arXiv:math/0502230v1 [math.RT] 11 Feb 2005

GENERALIZATION OF HARISH-CHANDRA'S BASIC THEOREM FOR RIEMANNIAN SYMMETRIC SPACES OF NON-COMPACT TYPE

HIROSHI ODA

A . A basic exact sequence by Harish-Chandra related to the invariant differential operators on a Riemannian symmetric space G/K is generalized for each K-type in a certain class which we call 'single-petaled'. The argument also includes a further generalization of Broer's generalization of the Chevalley restriction theorem.

1. I

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real semisimple Lie algebra and θ a fixed Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this paper the subscript $\mathbb{C}$ is used for indicating the complexification of a real object. Denote the universal enveloping algebra of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, the center of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ by $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, and the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Similar notation is used for other complex Lie algebras or vector spaces. Let G_{ad} be the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}$, G_θ the subgroup of the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of all the elements that leave $\mathfrak{g}$ stable, and G an arbitrary group such that $G_{\mathrm{ad}} \subset G \subset G_\theta$. The adjoint action of G_{ad} or G or G_θ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$) is denoted by Ad (resp. ad). Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition. Take a maximal Abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ and fix a basis Π of the restricted root system Σ for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Π defines the system Σ^+ of positive roots. Put $K = G^\theta$ (the subgroup of G which commutes with θ), $M = Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$ (the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in K), and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Lie}(M)$. Using $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$ (the normalizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in K) define the Weyl group $W = N_K(\mathfrak{a})/M$. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma$, let H_α be the corresponding element of $\mathfrak{a}$ via the Killing form $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and put $|\alpha| = B(H_\alpha, H_\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2H_\alpha}{|\alpha|^2}$ (the coroot of α). Denote the restricted root space for $\alpha \in \Sigma$ by $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and put $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+}(\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)\alpha$. Let us now define the map γ of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ into $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ by the projection

$$U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) + U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to U(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

followed by the translation

$$S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \ni f(\lambda) \mapsto f(\lambda + \rho) \in S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Here we identified $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ with the space of holomorphic polynomials on the dual space $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We call γ the *Harish-Chandra homomorphism*. Let $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K$ (resp. $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$) be the subalgebra of invariants in $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (resp. $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$) under the action of K (resp. W). In this paper the superscript of an operator domain generally indicates the subspace of invariants. Harish-Chandra showed in [HC] the following exact sequence of algebra homomorphisms[1]:

$$0 \to U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \cap U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \to U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \xrightarrow{\gamma} S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W \to 0. \tag{1.1}$$

[1]Actually his proof targets only the case of $G = G_{\mathrm{ad}}$, but the general case follows from it since (1.2) is valid for any G (cf. [KR, Proposition 10]).

On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{a}^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ relative to the Killing form $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ and γ_0 the projection of $S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})$ onto $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}) = S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}) \oplus S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})(\mathfrak{a}^\perp)_\mathbb{C} \to S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}).$$

Then the restriction of γ_0 to $S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})^K$ gives the algebra isomorphism

$$\gamma_0 : S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})^K \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})^W, \tag{1.2}$$

which is known as the *Chevalley restriction theorem*. Let $\mathrm{symm} : S(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}) \to U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ be the symmetrization map. Then one has the K-module decomposition

$$U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{symm}(S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})) \oplus U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}, \tag{1.3}$$

so that (1.1) is considered as a non-commutative counterpart of (1.2). Hereafter we use the same symbol $\mathscr{A}$ for the three algebras $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})^K/U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})^K \cap U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$, $S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})^K$ and $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})^W$ identified with one another.

Note that (1.1) and (1.2) are rewritten as

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{triv}, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{triv}, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^{\mathrm{triv}}} \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{triv}, S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})) \to 0 \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\Gamma_0^{\mathrm{triv}} : \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{triv}, S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{triv}, S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})), \tag{1.5}$$

respectively. Here 'triv' denotes the trivial representation of K or W over $\mathbb{C}$. The definitions of Γ^{triv} and Γ_0^{triv} are clear.

First, we generalize the Chevalley restriction theorem in the form (1.5). We say a K-type (σ, V) is *quasi-spherical* if the σ-isotypic component of $(\mathrm{Ad}|_K, S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}))$ is not 0. From [KR] we know (σ, V) is quasi-spherical if and only if $V^M \neq 0$. Suppose (σ, V) is quasi-spherical. Then W naturally acts on V^M. Define the map

$$\Gamma_0^\sigma : \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})) \ni \Phi \mapsto \varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})) \tag{1.6}$$

so that the image φ is given by the composition

$$\varphi : V^M \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Phi} S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_0} S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}). \tag{1.7}$$

It is obviously well-defined and if $(\sigma, V) = (\mathrm{triv}, \mathbb{C})$, the map Γ_0^{triv} coincides with (1.5). Both $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$ have natural $\mathscr{A}$-module structures coming from multiplication of images by elements in $S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C})^K$ or $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})^W$. Observe that Γ_0^σ intertwines these $\mathscr{A}$-module structures. Let us introduce a new class of K-types:

Definition 1.1. Put $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma \setminus 2\Sigma$. Choose a subset $\mathscr{R}$ of Σ_1 so that $\mathscr{R}$ intersects each W-orbit of Σ_1. For each $\alpha \in \mathscr{R}$ fix $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$. Then we call a quasi-spherical K-type (σ, V) is *single-petaled* if and only if

$$\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v = 0 \qquad \forall v \in V^M, \forall \alpha \in \mathscr{R}. \tag{1.8}$$

Remark 1.2. Suppose $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ has the M-invariant inner product $-B(\cdot, \theta\cdot)$ and M acts transitively on the unit sphere of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ if $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha > 1$ (see [Ko3, Theorem 2.1.7]). Hence multiplying (1.8) by elements in M or scalars, we see the above definition does not depend on the choice of $\{X_\alpha\}$. Furthermore, multiplying (1.8) by elements in $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$, we see $\mathscr{R}$ may be replaced with Σ_1. Hence the definition is also independent of the choice of $\mathscr{R}$.

Then we have

Theorem 1.3. *For any quasi-spherical* (σ, V)*,* Γ_0^σ *is injective. On the other hand,* Γ_0^σ *is surjective if and only if* (σ, V) *is single-petaled.*

This theorem gives a generalization of Broer's theorem for a complex semisimple Lie algebra ([Br]) into the case of a Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type. As mentioned in a footnote of [Br], Broer's theorem can also be proved by using the results of [PRV]. Similarly, using the results of [Ko3], which generalize the results of [PRV] into the Riemannian symmetric case, we can show Theorem 1.3 in a purely algebraic manner. However our proof in §3 employs an analytic method modeled on [Da]. This method leads to further generalizations of Theorem 1.3 in some directions (Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.15). In particular, for some wider class of K-types than 'single-petaled', which will be called *'quasi-single-petaled'*, a result close to Theorem 1.3 holds.

The generalization of (1.4), which is the main theme of this paper, requires the notion of the *degenerate affine Hecke algebra* $\mathbf{H}$ associated naturally to the data $(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{a})$ (Definition 4.1). We here state a few properties of $\mathbf{H}$. $\mathbf{H}$ is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ including $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$ and the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[W]$ of W as subalgebras with the same 1 and the center of $\mathbf{H}$ is $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})^W$. The map $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[W] \to \mathbf{H}$ defined by multiplication gives a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism. Hence the left $\mathbf{H}$-module

$$S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}) := \mathbf{H} \Big/ \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{1\}} \mathbf{H}(w-1) \simeq S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[W] \Big/ S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}) \otimes \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{1\}} \mathbb{C}[W](w-1) \tag{1.9}$$

is naturally identified with $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$ as a left $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$-module. It is notable that although the left W-action on $S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$ differs from the original W-action on $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$, the space of W-fixed elements in $S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$ equals $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})^W$ (Corollary 4.4). Hence we may replace $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{triv}, S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$ in (1.4) with $\mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{triv}, S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$.

Suppose (σ, V) is a quasi-spherical K-type. Define the map

$$\Gamma^\sigma : \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})) \ni \Psi \mapsto \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(V^M, S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})) \tag{1.10}$$

so that the image ψ is given by the composition

$$\psi : V^M \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Psi} U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}) \simeq S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}). \tag{1.11}$$

Note that the space in the right-hand side of (1.10) is not $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$. In fact the map ψ defined by (1.11) does not always commute with the W-actions. Now we state the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.4. *For any* (σ, V)*, the kernel of* Γ^σ *equals* $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C})$*. The image of* Γ^σ *is included in* $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$ *if and only if* (σ, V) *is single-petaled. If this condition is satisfied, the image equals* $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$ *and therefore we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^\sigma} \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S_\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})) \to 0. \tag{1.12}$$

Remark 1.5. If $D \in U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})^K$ and $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}))$, then the right multiplication of the image of Ψ by D gives a new element $\Psi \cdot D \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}))$. This $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})^K$-module structure of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}))$ induces an $\mathscr{A}$-module structure of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})/U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}) \simeq$

$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))/\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Also, we naturally consider $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^M, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ as $\mathscr{A}$-modules. Since it is clear that

$$\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi \cdot D) = \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi) \cdot \gamma(D) \qquad \forall D \in U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K, \forall \Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})), \tag{1.13}$$

Γ^σ induces an $\mathscr{A}$-homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})/U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^M, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. Moreover, if (σ, V) is single-petaled, we get a natural $\mathscr{A}$-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})/U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is given in §4 with a related result on the quasi-single-petaled K-types (Theorem 4.11).

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex semisimple Lie algebra, then a quasi-spherical K-type is naturally identified with a finite-dimensional irreducible holomorphic representation of G. Under this identification, a single-petaled K-type is nothing but an irreducible *small* representation of G in the sense of [Br] (Corollary 5.2). Moreover, in this case we can deduce from Theorem 1.4 a generalization of the celebrated *Harish-Chandra isomorphism* (Theorem 5.9). In §5 we also study two topics related to the generalized Harish-Chandra isomorphism—construction of new kinds of non-commutative determinants, and a natural correspondence between the submodules of the Verma module $M(\lambda)$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the submodules of a certain basic module $A(\lambda)$ of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$ associated to this complex case.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his sincere appreciation to Professor T. Oshima and Professor H. Matsumoto for their guidance and encouragement. The author is also indebted to Dr T. Honda for many valuable discussions.

2. Q - K-

We shall prepare some results on quasi-spherical K-types which will be used in the subsequent sections. Most of the results in this section are known.

Identify the K-module $S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$ with the K-module $\mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ of $\mathbb{C}$-valued polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{p}$ via the Killing form. Each $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ defines the partial differential operator $\partial(X)$ on $\mathfrak{p}$. Extend the correspondence $\partial : X \mapsto \partial(X)$ to the algebra homomorphism from $S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$ to the algebra of partial differential operators on $\mathfrak{p}$.

We say an element in $S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ is *K-harmonic* if it is killed by $\partial(F)$ for any $F \in S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \cap S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})$ denote the set of K-harmonics. Note that $\mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})$ is independent of the choice of G ($G_{\mathrm{ad}} \subset G \subset G_\theta$). The following is essentially due to [KR]:

Proposition 2.1. *The map*

$$S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \otimes \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p}) \to S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

defined by multiplication is a K-module isomorphism. Moreover, for any finite-dimensional representation (σ, V) of K over $\mathbb{C}$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^M$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})) \simeq \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})) \simeq \mathscr{A}^{\oplus m(\sigma)} \quad \textit{with } m(\sigma) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^M. \tag{2.1}$$

Corollary 2.2. *As K-modules, $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathrm{symm}(\mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes \mathrm{symm}(S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^K)$.*

Let (σ, V) a quasi-spherical K-type and put $m(\sigma) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^M$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m(\sigma)}\}$ be a basis of V^M and $\{\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{m(\sigma)}\}$ a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p}))$. We put $\Psi_j = \mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_j \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ $(j = 1, \dots, m(\sigma))$. In [Ko2, Ko3], Kostant studied the $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$-valued $m(\sigma) \times m(\sigma)$-matrix $P^\sigma = (\gamma \circ \Psi_j[v_i])_{1 \le i,j \le m(\sigma)}$, which is closely related to the theme of the present paper. In particular he determined the value of $\det P^\sigma$. It is clear that $\det P^\sigma$, up to a scalar multiple, does not differ for any choice of bases. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \times \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ induced from $B(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose* $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ *satisfies* $\mathrm{Re}\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \ge 0$ *for any* $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$. *Then* $(\det P^\sigma)(\lambda) \neq 0$ *for any* (σ, V).

Proof. The proposition is a direct consequence of [Ko2, Ko3] if $G = G_\theta$. We shall translate this result to the general case. Denote K, M for G_θ by K_θ, M_θ. Let F be the subgroup of the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of all elements of $\exp \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with order not greater than 2. Then $K_\theta = KF$, $M_\theta = MF$, and F normalizes K and M ([KR, Proposition 1, Lemma 20]). Since F is isomorphic to a direct product of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we can choose a subgroup F_1 so that $K_\theta = K \rtimes F_1$ and $M_\theta = M \times F_1$. Suppose (σ, V) is a quasi-spherical K-type. Then $V_\theta := \mathbb{C}[F_1] \otimes V$ has the natural K_θ-module structure σ_θ defined by $\sigma_\theta(ka)(a' \otimes v) = aa' \otimes (a'akaa')v$ for $k \in K, a, a' \in F, v \in V$. Observe that $(V_\theta)^M = \bigoplus_{a \in F_1} a \otimes V^M$ and $(V_\theta)^{M_\theta} = \left(\frac{1}{\#F_1} \sum_{a \in F_1} a\right) \otimes V^M$. Let $(\sigma_\theta, V_\theta) = (\sigma_1, V_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\sigma_{t'}, V_{t'})$ be an irreducible decomposition as a K_θ-module such that $(\sigma_1, V_1), \dots, (\sigma_t, V_t)$ are just all of the quasi-spherical components. Since $\left(\frac{1}{\#F_1} \sum_{a \in F_1} a\right) \otimes V^M = (V_1)^{M_\theta} \oplus \cdots \oplus (V_t)^{M_\theta}$, we have $m(\sigma) = m(\sigma_1) + \cdots + m(\sigma_t)$. Take a basis $\{v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_{m(\sigma_1)}^{(1)}, \dots, v_1^{(t)}, \dots, v_{m(\sigma_t)}^{(t)}\}$ of V^M so that $\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\#F_1} \sum_{a \in F_1} a\right) \otimes v_1^{(s)}, \dots, \left(\frac{1}{\#F_1} \sum_{a \in F_1} a\right) \otimes v_{m(\sigma_s)}^{(s)}\right\}$ forms a basis of $(V_s)^{M_\theta}$ for each $s = 1, \dots, t$. On the other hand, since $(\sigma_\theta, V_\theta)$ is naturally considered as the induced K_θ-module from (σ, V), by defining K-homomorphisms $\iota_s : V \hookrightarrow V_\theta \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} V_s$ $(s = 1, \dots, t)$, we get the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{s=1}^{t} \mathrm{Hom}_{K_\theta}(V_s, \mathscr{H}_{K_\theta}(\mathfrak{p})) \ni (\Phi^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi^{(t)}) \mapsto \Phi^{(1)} \circ \iota_1 + \cdots + \Phi^{(t)} \circ \iota_t \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})).$$

Therefore, if we take a basis $\{\Phi_1^{(s)}, \dots, \Phi_{m(\sigma_s)}^{(s)}\}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_\theta}(V_s, \mathscr{H}_{K_\theta}(\mathfrak{p}))$ for each $s = 1, \dots, t$, then

$$\left\{\Phi_1^{(1)} \circ \iota_1, \dots, \Phi_{m(\sigma_1)}^{(1)} \circ \iota_1, \dots, \Phi_1^{(t)} \circ \iota_t, \dots, \Phi_{m(\sigma_t)}^{(t)} \circ \iota_t\right\}$$

is a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p}))$. Let us consider P^σ with respect to this basis and the basis of V^M defined above. Note that M_θ acts on $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ trivially and that γ is an M_θ-homomorphism because M_θ normalizes $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence, $\gamma(D) = \gamma\left(\left(\frac{1}{\#F_1} \sum_{a \in F_1} a\right) D\right)$ for any $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. We thereby get for $s, s' = 1, \dots, t$, $i = 1, \dots, m(\sigma_{s'})$, and $j = 1, \dots, m(\sigma_s)$,

$$\gamma \circ \mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_j^{(s)} \circ \iota_s[v_i^{(s')}] = \begin{cases} \gamma \circ \mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_j^{(s)}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\#F_1} \sum_{a \in F_1} a\right) \otimes v_i^{(s)}\right] & \text{if } s = s', \\ 0 & \text{if } s \neq s', \end{cases}$$

which implies the equality

$$P^\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} P^{\sigma_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & P^{\sigma_t} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Now our claim follows from Kostant's result. □

