arXiv:2401.04969v7 [math.AP] 3 Jun 2024

POINTWISE ESTIMATES FOR THE FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTIONS OF HIGHER ORDER SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS IN ODD DIMENSIONS

HAN CHENG, SHANLIN HUANG, TIANXIAO HUANG, QUAN ZHENG

Abstract. In this paper, for any odd n and any integer $m \geq 1$, we study the fundamental solution of the higher order Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\partial_t u(x,t) = ((-\Delta)^m + V(x))u(x,t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where V is a real-valued potential with certain decay, smoothness, and spectral properties. Let $P_{ac}(H)$ denote the projection onto the absolutely continuous spectrum space of $H = (-\Delta)^m + V$. Our main result says that $e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)$ has integral kernel $K(t,x,y)$ satisfying

$$|K(t,x,y)| \leq C(1+|t|)^{-h}(1+|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}})\left(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \quad t \neq 0,\ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where the constants $C, h > 0$, and h can be specified by m, n and the spectral property of H. A similar result for smoothing operators like $H^{\frac{n}{2m}}e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)$ is also given.

Contents

1. Introduction and main results 2
1.1. Background and Motivation 2
1.2. Main results 3
1.3. Plan of the paper 5
1.4. Notations 9
2. Resolvent expansions around zero energy 9
2.1. Notes on the free case 9
2.2. The perturbed case 13
3. Estimates for oscillatory singular integrals 22
3.1. Integrals with point and line singularities 22
3.2. An integration by parts regime 23
3.3. Reduction of line singularities 37
3.4. Estimates for integrals with point and reduced line singularities 43

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A08, 81Q10.
Key words and phrases. Fundamental solution, higher order Schrödinger equation.

4. Estimates for the fundamental solution 54
4.1. Several Lemmas for the low energy part 54
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3(low energy part) 60
4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3(high energy part) 74
4.4. A result for the smoothing operators 87
Appendix A. The proof of Proposition 3.2 89
Appendix B. The proofs of Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4 97
B.1. Proof of Lemma 4.1 97
B.2. Proof of Lemma 4.2 99
B.3. Proof of Lemma 4.4 100
Acknowledgements 101
References 101

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. **Background and Motivation.**

In this paper, we study (pointwise) estimate for the fundamental solution of higher order Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\partial_t u(x,t) = ((-\Delta)^m + V(x))u(x,t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where m is any positive integer, and V is a real-valued decaying potential in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The fundamental solution is a basic tool in partial differential equations and it plays a central role in developing potential and regularity theory for solutions of related PDEs. We refer to [22] for differential operators with constant coefficients, and [15, 21, 31, 40] for parabolic type equations. We mention in particular the recent paper [33] where fundamental solution is used in the regularity problem of poly-harmonic boundary value problems and [3] for applications to the theory of layer potentials.

In the second order case ($m = 1$), it is known that the fundamental solution of the free Schrödinger equation is given by

$$e^{\mathrm{i}t\Delta}(x,y) := (4\pi\mathrm{i}t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\frac{\mathrm{i}|x-y|^2}{4t}}, \qquad t \neq 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

from which, we see that pointwise estimates for the fundamental solution is equivalent to the dispersive estimate (i.e., $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimate) for the Schrödinger propagator $e^{\mathrm{i}t\Delta}$. When $V \neq 0$, the dispersive estimate for $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}$ ($H = -\Delta + V(x)$) has evoked considerable interest in the past three decades, and we refer to [28, 36, 42] for pioneering works on this theme as well as the survey papers [38, 39]. We mention that a more fundamental aspect in

the study of Schrödinger equations is to formulate path integrals for the solutions (see [1, 16, 17, 32, 40] and references therein). This has been considered as a powerful tool in theoretical and applied physics, and there are still open challenges in its mathematical theory.

In the higher order case ($m > 1$), one of the differences that calls our attention is the spatial decay of the fundamental solution of (1.1) with $V = 0$ (see [35]):

$$|e^{-\mathrm{i}t(-\Delta)^m}(x,y)| \le C|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}\left(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \qquad t\neq 0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.2}$$

(1.2) clearly implies the dispersive estimate, but the converse is not true. We refer to [24, 35, 44] for fundamental solution estimates of $e^{itP(D)}$ with general elliptic operator $P(D)$. In the past few decades, attention to higher order Schrödinger equations has been paid in many problems such as scattering [2, 22, 43], local smoothing [5, 30], L^p properties of semigroup [6, 23, 37, 44], and most recently, dispersive estimates [8, 11, 34, 41] and L^p boundedness of the wave operators [7, 12].

To our best knowledge, pointwise estimate of the fundamental solution for higher order Schrödinger equations with potentials has not been touched upon. We aim at establishing estimates for the fundamental solution of (1.1) in all odd dimensions $n \ge 1$ and for all integers $m \ge 1$. For technical reasons, the even dimensional case shall be considered in another paper.

1.2. **Main results.**

Recall that zero is an eigenvalue of H if there exists some nonzero $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that

$$((-\Delta)^m + V)\phi = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

in distributional sense. In general, there may exist nontrivial resonant solutions of (1.3) in weighted L^2 space, $L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f,\ (1+|\cdot|)^s f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$, which can affect the time decay of $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}$. This leads to the definition of resonance. Throughout the paper, we use the notation

$$W_s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{\sigma<s} L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{1.4}$$

we also denote

$$m_n = \max\left\{0,\ \min\{m, 2m-\tfrac{n-1}{2}\}\right\} = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } 1\le n\le 2m-1,\\ 2m-\frac{n-1}{2}, & \text{if } 2m+1\le n\le 4m-1,\\ 0, & \text{if } n\ge 4m+1, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\tilde{m}_n = \max\left\{m-\tfrac{n-1}{2},\ 0\right\} = \begin{cases} m-\frac{n-1}{2}, & \text{if } 1\le n\le 2m-1,\\ 0, & \text{if } n\ge 2m+1. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Using the above notations, we introduce the following

Definition 1.1.

(i) *We say zero is a resonance of the* $\mathbf{k}$*-th kind* ($1 \le \mathbf{k} \le m_n$)*, if* (1.3) *has a non-trivial solution in* $W_{-\frac{1}{2}-m_n+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, but has no non-trivial solution in* $W_{\frac{1}{2}-m_n+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) *We say zero is a resonance of the* (m_n+1)*-th kind, if* 0 *is an eigenvalue of* H.

(iii) *We say zero is a resonance of the* 0*-th kind, if* (*i*) *and* (*ii*) *are not satisfied. In this case, zero is also called regular.*

When $n > 4m$, one checks that $m_n = 0$, meaning (*i*) is void, and this is consistent with the fact that (1.3) has no non-trivial solutions in $W_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus L^2$ (see [14, Remark 2.13]).

Throughout the paper, we fix $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le m_n + 1$ and make the following assumption.

Assumption 1.2. *Let* $V \in L^\infty$ *be real valued and* $H = (-\Delta)^m + V$*. For fixed* $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le m_n + 1$*, we assume that*

(i) H *has no positive embedded eigenvalue, and zero is a resonance of the* $\mathbf{k}$*-th kind.*

(ii) $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-\beta-}$*, where*

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \max\{4m-n,\, n\} + 4\mathbf{k} + 4, & \text{if } 1 \le n \le 4m-1, \\ n+2, & \text{if } n \ge 4m+1. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

(iii) *When* $n \ge 4m+1$*, further assume* $V \in C^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}$ *and*

$$|\partial^\alpha V(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-(\frac{3n+1}{2}-2m)-}, \quad 0 \le |\alpha| \le \tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m.$$

The main results of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $n \ge 1$ *be odd,* $m \ge 1$ *be an integer,* $H = (-\Delta)^m + V$ *for some potential* V *satisfying Assumption 1.2 and* $P_{ac}(H)$ *be the projection onto the absolutely continuous spectrum space of* H*. Then* $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)$ *has integral kernel* $K(t,x,y)$ *satisfying*

$$|K(t,x,y)| \le C(1+|t|)^{-h(m,n,\mathbf{k})}(1+|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}})\Big(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\Big)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \quad t \ne 0,\ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$h(m,n,\mathbf{k}) := \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2m}, & \text{if } 0 \le \mathbf{k} \le \tilde{m}_n, \\ \frac{2m_n+1-2\mathbf{k}}{2m}, & \text{if } \tilde{m}_n < \mathbf{k} \le m_n, \\ \frac{\max\{1,n-4m\}}{2m}, & \text{if } \mathbf{k} = m_n+1. \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

In particular, when $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le \tilde{m}_n$*, the integral kernel has the same estimate as* (1.2)*.*

We make the following remarks related to Theorem 1.3.

