arXiv:2402.16818v1 [math.CO] 26 Feb 2024

On the maximum number of r-cliques in graphs free of complete r-partite subgraphs

József Balogh* Suyun Jiang† Haoran Luo‡

Abstract

We estimate the maximum possible number of cliques of size r in an n-vertex graph free of a fixed complete r-partite graph $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$. By viewing every r-clique as a hyperedge, the upper bound on the Turán number of the complete r-partite hypergraphs gives the upper bound $O\left(n^{r-1}/\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i\right)$. We improve this to $o\left(n^{r-1}/\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i\right)$. The main tool in our proof is the graph removal lemma. We also provide several lower bound constructions.

1 Introduction

For a graph H, the *Turán number* $\mathrm{ex}(n, H)$ is the maximum number of edges an n-vertex H-free graph can have. To determine the Turán number of various graphs and hypergraphs is a central problem in Extremal Combinatorics and has received considerable attention.

Alon and Shikhelman [3] started the systematic study of the following generalized problem related to the Turán number. For graphs F and H, the *generalized Turán number* $\mathrm{ex}(n, F, H)$ is the maximum number of copies of F an n-vertex H-free graph can have. Hence, $\mathrm{ex}(n, H)$ is the case where F is a single edge. Denote by K_r the complete graph on r vertices. Among many other results, Alon and Shikhelman [3] proved that if $\chi(H)$, the chromatic number of H, is larger than r, then $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, H) = \Theta(n^r)$.

In this short note, we consider the case where $F = K_r$ and $H = K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$, the complete r-partite graph with parts of size $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r$. As remarked in [3], the problem of determining the order of $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{s,s,s})$ already seems hard; also, to determine $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,1,2})$ is related to the triangle removal lemma, where we have

$$n^2 \cdot \exp(-O(\sqrt{\log n})) \leqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,1,2}) \leqslant o(n^2)$$

by Behrend's construction [5] and the triangle removal lemma [15].

The previous results focus on the case where $r = 3$ and $s_1 = 1$. Mubayi and Mukherjee [14] proved that for $1 \leqslant a \leqslant b$,

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,a,b}) = o(n^{3-1/a}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(n^2) \leqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,2,2}) \leqslant o(n^{5/2}).$$

*University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana IL 61801, United States. Research is supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1764123 and RTG DMS-1937241, FRG DMS-2152488, the Arnold O. Beckman Research Award (UIUC Campus Research Board RB 24012). Email: jobal@illinois.edu.

†School of Artificial Intelligence, Jianghan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China, and Extremal Combinatorics and Probability Group (ECOPRO), Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, South Korea. Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11901246) and China Scholarship Council and IBS-R029-C4. Email: jiang.suyun@163.com.

‡University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana IL 61801, United States. Research is partially supported by Trjitzinski Fellowship. Email: haoranl8@illinois.edu.

The latter claim was also proved independently by Methuku, Grósz, and Tompkins (unpublished, see [14]) and the first author (see his lecture note [4]). Methuku (see Theorem 6.1 in [14]) further proved that if H is a graph with $\mathrm{ex}(n, H) = O(n^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, then $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, \hat{H}) = o(n^{1+\alpha})$, where $\hat{H}$ is the suspension of H, the graph obtained from H by adding a new vertex which is connected to all other vertices.

For every $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$-free graph G, we can construct an r-uniform hypergraph on the same vertex set which contains all copies of K_r in G as hyperedges. It is easy to check that this hypergraph is free of $\mathcal{K}^r_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$, the complete r-uniform r-partite hypergraph with parts of size $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r$. By the bound on the hypergraph Turán number (see [7]), we have

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}) \leqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, \mathcal{K}^{(r)}_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}) = O\left(n^{r-1/\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i}\right). \tag{1}$$

Our first result is that we can improve this upper bound as follows.

Theorem 1. *For every positive integer $r \geqslant 3$ and positive integers $s_1 \leqslant s_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant s_r$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}) = o\left(n^{r-1/\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i}\right).$$

For the lower bound, we have the following straightforward construction, which generalizes Proposition 3.1 in [14].