Next, we consider some basic single-petaled K-types. Clearly the trivial K-type is single-petaled. Other examples are constituents of $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $\alpha \in \Sigma$ (not Σ_1!), $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, and $H \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$,*

$$\mathrm{ad}(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\mathrm{ad}(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))H = 0.$$

Proof. If $\alpha(H) = 0$, then $\mathrm{ad}(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)H = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{ad}(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 &- 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))H_\alpha \\ &= |\alpha|^2 \,\mathrm{ad}(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(-X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha) - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha)H_\alpha \\ &= 2|\alpha|^2([X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha] - B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha)H_\alpha) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.5. In this paper we say G/K is of Hermitian type if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ has a K-invariant complex structure.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple.*

(i) *Suppose G/K is of Hermitian type and $\mathfrak{p}$ has a K-invariant complex structure J. Extend J to the $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphism on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $\mathfrak{p}_\pm \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the eigenspaces of J with eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{-1}$. Then $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus J\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, the two K-types $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_\pm)$ are single-petaled and $(\mathfrak{p}_\pm)^M \simeq \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ (the reflection representation) as W-modules.*

(ii) *Suppose G/K is not of Hermitian type. Then the K-type $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is single-petaled and $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M \simeq \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ (the reflection representation) as a W-module.*

Proof. If $G = G_{\mathrm{ad}}$, then $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M$ is as stated in the proposition by [Joh, Proposition 4.1].
(i) Suppose G/K is of Hermitian type. Then $G_{\mathrm{ad}}/(K \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}})$ is also of Hermitian type. Hence by [Joh], $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^{M \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus J\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since J and the K-action on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are commutative, $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus J\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The rest is clear from the K-isomorphism $\frac{1 \mp \sqrt{-1}J}{2} : \mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{p}_\pm$ and Lemma 2.4.
(ii) Suppose G/K is not of Hermitian type. If $G_{\mathrm{ad}}/(K \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}})$ is of Hermitian type, then its complex structure J gives the $(K \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}})$-module decomposition $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}_-$. In this case, from (i) and Proposition 2.1, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{K \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}}}(\mathfrak{p}_+, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since the K-action on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ does not commute with J, $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an irreducible K-module. Hence we get a natural injection $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{K \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}}}(\mathfrak{p}_+, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p}))$, which implies $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M \le \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in view of Proposition 2.1. But since $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M \supset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

On the other hand, if $G_{\mathrm{ad}}/(K \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}})$ is not of Hermitian type, then $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^M = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ since $(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^{(M \cap G_{\mathrm{ad}})} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by [Joh]. The rest is clear from Lemma 2.4. □

In the remainder of this section, we assume $\mathfrak{g}$ has real rank 1 and give a close look at its quasi-spherical K-types. Let α be the unique element in $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma^+$ and choose $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ so that $B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2}$. If we put $Z = \sqrt{-1}X_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\theta X_\alpha$, then the condition (1.8) for a quasi-spherical (σ, V) to be single-petaled is reduced to $Z(Z^2 - 1)V^M = 0$.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose (σ, V) is a quasi-spherical K-type.*

(i) *All the eigenvalues of $\sigma(Z)$ are integers. We denote the largest one by $e(\sigma)$.*

(ii) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^M = 1$. *Hence $v^\sigma \in V^M \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Phi^\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})) \setminus \{0\}$ are uniquely determined up to scalar multiples.*

(iii) *Let* $V^{Z-e(\sigma)}$ *be the eigenspace of* $\sigma(Z)$ *with eigenvalue* $e(\sigma)$*. Let* $(V^M)^\perp$ *denote the orthogonal complement of* V^M *in* V *with respect to some* K*-invariant Hermitian inner product* $(\cdot,\cdot)_V$ *on* V*. Then* $V^{Z-e(\sigma)} \not\subset (V^M)^\perp$.

(iv) *Put* $\delta = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ *and* $h = \frac{\alpha^\vee}{2} + \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}{2} \in S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (*recall* $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2H_\alpha}{|\alpha|^2}$)*. Then we can choose a pair* (i, j) *of non-negative integers with* $2i + j = |e(\sigma)|$ *so that* $\gamma \circ \mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi^\sigma[v^\sigma]$ $(= \det P^\sigma)$ *equals*

$$[(h+\delta)(h+\delta+2)\cdots(h+\delta+2(i+j)-2)]\cdot[(h+1)(h+3)\cdots(h+2i-1)] \tag{2.3}$$

up to a scalar multiple.

(v) (σ, V) *is the trivial* K*-type* $\Leftrightarrow e(\sigma) = 0$*.* (σ, V) *is a constituent of* $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}) \Leftrightarrow |e(\sigma)| = 1$.

Proof. Use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 2.3. We may assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. If $G = G_\theta$, then all assertions of the lemma are consequences of [Ko2, Ko3].

Suppose $G \neq G_\theta$. Firstly, we consider the case where $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. In this case each quasi-spherical K_θ-type (σ, V) is irreducible as a K-module and $V^{M_\theta} = V^M$ ([Ko3, Chapter II, §2]). Also, because a quasi-spherical K-type is a constituent of $S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$, it must be the restriction of some quasi-spherical K_θ-type. Hence the lemma follows from the case for G_θ.

Secondly, suppose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Then $G = G_{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathrm{Ad}(SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$, $F_1 = \{1, a\}$ with $a = \mathrm{Ad}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)$, and $Z = \pm\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For each integer e, define the 1-dimensional quasi-spherical K-type (σ_e, V_e) by $\sigma_e(Z) = e$. Then a quasi-spherical K-type equals some (σ_e, V_e). For each (σ_e, V_e), define the K_θ-module $(V_e)_\theta = 1\otimes V_e + a\otimes V_e$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.3. Then $a\otimes V_e \simeq V_{-e}$ as a K-module. If $e \neq 0$, then $(V_e)_\theta$ is an irreducible K_θ-module and hence $P^{\sigma_e} = P^{(\sigma_e)_\theta}$ by (2.2), which assures (i)–(iv) for (σ_e, V_e). If $e = 0$, then $\sigma_e = \mathrm{triv}$ and clearly (i)–(iv) hold. It is also clear that (v) follows from the case for G_θ. □

Combining Lemma 2.7 (iii), (v) and Theorem 2.6, we can conclude

Corollary 2.8. *If* $\mathfrak{g}$ *has real rank* 1*, then the trivial* K*-type and the* K*-types appearing in* $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$ *exhaust all the single-petaled* K*-types.*

3. T C

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3. Although the method is modeled on that of [Da] in large part, some points are improved by use of the *rational Dunkl operators*. We note our method is applicable even to the classical case.

Under the setting of §1 suppose (σ, V) is a quasi-spherical K-type. Let $\mathscr{F}$ represent one of the following $\mathbb{C}$-valued function classes: $\mathscr{C}$ (continuous functions), $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ (smooth functions), or $\mathscr{P}$ (polynomial functions). Define the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{p})) \ni \Phi \mapsto (\varphi : V^M \ni v \mapsto \Phi[v]|_{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{a})). \tag{3.1}$$

We consider $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ as Euclidean spaces by the Killing form. Under the natural identifications $\mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{p}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$, Γ_0^σ in §1 coincides with (3.1) for $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{P}$. Hence we use the same symbol Γ_0^σ for (3.1) in general cases. First we shall prove

Proposition 3.1. *The map Γ_0^σ for $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}^\infty$ or $\mathscr{P}$ is injective.*

Proof. We may assume $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{C}$. Let

$$V = V^M \oplus \sum_{\tau \neq \mathrm{triv}} V_\tau \tag{3.2}$$

be the decomposition into isotypic components of the M-module $V = V|_M$ and define the projection map

$$p^\sigma : V = V^M \oplus \sum_{\tau \neq \mathrm{triv}} V_\tau \to V^M. \tag{3.3}$$

Suppose $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{p}))$. Then clearly $\Phi[v](H) = \Phi[p^\sigma(v)](H)$ for any $v \in V$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{a}))$ be the image of Φ. Since each element $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ can be written as $X = \mathrm{Ad}(k)H$ for some $k \in K$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, we have for any $v \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[v](X) &= \Phi[v](\mathrm{Ad}(k)H) = \Phi[\sigma(k^{-1})v](H) \\ &= \Phi[\, p^\sigma\Big(\sigma(k^{-1})v\Big)\,](H) = \varphi[\, p^\sigma\Big(\sigma(k^{-1})v\Big)\,](H). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Thus Φ can be completely reproduced by φ. □

To discuss the image of Γ_0^σ we introduce two W-subspaces of V^M.

Definition 3.2. Put

$$\begin{aligned} V^M_{\mathrm{single}} = \{\, v \in V^M;\ & \sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v = 0 \\ & \forall \alpha \in \Sigma_1, \forall X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \,\}, \\ V^M_{\mathrm{double}} = V^M \cap \sum \Big\{\ & \sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))V; \\ & \alpha \in \Sigma_1, X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. $V^M = V^M_{\mathrm{single}} \oplus V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$.

Proof. Let $(\cdot,\cdot)_V$ be a K-invariant Hermitian inner product on V. Then the isotypic components V^M and V_τ in (3.2) are orthogonal to one another. Let $(V^M_{\mathrm{double}})^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of V^M_{double} in V^M. Since $\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)$ is skew-Hermitian with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_V$, we easily get $V^M_{\mathrm{single}} \subset (V^M_{\mathrm{double}})^\perp$. Conversely, suppose $v \in (V^M_{\mathrm{double}})^\perp$. Since V^M_{double} is the image of the M-module

$$\sum \Big\{\, \sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))V;\ \alpha \in \Sigma_1,\ X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \Big\}$$

under the projection map (3.3), we have for any $v' \in V$, $\alpha \in \Sigma_1$, and $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v, v'\Big)_V & \\ = -\Big(v, \sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v'\Big)_V & \\ = -\Big(v, p^\sigma\Big(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v'\Big)\Big)_V & \\ = 0. & \end{aligned}$$

It shows $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{single}}$. Thus we get $V^M_{\mathrm{single}} = (V^M_{\mathrm{double}})^\perp$. □

From Remark 1.2, (σ, V) is single-petaled if and only if $V^M_{\mathrm{double}} = 0$.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\alpha \in \Sigma$ (not Σ_1!), $v \in V^M_{\text{single}}$, and $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$,*

$$\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v = 0.$$

Proof. It suffices to show the equality for a root 2α with $\alpha \in \Sigma_1$. Put $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{m} + \mathbb{R}H_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma \cap \mathbb{Z}\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\alpha) = [\mathfrak{g}(\alpha), \mathfrak{g}(\alpha)]$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\alpha)$ is a semisimple Lie algebra with real rank 1. Let $G_{ss}(\alpha) \subset G$ be the analytic subgroup of $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\alpha)$ and put $\mathfrak{k}_{ss}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\alpha)$, $K_{ss}(\alpha) = K \cap G_{ss}(\alpha)$, and $M_{ss}(\alpha) = Z_{K_{ss}(\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}H_\alpha)$. Let $U(\mathfrak{k}_{ss}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})v = V^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus V^{(t)}$ be an irreducible decomposition as a $K_{ss}(\alpha)$-module and $v = v^{(1)} + \cdots + v^{(t)}$ the corresponding decomposition. Since $M \cap G_{ss}(\alpha) = M_{ss}(\alpha)$, $v^{(s)}$ $(s = 1, \ldots, t)$ is a non-zero $M_{ss}(\alpha)$-fixed vector of $V^{(s)}$. Moreover, since $M_{ss}(\alpha)$ includes the center of $G_{ss}(\alpha)$, we can essentially regard each $V^{(s)}$ as a 'K-type' of the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\alpha)$ and apply the results of §2 to it. Choose $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ so that $B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2}$ and put $Z = \sqrt{-1}X_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\theta X_\alpha$. Since $v \in V^M_{\text{single}}$, $Z(Z^2 - 1)v = 0$. Then $Z(Z^2 - 1)v^{(s)} = 0$ for $s = 1, \ldots, t$ because $Z \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence by Lemma 2.7 (ii) each $V^{(s)}$ is single-petaled as a 'K-type' of the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\alpha)$. Now it follows from Corollary 2.8 that each $V^{(s)}$ is either the trivial $K_{ss}(\alpha)$-type or a $K_{ss}(\alpha)$-type appearing in $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{ss}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Therefore Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.4 imply

$$\sigma(X_{2\alpha} + \theta X_{2\alpha})(\sigma(X_{2\alpha} + \theta X_{2\alpha})^2 - 2|2\alpha|^2 B(X_{2\alpha}, \theta X_{2\alpha}))v^{(s)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s = 1, \ldots, t.$$

Thus we get the lemma. □

For any W-submodule V' of V^M, we naturally identify $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V', \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{a}))$ with the linear space $\{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{a})); \varphi[v] = 0 \ \forall v \in V'\}$. Hereafter in this paper, we repeatedly use similar identifications without notice. The second assertion of Theorem 1.3 can be made more precise as follows:

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}^\infty$, or $\mathscr{P}$. For any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\text{double}}, \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{a}))$ there exists a unique $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{p}))$ such that $\Gamma^\sigma_0(\Phi) = \varphi$.*

The proof is a bit long and a large part of this section is devoted to it. Retain the notation in the proof of Proposition 3.1. We first show the theorem for $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{C}$. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\text{double}}, \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{a}))$. For each $v \in V$ we define $\Phi_v \in \mathscr{C}(K \times \mathfrak{a})$ by

$$\Phi_v(k, H) = \varphi[\, p^\sigma\big(\sigma(k^{-1})v\big)\,](H) \qquad \text{for } (k, H) \in K \times \mathfrak{a}. \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $H_1, H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfy $\mathrm{Ad}(k_1)H_1 = \mathrm{Ad}(k_2)H_2$. Then $\Phi_v(k_1, H_1) = \Phi_v(k_2, H_2)$ for any $v \in V$.*

Proof. Note that H_1 and H_2 in the lemma are conjugate by some element of $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$ ([He1, Chapter VII, Proposition 2.2]). By the definition (3.5) we see for any $v \in V, k, k_1 \in K, \overline{w} \in N_K(\mathfrak{a})$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_v(k_1^{-1}k, H) = \Phi_{\sigma(k_1)v}(k, H), \\ &\Phi_v(k\overline{w}, H) = \Phi_v(k, wH) \qquad \text{with } w := \overline{w} \bmod M \in W. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we show

$$\Phi_v(k, H) = \Phi_v(e, H) \quad \text{for } H \in \mathfrak{a}, k \in K^H, \text{ and } v \in V, \tag{3.6}$$

our claim follows from it. Here e and K^H in (3.6) are a unit element and the stabilizer of H in K, respectively. To show (3.6), fix an arbitrary $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and define $\lambda_H \in V^*$ by

$$\lambda_H : V \ni v \mapsto \Phi_v(e, H).$$

Let (σ^*, V^*) be the dual K-type of (σ, V) and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ the canonical bilinear form on $V^* \times V$. For $\overline{w} \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}) \cap K^H$ and $v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^*(\overline{w})\lambda_H, v) &= (\lambda_H, \sigma(\overline{w}^{-1})v) = \Phi_{\sigma(\overline{w}^{-1})v}(e, H)\\ &= \Phi_v(\overline{w}, H) = \Phi_v(e, wH) = \Phi_v(e, H) = (\lambda_H, v).\end{aligned}$$

It shows $\sigma^*(\overline{w})\lambda_H = \lambda_H$ for $\overline{w} \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}) \cap K^H$ and in particular $\lambda_H \in (V^*)^M$. Furthermore, for $\alpha \in \Sigma_1$, $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, and $v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\sigma^*(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma^*(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))\lambda_H, v\Big)\\ &\qquad = -\Big(\lambda_H, \sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v\Big)\\ &\qquad = -\Phi_{\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)\left(\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\right)v}(e, H)\\ &\qquad = -\varphi[\, p^\sigma\Big(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v\Big)\,](H)\\ &\qquad = 0\end{aligned}$$

since $p^\sigma\Big(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v\Big) \in V^M_{\text{double}}$. Thus $\lambda_H \in (V^*)^M_{\text{single}}$.