The basic idea of obtaining the bound in (t,x,y) is to introduce various space-time decompositions for oscillatory integrals encoded in the spectral representation of different parts of the kernel $e^{\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)(x,y)$. In particular, the techniques in applying such idea are different at low and high energies. In the low energy part, we need to first extract

appropriate oscillating factors from the spectral measure of H near zero energy, and then estimate the kernel in different space-time regions, while in the high energy part, we use space-time decompositions in the first place, and then reduce the problem to the study of certain singular oscillatory integrals.

It is obvious that the pointwise estimate (1.8) immediately implies a $L^1 - L^\infty$ bound for $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)$. However, it seems that in the existing research before our current work, even the dispersive estimate for higher order Schrödinger equations has not been investigated when $1 \le n \le 2m-1$ and $m > 2$, where one of the main difficulties is to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the perturbed resolvent near zero energy. We prove such expansion by introducing suitable orthogonal subspaces, and simplify the problem to the study of the inverse of a finite dimensional operator matrix, and in particular, the operator matrix has some specific global structure that allows us to handle in a way unified for all m and all odd n.

In dimensions $n > 4m-1$, it turns out that certain regularity of V is needed to prove (1.8) (see (iii) of Assumption 1.2). Indeed, this is even necessary for the usual $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimate of Schrödinger operators ($m = 1$), because Goldberg and Visan [18] proved that the dispersive bound $|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ may fail when $n > 3$ for potentials that belong to $C^{\frac{n-3}{2}-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, while in the positive direction, Erdoğan and Green [9] proved the dispersive bound $|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ in dimensions $n = 5, 7$, assuming $V \in C^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We assume in the general case that $V \in C^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}$ when $n > 4m-1$, and our result in the high energy part is new even for Schrödinger operators when $n > 7$. The main difficulty lies in the treatment of a type of singular oscillatory integrals (see (1.11)) arising from the free resolvent, where massive singularities at points and at line segments show up after some delicate integration by parts arguments. The key is to balance these singularities and the cost of smoothness of the potential.

As applications of Theorem 1.3, the dispersive estimate, as well as the Strichartz estimate, follows immediately from (1.8). Furthermore, we also have $L^p - L^q$ estimates for certain range of (p, q) (see [24, Theorem 3.4]). The proof of Theorem 1.3 also gives a parallel result for some smoothing operators. For example if $\alpha \in [0, n(m-1)]$, with a minor change of the decay assumption on V, the kernel $K_\alpha(t, x, y)$ of $H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}}e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)$ in odd dimensions satisfies

$$|K_\alpha(t,x,y)| \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-h(m,n,\mathbf{k})-\frac{\alpha}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2m}})\Big(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\Big)^{-\frac{n(m-1)-\alpha}{2m-1}}, \quad t \neq 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and the explicit result is given in Proposition 4.13.

1.3. **Plan of the paper.**

We outline the strategy for proving Theorem 1.3. Our starting point is the Stone's formula

$$\langle e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)f,\ g\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\langle (R^+(\lambda) - R^-(\lambda))f, g\rangle \mathrm{d}\lambda, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{1.10}$$

where $R^{\pm}(\lambda) := (H - \lambda \mp \mathrm{i}0)^{-1}$. We divide the proof into low and high energy parts. Chapter 2 and 3 are preparations for the low and high energy parts respectively, while Chapter 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. We next briefly overview the main points covered in each chapter.

The goal of Chapter 2 is to obtain asymptotic expansions of $R^{\pm}(\lambda)$ near zero energy, which is a major step towards to the expression for the kernel of $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)$ in the low energy part.

In Section 2.1, we first prove some expansions for the kernel of the free resolvents. In Proposition 2.1, we prove the result of $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m}) := ((-\Delta)^m - \lambda^{2m} \mp \mathrm{i}0)^{-1}$ for $\lambda > 0$, and Lemma 2.3 provides a relevant formula for the kernel of $R_0(-1)$ in dimensions $1 \leq n \leq 4m - 1$.

In Section 2.2, we study the perturbed case. By the symmetric resolvent identity, the crux of the problem lies in the asymptotic expansions of $(M^{\pm}(\lambda))^{-1}$ for small $\lambda > 0$, where

$$M^{\pm}(\lambda) = U + vR_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})v, \quad v(x) = |V(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ U = \operatorname{sgn} V.$$

We first introduce the concepts of zero energy resonances (Definition 1.1) and the assumptions on V (Assumption 1.2). Then we provide characterization of resonances (Proposition 2.4) by introducing suitable orthogonal subspaces $S_j L^2$ (see (2.19)). The orthogonal properties of S_j are presented in Proposition 2.5. The main result of this section is Theorem 2.7, where the asymptotic expansions $(M^{\pm}(\lambda))^{-1}$ are proved.

We prove Theorem 2.7 for all m and n in a unified way by studying the operator matrices of $M^{\pm}(\lambda)$ according to a direct sum decomposition of L^2. We first decompose L^2 into the direct sum of an infinite dimensional subspace and a finite dimensional one. In our case, the choice of the infinite dimensional subspace is similar to the one in [25], and the inverse of $M^{\pm}(\lambda)$ in this part is immediately obtained. However, the situation is much more complicated for the finite dimensional part of $M^{\pm}(\lambda)$ in dimensions $1 \leq n \leq 4m - 1$, and there are three major steps in the treatment: based on the relation between $R^{\pm}(1)$ and $R^{\pm}(-1)$ (see Lemma 2.3), we are allowed to first convert the finite dimensional part of $M^{\pm}(\lambda)$ to operator matrices with the same invertibility; then we prove that the complementary parts in the diagonals of the these matrices are strictly positive or negative by congruent Gram matrices (see Lemma 2.6); finally, we finish the argument by applying the abstract Feshbach formula ([27, Lemma 2.3]). We also mention that when $n \geq 4m + 1$ or when $1 \leq n \leq 3$, $m = 2$, the expansions of $(M^{\pm}(\lambda))^{-1}$ are proved in [11, 14, 34, 41] by an iteration scheme (see [27, Lemma 2.1]).

Chapter 3 aims at the study a type of singular oscillatory integrals, roughly speaking in the form of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda(|x-x_1|+|x_1-x_2|+\cdots+|x_k-y|)}V(x_1)\cdots V(x_k)}{|x-x_1|^{n-2-l_0}|x_1-x_2|^{n-2-l_1}\cdots|x_k-y|^{n-2-l_k}}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k, \ 0 \leq l_i \leq \tfrac{n-3}{2}, \tag{1.11}$$

related to (4.84) in the high energy part in dimensions $n > 4m - 1$, where we need to assume the regularity $V \in C^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}$ (see Assumption 1.2). The idea of dealing with

such integrals originates in the work [9] of Erdoğan and Green for $m = 1$ and $n = 5, 7$. However, the general case that we tackle is quite complicated, and a somewhat sophisticated mechanism of inductions shall be needed to treat the problem in a unified way for all odd dimensions $n > 4m - 1$.

In Section 3.1, we prepare some estimates for integrals with point singularities (Lemma 3.1), with two extra nearby line singularities (Proposition 3.2). These results slightly generalize the indices in the relevant results in [9], but we leave the proof in Appendix A for a better exposition.

In Section 3.2, we build up an integration by parts regime for (1.11), and the main result is Proposition 3.3. One of the main difficulties for treating this type of integral is due to the degenerate phase function, which introduces both point and line singularities relevant to each other after integration by parts, and the pattern of these singularities seems to be sensitive to how we perform the integration by parts. We inherit from [9] the idea of "deleting variables" to prevent accumulation of point singularities, and the difference here is that, massive notations on indices are introduced to trace as much as possible the pattern of both point and line singularities from a constrained process of integration by parts, so that enough information for the estimates of relevant integrals afterwards is properly collected. Another aspect of this regime is that, the way we perform integration by parts seems to require minimal regularity of the potential V by the counterexamples in [7] for the failure of some truncated dispersive estimate.