Proposition 2. *For every positive integer $r \geqslant 3$ and positive integers $s_1 \leqslant s_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant s_r$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}) \geqslant \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \mathrm{ex}\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil, K_{r-1}, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_{r-1}}\right).$$

For example, if $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_{s_1,s_2}) = \Omega(n^{2-1/s_1})$, then by iterating Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}) = \Omega\left(n^{r-1/s_1}\right).$$

By known bounds on the Turán numbers [9, 6, 11, 2] on bipartite graphs, we have

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{2,2,2}) = \Omega(n^{5/2}), \quad \mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{3,3,3}) = \Omega(n^{8/3}),$$

and when $s_3 \geqslant s_2 \geqslant (s_1 - 1)! + 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{s_1,s_2,s_3}) = \Omega\left(n^{3-1/s_1}\right). \tag{2}$$

It turns out that when s_r is much larger than the other s_i's, we have the following better lower bound.

Proposition 3. *For positive integers $s_1 \leqslant s_2 \leqslant s_3$, where $s_3 > (s_1 + s_2 - 1)!$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{s_1,s_2,s_3}) \geqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{s_1+s_2,s_3}) = \Omega\left(n^{3-3/(s_1+s_2)}\right).$$

In general, for positive integers $s_1 \leqslant s_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant s_r$, where $s_r > \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i - 1\right)!$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i \geqslant 2r-2$, we have

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}) \geqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i, s_r}) = \Omega\left(n^{r-\binom{r}{2}/\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i}\right).$$

For example, when $s_3 \gg s_2 \geqslant 2s_1$, Proposition 3 gives a better bound than the one in (2).

2 Proofs

2.1 Upper bound

The previous methods in [14] seem not to work for the cases where $r > 3$ or $s_1 > 1$ without new ideas, as in these cases it is not enough to only consider the degree or neighborhood of each vertex. Here, we take an alternative approach by considering the number of copies of a sequence of graphs, where every graph is obtained by taking the blow-up of the previous one and the last graph is the $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$ that we forbid.

One of our main tools for Theorem 1 is the graph removal lemma, see [15, 8] and also [1, 10].

Lemma 4. *For a graph H, every graph on n vertices with $o(n^{|V(H)|})$ copies of H can be made H-free by removing $o(n^2)$ edges.*

We make use of the following lemma about the number of the blow-ups of a given graph. We find it more convenient to talk about the ordered copies of a graph. Note that if the number of copies and ordered copies of H in G are N and M, respectively, then trivially we have

$$N \leqslant M \leqslant |V(H)|! \cdot N.$$

For integers $a, b \geqslant 0$, we let $(a)_b := \prod_{i=0}^{b-1}(a-i)$.

Lemma 5. *Let F be a k-vertex graph, v be a vertex in $V(F)$ and H be the graph obtained from F by adding $t-1$ new copies of v. For every n-vertex graph G, if the number of ordered copies of F in G is $x \cdot n^{k-1}$, where $x \geqslant 4t$, then the number of ordered copies of H in G is at least $\frac{1}{2^t} \cdot x^t \cdot n^{k-1}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V(F) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ and $v = v_k$. Let $V^{k-1}(G)$ be the set of (ordered) sequences of $k-1$ distinct vertices in G. For $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}) \in V^{k-1}(G)$, let $M_{\mathbf{u}}$ be the number of ordered copies of F in G containing $u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}$, in which u_i acts as v_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$. Let A be the collection of $\mathbf{u} \in V^{k-1}(G)$ with $M_{\mathbf{u}} \geqslant t$. Now, the number of ordered copies of H in G is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in V^{k-1}(G)} (M_{\mathbf{u}})_t &\geqslant \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A} (M_{\mathbf{u}} - t + 1)^t \geqslant \frac{1}{|A|^{t-1}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A} (M_{\mathbf{u}} - t + 1) \right)^t \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{n^{(k-1)(t-1)}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A} (M_{\mathbf{u}} - t + 1) \right)^t \geqslant \frac{1}{n^{(k-1)(t-1)}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in V^{k-1}(G)} M_{\mathbf{u}} - 2tn^{k-1} \right)^t \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{n^{(k-1)(t-1)}} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{2} \cdot n^{k-1} \right)^t = \frac{1}{2^t} \cdot x^t \cdot n^{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be an n-vertex $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$-free graph with $N := \mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r})$ copies of K_r. For $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let M_i be the number of ordered copies of the graph $H_i := K_{s_1,\ldots,s_i,1,\ldots,1}$, the complete r-partite graph with i parts of size $s_1, \ldots, s_i$ respectively and $r-i$ parts of size 1, in G. Note that H_r is just $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$ and H_i can be obtained from H_{i-1} by adding $s_i - 1$ new copies of the vertex in a part of size 1. By assumption, we have $M_r = 0$, hence by Lemma 5, $M_{r-1} = O\left(n^{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j}\right)$.