Put $\Sigma^H = \{\alpha \in \Sigma;\ \alpha(H) = 0\}$ and take an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Sigma^H \cap \Sigma_1$ and $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. We shall prove $\sigma^*(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)\lambda_H = 0$. We may assume $B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2}$. Put $Z = \sqrt{-1}X_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\theta X_\alpha$ and $\overline{s}_\alpha = \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}Z)$. Then $\overline{s}_\alpha \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}) \cap K^H$ and hence $\sigma^*(\overline{s}_\alpha)\lambda_H = \lambda_H$. Let

$$\lambda_H = \lambda_H^{(0)} + \lambda_H^{(+)} + \lambda_H^{(-)}$$

be the decomposition into $\sigma^*(Z)$-eigenvectors with eigenvalues 0, 1, and -1. Then we have

$$\sigma^*(\overline{s}_\alpha)\lambda_H = \lambda_H^{(0)} + e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}}\lambda_H^{(+)} + e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}}\lambda_H^{(-)} = \lambda_H^{(0)} - (\lambda_H^{(+)} + \lambda_H^{(-)}),$$

which shows $\lambda_H = \lambda_H^{(0)}$ and hence $\sigma^*(Z)\lambda_H = 0$.

Note that $\mathfrak{k}^H := \mathfrak{m} + \sum\{\, \mathbb{R}(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha);\ \alpha \in \Sigma^H, X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \,\}$ is the Lie algebra corresponding to K^H and is generated by $\mathfrak{m}$ and $X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \Sigma^H \cap \Sigma_1$, $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$). Hence we get $\sigma^*(X)\lambda_H = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{k}^H$. If we define the analytic subgroup $(K^H)_0$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}^H$, a usual argument leads us to

$$K^H = (N_K(\mathfrak{a}) \cap K^H) \cdot (K^H)_0.$$

It shows the K^H-invariance of λ^H and therefore (3.6). □

Lemma 3.7. *The natural topology of* $\mathfrak{p}$ *coincides with the quotient topology of the surjective map* $q : K \times \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{p}$ *defined by* $q(k, H) = \mathrm{Ad}(k)H$.

Proof. Notice that $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ is K-invariant. Hence if we put for any positive number R

$$\mathfrak{a}_R = \{H \in \mathfrak{a};\ B(H, H) \le R\}, \qquad \mathfrak{p}_R = \{X \in \mathfrak{p};\ B(X, X) \le R\},$$

then $q(S \cap (K \times \mathfrak{a}_R)) = q(S) \cap \mathfrak{p}_R$ for any closed subset $S \subset K \times \mathfrak{a}$. Here $S \cap (K \times \mathfrak{a}_R)$ is compact and so is $q(S) \cap \mathfrak{p}_R$ with respect to the natural topology of $\mathfrak{p}$. It implies that $q(S)$ is closed by the natural topology and hence the lemma. □

From Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 Φ_v induces the continuous function $\Phi[v]$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ for each $v \in V$. Clearly the correspondence $\Phi : v \mapsto \Phi[v]$ commutes with the K-actions and satisfies the relation (3.4). Therefore Φ is a unique element of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{p}))$ such that $\Gamma_0^\sigma(\Phi) = \varphi$.

To show Theorem 3.5 for $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{C}^\infty$ we need some preparation.

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathbf{k} : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ be a *multiplicity function*, that is, a function which takes the same value on each W-orbit of Σ. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$ we define the operator $\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\xi)$ acting on $f \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ or $\mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{a})$ (infinitely differentiable functions with compact support) by

$$\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\xi)f(H) = \partial(\xi)f(H) + \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \mathbf{k}(\alpha)\,\alpha(\xi)\,\frac{f(H) - f(s_\alpha H)}{\alpha(H)}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\partial(\xi)$ is the ξ-directional derivative and $s_\alpha \in W$ is the reflection with respect to α.

Remark 3.9. The result of (3.7) belongs to the original function class and it holds that $w\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\xi) = \mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(w\xi)w$ for any $w \in W$. The operator $\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\xi)$ is introduced in [Dun1] and is called the rational Dunkl operator. Is is known that $\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\xi)\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\eta) = \mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}(\xi)$ for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{a}$. In this section we consider only one special case where $\mathbf{k}(\alpha) = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}{2}$. Hence hereafter we drop the suffix $\mathbf{k}$ in $\mathscr{T}_\mathbf{k}$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $L_\mathfrak{p}$ is the flat Euclidean Laplacian on $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ and put $\mathscr{L}_\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \mathscr{T}(\xi_i)^2$. Suppose $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{p}))$ and $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{single}}$. Then*

$$(L_\mathfrak{p}\Phi[v])\big|_\mathfrak{a} = \mathscr{L}_\mathfrak{a}(\Phi[v]\big|_\mathfrak{a}).$$

Proof. Note that for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{k}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi[\sigma(Y)v](X) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Phi[\sigma(\exp tY)v](X)\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Phi[v](\mathrm{Ad}(\exp -tY)X)\Big|_{t=0} = \partial([X,Y])\Phi[v](X).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Hence for $H \in \mathfrak{a}, \alpha \in \Sigma$, and $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ we have

(3.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 v](H) &= \partial([H, X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha])\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)v](H) \\ &= \alpha(H)\partial(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha)\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)v](H) \\ &= \alpha(H)\frac{d}{dt}\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)v](H + t(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha))\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \alpha(H)\frac{d}{dt}\partial([H + t(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha), X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha])\Phi[v](H + t(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha))\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \alpha(H)^2\frac{d}{dt}\partial(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha)\Phi[v](H + t(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha))\Big|_{t=0} \\ &\quad + \alpha(H)\frac{d}{dt}2t\partial([X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha])\Phi[v](H + t(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha))\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \alpha(H)^2\partial(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha)^2\Phi[v](H) + 2\alpha(H)\partial([X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha])\Phi[v](H) \\ &= \alpha(H)^2\partial(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha)^2\Phi[v](H) + 2\alpha(H)B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha)\partial(H_\alpha)\Phi[v](H).\end{aligned}$$

Let $v = v^{(0)} + v^{(+)} + v^{(-)}$ be the decomposition into $\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)$-eigenvectors with eigenvalues $0, \pm|\alpha|\sqrt{2B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha)}$ (Lemma 3.4 assures there are no other eigenvalues). Then $v - s_\alpha v =$

$2(v^{(+)}+v^{(-)})$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.7) and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)^2 v &= \sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)^2(v^{(+)}+v^{(-)})\\ &= 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)(v^{(+)}+v^{(-)}) = |\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)(1-s_\alpha)v.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$\frac{\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)^2}{-2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)}\Phi[v](H) = \frac{1}{\alpha(H)}\partial(H_\alpha)\Phi[v](H) - |\alpha|^2\frac{\Phi[v](H)-\Phi[v](s_\alpha H)}{2\alpha(H)^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\mathfrak{p}}\Phi[v](H) = &\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\partial(\xi_i)^2\Phi[v](H)\\ &+\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+}\frac{\dim\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\alpha(H)}\partial(H_\alpha)\Phi[v](H)-|\alpha|^2\frac{\Phi[v](H)-\Phi[v](s_\alpha H)}{\alpha(H)^2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which equals $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\mathscr{T}(\xi_i)^2\Phi[v](H)$ by [Dun1, Theorem 1.10]. □

Let dX (resp. dH) be the canonical measure of the Euclidean space $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}$).

Lemma 3.11. *There exists a positive constant $C_{\mathfrak{a}}$ such that for any K-invariant continuous function $F(X)$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ with compact support*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}} F(X)\,dX = C_{\mathfrak{a}}\int_{\mathfrak{a}} F(H)\prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+}\left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}dH.$$

Proof. See [He2, Chapter I, Theorem 5.17]. □

Lemma 3.12. *For any $\varphi\in\mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$, $f\in\mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{a})$, and $\xi\in\mathfrak{a}$,*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathscr{T}(\xi)\varphi)(H)f(H)\prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+}\left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}dH = -\int_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(H)(\mathscr{T}(\xi)f)(H)\prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+}\left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}dH.$$

Proof. By a straightforward calculation (cf. [Dun2, Lemma 2.9]). □

Lemma 3.13. *Recall the decomposition* (3.2)*. Suppose $\{v_1,\dots,v_n\}$ is a basis of V such that $\{v_1,\dots,v_{m'}\},\{v_{m'+1},\dots,v_m\}$ and $\{v_{m+1},\dots,v_n\}$ are bases of V^M_{single}, V^M_{double}, and $\sum_{\tau\neq\text{triv}}V^\tau$, respectively. Let $\{v_1^*,\dots,v_n^*\}$ be the dual basis of $\{v_1,\dots,v_n\}$. Then, $\{v_1^*,\dots,v_{m'}^*\},\{v_{m'+1}^*,\dots,v_m^*\}$ and $\{v_{m+1}^*,\dots,v_n^*\}$ are bases of $(V^*)^M_{\text{single}}$, $(V^*)^M_{\text{double}}$, and $\sum_{\tau\neq\text{triv}}(V^*)^\tau$, respectively.*

Proof. Let $(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the canonical bilinear form on $V^*\times V$. Then $((V^*)^\tau,V^\mu)=0$ unless $\tau=\mu^*$. Hence the lemma follows if we show

$$\begin{aligned}V^M_{\text{single}} &= \{v\in V^M;\ (v^*,v)=0\quad\forall v^*\in(V^*)^M_{\text{double}}\},\\ (V^*)^M_{\text{single}} &= \{v^*\in(V^*)^M;\ (v^*,v)=0\quad\forall v\in V^M_{\text{double}}\}\end{aligned}$$

But this argument is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3 and we omit it. □

Now suppose $\varphi\in\text{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\text{double}},\mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{a}))$. Let $\varphi^\sim$ stand for the unique element of $\text{Hom}_K(V,\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{p}))$ such that $\Gamma_0^\sigma(\varphi^\sim)=\varphi$. It follows from Remark 3.9 that the map

$$V^M\ni v\mapsto\mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi[v]\in\mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{a}) \tag{3.11}$$

belongs to $\text{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\text{double}},\mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{a}))$. Denote the map (3.11) by $\mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi$ and let us show

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi^\sim[v] = (\mathscr{L}_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi)^\sim[v]\qquad\forall v\in V. \tag{3.12}$$

In the left-hand side we consider $\varphi^\sim[v]$ an element in $\mathscr{D}'(\mathfrak{p})$ (the space of distributions) on which $L_\mathfrak{p}$ is acting. On the other hand we know the right-hand side is a continuous function. Hence by successive use of (3.12) and Weyl's lemma on regularity, we can conclude $\varphi^\sim[v] \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{p})$.

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V as in Lemma 3.13 and $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ its dual basis. It suffices to show that for any n test functions $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{p})$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_\mathfrak{p} \varphi^\sim[v_i]\,(L_\mathfrak{p} F_i)\; dX = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\mathfrak{p} (\mathscr{L}_\mathfrak{a}\varphi)^\sim[v_i] F_i\, dX. \tag{3.13}$$

To do this, using the linear map $F : V^* \to \mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{p})$ defined by $v_i^* \mapsto F_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$, put

$$\bar{F} : V^* \ni v^* \mapsto \int_K F[\sigma^*(k)v^*](\mathrm{Ad}(k)X)\, dk \in \mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

where dk is a normalized measure on K. Then $\bar{F} \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V^*, \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathfrak{p}))$ and the left-hand side of (3.13) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_K \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\mathfrak{p} \varphi^\sim[\sigma(k)v_i](X)\,(L_\mathfrak{p} F[\sigma^*(k)v_i^*])\,(X)\, dX\, dk \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_K \int_\mathfrak{p} \varphi^\sim[v_i](\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})X)\,(L_\mathfrak{p} F[\sigma^*(k)v_i^*])\,(X)\, dX\, dk \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\mathfrak{p} \varphi^\sim[v_i](X) \int_K (L_\mathfrak{p} F[\sigma^*(k)v_i^*])\,(\mathrm{Ad}(k)X)\, dk\, dX \\
&\qquad = \int_\mathfrak{p} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi^\sim[v_i](X)\left(L_\mathfrak{p} \bar{F}[v_i^*]\right)(X)\, dX \\
&\qquad = C_\mathfrak{a} \int_\mathfrak{a} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi^\sim[v_i](H)\left(L_\mathfrak{p} \bar{F}[v_i^*]\right)(H) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} dH \\
&\qquad = C_\mathfrak{a} \int_\mathfrak{a} \sum_{i=1}^{m'} \varphi[v_i](H)\left(L_\mathfrak{p} \bar{F}[v_i^*]\right)(H) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} dH.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Here the fourth equality comes from the K-invariance of the integrand and Lemma 3.11. The last equality is based on the fact that $\varphi^\sim[v_i]\big|_\mathfrak{a} = 0$ for $i = m' + 1, \dots, n$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.1). Similarly the right-hand side of (3.13) is changed into the form

$$C_\mathfrak{a} \int_\mathfrak{a} \sum_{i=1}^{m'} (\mathscr{L}_\mathfrak{a}\varphi)[v_i](H) \bar{F}[v_i^*](H) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} dH, \tag{3.15}$$

which equals the final form of (3.14) because from Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.10 we have for $i = 1, \dots, m'$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\mathfrak{a} (\mathscr{L}_\mathfrak{a}\varphi)[v_i](H) \bar{F}[v_i^*](H) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} dH &= \int_\mathfrak{a} \varphi[v_i](H) \mathscr{L}_\mathfrak{a}(\bar{F}[v_i^*]\big|_\mathfrak{a})(H) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} dH \\
&= \int_\mathfrak{a} \varphi[v_i](H)\left(L_\mathfrak{p} \bar{F}[v_i^*]\right)(H) \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+} \left|\alpha(H)\right|^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} dH.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get Theorem 3.5 for $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{C}^\infty$.

Finally, to show Theorem 3.5 for $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{P}$, suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\mathrm{double}}, \mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{a}))$ and put $\Phi = \varphi^{\sim}$. Let us prove $\Phi[v] \in \mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ for any $v \in V$. We may assume for any $v \in V^M$, $\varphi[v]$ is a homogenous polynomial of the same degree, say j. Then $\Phi[v]$ is also a homogeneous function of degree j for any $v \in V$. It is clear from (3.5) and the relation $\Phi[v](\mathrm{Ad}(k)H) = \Phi_v(k, H)$ for $k \in K$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Since a $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ homogeneous function defined around 0 is a polynomial, we get the claim. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.14. In our proof of Theorem 3.5, the results in §2 are used to get Lemma 3.4, but nowhere else. Hence if we replace the definitions of V^M_{single} and V^M_{double} by

$$V^M_{\mathrm{single}} = \{\, v \in V^M;\ \sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))v = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma, \forall X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \,\},$$

$$V^M_{\mathrm{double}} = V^M \cap \sum \Big\{ \sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)^2 - 2|\alpha|^2 B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha))V; \quad \alpha \in \Sigma, X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \Big\},$$

then Theorem 3.5 can be shown without using any result in §2. By Lemma 3.4 and a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 3.3, we can see that the definitions here are equivalent to those in Definition 3.2.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.3 we shall show

Theorem 3.15. *For any W-submodule V' of V^M, $\Gamma^\pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}))) \supset \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V', S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$ if and only if $V' \supset V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$.*

It suffices to prove the necessity since Theorem 3.5 implies the sufficiency.

We say an element in $S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ is W-harmonic if it is killed by $\partial(f)$ for any $f \in S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})^W \cap S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the set of W-harmonics.