In Section 3.3, we deal with another difficulty resulting from the previous regime, where the line singularities appearing in a clustered way need to be reduced to a situation that the estimates of singular integrals with at most two nearby line singularities established in Section 3.1 are applicable. We introduce the concept of admissibility (Definition 3.12) to check that our specific regime of integration by parts neither generate too much accumulating line singularities. The clustered line singularities are estimated in two forms in Proposition 3.16 by more scattered ones.

In Section 3.4, we apply the singular integral estimates in Section 3.1 and the reduced line singularity estimates in Section 3.3 to estimate integrals that are more relevant to the study at high energies, and the main result is Proposition 3.21.

In Chapter 4, we mainly prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 4.1, some lemmas are provided for the low energy part. In Section 4.2 and Section 4.3, we prove for low and high energy parts of Theorem 1.3 respectively. In Section 4.4, through a parallel argument as in Section 4.2 and 4.3 with a minor modification, we give a result (see Proposition 4.13) for smoothing operators.

In Section 4.1, Lemma 4.3 gives an integral representation as well as some properties of $\left(Q_j v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot)\right)(y)$ $(1 \le n \le 4m-1)$, in which we separate out appropriate oscillating factors. Lemma 4.5 gives a similar result for $\left(v(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})V)^l(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot))\right)(y)$ with some positive integer l $(n \ge 4m+1)$.

In Section 4.2, (1.10) and a finite Born series expansion of $R^{\pm}(\lambda)$ give

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)\chi(H) = \sum_{j=0}^{2N}\Omega_j^{low} + \left(\Omega_r^{-,low} - \Omega_r^{+,low}\right),$$

where N is a nonnegative integer, and the main effort is made for estimating the kernel $\Omega_r^{\pm,low}(t,x,y)$ of $\Omega_r^{\pm,low}$. A key ingredient is to use Theorem 2.7, together with Lemma 4.3 or Lemma 4.5 to write $\Omega_r^{\pm,low}(t,x,y)$ in the the following form

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}+\mathrm{i}\lambda\left(s_1^p|y|+s_2^q|x|\right)}T^{\pm}(\lambda,x,y,s_1,s_2)\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2,$$

where $p,q\in\{0,1\}$, and the properties of $T^{\pm}(\lambda,x,y,s_1,s_2)$ rely on the specific type of zero energy resonance. In order to obtain pointwise estimates in (t,x,y) for the above oscillatory integral, we consider space-time decompositions $t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|)\le 1$ and $t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|)\ge 1$ separately. Whether or not $t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(s_1^p|y|+s_2^q|x|)\le 1$ is further discussed in order to apply Lemma 4.6.

Another key ingredient to obtain sharp pointwise estimates is to take advantage of the cancellation of $\Omega_r^{+,low}-\Omega_r^{-,low}$ in some cases, which yields better properties in λ of T^+-T^-, and then better decay of the above oscillatory integral. The reason behind this improvement lies in the cancellation of the expansion of $(M^+(\lambda))^{-1}-(M^-(\lambda))^{-1}$, which is in turn determined by the cancellation of the expansion of $R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})-R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})$, and the spectral property of H at zero.

In Section 4.3, similar to the low energy part, we first decompose the high energy part $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\tilde{\chi}(H)P_{ac}(H)$, by a slightly different resolvent identity of $R^{\pm}(\lambda)$, into

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)\tilde{\chi}(H) = \sum_{k=0}^{2K-1}\Omega_k^{high} + \Omega_{K,r}^{+,high} - \Omega_{K,r}^{-,high}.$$

The main difference in the high energy part compared with the low energy part is that, the idea of space-time decomposition is embedded in the oscillatory integral that represents $\Omega_k^{high}(t,x,y)$ which reads

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{k}R_0^+(\lambda)(x_i-x_{i+1})-\prod_{i=0}^{k}R_0^-(\lambda)(x_i-x_{i+1})\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k}V(x_i)dx_1\cdots dx_k\right)d\lambda.$$

where $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda)(x_i-x_{i+1})$ are the kernels of $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda)$. We will decompose this integral into $\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y)$ and $\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y)$ by the space-time regions $\{X\le\delta T\}$ and $\{X\ge\delta T\}$ respectively for some small $\delta>0$, where $X=|x-x_1|+|x_1-x_2|+\cdots+|x_k-y|$, $T=|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}+|t|$. Such decomposition combined with the cancellation of $R_0^+-R_0^-$ allows us to first obtain fast decay for $\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y)$. The estimate for $\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y)$ will use the results established in Chapter 3 when $n\ge 4m+1$ which in particular requires certain smoothness of V. The estimates for $\Omega_{K,r}^{\pm,high}(t,x,y)$ are however not hard to prove if K is chosen sufficiently large, and the main reason is that $\Omega_{K,r}^{\pm,high}$ is an integral of a composition (see

(4.65)) that has $2K$ many free resolvents $R_0(\lambda)$ which supply sufficient decay in λ when K is large.

1.4. **Notations.**

We first setup some common notations and conventions. Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{N}_+ = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, and $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$, $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$. $[l]$ denotes the greatest integer at most l. $A \lesssim B$ means $A \leq CB$, where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant whose dependence will be specified whenever necessary, and the value of C may vary from line to line. $a-$ (resp. $a+$) means $a - \epsilon$ (resp. $a + \epsilon$) for some $\epsilon > 0$.

In this paper, the following notations will be frequently used.

- $R_0(z)(x)$ (see (2.1)), $I^{\pm}$ (see (2.2));
- $M^{\pm}(\lambda)$ (see (2.15));
- $W_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see (1.4));
- m_n (see (1.5)), $\tilde{m}_n$ (see (1.6)), $J_{\mathbf{k}}$ (see (2.17)), $J'_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $J''_{\mathbf{k}}$ (see (2.25));
- S_j (see (2.19)), Q_j (see (2.20));
- $S^b_K(\Omega)$ (see (2.26), also see (4.68)), $\mathfrak{S}^b_K(\Omega)$ (see (2.27)), $S^b_K(\Omega, \|\cdot\|_{L^2})$ (see (4.4));
- E_{xyyz}, $E_{w'wxy}$ (See (3.1)), $E_{i,j}$ (see (3.7)), $\|F\|$ (see (3.8));
- L_i (see (3.3));
- $N(A, i)$, $L(A, i)$ (see (3.4)), D_iA (see (3.5)), D_IA (see (3.6));
- $\mu_{b,d}$ (see (4.69)).

2. Resolvent expansions around zero energy

2.1. **Notes on the free case.**

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, we set $R_0(z) = ((-\Delta)^m - z)^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_0(z) = (-\Delta - z)^{-1}$. It is known that $R_0(z)$ has the following expression (see [12])

$$R_0(z) = \frac{1}{mz^{1-\frac{1}{m}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi k}{m}} \mathfrak{R}_0(e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi k}{m}} z^{\frac{1}{m}}),$$

and therefore,

$$R_0(z)(x) = \frac{1}{mz^{1-\frac{1}{m}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi k}{m}} \mathfrak{R}_0(e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi k}{m}} z^{\frac{1}{m}})(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $R_0(z)(x-y)$ (resp. $\Re_0(z)(x-y)$) is the kernel of $R_0(z)$ (resp. $\Re_0(z)$) and

$$\Re_0(z)(x) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left(\frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi|x|}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(z^{\frac{1}{2}}|x|).$$

Here $\operatorname{Im} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ is the first Hankel function.

For $\lambda > 0$, the well known limiting absorption principle ([2]) implies that the weak* limits $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m}) := R_0(\lambda^{2m} \pm i0) = w* - \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} R_0(\lambda^{2m} \pm \mathrm{i}\epsilon)$ exist as bounded operators between certain weighted L^2 spaces, so a change of variable in (2.1) gives

$$R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x) = \frac{1}{m\lambda^{2m}} \sum_{k \in I^{\pm}} \lambda_k^2 \Re_0^{\pm}(\lambda_k^2)(x), \tag{2.1'}$$

where $\lambda_k = \lambda e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi k}{m}}$ and

$$I^+ = \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\}, \quad I^- = \{1, \cdots, m\}, \tag{2.2}$$

When $n \geq 1$ is odd, it follows from explicit expression of the Hankel function (see [20]) that

$$R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} m\lambda^{2m}} \sum_{k \in I^{\pm}} \frac{\lambda_k^2 e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|}}{|x|^{n-2}} \sum_{j=\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\}}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} c_j (\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|)^j, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$c_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } j = -1, \\ \frac{(-2)^j (n-3-j)!}{j!(\frac{n-3}{2}-j)!}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

We need the following expansion of $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x)$.