Claim 6. *For $i = 1, \ldots, r-2$, we have*

$$M_i = O\left(n^{r-i-1/\prod_{j=i+1}^{r-1} s_j + \sum_{k=1}^{i} s_k}\right).$$

Proof. For each $i = 1, \ldots, r-2$, by applying Lemma 5 with $(F, H, k, t) = (H_i, H_{i+1}, |V(H_i)|, s_{i+1})$, we have that if $M_{i+1} = O(x^{s_{i+1}} n^{|V(H_i)|-1})$, then $M_i = O(xn^{|V(H_i)|-1})$. Noting that $M_{r-1} = O\left(n^{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j}\right)$ and $|V(H_{i+1})| = |V(H_i)| + s_{i+1} - 1$, we have

$$M_i = O\left(n^{|V(H_i)|-1/\prod_{j=i+1}^{r-1} s_j}\right) = O\left(n^{r-i-1/\prod_{j=i+1}^{r-1} s_j + \sum_{k=1}^{i} s_k}\right). \qquad \square$$

By (1), we have $N = o(n^r)$, so by Lemma 4, there is $S \subseteq E(G)$ with $|S| = o(n^2)$ such that removing S makes G free of K_r. Let $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_a$ be the copies of K_{r-1} containing any edge in S, where $a \leqslant |S| \cdot n^{r-1-2} = o(n^{r-1})$. For $i = 1, \ldots, a$, let f_i be the number of copies of K_r that F_i is in. Let B be $\{i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant a,\ f_i \geqslant s_1\}$. Note that every copy of K_r in G contains at least one edge in S, and hence $\sum_{i=1}^{a} f_i \geqslant N$. We may also assume that $N \geqslant 4s_1 a$, since otherwise $N = o(n^{r-1})$ and we are done. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} O\left(n^{r-1-1/\prod_{j=2}^{r-1} s_j + s_1}\right) = M_1 &\geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{a} (f_i)_{s_1} \geqslant \sum_{i \in B} (f_i - s_1 + 1)^{s_1} \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{|B|^{s_1-1}} \left(\sum_{i \in B} (f_i - s_1 + 1)\right)^{s_1} \geqslant \frac{1}{a^{s_1-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{a} f_i - 2s_1 a\right)^{s_1} \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{a^{s_1-1}} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{a} f_i}{2}\right)^{s_1} \geqslant \frac{1}{2^{s_1} a^{s_1-1}} N^{s_1}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} N^{s_1} \leqslant 2^{s_1} a^{s_1-1} \cdot O\left(n^{r-1-1/\prod_{j=2}^{r-1} s_j + s_1}\right) &= o\left(n^{(r-1)(s_1-1)}\right) \cdot O\left(n^{r-1-1/\prod_{j=2}^{r-1} s_j + s_1}\right) \\ &= o\left(n^{rs_1 - 1/\prod_{j=2}^{r-1} s_j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$N = o\left(n^{r-1/\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} s_j}\right). \qquad \square$$

2.2 Lower bounds

Proof of Proposition 2. Let G be a graph where $V(G)$ is partitioned into (S_1, S_2) such that $G[S_1]$ is an $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$-vertex $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_{r-1}}$-free graph with $\mathrm{ex}\left(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, K_{r-1}, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_{r-1}}\right)$ copies of K_{r-1}, $G[S_1, S_2]$ is complete, and S_2 is an independent set. Then, every copy of K_{r-1} in S_1 and every vertex in S_2 form a copy of K_r, so G has at least $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \cdot \mathrm{ex}\left(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, K_{r-1}, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_{r-1}}\right)$ copies of K_r.