Proposition 3.16. *The map*

$$S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})^W \otimes \mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a}) \to S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$$

defined by multiplication is a W-module isomorphism. Moreover $\mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[W]$ as a W-module, where we consider the right-hand side as the left regular representation of W. Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})) \simeq \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, \mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a})) \simeq \mathscr{A}^{\oplus m(\sigma)} \quad \text{with } m(\sigma) = \dim_\mathbb{C} V^M. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. See [He2, Chapter III,Theorem 3.4] . □

The necessity of Theorem 3.15 follows form the next lemma:

Lemma 3.17. *Suppose $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}))$ satisfies $\Gamma^\sigma_0(\Phi)[v] \in \mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a})$ for any $v \in V^M$. Then $\Gamma^\sigma_0(\Phi)[v] = 0$ for any $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.17. Recall the notation in Lemma 3.10 and its proof. Put $L_\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \partial(\xi_i)^2$. Notice that (3.8) and (3.9) are valid for any $v \in V$. Hence for any $v \in V, \alpha \in \Sigma_1$, and $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$,

a similar calculation to (3.9) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)^2-2|\alpha|^2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha))v](H)\\
&\quad=\alpha(H)^2\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)^2\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)v](H)\\
&\qquad+2\alpha(H)B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\partial(H_\alpha)\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)v](H)-2|\alpha|^2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)v](H)\\
&\quad=\alpha(H)^2\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)^2\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)v](H)\\
&\qquad+2\alpha(H)B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\left.\frac{d}{dt}\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)v](H+tH_\alpha)\right|_{t=0}\\
&\qquad-2\alpha(H)|\alpha|^2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)\Phi[v](H)\\
&\quad=\alpha(H)^2\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)^2\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)v](H)\\
&\qquad+2\alpha(H)B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\left(|\alpha|^2\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)\Phi[v](H)+\alpha(H)\partial(H_\alpha)\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)\Phi[v](H)\right)\\
&\qquad-2\alpha(H)|\alpha|^2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)\Phi[v](H)\\
&\quad=\alpha(H)^2\left(\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)^2\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)v](H)+2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha)\partial(H_\alpha)\partial(X_\alpha-\theta X_\alpha)\Phi[v](H)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It shows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi[\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)^2-2|\alpha|^2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha))v]\big|_{\mathfrak{a}}\\
&\qquad=\Phi[p^\sigma\left(\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)^2-2|\alpha|^2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha))v\right)]\big|_{\mathfrak{a}}\in\alpha^2\mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{a}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since no element of $\alpha^2\mathscr{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ other than 0 is killed by $L_{\mathfrak{a}}$, we have

$$\Gamma_0^\sigma(\Phi)[p^\sigma\left(\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)(\sigma(X_\alpha+\theta X_\alpha)^2-2|\alpha|^2B(X_\alpha,\theta X_\alpha))v\right)]=0,$$

and the lemma. □

We conclude this section by introducing a new class of K-types.

Definition 3.18. We say a K-type (σ,V) is quasi-single-petaled when $V^M_{\text{single}}\neq 0$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ has real rank 1, then Lemma 2.7 (ii) assures all the quasi-single-petaled K-types are single-petaled and their number is finite by Corollary 2.8. In general we have

Proposition 3.19. *The number of quasi-single-petaled K-types is finite.*

Proof. Suppose (σ,V) is quasi-single-petaled. Then it follows from Theorem 3.5 there is a nontrivial $\Phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ such that $\Gamma_0^\sigma(\Phi)[v]\in\mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a})$ for any $v\in V^M$. Recall that the degree of an element of $\mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a})$ is not greater than the number of reflections in W, say, r (cf. [He2, Chapter III,Theorem 3.6]). Since γ_0 maps a homogeneous element to a homogeneous element of the same degree, the degree of $\Phi[v]$ for each $v\in V$ is at most r. Hence V must be equivalent to an irreducible K-subspace of $\{F\in S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}});\ \deg F\leq r\}$. □

4. T H -C

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. Let us start with the definition of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra, which is due to [Lu].

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{k}:\Sigma_1\to\mathbb{C}$ be a multiplicity function. Then there exists uniquely (up to equivalence) an algebra $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:

(i) $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}\simeq S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})\otimes\mathbb{C}[W]$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear space.

(ii) The maps $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}, f \mapsto f \otimes 1$ and $\mathbb{C}[W] \to \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}, w \mapsto 1 \otimes w$ are algebra homomorphisms.
(iii) $(f \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes w) = f \otimes w$ for any $f \in S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $w \in W$.
(iv) $(1 \otimes s_\alpha) \cdot (\xi \otimes 1) = s_\alpha(\xi) \otimes s_\alpha - \mathbf{k}(\alpha)\,\alpha(\xi)$ for any $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Here $s_\alpha \in W$ is the reflection corresponding to α.

We call $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$ the degenerate affine Hecke algebra associated to the data $(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}, \Pi, \mathbf{k})$.

Remark 4.2. By (ii) we identify $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\mathbb{C}[W]$ with subalgebras of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Then (iv) is simply written as

$$s_\alpha \cdot \xi = s_\alpha(\xi) \cdot s_\alpha - \mathbf{k}(\alpha)\,\alpha(\xi) \qquad \forall \alpha \in \Pi\ \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{4.1}$$

The center of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$ equals $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$ ([Lu, Theorem 6.5]) as we stated in §1. In this section we fix

$$\mathbf{k}(\alpha) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + 2 \dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha} \tag{4.2}$$

and drop the suffix $\mathbf{k}$ in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Note that $\mathbf{H}$ is fully determined by the data $(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{a})$.

As in §1, we define the left $\mathbf{H}$-module $S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ by (1.9).

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose* $\alpha \in \Pi$ *and put* $\mathfrak{a}(\alpha) = \{H \in \mathfrak{a};\ \alpha(H) = 0\}$. *Then*

$$S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) = S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2] \oplus S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2](\alpha^\vee + \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + 2 \dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha})$$

is the decomposition into the eigenspaces of $s_\alpha \in \mathbf{H}$ *with eigenvalues* $1, -1$.

Proof. Using (4.1), we have

$$s_\alpha(\alpha^\vee + \mathbf{k}(\alpha)) = -\alpha^\vee s_\alpha - \mathbf{k}(\alpha) \cdot 2 + \mathbf{k}(\alpha)\, s_\alpha \equiv -(\alpha^\vee + \mathbf{k}(\alpha)) \mod \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{1\}} \mathbf{H}(w - 1).$$

Likewise, $s_\alpha \cdot (\alpha^\vee)^2 = (\alpha^\vee)^2 \cdot s_\alpha$, and $s_\alpha \cdot \xi = \xi \cdot s_\alpha$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}(\alpha)$. Now the lemma is clear. □

Corollary 4.4. *Under the natural identification* $S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ *(see §1), The space of* W*-fixed elements in* $S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ *equals* $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$.

For a quasi-spherical K-type (σ, V) we shall investigate the map Γ^σ in §1. By virtue of (1.3) we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathrm{symm}(S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}))) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Let $\{S^d(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})\}_{d=0}^\infty$ (resp. $\{S^d(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})\}_{d=0}^\infty$) be the standard grading of $S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (resp. $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$). It is easy to see

$$\gamma \circ \mathrm{symm}(F) - \gamma_0(F) \in \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} S^i(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \qquad \forall F \in S^d(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}). \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore, as a corollary of Proposition 3.1, we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^\sigma} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^M, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})). \tag{4.4}$$

By the decomposition $\mathscr{A} = \bigoplus_{d=0}^\infty S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \cap S^d(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^\infty S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W \cap S^d(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\mathscr{A}$ is a graded algebra. Also, by the decompositions $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^\infty \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S^d(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^\infty \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S^d(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$, $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ are graded $\mathscr{A}$-modules. Homogeneity of an element of these modules is defined in the usual way.

Lemma 4.5. *There is a non-zero homogeneous element $b \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $b\cdot\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})) \subset \Gamma_0^\sigma(\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})))$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathscr{A}$ is an integral domain. In view of (2.1) and (3.16), both $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ are free $\mathscr{A}$-modules of the same rank and admit bases consisting of homogeneous elements. By Proposition 3.1, $\Gamma_0^\sigma : \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})) \to \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ is an injective $\mathscr{A}$-homomorphism. Moreover, clearly Γ_0^σ maps a homogeneous element to a homogeneous element of the same degree. From these facts the lemma follows easily. □

Let the map $p^\sigma : V \to V^M$ be as in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 4.6. *For any $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $v \in V$, $\gamma(\Psi[v]) = \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v)]$.*

Proof. Since M normalizes $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}$, γ is an M-homomorphism from $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ to a trivial M-module $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Hence the map $V \ni v \mapsto \gamma(\Psi[v]) \in S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is an M-homomorphism and the lemma follows. □

The sufficiency of the second statement of Theorem 1.4 comes from

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{single}}$. Then for any $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $w \in W$,*

$$\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[wv] = w\,\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[v], \tag{4.5}$$

where the action of w in the right-hand side is that on $S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Proof. Suppose $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. For each $\alpha \in \Pi$, we define $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$, $G_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$, $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$, and $M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.4. Recall $\mathfrak{a}(\alpha) = \{H \in \mathfrak{a};\ \alpha(H) = 0\}$. Moreover, put

$$\mathfrak{z}(\alpha) = \text{ the center of } \mathfrak{g}(\alpha), \quad \mathfrak{n}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \mathbb{Z}\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_\beta, \quad \mathfrak{k}_\alpha = \sum \{\mathbb{R}(X_\beta + \theta X_\beta);\ \beta \in \Sigma \setminus \mathbb{Z}\alpha, X_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta\}.$$

If we define the projection map

$$\gamma_\alpha : \begin{aligned} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = ((\mathfrak{n}_\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) + U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})(\mathfrak{k}_\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{z}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}), \end{aligned}$$

then γ_α is a $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-homomorphism and $\gamma \circ \gamma_\alpha = \gamma$. Let $U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})v = V^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus V^{(t)}$ be an irreducible decomposition as a $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-module and $v = v^{(1)} + \cdots + v^{(t)}$ the corresponding decomposition. Then by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, for each $s = 1, \ldots, t$, $V^{(s)}$ is considered as a single-petaled 'K-type' of the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$ and $v^{(s)}$ is a non-zero $M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-fixed vector of $V^{(s)}$. Let $\mathscr{H}_\alpha$ be the set of $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-harmonic elements in $S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$ and fix an arbitrary $\Psi^{(s)} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, \mathrm{symm}(\mathscr{H}_\alpha)) \setminus \{0\}$. Put $S_\alpha = \mathrm{symm}(S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})^{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)})$. Define $\Psi_s \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}))$ by

$$V^{(s)} \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Psi} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_\alpha} U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})) \otimes S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}), \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ &\qquad \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, \mathrm{symm}(\mathscr{H}_\alpha)) \otimes S_\alpha, \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, \mathrm{symm}(\mathscr{H}_\alpha)) &= \mathbb{C}\Psi^{(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

we can choose $f_1, \dots, f_\mu \in S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $D_1, \dots, D_\mu \in S_\alpha$ so that $\Psi_s - \Psi^{(s)}(D_1 f_1 + \cdots + D_\mu f_\mu) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V^{(s)}, U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then by Lemma 4.6,

$$\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v^{(s)})] = \gamma\left(\Psi_s[v^{(s)}]\right) = \gamma\left(\Psi^{(s)}[v^{(s)}]\right) \cdot (\gamma(D_1)f_1 + \cdots + \gamma(D_\mu)f_\mu),$$
$$\gamma(D_1)f_1 + \cdots + \gamma(D_\mu)f_\mu \in S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2].$$

Now by Corollary 2.8, each $V^{(s)}$ is either the trivial $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type or a $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type appearing in $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Suppose $V^{(s)}$ is the trivial $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type. Then it follows from Lemma 2.7 (iv), (v) that $\gamma\left(\Psi^{(s)}[v^{(s)}]\right)$ is a scalar. Hence by Lemma 4.3, $s_\alpha\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v^{(s)})] = \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v^{(s)})]$. On the other hand, suppose $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type appearing in $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$. This time it follows from Lemma 2.7 (iv), (v) that $\gamma\left(\Psi^{(s)}[v^{(s)}]\right)$ equals $\alpha^\vee + \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + 2\dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ up to a scalar multiple. Hence Lemma 4.3 implies $s_\alpha\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v^{(s)})] = -\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v^{(s)})]$. Also, in this case $s_\alpha v^{(s)} = -v^{(s)}$ by Theorem 2.6. Thus, in either case we get $\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(s_\alpha v^{(s)})] = s_\alpha\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v^{(s)})]$ for each $s = 1, \dots, t$. Hence $\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[s_\alpha v] = \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(s_\alpha v^{(1)} + \cdots + s_\alpha v^{(t)})] = s_\alpha\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[v]$ for each $\alpha \in \Pi$, which assures (4.5) for any $w \in W$. □

Suppose $\alpha \in \Pi$ and retain the notation of the proof of Theorem 4.7. We say a $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type (σ', V') is quasi-spherical if V' has a non-zero $M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-fixed vector. A quasi-spherical $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type is naturally identified with a quasi-spherical 'K-type' of the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$. Choose $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ so that $B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2}$ and put $Z = \sqrt{-1}X_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\theta X_\alpha$. According to Lemma 2.7 (i), for each quasi-spherical $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type (σ', V') we define the integer $e(\sigma')$ as the largest eigenvalue of $\sigma'(Z)$. If we decompose the $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-module $U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})V^M$ into irreducible submodules, then each submodule is quasi-spherical. In fact, if the corresponding decomposition of a given $v \in V^M$ is $v = v^{(1)} + v^{(2)} + \cdots$, then each $v^{(s)}$ $(s = 1, 2, \dots)$ is an $M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-fixed vector. Let us consider the direct sum decomposition

$$U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})V^M = V_{[0]} \oplus V_{[1]} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{[k]},$$

where $V_{[s]}$ $(s = 1, \dots, k)$ is the sum of all irreducible $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-submodules which are isomorphic to some $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-type (σ', V') with $|e(\sigma')| = s$.

Lemma 4.8. $p^\sigma\left(V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\right) \cap p^\sigma\left(V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\right) = 0$ *and* $p^\sigma\left(V_{[2]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} + \cdots + V_{[k]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\right) \subset V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$. *Moreover,*

(4.6)
$$V^M_{\mathrm{double}} = \left(V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\left(V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\right) \oplus V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\left(V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\right)\right) + p^\sigma\left(V_{[2]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} + \cdots + V_{[k]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\right).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.7 (ii), (iii) and the inclusion relation

$$V^M \subset V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} \oplus V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{[k]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)},$$

we have $V^M_{\mathrm{single}} \subset V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} \oplus V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}$. Let $(\cdot,\cdot)_V$ be a K-invariant Hermitian inner product on V. Then the proof of Lemma 3.3 says the orthogonal complement $(V^M_{\mathrm{single}})^\perp$ of V^M_{single} in V^M equals V^M_{double}. Since $(V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, p^\sigma(V_{[2]} + \cdots + V_{[k]}))_V = (V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, V_{[2]} + \cdots + V_{[k]})_V \subset (V_{[0]} + V_{[1]}, V_{[2]} + \cdots + V_{[k]})_V = 0$, $p^\sigma(V_{[2]} + \cdots + V_{[k]}) \subset (V^M_{\mathrm{single}})^\perp = V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.7 (v) and Theorem 2.6 that s_α acts on $V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}$ and $V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}$ by $+1$ and -1, respectively. But since $p^\sigma : V \to V^M$ is an $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$-homomorphism, s_α

acts on $p^\sigma\big(V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big)$ and $p^\sigma\big(V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big)$ by $+1$ and -1, respectively. Hence the decomposition of $V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma(V_{[0]} + V_{[1]}) = V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\big(V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} + V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big)$ into the eigenspaces of s_α is $V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\big(V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big) \oplus V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\big(V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big)$. We thus get

$$\begin{aligned} V^M_{\mathrm{double}} &= V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma(V_{[0]} + \cdots + V_{[k]}) \\ &= V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma(V_{[0]} + V_{[1]}) + p^\sigma(V_{[2]} + \cdots + V_{[k]}) \\ &= \Big(V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\big(V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big) \oplus V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\big(V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big)\Big) + p^\sigma\big(V_{[2]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} + \cdots + V_{[k]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\big). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The necessity of the second statement of Theorem 1.4 follows from the next proposition:

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose* $V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \neq 0$. *Then* (4.5) *does not hold for a suitable combination of* $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$, $w \in W$ *and* $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$.

Proof. By the assumption of the proposition, there exists $\alpha \in \Pi$ for which $V_{[2]} + \cdots + V_{[k]}$ in the above argument is not 0. Take s ($2 \leq s \leq k$) so that $V_{[s]} \neq 0$. Fix an irreducible $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-submodule V_0 of $V_{[s]}$ and $v_0 \in V_0^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\}$.

First, we shall show $p^\sigma(v_0) \neq 0$. For this, let $U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha))V^M = V^{(1)} \oplus V^{(2)} \oplus \cdots$ be an irreducible decomposition as a $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-module such that $V^{(1)} = V_0$ and each component is orthogonal to the other components with respect to a K-invariant Hermitian inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_V$ on V. If $v = v^{(1)} + v^{(2)} + \cdots$ is the corresponding decomposition of any $v \in V^M$, then each $v^{(s)}$ ($s = 1, 2, \ldots$) is an $M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-fixed vector. Since V^M generates $U(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})V^M$, there exists $v \in V^M$ such that $v^{(1)} \neq 0$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_0^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} = 1$, $v^{(1)} = cv_0$ for some constant $c \neq 0$. Now $(v, p^\sigma(v_0))_V = (v, v_0)_V = (v^{(1)}, v_0)_V = c(v_0, v_0)_V \neq 0$, which proves $p^\sigma(v_0) \neq 0$.