Proposition 2.1. *If $\lambda > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then*

$$R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j < \frac{\theta-1}{2}} a_j^{\pm} \lambda^{n-2m+2j} |x|^{2j} + \sum_{0 \leq l < \frac{n-2m+\theta}{2m}} b_l \lambda^{2ml} |x|^{2m-n+2ml} + r_\theta^{\pm}(\lambda)(x), \tag{2.5}$$

$a_j^{\pm}$ and $b_l \in \mathbb{R}$ are defined in (2.8)*, and*

$$\left|\partial_\lambda^l r_\theta^{\pm}(\lambda)(x)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-2m+\theta-l}|x|^\theta, \quad l = 0, \cdots, \theta + \tfrac{n-1}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. For each $j \in \{\min\{0, \frac{n-3}{2}\}, \cdots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$ in (2.3), we apply the Taylor formula for $e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|}$ (of order $n - j + \theta - 3$) to get

$$(\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|)^j e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|} = \sum_{p=j}^{n+\theta-3} \frac{(\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|)^p}{(p-j)!} + \frac{(\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|)^{n+\theta-2}}{(n-j+\theta-2)!} \int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x|}(1-s)^{n-j+\theta-3}\mathrm{d}s.$$

Plugging this into (2.3), we have

$$R_0^{\pm}(\lambda_k^{2m})(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} m} \sum_{k \in I^{\pm}} \sum_{l=0}^{n+\theta-3} d_l \lambda_k^{l+2-2m} |x|^{l-n+2} + r_\theta^{\pm}(\lambda)(x),$$

where $d_l := \sum_{j=\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\}}^{\min\{l,\frac{n-3}{2}\}} \frac{c_j}{(l-j)!}$, c_j is given in (2.4), and

$$r_\theta^\pm(\lambda)(x) = \sum_{k\in I^\pm} \sum_{j=\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\}}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} C_{j,\theta}\lambda_k^{n-2m+\theta}|x|^\theta \int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x|}(1-s)^{n-j+\theta-3}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.7}$$

Denoted by

$$a_j^\pm = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}m} \sum_{k\in I^\pm} d_{2j+n-2}e^{\frac{k\pi\mathrm{i}}{m}(2j+n-2m)}, \qquad b_l = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}d_{2ml-2}, \tag{2.8}$$

then (2.5) follows by using the property

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\lambda_k^{2j} = \sum_{k=1}^{m}\lambda_k^{2j} = 0, \qquad \text{when } \ j\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus m\mathbb{Z},$$

and the fact that $d_l = 0$ when l is odd and $1 \le l \le n-4$ (see [26, Lemma 3.3]). (2.6) results from (2.7) by a direct computation. □

Remark 2.2. *For each* $\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\} \le l \le \frac{n-3}{2}$, *if we apply the Taylor formula for* $e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|}$ *of order* $n-l+\theta_0-3$ *with* $\theta_0 := \min\{-\frac{n-1}{2}, 2m-n\}$, *then by the same arguments above we can obtain expansions which have better decay on* x*:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})(x) = &\sum_{k\in I^\pm}\lambda_k^{n-2m+\theta_0}|x|^{\theta_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{n+\theta_0-3} C_{l,\theta_0}\int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x|}(1-s)^{n-l+\theta_0-3}\mathrm{d}s\right)\\ &+\sum_{k\in I^\pm}\sum_{l=n+\theta_0-2}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} D_l\lambda_k^{l+2-2m}|x|^{l+2-n}e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Here C_{l,θ_0} *and* D_l *are absolute constants.*

We also need the following expression of $R_0(-1)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $1 \le n \le 4m-1$, *then we have*

$$R_0(-1)(x-y) = \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|\le 4m-n-1}(-1)^{|\beta|}A_{\alpha,\beta}x^\alpha y^\beta + \sum_{l=0}^{1} b_l|x-y|^{2m-n+2ml} + r_{4m-n+1}(1)(x-y), \tag{2.10}$$

where the remainder r_{4m-n+1} *satisfies the same estimate in* (2.6) *with* $\theta = 4m-n+1$, *and*

$$A_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n\alpha!\beta!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\xi^{\alpha+\beta}}{1+|\xi|^{2m}}\mathrm{d}\xi, & \text{if } \ 0\le|\alpha|,|\beta|<\tilde m_n,\\ \frac{\mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n\alpha!\beta!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{-\xi^{\alpha+\beta}}{(1+|\xi|^{2m})|\xi|^{2m}}\mathrm{d}\xi, & \text{if } \ \tilde m_n\le|\alpha|,|\beta|\le 2m-\frac{n+1}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\tilde m_n$ *is defined in* (1.6).

Proof. By (2.1), it follows that for $\lambda > 0$,

$$R_0(-\lambda^{2m})(x) = \frac{1}{-m\lambda^{2m}} \sum_{k\in I^{\pm}} (e^{\frac{\pm\pi \mathrm{i}}{m}}\lambda_k^2)\Re_0^{\pm}\left(e^{\frac{\pm\pi \mathrm{i}}{m}}\lambda_k^2\right)(x).$$

Following the proof of Proposition 2.1 and choosing $\theta = 4m - n + 1$, $\lambda = 1$ in (2.5), we have

$$R_0(-1)(x-y) = \sum_{j=0}^{2m-\frac{n+1}{2}} a_j|x-y|^{2j} + \sum_{l=0}^{1} b_l|x-y|^{2m-n+2ml} + r_{4m-n+1}(1)(x-y),$$

where

$$a_j = e^{\frac{\pm\pi \mathrm{i}}{m}(n-2m+2j)}a_j^{\pm}, \tag{2.12}$$

and the remainder term r_{4m-n+1} satisfies (2.6). Hence (2.10) follows immediately by the following identity

$$|x-y|^{2j} = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=2j} C_{\alpha,\beta}(-1)^{|\beta|}x^{\alpha}y^{\beta},$$

and setting

$$A_{\alpha,\beta} = a_{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}C_{\alpha,\beta}. \tag{2.13}$$

Now we prove (2.11). Note that when $0 \le |\alpha|, |\beta| < \tilde{m}_n$, it suffices to consider $1 \le n \le 2m-1$ since $\tilde{m}_n = 0$ if $n \ge 2m+1$. When $1 \le n \le 2m-1$, by (2.10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{|\beta|}A_{\alpha,\beta}\alpha!\beta! &= \lim_{x,y\to 0} \partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_y^{\beta}R_0(-1, x-y) \\
&= \lim_{x,y\to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_y^{\beta}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot\xi}}{|\xi|^{2m}+1}\,\mathrm{d}\xi\right) \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}(-1)^{|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\xi^{\alpha+\beta}}{|\xi|^{2m}+1}\,\mathrm{d}\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last two equalities follow from the fact that $\xi^{\alpha+\beta}/(|\xi|^{2m}+1) \in L^1$ and the dominated convergence theorem, since $0 \le |\alpha|, |\beta| < \tilde{m}_n = m - \frac{n-1}{2}$.

