickness of the cover is more than 40 m [15], it is reasonably believed that the muon density of cosmic rays in the vacuum chamber of Luo's experiment may be one or two orders of magnitude[5] less than that at the sea level, and therefore the effective rest mass acquired by photons in the vacuum chamber of Luo's torsion balance experiment may be about $(0.6\sim2)\times10^{-54}$ Kg.

It is believed that the air molecules in the vacuum chamber of torsion balance experiment cannot be ionized by the alpha-particles of cosmic rays, because the mean free path of alpha-particle moving at about $10^7\mathrm{m}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in the dilute air with the pressure being only 10^{-2} Pa [15] is too large (more than 10^6 m)[6]. So, the air medium has nothing to contribute to the effective rest mass of photons. As far as the alpha-particle itself is concerned, it follows, from the fact that at the sea level the alpha-particle number density in secondary cosmic rays is about one order of magnitude less than that of muon, that the alpha-particle in cosmic rays itself contributes about 10^{-54} Kg to photon rest mass.

---

[4]Since the mean distance of two muons in secondary cosmic rays at sea level is about 100 m, Lorentz's mean-field formulation (Lorentz's dispersion theory, Lorentz's electron theory, 1895) is not applicable to the electromagnetic wave with wavelength $\lambda \ll 100$ m. So, the above effective mass formula is no longer valid for this case (*i.e.*, $\lambda \ll 100$ m). In fact, the electromagnetic wave with wavelength $\lambda \ll 100$ m dose not acquire this effective rest mass. But the ambient cosmic magnetic vector potentials (interstellar magnetic fields) with low (or zero) frequencies will truly acquire this effective rest mass.

[5]Readers may be referred to the following data of muon current density in underground cosmic rays: the muon current densities are $10^{-4}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $10^{-6}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ at the equivalent water depth of 100 m and 1000 m under the ground, respectively.

[6]According to some handbooks, the free paths of alpha-particles in air (with pressure 10^5 Pa) are 2.5, 3.5, 4.6, 5.9, 7.4, 8.9, 10.6 cm, corresponding respectively to the energy 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0 Mev. So, in the vacuum chamber with pressure 10^{-2} Pa in Luo's experiment, the free path of alpha-particles (with energy much more than 10 Mev, even perhaps more than 100 Mev) in secondary cosmic rays is more than 10^7 times several cm, which means that the air molecules in the low-pressure vacuum chamber cannot be easily ionized by the alpha-particles of cosmic rays.

Radon ($_{86}$Rn) possesses the α-radioactivity. Generally speaking, the Rn density in room environment is $10^{-8}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ or so, which may be the same order of magnitude as particle density in secondary cosmic rays[7], and the decay daughter (alpha-particles) will therefore give rise to a photon effective mass of about 10^{-54} Kg.

Thus the effective rest mass induced by muons and alpha-particles in secondary cosmic rays and radon gas can be compared to the new upper limit (1.2×10^{-54} Kg) obtained by Luo *et al.* in their recent rotating torsion balance experiment [15]. So, as far as the contribution of ions of cosmic rays to the photon rest mass is concerned, this upper limit (1.2×10^{-54} Kg) becomes just a critical value. We think Luo's experimentally obtained upper limit is of much interest and therefore deserves further experimental investigation to get a more precise upper limit on photon mass.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper,

i) it is verified that the expression (1.1), *i.e.*, $\frac{m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} = (1-n^2)\omega^2$ can be applied to calculating the effective rest mass of photons in the artificial composite electromagnetic metamaterials with complicated permittivity and permeability.

ii) it is shown that the extra torque $2\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m}\mathbf{a}_d \times \mathbf{A}$ by the self-induced charge currents will also acts upon the toroid in the torsion balance experiment whose apparatus is immersed in the dilute plasma such as the cosmic rays and radon gas. Here the photon effective rest mass squared is $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = 2\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}$ rather than $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}$.

The following difference between these two μ_{eff}^2 should be pointed out: the theoretical mechanism of torsion balance experiment lies in the Lagrangian or Hamiltonian (2.3) of interacting electromagnetic system, so, the effective rest mass squared of electromagnetic fields is $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = 2\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}$. However, for those experimental schemes [14,17,18] of photon rest mass stemming from the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0\epsilon_0\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{E} - [\mu_\gamma^2 + \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}]\mathbf{A} + \mu_0 N e \mathbf{C}$, the effective rest mass squared of electromagnetic fields is $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}$ rather than $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = 2\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}$. The so-called experimental realizations stemming from the Ampère-Maxwell-Proca equation are as follows: pulsar test of a variation of the speed of light with frequency [14]; geomagnetic limit on photon mass based on the analysis of satellite measurements of the Earth's field [17]; experimental test of Ampère's law at low temperature [18], *etc.*. In all these experimental schemes, the effective mass squared is $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}$.

iii) the self-induced charge currents in dilute plasma presented above (*i.e.*, muons and alpha-particles in secondary cosmic rays and radon gas) will give arise to an effective rest mass of about 10^{-54} Kg, which can be compared to the new upper limit on photon rest mass obtained in Luo's rotating torsion balance experiment.

Acknowledgements This project is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the project No. 90101024.

---

[1] Hou B Y and Hou B Y 1979 *Phys. Ener. Fort. Phys. Nucl.* (Peking) **3** 225 (in Chinese)
[2] Shen J Q 2003 *Frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons in the 2TDLM model* arXiv: cond-mat/0304039
[3] Ziolkowski R W and Auzanneau F 1997 *J. Appl. Phys.* **82** 3192
[4] Ziolkowski R W and Auzanneau F 1997 *J. Appl. Phys.* **82** 3195
[5] Ziolkowski R W 2001 *Phys. Rev.* E **63** 046604
[6] Smith D R, Padilla W J, Vier D C, Nemat-Nasser S C and Schultz S 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 4184
[7] Veselago V G 1968 *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **10** 509

---

[7] The effective doses of cosmic rays and Rn humans suffer are respectively 0.4 mSv (typical range: 0.3-1.0 mSv) and 1.2 mSv (typical range: 0.2-10 mSv).

[8] Klimov V V 2002 *Opt. Comm.* **211** 183
[9] Pendry J B, Holden A J, Robbins D J and Stewart W J 1999 *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.* **47** 2075
[10] Shelby R A, Smith D R and Schultz S 2001 *Science* **292** 77
[11] Ziolkowski R W 2001 *Phys. Rev.* E **64** 056625
[12] Ruppin R 2000 *Solid State Comm.* **116** 411
[13] Shen J Q 2003 arXiv: cond-mat/0302351 [accepted by Phys. Scr. (Sweden)].
[14] Feinberg G 1969 *Nature* **166** 879
[15] Luo J, Tu L C, Hu Z K and Luan E J 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 081801
[16] Lakes R 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 1826
[17] Fischbach E, Kloor H, Langel R A, *et al.* 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 514
[18] Chernikov M A, Gerber C J and Ott H R 1992 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** 3383