Choose a homogeneous $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ so that $\varphi[p^\sigma(v_0)] \neq 0$. Let $b \in \mathscr{A}$ be the homogeneous element in Lemma 4.5. Then $\Gamma_0^\sigma(\Phi) = b \cdot \varphi$ for some $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. Put $\Psi = \mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. Since Φ is homogeneous and $\gamma_0(\Phi[v_0]) = b \cdot \varphi[p^\sigma(v_0)] \neq 0$, (4.3) implies $\gamma(\Psi[v_0]) \neq 0$.

Let γ_α, S_α, $\mathscr{H}_\alpha$ be the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.7. Fix an arbitrary $\Psi^0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V_0, \mathrm{symm}(\mathscr{H}_\alpha)) \setminus \{0\}$ and define $\Psi_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V_0, U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}))$ by

$$V_0 \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Psi} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_\alpha} U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.7, we can choose $f_1, \ldots, f_\mu \in S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $D_1, \ldots, D_\mu \in S_\alpha$ so that $\Psi_0 - \Psi^0(D_1 f_1 + \cdots + D_\mu f_\mu) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V_0, U(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma(\Psi[v_0]) = \gamma(\Psi_0[v_0]) = \gamma\big(\Psi^0[v_0]\big) \cdot (\gamma(D_1)f_1 + \cdots + \gamma(D_\mu)f_\mu), \\ &\gamma(D_1)f_1 + \cdots + \gamma(D_\mu)f_\mu \in S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.7 (iv), there is a pair (i, j) of non-negative integers with $2i + j = s$ (≥ 2) such that $\gamma\big(\Psi^0[v_0]\big)$ equals

$$[(h+\delta)(h+\delta+2)\cdots(h+\delta+2(i+j)-2)] \cdot [(h+1)(h+3)\cdots(h+2i-1)] \tag{4.7}$$

up to a scalar multiple (here $h = \frac{\alpha^\vee}{2} + \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}{2} \in S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\delta = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$). Since it is clear that $\gamma\big(\Psi^0[v_0]\big), \frac{1}{(h+\delta)}\gamma\big(\Psi^0[v_0]\big) \notin \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2]$, we have $\gamma(\Psi[v_0]) = \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v_0)] \notin S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2] \cup S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2](\alpha^\vee + \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + 2\dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha})$. Hence from Lemma 4.3, $s_\alpha \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v_0)] \neq \pm\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v_0)]$. On the other hand, since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_0^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)} = 1$, we have $s_\alpha v_0 = \pm v_0$ and therefore $s_\alpha p^\sigma(v_0) = \pm p^\sigma(v_0)$. $\square$

Remark 4.10. In the above proof we can say $s_\alpha p^\sigma(v_0) = (-1)^s p^\sigma(v_0)$. Indeed, if we choose $\Phi^0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}(V_0, \mathscr{H}_\alpha)$ so that $\Psi^0 = \mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi^0$, then it is a homogeneous element by Lemma 2.7 (ii). Hence from (4.3) and (4.7), $\gamma_0\left(\Phi^0[v_0]\right) = C\left(\frac{\alpha^\vee}{2}\right)^s$ for some non-zero constant C. With respect to the ordinary action of s_α on $\mathbb{C}[\alpha^\vee]$,

$$\gamma_0\left(\Phi^0[s_\alpha v_0]\right) = s_\alpha \gamma_0\left(\Phi^0[v_0]\right) = s_\alpha C\left(\frac{\alpha^\vee}{2}\right)^s = (-1)^s C\left(\frac{\alpha^\vee}{2}\right)^s = \gamma_0\left(\Phi^0[(-1)^s v_0]\right).$$

Thus we have $s_\alpha v_0 = (-1)^s v_0$, which shows our claim.

In the rest of this section we shall prove the following theorem, which is considered as a non-commutative counterpart of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 4.11. *For any* $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\mathrm{double}}, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ *there exists* $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ *such that* $\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi) = \psi$.

Suppose $V' \subset V^M$ is an arbitrary W-submodule. Let $\iota^{\#}_{V'}$ denote the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^M, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})) \ni \psi \mapsto \psi|_{V'} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$$

or the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M, S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})) \ni \varphi \mapsto \varphi|_{V'} \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V', S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})).$$

Under the natural identification $S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$, put $S^d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \sum_{i=0}^d S^i(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ $(d = 0, 1, \ldots)$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ has the natural filtration $\{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S^d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))\}_{d=0}^\infty$ by which it is considered as a filtered $\mathscr{A}$-module. For each $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ put

$$\deg \psi = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S^d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})) \setminus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S^{d-1}_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})), \\ -\infty & \text{if } \psi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Also, for $d = -\infty, 0, 1, \ldots$, define the natural map

$$q_d : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S^d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V', S^d(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})).$$

Lemma 4.12. *For any* $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$, $\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}} \circ \Gamma^\pi(\Psi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. *For any* $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ *with* $d = \deg \psi$, $q_d(\psi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, S^d(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$.

Proof. The first assertion is due to Theorem 4.7. The second assertion follows from the fact that the map $S^d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \sum_{i=0}^d S^i(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} S^d(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a W-homomorphism, which is easily checked by use of (4.1) and (1.9). □

Lemma 4.13. *For any* $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$, *put* $\psi := \iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}} \circ \Gamma^\pi(\Psi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^M_{\mathrm{double}}, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ *and* $d = \deg \psi$. *Then* $q_d(\psi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{double}}, S^d(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$.

Proof. We shall check for any $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$,

$$q_d(\psi)[s_\alpha v] = s_\alpha q_d(\psi)[v]. \tag{4.8}$$

For this, fix $\alpha \in \Pi$ and consider the decomposition (4.6).

Take an arbitrary irreducible $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-submodule $V_0 \subset V_{[s]}$ $(s = 2, 3, \ldots)$ and $v_0 \in V_0^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}$. Then for the same reason as the proofs of Theorem 4.7 and Proposition 4.9, there are some non-negative integers i, j with $2i + j = s$ and some $D_0 \in S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2]$ such that

$$\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[p^\sigma(v_0)] = D_0 \cdot [(h+\delta)(h+\delta+2)\cdots(h+\delta+2(i+j)-2)] \cdot [(h+1)(h+3)\cdots(h+2i-1)].$$

(Recall $h = \frac{\alpha^\vee}{2} + \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}{2} \in S(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})$ and $\delta = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$.) Therefore there exists a homogeneous element $\bar{D}_0$ in $S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_\mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2]$ such that

$$q_d(\psi)[p^\sigma(v_0)] = \bar{D}_0 \left(\frac{\alpha^\vee}{2}\right)^s.$$

Hence $s_\alpha q_d(\psi)[p^\sigma(v_0)] = (-1)^s q_d(\psi)[p^\sigma(v_0)]$. But since $s_\alpha p^\sigma(v_0) = (-1)^s p^\sigma(v_0)$ by Remark 4.10, (4.8) is valid for $v = p^\sigma(v_0)$.

Secondly, let $V^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus V^{(t)}$ be an irreducible decomposition of the $K_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)$-module $V_{[1]}$. Suppose $v_{[1]} \in V_{[1]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}$ satisfies $p^\sigma(v_{[1]}) \in V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$ and let $v_{[1]} = v^{(1)} + \cdots + v^{(t)}$ be the decomposition according to the above decomposition. Since $v^{(s)} \in \left(V^{(s)}\right)^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}$ ($s = 1, \ldots, t$), as in the proof of Theorem 4.7, there exist $D_1, \ldots, D_t \in S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_\mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2]$ such that

$$\Gamma^\pi(\Psi)[p^\sigma\left(v^{(s)}\right)] = D_s(\alpha^\vee + \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + 2\dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}) \qquad s = 1, \ldots, t.$$

Hence there exists a homogeneous element $\bar{D}$ in $S(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)_\mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2]$ such that

$$q_d(\psi)[p^\sigma(v_{[1]})] = \bar{D}\,\alpha^\vee.$$

Since $s_\alpha p^\sigma(v_{[1]}) = -p^\sigma(v_{[1]})$ and $s_\alpha \bar{D}\,\alpha^\vee = -\bar{D}\,\alpha^\vee$, (4.8) is valid for $v = p^\sigma(v_{[1]})$.

Similarly, we can show (4.8) for any $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{double}} \cap p^\sigma\left(V_{[0]}^{M_{\mathrm{ss}}(\alpha)}\right)$. Hence from (4.6), (4.8) is valid for any $v \in V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$. □

Lemma 4.14. *For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C})) \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}))$ such that*

$$\iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi) = \psi, \qquad \deg \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi) < \deg \psi.$$

Proof. Put $d = \deg \psi$. Assume that for some $i \in \{d+1, d, d-1, \ldots, 1\}$ we already have $\Psi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$\deg\left(\psi - \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_i)\right) < i, \qquad \deg \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_i) < d.$$

Then from Lemma 4.12, we get $\varphi_{i-1} \coloneqq q_{i-1}\left(\psi - \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_i)\right) \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, S^{i-1}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$. Since $V^M_{\mathrm{single}} \simeq V^M / V^M_{\mathrm{double}}$, we identify φ_{i-1} with an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\mathrm{double}}, S^{i-1}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}))$. Then by Theorem 3.5, there exists a unique $\Phi_{i-1} \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S^{i-1}(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\Gamma_0^\sigma(\Phi_{i-1}) = \varphi_{i-1}$. In view of (4.3) we see $\deg \Gamma^\sigma(\mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_{i-1}) \le i-1$ and

$$q_{i-1} \circ \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_{i-1}) = \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}}(\varphi_{i-1}) = q_{i-1}\left(\psi - \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_i)\right),$$
$$q_{i-1} \circ \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_{i-1}) = \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}(\varphi_{i-1}) = 0.$$

Hence, $\deg \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_{i-1}) < i-1$ and if we put $\Psi_{i-1} = \Psi_i + \mathrm{symm} \circ \Phi_{i-1}$, then $\deg\left(\psi - \iota^\#_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}} \circ \Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_{i-1})\right) < i-1$.

Thus, if we start with $\Psi_{d+1} \coloneqq 0$ and define $\Psi_d, \Psi_{d-1}, \ldots$ as above, then $\Psi \coloneqq \Psi_0$ satisfies the desired properties. □

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Put $m = \dim_\mathbb{C} V^M$ and $m' = \dim_\mathbb{C} V^M_{\mathrm{single}}$. Take a basis $\{\varphi_{m'+1}, \ldots, \varphi_m\}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\mathrm{single}}, \mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a}))$ so that each φ_i is homogeneous (note that $\mathscr{H}_W(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[W]$). Let $b \in \mathscr{A}$ be the homogeneous element in Lemma 4.5. Then there exist $\Phi_{m'+1}, \ldots, \Phi_m \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, S(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\Gamma^\sigma(\Phi_i) = b \cdot \varphi_i$. Put $d_i = \deg \varphi_i$ ($i = m'+1, \ldots, m$) and $d_0 = \deg b$.

Owing to (4.3), Lemma 4.12, and Lemma 4.14, by modifying $\mathrm{symm}\circ\Phi_{m'+1},\dots,\mathrm{symm}\circ\Phi_m$ in lower-order terms, we can get $\Psi_{m'+1},\dots,\Psi_m\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ which satisfy for each $i=m'+1,\dots,m$,

(4.9)
$$\deg\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_i)=\deg\Phi_i=d_i+d_0,\quad q_{d_i+d_0}\circ\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_i)=b\cdot\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}(\varphi_i),\quad \iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi_i)=0.$$

Put $\mathscr{M}=\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})))$. Then by (1.13), it is a submodule of the filtered $\mathscr{A}$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^M_{\mathrm{double}},S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. Also from Lemma 4.13, $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}\subset\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{double}},S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. Since $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}$ is finitely generated over $\mathscr{A}$, we can take $\tilde\Psi_1,\dots,\tilde\Psi_k\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ so that $\{q_{\tilde d_i}\circ\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\tilde\Psi_i);\ i=1,\dots k\}$ generates $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}$ over $\mathscr{A}$ (here $\tilde d_i:=\deg\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\tilde\Psi_i)$). Now, from (1.3) we have $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K=\mathrm{symm}(S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^K)\oplus U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K\cap U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence by the exactness of (1.1), γ gives the isomorphism $\mathrm{symm}(S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^K)\xrightarrow{\sim}S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$. For each $a\in\mathscr{A}=S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$, we denote by $\hat a$ the unique element of $\mathrm{symm}(S(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}})^K)$ such that $\gamma(\hat a)=a$. Then for any $\Psi\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$, there exist $a_1,\dots,a_k\in\mathscr{A}$ with $\deg a_i\le\deg\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)-\tilde d_i$ such that $\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi-\tilde\Psi_1\hat a_1-\cdots-\tilde\Psi_k\hat a_k)=0$. Now since $\{\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}(\varphi_i);\ i=m'+1,\dots,m\}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M_{\mathrm{double}},S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ over $\mathscr{A}$, we can take homogeneous elements $b_{is}\in\mathscr{A}$ $(i=1,\dots,k,\ s=m'+1,\dots,m)$ so that

$$q_{\tilde d_i}\circ\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\tilde\Psi_i)=\sum_{s=m'+1}^{m}b_{is}\cdot\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}(\varphi_s),\qquad\tilde d_i=\deg b_{is}+d_s\ \text{or}\ b_{is}=0.$$

Put $\tilde{\tilde\Psi}_i:=\tilde\Psi_i\,\hat b-\sum_{s=m'+1}^m\Psi_s\,\hat b_{is}$. Then from (4.9), $\deg\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\tilde{\tilde\Psi}_i)<\deg b_0+\tilde d_i$. Hence there exist $a_{ij}\in\mathscr{A}$ $(i,j=1,\dots,k)$ with $\deg a_{ij}<\deg d_0+\tilde d_i-\tilde d_j$ such that

$$\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma\left(\tilde{\tilde\Psi}_i-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tilde\Psi_j\,\hat a_{ij}\right)=0.$$

Let us define the $\mathscr{A}$-valued $k\times k$-matrix $A:=\mathrm{diag}(b,\dots,b)-(a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le k}$. By estimating the degree of each coefficient of A, we can easily see $\det A\ne0$. Let $\tilde A=(\tilde a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le k}$ be the cofactor matrix of A. Observe that

$$\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma\left(\tilde\Psi_i\cdot\widehat{\det A}-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{s=m'+1}^{m}\Psi_s\,\hat{\tilde a}_{ij}\,\hat b_{js}\right)=0\qquad i=1,\dots,k.\tag{4.10}$$

Now let $\psi\in\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^M/V^M_{\mathrm{double}},S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}))$. By Lemma 4.14, there is $\Psi\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ such that $\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)=\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}}(\psi)$. Then we can take $a_1,\dots,a_k\in\mathscr{A}$ so that $\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi-\tilde\Psi_1\hat a_1-\cdots-\tilde\Psi_k\hat a_k)=0$. Hence if we put

$$\Psi'=\Psi\cdot\widehat{\det A}-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{s=m'+1}^{m}\Psi_s\,\hat a_i\,\hat{\tilde a}_{ij}\,\hat b_{js},$$

then from (4.9) and (4.10) we have $\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi')=\det A\cdot\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{single}}}(\psi)$ and $\iota^{\#}_{V^M_{\mathrm{double}}}\circ\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi')=0$, namely, $\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi')=\det A\cdot\psi$. Hence if we put $\mathscr{I}=\{c\in\mathscr{A};\ c\cdot\psi\in\Gamma^\sigma(\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})))\}$, then $\mathscr{I}\ni\det a\ne0$. Note that $\mathscr{I}$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{A}$.