On the other hand, if $\tilde{m}_n \le |\alpha|, |\beta| \le 2m - \frac{n+1}{2}$, it follows from (2.10) that

$$(-1)^{|\beta|}A_{\alpha,\beta}\alpha!\beta! = \lim_{x,y\to 0} \partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_y^{\beta}(R_0(-1)(x-y) - b_0|x-y|^{2m-n}). \tag{2.14}$$

Observe that $b_0|\cdot|^{2m-n}$ is the fundamental solution of $(-\Delta)^m$, then

$$(-\Delta)^m\partial^{\alpha+\beta}(b_0|\cdot|^{2m-n}) = \partial^{\alpha+\beta}(-\Delta)^m(b_0|\cdot|^{2m-n}) = \partial^{\alpha+\beta}\delta_0$$

holds in distributional sense. Taking Fourier transform on both sides of (2.1) yields

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\partial^{\alpha+\beta}(b_0|\cdot|^{2m-n})\right)(\xi) = \frac{\mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\xi^{\alpha+\beta}}{|\xi|^{2m}}.$$

Note that $|\alpha|, |\beta| \geq \tilde{m}_n$, thus $|\alpha| + |\beta| - 2m > -n$, and $\xi^{\alpha+\beta}/|\xi|^{2m}$ is a tempered distribution. Using Fourier inversion formula we deduce that

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta (b_0 |x-y|^{2m-n}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\xi^{\alpha+\beta} e^{\mathrm{i}\xi(x-y) - \epsilon|\xi|^2}}{|\xi|^{2m}} \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

On the other hand,

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta R_0(-1)(x-y) = \frac{\mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\xi^{\alpha+\beta} e^{\mathrm{i}\xi(x-y) - \epsilon|\xi|^2}}{|\xi|^{2m}+1} \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

Combining (2.14) and the above two relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{|\beta|} A_{\alpha,\beta} \alpha!\beta! &= \lim_{x,y \to 0} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|} \mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{-\xi^{\alpha+\beta} e^{\mathrm{i}\xi(x-y) - \epsilon|\xi|^2}}{(1+|\xi|^{2m})|\xi|^{2m}} \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|} \mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{-\xi^{\alpha+\beta}}{(1+|\xi|^{2m})|\xi|^{2m}} \mathrm{d}\xi,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\frac{\xi^{\alpha+\beta}}{(1+|\xi|^{2m})|\xi|^{2m}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq 2m - \frac{n+1}{2}$. □

2.2. **The perturbed case.**

In order to study the spectral measure of H near zero, we set $R^\pm(\lambda^{2m}) := (H - \lambda^{2m} \mp \mathrm{i}0)^{-1}$, which is well defined under our assumptions on V (see [2]). Denoted by

$$M^\pm(\lambda) = U + vR_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})v, \quad v(x) = |V(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ U = \operatorname{sgn} V, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\operatorname{sgn} x = 1$, if $x \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{sgn} x = -1$, if $x < 0$. If $(M^\pm(\lambda))^{-1}$ exists in L^2, we obtain from the resolvent identity that

$$R_V^\pm(\lambda^{2m}) = R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m}) v (M^\pm(\lambda))^{-1} v R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m}), \tag{2.16}$$

and thus we consider the existence and asymptotic expansion of $(M^\pm(\lambda))^{-1}$ under various spectral assumptions on the zero energy.

The following notations will be used throughout the section. Denoted by

$$J_{\mathbf{k}} := \begin{cases} \{m - \frac{n}{2}\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0;\ i < \tilde{m}_n + \mathbf{k}\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq m_n, \\ J_{m_n} \cup \{2m - \frac{n}{2}\}, & \text{if } \mathbf{k} = m_n + 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

where m_n and $\tilde{m}_n$ are given by (1.5) and (1.6). We define

$$G_{2j} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{2j} f(y)\, \mathrm{d}y, \quad j \in J_{m_n+1},\ f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$T_0 = U + b_0 v G_{2m-n} v, \tag{2.18}$$

where b_0 is given by (2.8).

We start by introducing some subspaces of L^2 and related orthogonal projections S_j. When j is a negative integer, we set $S_jL^2 = L^2$; while when $j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$, we set

$$S_jL^2 = \begin{cases} \{x^\alpha v;\ |\alpha| \le j\}^\perp, & \text{if } 0 \le j < m - \frac{n}{2}, \\ \ker(S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}T_0S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}) \bigcap S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}L^2, & \text{if } j = m - \frac{n}{2}, \\ \{x^\alpha v;\ |\alpha| \le j\}^\perp \bigcap \ker(S_{2m-n-j-1}T_0S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}) \bigcap S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2, & \text{if } m - \frac{n}{2} < j \le \tilde{m}_n + \mathbf{k} - 1, \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } j = 2m - \frac{n}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

These subspaces are well-defined by (ii) of Assumption 1.2. Meanwhile, we define orthogonal projections Q_j by

$$Q_j := S_{j'} - S_j, \quad j' = \max\{l \in J_{m_n+1};\ l < j\},\ j \in J_{m_n+1}. \tag{2.20}$$

Obviously, if follows from the definition of S_jL^2 ($j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$) that when $1 \le n \le 2m-1$,

$$S_0L^2 \supset S_1L^2 \supset \cdots \supset S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}L^2 \supset S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2 \supset S_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}}L^2 \supset \cdots \supset S_{2m-\frac{n+1}{2}}L^2,$$

while when $2m+1 \le n \le 4m-1$, we have

$$S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2 \supset S_0L^2 \supset S_1L^2 \supset \cdots \supset S_{2m-\frac{n+1}{2}}L^2.$$

We use S_jL^2 to characterize different kinds of zero energy resonances.

Proposition 2.4. *Assume* (ii) *in Assumption 1.2 holds. Then the following statements are valid:*

(i) *zero is regular if and only if* $S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2 = \{0\}$*;*

(ii) *zero is a* $\mathbf{k}$*-th kind resonance with* $1 \le \mathbf{k} \le m_n + 1$ *if and only if*

$$S_{k_0'}L^2 \neq \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{k_0}L^2 = \{0\},$$

where $k_0 = \max J_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ *and* $k_0' = \max\{l \in J_{\boldsymbol{k}};\ l < k_0\}$.

Proof. In view of Definition 1.1, it suffices to prove that $\psi \in S_{k_0'}L^2$ if and only if there exists some $\phi(x) \in W_{-\frac{1}{2}-m_n+\mathbf{k}}$ such that $\psi(x) = Uv\phi(x)$ and (1.3) hold. We only sketch the proof since similar situations have been treated in [25, 27, 41].

If $\psi \in S_{k_0'}L^2$, then we have $\psi \in S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2$. By the definition of $S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2$, we have

$$U\psi(x) = -b_0vG_{2m-n}v\psi(x) + T_0\psi(x).$$

Note that $\psi \in S_{k_0'}L^2$ also implies that $S_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}}T_0\psi(x) = 0$, thus

$$U\psi(x) = \begin{cases} -b_0vG_{2m-n}v\psi(x) + (I - S_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}})T_0\psi(x) & \text{if } 1 \le \mathbf{k} \le m - \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ -b_0vG_{2m-n}v\psi(x) & \text{if } \mathbf{k} > m - \frac{n-1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

The definition of $S_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}}$ indicates that

$$(I - S_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}})T_0\psi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}} C_\alpha x^\alpha v,$$

moreover, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$|G_{2m-n}v\psi(x)| = \left|\int |x-y|^{2m-n}v(y)\psi(y)\right| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{m_n-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}},$$

where we have used the fact $2m-n-k_0-2 = m_n - \frac{n-1}{2} - \mathbf{k}$. Set

$$\phi(x) = -b_0 G_{2m-n}v\psi(x) + \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}} C_\alpha x^\alpha,$$

we remark that when $m - \frac{n-1}{2} - \mathbf{k} < 0$, then the sum $\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}} C_\alpha x^\alpha$ vanishes. From the definition of $\phi(x)$, we obtain that $\phi(x) \in W_{-\frac{1}{2}-m_n+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $((-\Delta)^m + V)\phi = 0$ in the distributional sense. By (2.21), we have $\psi(x) = Uv\phi(x)$.