Now we need to prove that G is $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$-free. Suppose that H is a subgraph of G such that $H \cong K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$. Since $G[S_1]$ is $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_{r-1}}$-free and $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_{r-1}} \subset K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$, we have $V(H) \cap S_2 \neq \varnothing$. Assume that $v \in V(H) \cap S_2$. Then, by our assumption that $s_1 \leqslant s_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant s_r$ and S_2 is an independent set, we have $G[N(v)] \subseteq G[S_1]$ contains a copy of $K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_{r-1}}$, a contradiction. $\square$

For Proposition 3, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 7 (Lemma 4.4 in [3]). *For integers $s \geqslant 2$ and $t \geqslant (s-1)! + 1$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{s,t}) = \Theta\left(n^{3-3/s}\right).$$

Lemma 8 (Theorem 1.3 in [3]). *For integers m and $t \geqslant s$ satisfying $s \geqslant 2m-2$ and $t \geqslant (s-1)!+1$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_m, K_{s,t}) = \Theta\left(n^{m-\binom{m}{2}/s}\right).$$

Proof of Proposition 3. For the K_3 case, note that $K_{s_1+s_2,s_3} \subseteq K_{s_1,s_2,s_3}$, so the first inequality follows from definition. By Lemma 7, the second inequality holds.

The general case follows from the observation $K_{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} s_i, s_r} \subseteq K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}$ and Lemma 8. □

3 Concluding remarks

The bounds in Theorem 1 can also be applied to get some bounds for $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, H)$ where $\chi(H) < r$. For example, noting that in a $K_{1,2,2}$-free graph, every copy of K_3 can be in at most one copy of K_4, we have $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_4, K_{1,2,2}) \leqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,2,2}) = o(n^{5/2})$. In general, we have

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_{r+1}, K_{1,\ldots,1,2,2}) \leqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{1,\ldots,1,2,2}) \leqslant o(n^{r-1/2}).$$

As mentioned in Section 1, the problem of determining the correct order of $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r})$ seems to be hard in general. In particular, if the upper bound given in Theorem 1 is closer to the truth, which we believe, then a better construction than the one in Proposition 2 is needed. However, as $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r}) \leqslant \mathrm{ex}(n, \mathcal{K}^{(r)}_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r})$, this will require us to improve the lower bound for the Turán numbers of complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs, which is a notoriously difficult problem. There are several cases where the orders of $\mathrm{ex}(n, \mathcal{K}^{(r)}_{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_r})$ are determined (see [13] and [12]), so it may be easier to improve the lower bounds in these cases. We conclude this note with the following problem, which is also emphasized in [14].

Problem 9. Is it true that

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,2,2}) \geqslant n^{5/2-o(1)} \ ?$$

Acknowledgment

This work was partially done when the first and third authors visited the Institute for Basic Science (IBS). We are very grateful for the kind hospitality of IBS and other visitors who participated in fruitful discussions at the beginning of this project.

References

[1] N. Alon, R. A. Duke, H. Lefmann, V. Rödl, and R. Yuster. The algorithmic aspects of the regularity lemma. *J. Algorithms*, 16(1):80–109, 1994.

[2] N. Alon, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Norm-graphs: variations and applications. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 76(2):280–290, 1999.

[3] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman. Many T copies in H-free graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 121:146–172, 2016.

[4] J. Balogh. Lecture note: Number of triangles in $K_{1,2,2}$-free graphs. `https://drive.google.com/file/d/1uO1gbwUQO3kUZ33BbQ-zmZJ8Il6OloHU/view`, 2023.

[5] F. A. Behrend. On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 32:331–332, 1946.

[6] W. G. Brown. On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 9:281–285, 1966.

[7] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel J. Math.*, 2:183–190, 1964.

[8] P. Erdős, P. Frankl, and V. Rödl. The asymptotic number of graphs not containing a fixed subgraph and a problem for hypergraphs having no exponent. *Graphs Combin.*, 2(2):113–121, 1986.

[9] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós. On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 1:215–235, 1966.

[10] Z. Füredi. Extremal hypergraphs and combinatorial geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994)*, pages 1343–1352. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[11] J. Kollár, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Norm-graphs and bipartite Turán numbers. *Combinatorica*, 16(3):399–406, 1996.

[12] J. Ma, X. Yuan, and M. Zhang. Some extremal results on complete degenerate hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 154:598–609, 2018.

[13] D. Mubayi. Some exact results and new asymptotics for hypergraph Turán numbers. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 11(3):299–309, 2002.

[14] D. Mubayi and S. Mukherjee. Triangles in graphs without bipartite suspensions. *Discrete Math.*, 346(6):Paper No. 113355, 19, 2023.

[15] I. Z. Ruzsa and E. Szemerédi. Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. In *Combinatorics (Proc. Fifth Hungarian Colloq., Keszthely, 1976), Vol. II*, volume 18 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 939–945. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1978.