In order to complete the proof, it suffices to show $\mathscr{I}=\mathscr{A}$. Assume $\mathscr{I}\subsetneq\mathscr{A}$. From inside $\mathscr{A}\setminus\{0\}$, take an element c so that it has the lowest degree. Then by assumption, c is

not a constant. Let $\Psi'' \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ be such that

$$\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi'') = c \cdot \psi.$$

With respect to a basis $\{\overline{\Psi}_1, \dots, \overline{\Psi}_m\}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \mathrm{symm}(\mathscr{H}_K(\mathfrak{p})))$ and a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ of V^M, we define the matrix $P^\sigma = (\gamma \circ \overline{\Psi}_j[v_i])_{1 \le i,j \le m}$ as in §2. By virtue of Corollary 2.2 and the exactness of (4.4), we can take $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathscr{A}$ so that $\Psi'' - \overline{\Psi}_1 \hat{e}_1 - \cdots - \overline{\Psi}_m \hat{e}_m \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then we have $\Gamma^\sigma(\overline{\Psi}_1)\, e_1 + \cdots + \Gamma^\sigma(\overline{\Psi}_m)\, e_m = c \cdot \psi$, which, using P^σ, are rewritten as

$$P^\sigma \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_m \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \psi[v_1] \\ \vdots \\ \psi[v_m] \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.11}$$

We assert that if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ satisfies $c(\lambda) = 0$, then $e_1(\lambda) = \cdots = e_m(\lambda) = 0$. To show this, suppose $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ satisfies $c(\lambda) = 0$. Then there exists $w \in W$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\langle w\lambda, \alpha\rangle \ge 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$. Since $c \in \mathscr{A} = S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$, $c(\lambda) = 0$ implies $c(w\lambda) = 0$. Evaluating both sides of (4.11) at $w\lambda$, we have

$$P^\sigma(w\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} e_1(w\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ e_m(w\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $e_1(w\lambda) = \cdots = e_m(w\lambda) = 0$ since $P^\sigma(w\lambda)$ is a regular matrix by Proposition 2.3. Because $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathscr{A} = S(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}})^W$, $e_1(\lambda) = \cdots = e_m(\lambda) = 0$. Thus we get the assertion.

Now, $\mathscr{A}$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring ([He2, Ch. III, Theorem 3.1]) and a maximal ideal of $\mathscr{A}$ equals $\{f \in \mathscr{A};\ f(\lambda) = 0\}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ ([ibid., Ch. III, Lemma 3.11]). Hence by the fact shown above, e_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) are divisible by any irreducible factor c_0 of c. Let $c', e_1', \dots, e_m' \in \mathscr{A}$ be such that $c = c'c_0$, $e_j = e_j' c_0$ and put $\Psi''' = \overline{\Psi}_1 \hat{e}_1' + \cdots + \overline{\Psi}_m \hat{e}_m'$. Then we have

$$\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi''') = c' \cdot \psi, \qquad \deg c' < \deg c, \qquad c' \neq 0.$$

It contradicts the minimality of the degree of c. Thus we get $\mathscr{I} = \mathscr{A}$. □

5. C L

Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex semisimple Lie algebra with complex structure J. In this case one has $G = G_{\mathrm{ad}} = G_\theta$. Throughout this section we use the symbols U, $\mathfrak{u}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ in place of K, $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{a}$, and $\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a}$, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra. Extend each $\alpha \in \Sigma$ to a complex linear form on $\mathfrak{h}$ and put $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. By the unitary trick, a U-type (σ, V) is naturally identified with a finite-dimensional irreducible holomorphic representation of G. Since $M = \exp(J\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$, V^M equals $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$, the space of 0-weight vectors. Hence in this section, we denote V^M_{single} and V^M_{double} by $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}}$ and $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{double}}$, respectively. One knows each finite-dimensional irreducible holomorphic representation (σ, V) of G satisfies $V^{\mathfrak{h}} \neq 0$. This means all U-types are quasi-spherical. From now on we always assume a representation of G is holomorphic.

Proposition 5.1. *For any finite-dimensional irreducible representation (σ, V) of G,*

$$V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}} = \left\{ v \in V^{\mathfrak{h}};\ \sigma(X_\alpha)^2 v = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma,\ \forall X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \right\}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{double}} = V^{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \sum \Big\{ \sigma(X_\alpha)^2 V;\ \alpha \in \Sigma,\ X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \Big\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Suppose $v \in V^{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Choose $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ so that $B(X_\alpha, \theta X_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2}$. Put $Z = \sqrt{-1}X_\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\theta X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $Z' = JX_\alpha + J\theta X_\alpha \in J\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\sigma(Z) = \sigma(Z')$. Denote by $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})$ the three-dimensional simple subalgebra spanned by $\{X_\alpha, \alpha^\vee, \theta X_\alpha\}$ over $\mathbb{C}_J := \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$. We identify $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ by the following correspondence:

$$X_\alpha \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \alpha^\vee \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \theta X_\alpha \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $U(\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C}))v = V^{(1)} + \ldots + V^{(t)}$ be an irreducible decomposition as an $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})$-module and $v = v^{(1)} + \cdots + v^{(t)}$ the corresponding decomposition. Then for each $s = 1, \ldots, t$, we have $v^{(s)} \neq 0$ and $\sigma(\alpha^\vee)v^{(s)} = 0$. If we put $d_s = \dim_{\mathbb{C}_J} V^{(s)}$, then by the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, we can make the following identification:

$$V^{(s)} = \sum_{i=0}^{d_s-1} \mathbb{C}x^{d_s-1-i}y^i \subset \mathbb{C}[x,y],$$

$$\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)|_{V^{(s)}} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \qquad \sigma(\alpha^\vee)|_{V^{(s)}} = -x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We see $x^{d_s-1-i}y^i$ is a $\sigma(\alpha^\vee)$-eigenvector with eigenvalue $2i+1-d_s$ and because $\sigma(\alpha^\vee)v^{(s)} = 0$, d_s is necessarily odd. On the other hand, if we put $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$, $\overline{z} = x - \sqrt{-1}y$, then $V^{(s)} = \sum_{i=0}^{d_s-1} \mathbb{C}z^{d_s-1-i}\overline{z}^i$ and $z^{d_s-1-i}\overline{z}^i$ is a $\sigma(X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha)$-eigenvector with eigenvalue $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(d_s - 1 - 2i)$. Also, $v^{(s)}$ equals

$$(z+\overline{z})^{\frac{d_s-1}{2}}(z-\overline{z})^{\frac{d_s-1}{2}} = (z^2 - \overline{z}^2)^{\frac{d_s-1}{2}}$$

up to a scalar multiple. Since $\sigma(Z')\, z^{d_s-1} = -\frac{d_s-1}{2}\, z^{d_s-1}$ and $\sigma(Z')\, \overline{z}^{d_s-1} = \frac{d_s-1}{2}\, \overline{z}^{d_s-1}$, it follows that

$$\sigma(Z')(\sigma(Z')^2 - 1)v^{(s)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow d_s = 1 \text{ or } d_s = 3 \Leftrightarrow \sigma(X_\alpha)^2 v^{(s)} = 0.$$

Thus we get $\sigma(Z)(\sigma(Z)^2 - 1)v \Leftrightarrow \sigma(X_\alpha)^2 v = 0$, which proves (5.1).

To prove (5.2) it suffices to show with respect to a U-invariant Hermitian inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_V$ on V, $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{single}}$ equals the orthogonal complement of $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{double}}$ in $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$. But it can be checked in a quite similar way to the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

As a consequence of this proposition, the condition that $V^{\mathfrak{h}} = V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{single}}$ is equivalent to the condition that twice a root is not a weight of (σ, V). Hence we get

Corollary 5.2. *A single-petaled U-type (σ, V) is nothing but an irreducible small representation of G in the sense of* [Br].

Definition 5.3. We say an irreducible representation (σ, V) of G is *quasi-small* when $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{single}} \neq 0$, that is, (σ, V) is quasi-single-petaled as a U-type.

Since θ is the conjugation map of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the real form $\mathfrak{u}$, the U-homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\oplus\theta} \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\frac{1-\sqrt{-1}J}{2}\cdot \oplus \frac{1+\sqrt{-1}J}{2}\cdot} \frac{1-\sqrt{-1}J}{2}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \frac{1+\sqrt{-1}J}{2}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

gives an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex Lie algebras. If we identify these two complex Lie algebras, then their subspaces correspond in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(X,\theta X);\ X\in\mathfrak{g}\}\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{g}, && \Delta\mathfrak{g}:=\{(X,X);\ X\in\mathfrak{g}\}\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}},\\ &\nabla\mathfrak{g}:=\{(X,-X);\ X\in\mathfrak{g}\}\leftrightarrow(J\mathfrak{u})_{\mathbb{C}}, && \{(H,-H);\ H\in\mathfrak{h}\}\leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}},\\ &\{(X,-X);\ X\in\overline{\mathfrak{n}}+\mathfrak{n}\}\leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})^{\perp}_{\mathbb{C}}, && \mathfrak{n}\oplus\overline{\mathfrak{n}}\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Here $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $J\mathfrak{u}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}=\theta\mathfrak{n}$. Extend the U-isomorphism $\eta_0:\mathfrak{g}\ni X\mapsto(-X,X)\in\nabla\mathfrak{g}\simeq(J\mathfrak{u})_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the algebra isomorphism $\eta_0: S(\mathfrak{g})\xrightarrow{\sim} S(\nabla\mathfrak{g})\simeq S((J\mathfrak{u})_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then the restriction of η_0 to $S(\mathfrak{h})$ gives an isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{h})\xrightarrow{\sim} S((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}})$. We denote its inverse by χ_0. Clearly χ_0 commutes with the W-actions. As a variation of the map $\gamma_0: S((J\mathfrak{u})_{\mathbb{C}})\to S((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}})$, define the map

$$\tilde{\gamma}_0:=\chi_0\circ\gamma_0\circ\eta_0: S(\mathfrak{g})\to S(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Then (1.2) induces the algebra isomorphism $\tilde{\gamma}_0: S(\mathfrak{g})^G\xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{h})^W$, by which we identify the two algebras and denote both of them by the same symbol $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$. Note that by (5.3) γ_0 is reduced to the projection map

$$S(\mathfrak{g})=S(\mathfrak{h})\oplus S(\mathfrak{g})(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}+\mathfrak{n})\to S(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Now the result of [Br] is generalized to the case of a quasi-small representation as follows:

Theorem 5.4. *For a finite-dimensional irreducible representation (σ,V) of G, define the map*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\sigma}_0:\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,S(\mathfrak{g}))\ni\Phi\mapsto\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}},S(\mathfrak{h}))$$

so that the image φ is given by the composition

$$\varphi: V^{\mathfrak{h}}\hookrightarrow V\xrightarrow{\Phi} S(\mathfrak{g})\xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}_0} S(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Then $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\sigma}_0$ is an injective $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$-homomorphism (clearly $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,S(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_W(V,S(\mathfrak{h}))$ have natural $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$-module structures). On the other hand, $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\sigma}_0$ is surjective if and only if (σ,V) is small. Furthermore, for any $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}}/V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{double}},S(\mathfrak{h}))$ there exists $\Phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,S(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\sigma}_0(\Phi)=\varphi$.

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from the results of §3 and the fact that $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\sigma}_0$ coincides with the composition

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,S(\mathfrak{g}))=\mathrm{Hom}_U(V,S(\mathfrak{g}))&\xrightarrow{\eta_0\circ\cdot}\mathrm{Hom}_U(V,S((J\mathfrak{u})_{\mathbb{C}}))\\ &\xrightarrow{\Gamma^{\sigma}_0}\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}},S((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}}))\xrightarrow{\chi_0\circ\cdot}\mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}},S(\mathfrak{h})).\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 5.5. We define the map $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ into $S(\mathfrak{h})$ by the projection

$$U(\mathfrak{g})=(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g})+U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n})\oplus U(\mathfrak{h})\to U(\mathfrak{h})\simeq S(\mathfrak{h}) \tag{5.4}$$

followed by the translation

$$S(\mathfrak{h})\ni f(\lambda)\mapsto f(\lambda-\tilde{\rho})\in S(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Here we identified $S(\mathfrak{h})$ with the space of holomorphic polynomials on the (complex) dual space $\mathfrak{h}^*$ of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Lemma 5.6. *For any $D_1\in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $D_2\in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}}$, $\tilde{\gamma}(D_1D_2)=\tilde{\gamma}(D_2D_1)=\tilde{\gamma}(D_1)\tilde{\gamma}(D_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{D}_1$ and $\bar{D}_2$ be the images of D_1 and D_2 under the projection (5.4), respectively. Since $U(\mathfrak{g}) = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}+\mathfrak{h})\oplus(U(\mathfrak{h}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}) = (\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{h}))\oplus U(\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{n})\mathfrak{n}$ as an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{h})$-module, we have $D_2 \in (U(\mathfrak{h}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}) \cap (\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{h}))$. Hence $D_1D_2 \equiv D_1\bar{D}_2 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}}$ and $D_2D_1 \equiv \bar{D}_2D_1 \pmod{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g})}$. Since $[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{h}] = \mathfrak{n}$, $[\mathfrak{h},\bar{\mathfrak{n}}] = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, we get $D_1\bar{D}_2 \equiv \bar{D}_1\bar{D}_2 \pmod{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\bar{D}_2D_1 \equiv \bar{D}_2\bar{D}_1 \pmod{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}}$. □

The isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces the algebra isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, which clearly commutes with the U-actions. Define the map $\eta : U(\mathfrak{g}) \ni D \mapsto 1\otimes D \in U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then we obtain the direct sum decomposition

$$U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \eta(U(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq (U(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g})\Delta\mathfrak{g}) \oplus (1\otimes U(\mathfrak{g})) \tag{5.5}$$

as a U-module.

Lemma 5.7. *Under the composition map*

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\eta} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} S((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\chi_0} S(\mathfrak{h}), \tag{5.6}$$

the image of $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ equals $\tilde{\gamma}(D)\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$.

Proof. For $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $X \in \mathfrak{n}$

$$\eta(DX) = 1\otimes DX = (1\otimes D)\cdot(1\otimes X + X\otimes 1) - (X\otimes 1)\cdot(1\otimes D).$$

Hence by (5.3), $\eta(U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}) \subset (1\otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))\Delta\mathfrak{g} + (\mathfrak{n}\otimes 1)(1\otimes U(\mathfrak{g})) \subset U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. Also, since $\eta(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g})) = (1\otimes\bar{\mathfrak{n}})(1\otimes U(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, we have $\gamma\circ\eta(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}) = 0$. On the other hand, if $f \in S(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{h})$ and $H \in \mathfrak{h}$, then $\eta(f\cdot H) = (1\otimes f)\cdot(1\otimes\frac{H}{2} + \frac{H}{2}\otimes 1) + (1\otimes\frac{H}{2} - \frac{H}{2}\otimes 1)\cdot(1\otimes f) \equiv \eta_0(\frac{H}{2})\eta(f) \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}}$. Hence for any $f(\lambda) \in S(\mathfrak{h})$, $\eta(f(\lambda)) \equiv \eta_0(f(\frac{\lambda}{2})) \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}}$. In addition, by the correspondence

$$\eta_0(H_1+JH_2) = (-H_1-JH_2, H_1+JH_2) \leftrightarrow -H_1 - \sqrt{-1}H_2 \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}} \qquad \forall H_1, H_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tag{5.7}$$

we have $\eta_0(f(\cdot - 2\tilde{\rho})) = (\eta_0(f))(\cdot + \rho)$ for $f \in S(\mathfrak{h})$. Therefore (5.6) maps $f \in S(\mathfrak{h})$ in the following way:

$$f(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\eta} \eta(f(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\text{projection to } S((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}})} \eta_0\left(f\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\rho\text{-shift}} \eta_0\left(f\left(\frac{\lambda - 2\tilde{\rho}}{2}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\chi_0} f\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \tilde{\rho}\right).$$

Since this image is $\tilde{\gamma}(f)\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$, we get the lemma. □

By the multiplicity function $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} : \Sigma \ni \alpha \mapsto -1 \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}$ associated to the data $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ as in Definition 4.1 and denote it simply by $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$. The key relations in $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$ are

$$s_\alpha\cdot\xi = s_\alpha(\xi)\cdot s_\alpha + \alpha(\xi) \qquad \forall\alpha\in\Pi\ \forall\xi\in\mathfrak{h}.$$

As in the case of $S_{\mathbf{H}}((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq S((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}})$, the left $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$-module

$$S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}) := \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \Big/ \sum_{w\in W\setminus\{1\}} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(w-1)$$

is naturally identified with $S(\mathfrak{h})$ as a left $S(\mathfrak{h})$-module and under this identification the space of W-fixed elements in $S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h})$ equals $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$.