Conversely, assume that there exists $\phi(x) \in W_{-\frac{1}{2}-m_n+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi(x) = Uv\phi(x)$ and (1.3) hold. Choose $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\eta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$ and $\eta(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 2$. For any $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\alpha \in {\mathbb{N}_0}^n$, we obtain from (1.3) that when $|\alpha| \le \tilde{m}_n + \mathbf{k} - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha v(x)\psi(x)\eta(\delta x)dx\right| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha \eta(\delta x)(-\Delta)^m\phi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_{\substack{\alpha_i\ge\beta_i \text{ for } i=1,\cdots,n\\ |\beta|+|\gamma|=2m,\ \beta,\gamma\in{\mathbb{N}_0}^n}} C_{\beta,\gamma}\delta^{|\gamma|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^{\alpha-\beta}\phi(x)(\partial^\gamma\eta)(\delta x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i\ge\beta_i \text{ for } i=1,\cdots,n\\ |\beta|+|\gamma|=2m,\ \beta,\gamma\in{\mathbb{N}_0}^n}} \delta^{\tilde{m}_n+\mathbf{k}-1-|\alpha|-}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{|\alpha|-|\beta|+m_n+\frac{1}{2}-\mathbf{k}+}\partial^\gamma\eta(x)\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{-(m_n+\frac{1}{2}-k+)}\phi(x)\right\|_{L^2} \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $\delta \to 0$, where the last inequality follows from scaling and the fact that $|\gamma| + |\beta| - |\alpha| - m_n - \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{k} - \frac{n}{2} = \tilde{m}_n + \mathbf{k} - 1 - |\alpha|$. In particular, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha v(x)\psi(x)\eta(\delta x)dx = 0, \qquad \text{if } |\alpha| \le \tilde{m}_n + \mathbf{k} - 2, \tag{2.22}$$

which yields that $\psi(x) \in S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}L^2$. Meanwhile, since $((-\Delta)^m + V)\phi(x) = 0$ in the distributional sense, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}T_0 S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}\psi &= S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}T_0(Uv\phi) \\
&= S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}(U + b_0 vG_{2m-n}v)Uv\phi \\
&= S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}v(I + b_0 G_{2m-n}V)\phi \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\psi(x) \in S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2$. Thus the case $\mathbf{k} = 1$ follows. If $\mathbf{k} > 1$, repeating the above arguments, we have

$$S_{2m-n-j-1}T_0 S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}\psi(x) = 0, \quad j \le k_0 - 1.$$

Combining this and (2.22) yields that $\psi(x) \in S_{k_0'}L^2$. Therefor the proof is complete. □

To proceed, we collect some orthogonal properties of S_j.

Proposition 2.5. *For $i, j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$, we have the following orthogonal properties:*

$$Q_i v G_{2l} v Q_j = 0, \quad \text{if } 2l \le [i+\tfrac{1}{2}] + [j+\tfrac{1}{2}] - 1,\ l \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$Q_i T_0 Q_j = 0, \quad \text{if } 2m - n \le i + j - \tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. We first prove (2.23). For any $f, g \in L^2$,

$$\left\langle S_i v G_{2l} v S_j f,\ g \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |x-y|^{2l} v(x) v(y) S_j f(x) \overline{S_i g(y)} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y.$$

Note that

$$|x-y|^{2l} = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=2l} C_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha (-y)^\beta,$$

for some $C_{\alpha\beta} > 0$, then by (2.19), we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(x) x^\alpha S_j f(x) \mathrm{d}x = 0$ when $|\alpha| \le [j]$. Similarly, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(y) y^\alpha \overline{S_i g(y)} \mathrm{d}y = 0$ holds when $|\alpha| \le [i]$, and thus $S_i v G_{2l} v S_j = 0$ holds if $2l \le [i] + [j] + 1$. Therefore (2.23) holds by noticing that $Q_j \le S_{[j+\frac{1}{2}]-1}$ for $j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$.

In order to prove (2.24), we note that from (2.19), we have

$$S_i T_0 S_j = 0, \quad \text{if } i + j \ge 2m - n - 1 \text{ and } \max\{i, j\} > m - \tfrac{n}{2},$$

and

$$S_{m-\frac{n}{2}} T_0 S_j = S_j T_0 S_{m-\frac{n}{2}} = 0, \quad \text{if } j \ge m - \tfrac{n+1}{2}.$$

Therefore (2.24) follows. □

In the case $1 \le n \le 4m-1$, we need the following index sets

$$J'_{\mathbf{k}} = \{j \in J_{\mathbf{k}};\ j < m - \tfrac{n}{2}\}, \quad J''_{\mathbf{k}} = \{j \in J_{\mathbf{k}};\ m - \tfrac{n}{2} < j < 2m - \tfrac{n}{2}\}. \tag{2.25}$$

In particular, $J'_{\mathbf{k}} = \emptyset$ when $2m+1 \le n \le 4m-1$. We view the subspace $\bigoplus_{j \in J'_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_j L^2$ of L^2 as a vector valued space when necessary, on which we define the operator matrix $\mathbf{D}_{00} = (d_{l,h})_{l,h \in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}$ where

$$d_{l,h} = \begin{cases} (-\mathrm{i})^{l+h} (-1)^h a_{\frac{l+h}{2}} Q_l v G_{l+h} v Q_h, & \text{if } l+h \text{ is even}, \\ 0, & \text{if } l+h \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

and $a_{\frac{l+h}{2}}$ is the coefficient of $R_0(-1)(x)$ given in (2.10). In the same way, we define $\mathbf{D}_{11} = (d'_{l,h})_{l,h \in J''_{\mathbf{k}}}$, in which Q_l (resp. Q_h) is replaced by Q'_l (resp. Q'_h). Here $Q'_l = S'_{l-1} - S'_l$ ($l \in J''_{\mathbf{k}}$), S'_l is the orthogonal projection onto $\{x^\alpha v,\ |\alpha| \le l\}^\perp$, and $S'_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}} = S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}$.

The following observation plays a key role in the main result (Theorem 2.7) of this subsection.

Lemma 2.6. $\boldsymbol{D}_{00}$ *is strictly positive and* $\boldsymbol{D}_{11}$ *is strictly negative.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.5 and (2.13) that for any $f \in L^2$,

$$a_{\frac{l+h}{2}} Q_l v G_{l+h} v Q_h f = \sum_{|\alpha|=l,|\beta|=h} (-1)^{|\beta|} A_{\alpha,\beta} Q_l(x^\alpha v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^\beta v(y) Q_h f(y) \mathrm{d}y, \quad \text{if } l,h \in J'_{\mathbf{k}},$$

and

$$a_{\frac{l+h}{2}} Q'_l v G_{l+h} v Q'_h f = \sum_{|\alpha|=l,|\beta|=h} (-1)^{|\beta|} A_{\alpha,\beta} Q'_l(x^\alpha v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^\beta v(y) Q'_h f(y) \mathrm{d}y, \quad \text{if } l,h \in J''_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Now we define two block matrices

$$E_0 = \left((-\mathrm{i})^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}(A_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|=l,|\beta|=h}\right)_{l,h\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}, \qquad E_1 = \left((-\mathrm{i})^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}(A_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|=l,|\beta|=h}\right)_{l,h\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}}.$$

In the following we abbreviate $E_0 = ((-\mathrm{i})^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}A_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}}$ and so on.

Since $\bigoplus_{l\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_l L^2 = (I - S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}})L^2$, the positivity of $\mathbf{D}_{00}$ is equivalent to that of $D_{00} := \sum_{l,h\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}} d_{l,h}$ on $(I - S_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}})L^2$. Similarly, the negativity of the operator matrix $\mathbf{D}_{11}$ is equivalent to the negativity of operator $D_{11} = \sum_{l,h\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} d'_{l,h}$ on $(S'_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}} - S'_{\tilde{m}_n+\mathbf{k}-1})L^2$.

Let $\{u_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=l}$ be an orthonormal basis of $Q_l L^2$ ($l \in J'_{\mathbf{k}}$) and let $\tilde{E}_0 = (\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}$ denote the matrix of the scalar operator D_{00} with respect to the orthogonal basis $\{u_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}$. Using Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta} &= \langle D_{00}u_\alpha, u_\beta\rangle = \langle d_{|\alpha||\beta|}u_\alpha, u_\beta\rangle \\
&= (-\mathrm{i})^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \sum_{|\alpha'|=|\alpha|,|\beta'|=|\beta|} A_{\alpha,\beta}\langle u_\alpha, y^{\alpha'}v\rangle\langle x^{\beta'}v, u_\beta\rangle. \\
&= (-\mathrm{i})^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \sum_{|\alpha'|=|\alpha|,|\beta'|=|\beta|} \Lambda_{\alpha,\alpha'}A_{\alpha',\beta'}\bar{\Lambda}_{\beta',\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = \langle Q_{|\alpha|}u_\alpha,\ Q_{|\beta|}(x^\beta v)\rangle$. Denoted by $\Lambda_0 = (\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}$, note that $\{Q_j(x^\beta v)\}_{|\beta|=j}$ is also a basis on $Q_j L^2$ with fixed $j \in J'_{\mathbf{k}}$, then the block diagonal matrix Λ_0 is nonsingular. Thus $\tilde{E}_0 = (\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}$ is congruent to E_0 by Λ_0. Similarly, $\tilde{E}_1 = (\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}}$ is congruent to E_1. Therefore the positivity of $\mathbf{D}_{00}$ and E_0 are equivalent, so is the negativity of $\mathbf{D}_{11}$ and E_1.