Lemma 5.8. *The map*

$$S_{\mathbf{H}}((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq S((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\chi_0} S(\mathfrak{h}) \ni f(\lambda) \mapsto f(2\lambda) \in S(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h})$$

commutes with the W-actions.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Pi$ and put $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha) = \{H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}};\ \alpha(H) = 0\}$. Then from Lemma 4.3, the eigenspaces of s_α in $S_{\mathbf{H}}((\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}})$ are

$$S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2], \qquad S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot \mathbb{C}[(\alpha^\vee)^2](\alpha^\vee + 2),$$

which have eigenvalues $1, -1$, respectively. If we apply the map in the lemma to them, then by (5.7) their images are respectively

$$S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha) \otimes \mathbb{C}_J) \cdot \mathbb{C}_J[(\alpha^\vee)^2], \qquad S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha) \otimes \mathbb{C}_J) \cdot \mathbb{C}_J[(\alpha^\vee)^2](\alpha^\vee - 1),$$

where $\mathbb{C}_J = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$. They, in turn, are shown to be the eigenspaces of s_α in $S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h})$ with eigenvalues $1, -1$ by the same argument as the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

Now in view of (5.5), Lemma 5.7, and Lemma 5.8, the results of §4 give the following generalization of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism:

Theorem 5.9. *For a finite-dimensional irreducible representation (σ, V) of G, define the map*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\sigma : \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g})) \ni \Psi \mapsto \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{\mathfrak{h}}, S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}))$$

so that the image ψ is given by the composition

$$\varphi : V^{\mathfrak{h}} \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Psi} S(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} S(\mathfrak{h}) \simeq S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Then we have

(i) *$\tilde{\Gamma}^\sigma$ is injective.*

(ii) *For any $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}}/V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{double}}, S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}))$ there exists $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that $\tilde{\Gamma}^\sigma(\Psi) = \psi$.*

(iii) *$\tilde{\Gamma}^\sigma(\mathrm{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g}))) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}}, S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}))$ if and only if (σ, V) is small. If this condition is satisfied, then from* (i), (ii) *we have the isomorphism*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\sigma : \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}}, S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h})). \tag{5.8}$$

(iv) *In particular, for the trivial representation* $(\mathrm{triv}, \mathbb{C})$*, the map $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{triv}} : \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{triv}, U(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathrm{triv}, S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}))$ is essentially equal to the classical Harish-Chandra isomorphism*

$$\tilde{\gamma} : U(\mathfrak{g})^G \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{h})^W.$$

Since it is an algebra isomorphism by Lemma 5.6, in addition to $S(\mathfrak{g})^G$ and $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$, we denote $U(\mathfrak{g})^G$ also by $\mathscr{A}$.

(v) *For a general (σ, V), $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{\mathfrak{h}}, S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}))$ have the natural $\mathscr{A}$-module structures which are intertwined by $\tilde{\Gamma}^\sigma$. Especially, if (σ, V) is small, then* (5.8) *is an $\mathscr{A}$-module isomorphism.*

In the rest of this section, we never refer to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and consider $\mathfrak{g}$ itself to be defined over $\mathbb{C}$ by letting $J = \sqrt{-1}$. Let $\tilde{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Killing form for the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\langle\!\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\!\rangle$ the bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^*$ induced by $\tilde{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Note that $B(\cdot, \cdot) = 2\,\mathrm{Re}\,\tilde{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Clearly, each irreducible constituent of the adjoint representation $(\mathrm{Ad}, \mathfrak{g})$ is small and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}$

is the reflection representation $(\mathrm{ref}, \mathfrak{h})$ of W. More generally, all the irreducible small representations of each type of complex simple Lie algebra are listed in [Re2, Re3] (the classification is also given in [Od]). As for quasi-small representations we have

Proposition 5.10. *Let $(\sigma_{\tilde\rho}, V_{\tilde\rho})$ be the finite-dimensional irreducible representation with highest weight $\tilde\rho$ and (σ, V) an arbitrary irreducible quasi-small representation. Then (σ, V) is isomorphic to an irreducible constituent of the G-module $\operatorname{End} V_{\tilde\rho} \simeq V_{\tilde\rho} \otimes V_{\tilde\rho}$. Moreover, the multiplicity of (σ, V) in $\operatorname{End} V_{\tilde\rho}$ is*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{v \in V^{\mathfrak{h}};\ \sigma(X_\alpha)^2 v = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Pi, \forall X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha\}.$$

Proof. For any finite-dimensional irreducible representation (σ, V) of G, put $V^{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{n}) := \{v \in V^{\mathfrak{h}};\ \sigma(X_\alpha)^2 v = 0\ \forall \alpha \in \Pi, \forall X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha\}$. Then the multiplicity of (σ, V) in $\operatorname{End} V_{\tilde\rho}$ equals $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{n})$ ([Re1, 4.3], [Ko4, Theorem 47]). In particular, if (σ, V) is quasi-small, then $V^{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{n}) \supset V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}} \neq 0$. □

Let $\ell = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}$. Then one has $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g} \simeq \left(\operatorname{End} V_{\tilde\rho}\right)^{\oplus 2^\ell}$ as G-modules (see [Ko4, Re1]). Hence each irreducible quasi-small representation appears also in $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}$. Related to this, we have the following: For $k = 0, \dots, \ell$, we consider the G-module $(\sigma, V) = (\bigwedge^k \mathrm{Ad}, \bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g})$. Although it may be reducible, we define $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$, $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}}$, $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{double}}$, and $\tilde\Gamma^\sigma$ as in the irreducible case. Observe that $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h} \subset V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}}$. Let $\tilde B_k(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the unique G-invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $V \times V$ such that

$$\tilde B_k(u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_k, v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) = \det\left(\tilde B(u_i, v_j)\right)_{1 \le i,j \le k}.$$

Since $\tilde B_k(\cdot,\cdot)$ is non-degenerate both on $V^{\mathfrak{h}} \times V^{\mathfrak{h}}$ and on $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h} \times \bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}$, we can define the orthogonal complement $(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h})^\perp$ of $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}$ in $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$ with respect to $\tilde B_k(\cdot,\cdot)$. It is easy to see $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{double}} \subset (\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h})^\perp$. Therefore it follows from Theorem 5.9 (i), (ii) that for any $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_W\left(V^{\mathfrak{h}}/(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h})^\perp, S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h})\right)$ there exists a unique $\Psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that $\tilde\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi) = \psi$. We denote the set of all such Ψ by $\mathscr{M}_k$, in other words, we put

$$\mathscr{M}_k = \left\{ \Psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g}));\ \tilde\Gamma^\sigma(\Psi)[v] = 0 \quad \forall v \in \left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}\right)^\perp \right\}.$$

Then $\mathscr{M}_k$ is an $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$-submodule of the $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Theorem 5.11. *Define the $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$-homomorphism $\omega : \bigwedge^k \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) \to \operatorname{Hom}_G\left(\bigotimes^k \mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})\right)$ so that the image of $\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k \in \bigwedge^k \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is given by*

$$\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k) : \bigotimes^k \mathfrak{g} \ni X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_k \longmapsto \underrightarrow{\det}\left(\Psi_i[X_j]\right)_{1 \le i,j \le k} \in U(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{5.9}$$

Here the symbol $\underrightarrow{\det}$ in (5.9) *stands for a so-called 'column-determinant', that is,*

$$\underrightarrow{\det}\left(\Psi_i[X_j]\right)_{1 \le i,j \le k} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_k} (\operatorname{sgn}\mu)\, \Psi_{\mu(1)}[X_1] \cdots \Psi_{\mu(k)}[X_k].$$

($\mathfrak{S}_k$ *denotes the k-th symmetric group.*) *Then, for any $\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k \in \bigwedge^k \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$, $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_k$,*

$$\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k)[X_{\tau(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{\tau(k)}] = (\operatorname{sgn}\tau)\, \omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k)[X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_k]. \tag{5.10}$$

By this, we consider $\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k) \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, U(\mathfrak{g}))$. *Then* $\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k) \in \mathscr{M}_k$ *and moreover,*

(5.11)
$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\sigma}(\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k))[H_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge H_k] = \det\left(\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}}(\Psi_i)[H_j]\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \qquad \forall H_1, \dots, H_k \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Here the right-hand side is the determinant of an $S(\mathfrak{h})$*-valued matrix. Furthermore,* $\omega : \bigwedge^k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathscr{M}_k$ *is an* $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$*-module isomorphism.*

Proof. By an elementary argument, we can see (5.10) follows if we prove it for the special case where $k = 2$ and $\tau = (1, 2)$. Hence for a while we assume $k = 2$ and $\tau = (1, 2)$. In order to show (5.10), for any $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ define $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi[X_1 \otimes X_2] &= \omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \Psi_2)[X_1 \otimes X_2 + X_2 \otimes X_1] \\ &= \underset{\longrightarrow}{\det}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1[X_1] & \Psi_1[X_2] \\ \Psi_2[X_1] & \Psi_2[X_2]\end{pmatrix} + \underset{\longrightarrow}{\det}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi_1[X_2] & \Psi_1[X_1] \\ \Psi_2[X_2] & \Psi_2[X_1]\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \Psi_1[X_1]\Psi_2[X_2] - \Psi_2[X_1]\Psi_1[X_2] + \Psi_1[X_2]\Psi_2[X_1] - \Psi_2[X_2]\Psi_1[X_1],\end{aligned}$$

and let us prove $\Psi = 0$. By Theorem 5.9 (i), it suffices to show $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi) = 0$. Assume $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi) \neq 0$. First we note $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}\otimes\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. For $H_1 \otimes H_2 \in \mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{h}$, Lemma 5.6 implies $\tilde{\gamma}(\Psi_1[H_1]\Psi_2[H_2]) = \tilde{\gamma}(\Psi_2[H_2]\Psi_1[H_1])$, $\tilde{\gamma}(\Psi_1[H_2]\Psi_2[H_1]) = \tilde{\gamma}(\Psi_2[H_1]\Psi_1[H_2])$, and hence $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi)[H_1 \otimes H_2] = 0$. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, put $S^d_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d} S^i(\mathfrak{h})$ and define the projection map $q_d : S^d_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}) \to S^d(\mathfrak{h})$. Let $d' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ be such that $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{\mathfrak{h}}, S^{d'}_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h})) \setminus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{\mathfrak{h}}, S^{d'-1}_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}))$. Then we can easily observe $q_{d'} \circ \Gamma^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_W(V^{\mathfrak{h}}, S^{d'}(\mathfrak{h})) \setminus \{0\}$. Hence there exist $\alpha \in \Pi$, $X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, and $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ such that $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi)[X_{-\alpha} \otimes X_{\alpha}] \neq 0$. Put

$$\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} + \mathbb{C}\alpha^{\vee} + \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{h}(\alpha) = \{H \in \mathfrak{h};\ \alpha(H) = 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^+\setminus\{\alpha\}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}, \quad \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\alpha} = \theta\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha}$$

and define the projection map

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha} : U(\mathfrak{g}) = (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\alpha}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})) \to U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})).$$

Then γ_{α} is an $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})$-homomorphism and $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha} = \tilde{\gamma}$. Also, in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 5.6, we can show for any $D_1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $D_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}(\alpha)}$,

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}(D_1D_2) = \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}(D_1)\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}(D_2), \qquad \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}(D_2D_1) = \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}(D_2)\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}(D_1).$$

Define the $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})$-homomorphism $\Psi^{\alpha} : \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ by

$$\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\Psi} U(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}} U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})),$$

and the $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})$-homomorphism $\Psi^{\alpha}_i : \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ $(i = 1, 2)$ by

$$\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\Psi_i} U(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha}} U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})).$$

Since $\Psi_i[X_j] \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}(\alpha)}$ for any $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C})$ $(i, j = 1, 2)$, we have

$$\Psi^{\alpha}[X_1 \otimes X_2] = \Psi^{\alpha}_1[X_1]\Psi^{\alpha}_2[X_2] - \Psi^{\alpha}_2[X_1]\Psi^{\alpha}_1[X_2] + \Psi^{\alpha}_1[X_2]\Psi^{\alpha}_2[X_1] - \Psi^{\alpha}_2[X_2]\Psi^{\alpha}_1[X_1]. \tag{5.12}$$

Now from Kostant's theorem ([Ko1]), the inclusion map $\iota^{\alpha} : \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2,\mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}), U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) + \mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}))) = U(\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2, \mathbb{C}))^{\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}(2,\mathbb{C})} \cdot U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha)) \cdot \iota^{\alpha}.$$

Hence there exist $Z_1, Z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C}))^{\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})} \cdot U(\mathfrak{h}(\alpha))$ such that $\Psi_i^\alpha = Z_i \cdot \iota^\alpha$ $(i, j = 1, 2)$. Accordingly, the right-hand side of (5.12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_1\iota^\alpha[X_1]\,Z_2\iota^\alpha[X_2] - Z_2\iota^\alpha[X_1]\,Z_1\iota^\alpha[X_2] + Z_1\iota^\alpha[X_2]\,Z_2\iota^\alpha[X_1] - Z_2\iota^\alpha[X_2]\,Z_1\iota^\alpha[X_1] \\ &\quad = Z_1Z_2\,(\iota^\alpha[X_1]\,\iota^\alpha[X_2] - \iota^\alpha[X_1]\,\iota^\alpha[X_2] + \iota^\alpha[X_2]\,\iota^\alpha[X_1] - \iota^\alpha[X_2]\,\iota^\alpha[X_1]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi)[X_{-\alpha} \otimes X_\alpha] = \tilde{\gamma} \circ \Psi^\alpha[X_{-\alpha} \otimes X_\alpha] = 0$, a contradiction. Thus we get $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\otimes 2}}(\Psi) = 0$ and hence (5.10).

Suppose k is general and $\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k \in \bigwedge^k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$. Put $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{h}$. Take $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}$ so that $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k = 0$ and at least one α_j is not 0. Also, take $X_{\alpha_j} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j}$ for each α_j and consider the element $X_{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{\alpha_k} \in V^{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then there exists at least one $j_0 = 1, \ldots, k$ such that $\alpha_{j_0} \in \Sigma^+$. Since $\Psi_i[X_{\alpha_{j_0}}] \in U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$, (5.10) implies $\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k)\,[X_{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{\alpha_k}] \in U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$ and hence $\Gamma^\sigma(\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k))[X_{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{\alpha_k}] = 0$. Since such $X_{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{\alpha_k}$ span $(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h})^\perp$, we get $\omega(\Psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Psi_k) \in \mathscr{M}_k$. Obviously (5.11) follows from Lemma 5.6.

Lastly the next lemma assures $\omega : \bigwedge^k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathscr{M}_k$ is an isomorphism. □

Lemma 5.12. *Define the $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$-homomorphism $\omega_0 : \bigwedge^k \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathfrak{h}, S(\mathfrak{h})) \to \mathrm{Hom}_W\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}, S(\mathfrak{h})\right)$ so that the image of $\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_k$ is given by the map*

$$\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h} \ni H_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge H_k \mapsto \det\left(\varphi_i[H_j]\right)_{1 \le i,j \le k} \in S(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Then it is an isomorphism.