It suffices to prove that E_0 is strictly positive definite and E_1 is strictly negative definite. Note that the L^2 vectors

$$\left\{\frac{\xi^\alpha}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}\alpha!(1+|\xi|^{2m})^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right\}_{|\alpha|\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

are linearly independent, and we also see by (2.11) that $E_0 = ((-\mathrm{i})^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}A_{\alpha,\beta})_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\in J'_{\mathbf{k}}}$ is the Gram matrix with respect to such vectors. This implies that E_0 is strictly positive definite.

By (2.11), we also know that $-E_1$ is the Gram matrix with respect to the linearly independent vectors

$$\left\{\frac{\xi^\alpha}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}\alpha!(1+|\xi|^{2m})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\xi|^m}\right\}_{|\alpha|\in J_{\mathbf{k}}''}.$$

Therefore E_1 is strictly negative, and the proof is complete. □

To proceed, we need the following notations. For $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $K \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, we say $f \in S^b_K(\Omega)$ if $f(\lambda) \in C^K(\Omega)$ and

$$|\partial_\lambda^j f(\lambda)| \le C_j|\lambda|^{b-j}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega,\ 0 \le j \le K. \tag{2.26}$$

We say $T(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}^b_K(\Omega)$ if $\{T(\lambda)\}_{\lambda\in\Omega}$ is a family of bounded operators in L^2 such that

$$|\partial_\lambda^j\langle T(\lambda)f,g\rangle| \le C_j\|f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^2}|\lambda|^{b-j}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega,\ 0 \le j \le K \tag{2.27}$$

holds for all $f, g \in L^2$, and the constant C_j is independent of f, g, λ.

Theorem 2.7. *Let* (i) *and* (ii) *in Assumption 1.2 hold, then there exists some $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$ such that $M^\pm(\lambda)$ is invertible in L^2 for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, and*

$$(M^\pm(\lambda))^{-1} = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} \lambda^{2m-n-i-j}Q_i(M^\pm_{i,j}+\Gamma^\pm_{i,j}(\lambda))Q_j, & \text{if } 1 \le n \le 4m-1, \\ \sum\limits_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} \lambda^{2m-n-2j}Q_jM^\pm_{j,j}Q_j + \sum\limits_{1\le j\le[\frac{n}{2m}]-1} \lambda^{2m(j-\mathbf{k})}B_j + \Gamma^\pm_{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda), & \text{if } n \ge 4m+1, \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

where Q_j is defined in (2.20)*, all $M^\pm_{ij}$, $\Gamma^\pm_{ij}(\lambda)$, B_j and $\Gamma^\pm_{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda)$ are bounded operators in L^2, and*

$$\Gamma^\pm_{i,j}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,\lambda_0)), \quad \Gamma^\pm_{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-2m-4m\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,\lambda_0)). \tag{2.29}$$

In addition, when $i = j \in \{m-\frac{n}{2}, 2m-\frac{n}{2}\}$, we have $M^\pm_{m-\frac{n}{2},m-\frac{n}{2}} = (Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}}T_0Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}})^{-1}$, $M^\pm_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2m-\frac{n}{2}} = (b_1Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}}vG_{4m-n}vQ_{2m-\frac{n}{2}})^{-1}$, and

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma^\pm_{m-\frac{n}{2},m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}^{\max\{1,n-2m\}}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,\lambda_0)), & \text{if } \mathbf{k}=0, \\ \Gamma^\pm_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}^{1}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,\lambda_0)), & \text{if } \mathbf{k}=m_n+1. \end{cases} \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. When $n \ge 4m+1$, the result follows essentially from [14, Proposition 2.4], thus we assume $1 \le n \le 4m-1$ in the following.

Let $\lambda > 0$ and define $B = (\lambda^{-j}Q_j)_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} : \bigoplus_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_jL^2 \to L^2$ by

$$Bf = \sum_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} \lambda^{-j}Q_jf_j, \quad f = \left(f_j\right)_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} \in \bigoplus_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_jL^2.$$

Let B^* be the dual operator of B and define $\mathbb{A}^\pm$ on $\bigoplus_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_jL^2$ by

$$\mathbb{A}^\pm = \lambda^{2m-n}B^*M^\pm(\lambda)B. \tag{2.31}$$

It is known that if B is surjective and $\mathbb{A}^{\pm}$ are invertible on $\bigoplus_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_j L^2$, then $M^{\pm}(\lambda)$ are invertible on L^2(see [25, Lemma 3.12]) and

$$(M^{\pm}(\lambda))^{-1} = \lambda^{2m-n} B(\mathbb{A}^{\pm})^{-1} B^*. \tag{2.32}$$

Taking $\theta_0 = 2\tilde{m}_n + 2\mathbf{k} + 1$ in (2.5) and using (2.15), (2.18), we have the following expansion

$$M^{\pm}(\lambda) = \sum_{0\le l\le \tilde{m}_n+\mathbf{k}} a_j^{\pm}\lambda^{n-2m+2l} vG_{2l}v + T_0 + \sum_{1\le l< \frac{n-2m+\theta_0}{2m}} b_l\lambda^{2ml} vG_{2m-n+2lm}v + vr_{\theta_0}^{\pm}(\lambda)v. \tag{2.33}$$

By *(ii)* in Assumption 1.2, we have $\beta - 2\theta_0 > n$, thus each term in (2.33) is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Moreover, it follows from (2.6) that

$$vr_{\theta_0}^{\pm}(\lambda)v \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-2m+\theta_0}_{\theta_0+\frac{n-1}{2}}((0,1)). \tag{2.34}$$

By Proposition 2.5, we obtain from (2.31) and (2.33) that $\mathbb{A}^{\pm} := \left(a_{i,j}^{\pm}(\lambda)\right)_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j}^{\pm}(\lambda) = &\sum_{l_0\le l\le \tilde{m}_n+\mathbf{k}} a_j^{\pm}\lambda^{2l-i-j} Q_i vG_{2l}vQ_j + \lambda^{2m-n-i-j} Q_i T_0 Q_j \\ &+ \sum_{1\le l< \frac{n-2m+\theta_0}{2m}} b_l\lambda^{2m-n-2ml-i-j} Q_i vG_{2m-n+2lm}vQ_j + \lambda^{2m-n-i-j} Q_i vr_{\theta_0}^{\pm}(\lambda)vQ_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $l_0 = [\frac{[i+\frac{1}{2}]+[j+\frac{1}{2}]}{2}]$. Thus, we can write $\mathbb{A}^{\pm}$ in the following form

$$\mathbb{A}^{\pm} = \begin{cases} D^{\pm} + (r_{i,j}^{\pm}(\lambda))_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}}, & \text{if } 0 \le \mathbf{k} < m_n + 1, \\ \mathrm{diag}(D^{\pm}, Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} vG_{4m-n} vQ_{2m-\frac{n}{2}}) + (r_{i,j}^{\pm}(\lambda))_{i,j\in J_{m_n+1}}, & \text{if } \mathbf{k} = m_n + 1, \end{cases}$$

where $D^{\pm} = (d_{i,j}^{\pm})_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}}$ is given by

$$d_{i,j}^{\pm} = \begin{cases} a_{\frac{i+j}{2}}^{\pm} Q_i vG_{i+j}vQ_j, & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even}, \\ Q_i T_0 Q_j, & \text{if } i+j = 2m-n, \\ 0, & \text{else}, \end{cases} \tag{2.35}$$

and by (2.34)-(2.35), it follows that $r_{i,j}^{\pm}(\lambda)$ satisfy

$$r_{i,j}^{\pm}(\lambda) \in \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}^{\max\{1,n-2m\}}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,1)), & \text{if } i=j=m-\frac{n}{2}, \\ \mathfrak{S}^{1}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,1)), & \text{if } i=j=2m-\frac{n}{2}, \\ \mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,1)), & \text{else}. \end{cases} \tag{2.36}$$

Here we make two remarks:

(*i*) If $\mathbf{k} = m_n + 1$, it follows by the same argumets in [27, 41] that $Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} vG_{4m-n} vQ_{2m-\frac{n}{2}}$ is invertible, and we denote $M^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2m-\frac{n}{2}} = (b_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} vG_{4m-n} vQ_{2m-\frac{n}{2}})^{-1}$.