Proof. $\tilde{B}_k(\cdot,\cdot)$ induces the W-module isomorphism $\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}\right)^* \simeq \bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}$. Hence we have the following natural $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$-module isomorphisms: $\mathrm{Hom}_W\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}, S(\mathfrak{h})\right) \simeq \left(\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}\right)^* \otimes S(\mathfrak{h})\right)^W \simeq \left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h} \otimes S(\mathfrak{h})\right)^W \simeq \{W\text{-invariant polynomial coefficient } p\text{-form on } \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*\}$. Suppose $I_1, \ldots, I_\ell$ are algebraically independent homogeneous elements of $\tilde{\mathscr{A}} = S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ and they constitute a generator system of $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$. Then $\{dI_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dI_{i_k};\ 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le \ell\}$ forms a basis of $\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h} \otimes S(\mathfrak{h})\right)^W$ over $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ ([So]). In particular, $\{dI_i;\ i = 1, \ldots, \ell\}$ is a basis of $(\mathfrak{h} \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}))^W \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathfrak{h}, S(\mathfrak{h}))$ over $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$. It is easy to check under the identification $\mathrm{Hom}_W\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}, S(\mathfrak{h})\right) \simeq \left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h} \otimes S(\mathfrak{h})\right)^W$, the image $\omega_0(dI_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dI_{i_k}) \in \mathrm{Hom}_W\left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h}, S(\mathfrak{h})\right)$ of $dI_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dI_{i_k} \in \bigwedge^k \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathfrak{h}, S(\mathfrak{h}))$ equals $dI_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dI_{i_k} \in \left(\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{h} \otimes S(\mathfrak{h})\right)^W$. □

To find all the equivalence classes of irreducible quasi-small representations and to determine the W-module structure of $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}}$ for each irreducible quasi-small representation (σ, V) are both important problems. The next lemma seems to be a help to solving them:

Lemma 5.13. *Suppose $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Casimir element of $\mathfrak{g}$. That is, if we choose $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$ so that $\tilde{B}(X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}) = 1$ and if we take a basis $\{H_1, \ldots, H_\ell\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ so that $\tilde{B}(H_i, H_j) = \delta_{ij}$, then*

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{i-1}^{\ell} H_i^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} (X_\alpha X_{-\alpha} + X_{-\alpha} X_\alpha).$$

Define the following central element of $\mathbb{C}[W]$:

$$\Omega_W = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \langle\!\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\!\rangle (1 - s_\alpha).$$

Suppose (σ, V) *is an irreducible quasi-small representation of* G *and its highest weight is* λ*. Then for any* $v \in V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{single}}$,

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} v = \langle\!\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\tilde{\rho} \rangle\!\rangle v = \Omega_W v. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. Since $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on V by a scalar, we get the first equality of (5.13) by calculating the action of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on a highest weight vector of V. To show the second equality, take an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$ and define $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})$ to be the three-dimensional simple subalgebra spanned by $\{X_\alpha, \alpha^\vee, X_{-\alpha}\}$. If we consider $U(\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C}))v$ as an $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})$-module, then from (5.1) each irreducible constituent of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C}))v$ is isomorphic either to the trivial representation or to the adjoint representation. Hence if we put $v_0 := \frac{1+s_\alpha}{2}v$, $v_1 := \frac{1-s_\alpha}{2}v$, then $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})$ acts trivially on v_0. Thus $(X_\alpha X_{-\alpha} + X_{-\alpha}X_\alpha)v_0 = 0$. On the other hand, if $v_1 \neq 0$, then there exists an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})v_1$ to $\mathfrak{sl}_\alpha(2,\mathbb{C})$ such that $v_1 \mapsto \alpha^\vee$. Since

$$\operatorname{ad}(X_\alpha X_{-\alpha} + X_{-\alpha}X_\alpha)\alpha^\vee = 2\operatorname{ad}(X_\alpha)X_{-\alpha} - 2\operatorname{ad}(X_{-\alpha})X_\alpha = 4[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = 2\langle\!\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\!\rangle \alpha^\vee,$$

we get $(X_\alpha X_{-\alpha} + X_{-\alpha}X_\alpha)v_1 = 2\langle\!\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\!\rangle v_1$. Therefore the second equality of (5.13) holds. □

Example 5.14. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is the complex simple Lie algebra of type (B_2). As usual, take a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ so that $\Sigma^+ = \{e_i \pm e_2, e_1, e_2\}$ and $\langle\!\langle e_i, e_j \rangle\!\rangle = \frac{1}{6}\delta_{ij}$.

In addition to the equivalence class of the trivial representation 'triv', that of the reflection representation 'ref', and that of the sign representation 'sgn', we have two other equivalence classes of irreducible representations of W: One is the class of the one-dimensional representation τ which takes the value $\tau(w) = 1$ or -1 ($w \in W$) according as the number of appearances of the reflections associated to short roots $\{\pm e_1, \pm e_2\}$ is even or odd when we express w as a product of reflections. The other is the class of $\tau \otimes \text{sgn}$, which behaves similarly for the long roots. On each irreducible representation of W, Ω_W acts by the scalar whose value is indicated in Table 5.1.

T 5.1. values of Ω_W

rep.	triv	ref	sgn	τ	$\tau \otimes$ sgn
Ω_W	0	1	2	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$

T 5.2. values of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$

rep.	$\sigma_{(0,0)}$	$\sigma_{(1,0)}$	$\sigma_{(1,1)}$	$\sigma_{(2,1)}$
$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	2

The set of the highest weights of all finite-dimensional irreducible representations of G is $\{(i+j)e_1 + je_2;\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$. Let $\sigma_{(i,j)}$ denote the finite-dimensional irreducible representation of G with highest weight $ie_1 + je_2$. Note that $2\tilde{\rho} = 3e_1 + e_2$ and that $\langle\!\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\tilde{\rho} \rangle\!\rangle = \langle\!\langle \lambda + \tilde{\rho}, \lambda + \tilde{\rho} \rangle\!\rangle - \langle\!\langle \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho} \rangle\!\rangle$. Then we easily recognize a representation $\sigma_{(i,j)}$ for which the value of $\sigma_{(i,j)}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}})$ coincides with one of the values of Ω_W in Table 5.1 is isomorphic to one of those representations in Table 5.2.

Among the irreducible representations in Table 5.2, $\sigma_{(0,0)}, \sigma_{(1,0)}$, and $\sigma_{(1,1)}$ are small (cf. Figure 5.1). Hence by Lemma 5.13, their 0-weight spaces have neither sgn nor $\tau \otimes$ sgn as a constituent. On the other hand, $\sigma_{(2,1)}$ is not small because it has the weight $2e_1$. But since the W-module $\bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{h}$ is isomorphic to sgn, the argument before Theorem 5.11 implies that there is an irreducible quasi-small submodule V of $\bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ such that sgn appears in $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\text{single}}$ as a constituent. From Lemma 5.13, Table 5.1, and Table 5.2, we conclude this V must be isomorphic to $\sigma_{(2,1)}$.

F 5.1. quasi-small representations for type (B_2)



$\sigma_{(2,1)}$ is an example of an irreducible representation of G which is quasi-small but not small. $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sgn}$ is an example of an irreducible representation of W which does not appear in $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}}$ as a constituent for any irreducible quasi-small representation (σ, V) of G.

Suppose $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. In the rest of this section, we apply Theorem 5.9 to the study of a new relation we shall establish between a basic $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$-module

$$A(\lambda) := S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}) / \sum_{f \in S(\mathfrak{h})^W} (f - f(\lambda)) S_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}(\mathfrak{h}) \tag{5.14}$$

and the Verma module

$$M(\lambda) := U(\mathfrak{g}) / \sum_{H \in \mathfrak{h}} U(\mathfrak{g})(H - (\lambda - \tilde{\rho})(H)) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$$

of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Hereafter we assume $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is dominant, that is, $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) \notin \{-1, -2, \ldots\}$ for any $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$. In this case, it is known that $A(\lambda) \simeq \tilde{\mathbf{H}} / \sum_{\xi \in \mathfrak{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\xi - \lambda(\xi))$ (cf. [Ch]).

Definition 5.15. We introduce the correspondence $\Xi_\lambda : \{\, \tilde{\mathbf{H}}\text{-submodule of } A(\lambda) \,\} \to \{\, U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-submodule of } M(\lambda) \,\}$ defined by

$$S \mapsto \sum \Big\{ VM(\lambda);\ V \subset U(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ is } \mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-stable and satisfies } \tilde{\gamma}(V)A(\lambda) \subset S \Big\},$$

and the correspondence $Y_\lambda : \{\, U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-submodule of } M(\lambda) \,\} \to \{\, \tilde{\mathbf{H}}\text{-submodule of } A(\lambda) \,\}$ defined by

$$T \mapsto \sum \Big\{ \tilde{\mathbf{H}}\, \tilde{\gamma}(V)A(\lambda);\ V \subset U(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ is } \mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-stable and satisfies } VM(\lambda) \subset T \Big\}.$$

Obviously they are well-defined and preserve any inclusion relation.

Proposition 5.16. *$\Xi_\lambda(A(\lambda)) = M(\lambda)$ and $\Xi_\lambda(0) = 0$. Let S be an arbitrary $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$-submodule of $A(\lambda)$. Then $Y_\lambda \circ \Xi_\lambda(S) \subset S$. Moreover, suppose S admits a W-stable subspace E with the following properties:* (a) *$S = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}E$;* (b) *as a W-module, each irreducible constituent of E belongs to $\widehat{W}_{\mathrm{single}}$, where $\widehat{W}_{\mathrm{single}}$ denotes the set of equivalence classes of those irreducible representations of W which appear in $V^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{single}}$ for some irreducible quasi-small representation (σ, V) of G. Then $Y_\lambda \circ \Xi_\lambda(S) = S$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\Xi_\lambda(A(\lambda)) = M(\lambda)$. To show $\Xi_\lambda(0) = 0$, let T_0 be the unique irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule of $M(\lambda)$. Then T_0 is isomorphic to $M(w_0\lambda)$ for some $w_0 \in W$. Fix a highest weight vector v_0 of T_0.

Suppose an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$-stable subspace V satisfies $\tilde{\gamma}(V)A(\lambda) = 0$. Then by the definition (5.14) of $A(\lambda)$, $\tilde{\gamma}(V) \subset \sum_{f \in S(\mathfrak{h})^W} S(\mathfrak{h})(f - f(\lambda)) = \sum_{f \in S(\mathfrak{h})^W} S(\mathfrak{h})(f - f(w_0\lambda))$. By using the

direct sum decomposition $U(\mathfrak{g}) = \overline{\mathfrak{n}}U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}} + \mathfrak{h}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$, we have $Vv_0 \subset (\tilde{\gamma}(V)(w_0\lambda) + \overline{\mathfrak{n}}U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}))v_0 = \overline{\mathfrak{n}}U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})v_0$ and hence $VT_0 = VU(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})v_0 = U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})Vv_0 \subset \overline{\mathfrak{n}}U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})v_0 \subsetneq T_0$. Since VT_0 is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule of T_0, we get $VT_0 = 0$. But from Duflo's theorem ([Duf]), $\operatorname{Ann} M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Ann} M(w_0\lambda) = \sum_{D \in U(\mathfrak{g})^G} U(\mathfrak{g})(D - \tilde{\gamma}(D)(\lambda))$. Hence we get $V \subset \operatorname{Ann} M(w_0\lambda) = \operatorname{Ann} M(\lambda)$, or equivalently $VM(\lambda) = 0$. It proves $\Xi_\lambda(0) = 0$.

Secondly, let S be an arbitrary $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$-submodule of $A(\lambda)$. Put

$$I := \sum \Big\{ V \subset U(\mathfrak{g});\ V \text{ is } \operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-stable and satisfies } \tilde{\gamma}(V)A(\lambda) \subset S \Big\}.$$

Then

$$\Xi_\lambda(S) = IM(\lambda).$$

We assert I is a two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{g}I$ is an $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$-stable subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and satisfies $\mathfrak{g}I \subset (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}I + I\mathfrak{n}) + \operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{n})I + \mathfrak{h}I \subset (\overline{\mathfrak{n}}I + I\mathfrak{n}) + I + \mathfrak{h}I$, from which we easily deduce $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathfrak{g}I) \subset \tilde{\gamma}(I) + \mathfrak{h}\,\tilde{\gamma}(I)$. Since $(\tilde{\gamma}(I) + \mathfrak{h}\,\tilde{\gamma}(I))A(\lambda) \subset S$, $\mathfrak{g}I \subset I$. Similarly we can show $I\mathfrak{g} \subset I$. Thus I is a two-sided ideal. Moreover, since $\tilde{\gamma}(\operatorname{Ann} M(\lambda)) = \sum_{f \in S(\mathfrak{h})^W} S(\mathfrak{h})(f - f(\lambda))$, $I \supset \operatorname{Ann} M(\lambda)$. Hence from [Jos, BG], we get $I = \operatorname{Ann}(M(\lambda)/IM(\lambda))$ and therefore

$$Y_\lambda(IM(\lambda)) = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}\,\tilde{\gamma}(\operatorname{Ann}(M(\lambda)/IM(\lambda)))A(\lambda) = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}\,\tilde{\gamma}(I)A(\lambda) \subset S. \tag{5.15}$$

Lastly, we assume the above S admits a W-stable subspace E which satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) in the proposition. Let $E_1 \subset E$ be an irreducible W-submodule. Then there exists a W-stable subspace $\tilde{E}_1$ in $S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{h})$ which is isomorphic to E_1 via the natural surjective W-homomorphism $S_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathfrak{h}) \to A(\lambda)$. By the condition (b), the equivalence class of $\tilde{E}_1$ belongs to $\widehat{W}_{\text{single}}$. Hence it follows from Theorem 5.9 (ii) that there exists an $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule V of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which is isomorphic to an irreducible quasi-small representation of G and satisfies $\tilde{\gamma}(V) = \tilde{E}_1$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}(V)A(\lambda) = S(\mathfrak{h})E_1 \subset S$, the above I satisfies $I \supset V$ and therefore $\tilde{\gamma}(I)A(\lambda) \supset E_1$. Hence by the condition (a), we can replace the last inclusion relation in (5.15) with '='. Thus we get $Y_\lambda \circ \Xi_\lambda(S) = S$. □

Corollary 5.17. *Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple Lie algebra of type (A). Then Ξ_λ is injective and it holds that $Y_\lambda \circ \Xi_\lambda(S) = S$ for any $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$-submodule S of $A(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Under the assumption that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type (A), all the equivalence classes of irreducible representations of W belong to $\widehat{W}_{\text{single}}$ ([Br]). □

Remark 5.18. If $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) \neq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$, then $A(\lambda)$ is irreducible (cf. [Ch]). Hence Ξ_λ is not necessarily surjective.

R

[BG] J. N. Bernstein and S. I. Gelfand, *Tensor products of finite and infinite dimensional representations of semisimple Lie algebras*, Comp. Math. **41** (1980), 245–285.

[Br] A. Broer, *The sum of generalized exponents and Chevalley's restriction theorem for modules of covariants*, Indag. Math. N. S. **6** (1995), no.4, 385–396.

[Ch] I. Cherednik, *Lectures on Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and Hecke algebras*, In: Quantum Many-Body Problems And Representation Theory, MSJ Mem. **1**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1998, 1–96.

[Da] J. Dadok, *On the C^∞ Chevalley's theorem*, Adv. in Math. **44** (1982), 121–131.

[Duf] M. Duflo, *Construction of primitive ideals in an enveloping algebra*, In: Lie Groups and Their Representations, edited by I. M. Gelfand, Summer School Conference, Budapest, 1971, Halsted press, New York (1975), 77–93.

[Dun1] C. F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), 167–183.

[Dun2] ———, *Hankel transforms associated to finite groups*, In: Hypergeometric Functions on Domains of Positivity, Jack Polynomials and Applications, edited by Donald St. P. Richards, Proceedings, Tampa 1991, Contemp. Math. **138** (1992), 123–138.

[HC] Harish-Chandra, *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group, I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 241–310.

[He1] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, American Mathematical Society, 2001, c1978.

[He2] ———, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, 2000, c1984.

[Joh] K. D. Johnson, *Generalized Hua operators and parabolic subgroups*, Ann. of Math. **120** (1984), Springer, 477–495.

[Jos] A. Joseph, *Dixmier's problem for Verma and principal series submodules*, J. London Math. Soc. **20** (1979), 193–204.

[Ko1] ———, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.

[Ko2] ———, *On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations*, Bull. A. M. S. **75** (1969), 627–642.

[Ko3] ———, *On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations*, In: Lie Groups and Their Representations, edited by I. M. Gelfand, Summer School Conference, Budapest, 1971, Halsted press, New York (1975), 231–329.

[Ko4] ———, *Clifford algebra analogue of the Hopf-Koszul-Samelson theorem, the ρ-decomposition $C(\mathfrak{g})$ = End $V_\rho \otimes C(P)$, and the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure of $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}$*, Adv. in Math. **135** (1997), 275–350.

[KR] B. Kostant and S. Rallis, *Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 753–809.

[Lu] G. Lusztig, *Cuspidal local systems and graded Hecke algebras, I*, Publ. Math. de IHES **67** (1988), 145–202.

[Od] H. Oda, *Generalization of Harish-Chandra isomorphism*, RIMS Kôkyûroku, Kyoto Univ. **1294** (2002), 141–151, in Japanese.

[PRV] K. R. Parthasarathy, R. Ranga Rao and V. S. Varadarajan, *Representations of complex semi-simple Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Ann. of Math. **85** (1967), 383–429.

[Re1] M. Reeder, *Exterior powers of the adjoint representation*, Can. J. Math. **49** (1997), 133–159.

[Re2] ———, *Zero weight spaces and the Springer correspondence*, Indag. Math. N. S. **9** (1998), 431–441.

[Re3] ———, *Small representations and minuscule Richardson orbits*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2002), 257–275.

[So] L. Solomon, *Invariants of finite reflection groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **22** (1963), 57–64.

F E , T U , 815-1, T , H - , T 193-0985, J
E-mail address: hoda@la.takushoku-u.ac.jp