(*ii*) The main difficulty is to show that the matrix operator $D^{\pm}$ are invertible, and they have quite different structures in dimensions $n < 2m$ and $n > 2m$. Hence, in the following we

assume $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le m_n$ and divide the proof into $1 \le n \le 2m-1$ and $2m+1 \le n \le 4m-1$ respectively.

When $1 \le n \le 2m-1$, we first define $D = (d_{i,j})_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}}$ by

$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (-\mathrm{i})^{i+j}(-1)^j a_{\frac{i+j}{2}} Q_i v G_{i+j} v Q_j, & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even}, \\ (-\mathrm{i})^{i+j}(-1)^j Q_i T_0 Q_j, & \text{if } i+j = 2m-n, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Note that by (2.12), we have

$$d_{i,j} = (-\mathrm{i})^{i+j}(-1)^j e^{\frac{\pm \mathrm{i}\pi}{2m}(n-2m+i+j)} d^{\pm}_{i,j},$$

so it follows that

$$D = U_0^{\pm} D^{\pm} U_0^{\pm} U_1, \tag{2.37}$$

where $U_0^{\pm} = \mathrm{diag}\{e^{\pm\frac{\mathrm{i}\pi}{2m}(j+\frac{n}{2}-m)}(-\mathrm{i})^j Q_j\}_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}}$, $U_1 = \mathrm{diag}\{(-1)^j Q_j\}_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}}$. For convenience, we rewrite

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{00} & 0 & D_{01} \\ 0 & Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}} T_0 Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ D_{10} & 0 & D_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{D}_{00}$ is exactly the one in Lemma 2.6 and $D_{10} = D_{01}^*$.

By the definition of $Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}}$, $Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}} T_0 Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}}$ is invertible on $Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}} L^2$. Observe that D_{01}, D_{10}, D_{11} vanish when $\mathbf{k} = 0$, and $\mathbf{D}_{00}$ is strictly positive by Lemma 2.6, it follows that D is invertible. Now we consider $1 \le \mathbf{k} \le m_n$. According to the abstract Fehsbach formula (see [27, Lemma 2.3]), D is invertible on $\bigoplus_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_j L^2$ if and only if

$$d := D_{11} - D_{01}^* D_{00}^{-1} D_{01},$$

is invertible on $\bigoplus_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_j L^2$. Now we decompose $Q_j = Q_{j,1} + Q_{j,2}$, where

$$Q_{j,2} L^2 = Q_j L^2 \bigcap \{x^\alpha v;\ |\alpha| \le j\}^{\perp}.$$

Since $Q := \mathrm{diag}\{Q_j\}_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}}$ is the identity operator on $\bigoplus_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_j L^2$, and $Q_i v G_{i+j} v Q_j Q_{j,2} = 0$ for $i \in J'_{\mathbf{k}}$, $j \in J''_{\mathbf{k}}$, we obtain

$$d = Q D_{11} Q - D_{01}^* D_{00}^{-1} D_{01} = Q' D_{11} Q' - D_{01}^* D_{00}^{-1} D_{01},$$

where $Q' = \mathrm{diag}\{Q_{j,1}\}_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}}$. It suffices to prove that d is injective on $\bigoplus_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_j L^2$. Assume that $f = (f_j)_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} \in \bigoplus_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_j L^2$ and

$$0 = \langle df, f\rangle = \langle Q' D_{11} Q' f, f\rangle - \langle D_{01}^* D_{00}^{-1} D_{01} f, f\rangle. \tag{2.38}$$

By Lemma 2.6 and the fact $Q_{j,1} \le Q'_j$, we have

$$Q' f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{01} f = 0.$$

First, $Q'f = 0$ implies $f_j \in Q_{j,2}L^2$, i.e., $f_j \in \{x^\alpha v;\ |\alpha| \le j\}^\perp$, $j \in J''_{\mathbf{k}}$. Second, observe that

$$D_{01}f = \begin{pmatrix} (-\mathrm{i})^{2m-n}(-1)^{m-\frac{n+1}{2}+\mathbf{k}} Q_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}-\mathbf{k}} T_0 Q_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}+\mathbf{k}} f_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}+\mathbf{k}} \\ \vdots \\ (-\mathrm{i})^{2m-n}(-1)^{m-\frac{n-1}{2}} Q_{m-\frac{n+1}{2}} T_0 Q_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}} f_{m-\frac{n-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

thus $D_{01}f = 0$ and the fact that $f_j \in Q_jL^2 \subset S_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2$ imply

$$Q_{2m-n-j}T_0 f_j = 0.$$

Since $Q_jL^2 \subset S_{j-1}L^2$, by definition we have $S_{2m-n-j}T_0 f_j = 0$. Hence $S_{2m-n-j-1}T_0 f_j = 0$. Combining the above, we have $f_j \in S_jL^2$. On the other hand, since $f_j \in Q_jL^2$, it follows that $f_j \in (S_jL^2)^\perp$. We conclude that $f_j \equiv 0$ for all $j \in J''_{\mathbf{k}}$ (i.e., $f \equiv 0$) provided (2.38) holds. Therefore we have proved that d is invertible on $\bigoplus_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_jL^2$ and $D^\pm$ are invertible on $\bigoplus_{j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_jL^2$. Moreover by (2.37) we have

$$(D^\pm)^{-1} = U_0^\mp U_1 D^{-1} U_0^\mp := (M^\pm_{i,j})_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}}.$$

Thus, by Neumann series expansion, there exists some small $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$(\mathbb{A}^\pm)^{-1} = \big(D^\pm + (r^\pm_{i,j}(\lambda))\big)^{-1} = (D^\pm)^{-1} + \Gamma^\pm(\lambda),$$

where $\Gamma^\pm(\lambda) = (D^\pm)^{-1}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\big(-(r^\pm_{ij}(\lambda))(D^\pm)^{-1}\big)^l$. This, together with (2.32) and (2.36), yields

$$(M^\pm(\lambda))^{-1} = \lambda^{2m-n}B(\mathbb{A}^\pm)^{-1}C = \sum_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} \lambda^{2m-n-i-j} Q_j\Big(M^\pm_{i,j} + \Gamma^\pm_{i,j}(\lambda)\Big)Q_j, \tag{2.39}$$

where $\Gamma^\pm_{ij}(\lambda)$ satisfies the first estimate of (2.29).

When $2m+1 \le n \le 4m-1$, we notice that the relation (2.37) still holds, however, D has the following form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}} T_0 Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D_{11} = (d_{i,j})_{i,j\in J_{\mathbf{k}''}}$ is defined by

$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (-\mathrm{i})^{i+j}(-1)^j a_{\frac{i+j}{2}} Q_i v G_{i+j} v Q_j, & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } i+j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.6, $\mathbf{D}_{11}$ is strictly negative on $\bigoplus_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} Q'_jL^2$. This, together with the fact that $Q_j \le Q'_j$, implies that D_{11} is invertible on $\bigoplus_{j\in J''_{\mathbf{k}}} Q_jL^2$. Since $Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}}T_0Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}}$ is invertible on $Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}}L^2$, we obtain the invertibility of D. By (2.37), $D^\pm$ is invertible, then Neumann series expansion yields (2.39).

Finally, if $\mathbf{k} = 0$ (resp. $\mathbf{k} = m_n + 1$), it follows from (2.36) that $r_{m-\frac{n}{2},m-\frac{n}{2}} \in \mathfrak{S}^{\max\{1,n-2m\}}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ (resp. $r_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2m-\frac{n}{2}} \in \mathfrak{S}^{1}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$), thus (2.30) holds when $1 \le n \le 4m-1$, and the proof of Theorem 2.7 is complete. □

3. Estimates for oscillatory singular integrals

This whole chapter contains all technical details for the study of (4.84) in the high energy part, and the main results here that will be directly used in Chapter 4 are Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.21.

3.1. **Integrals with point and line singularities.**

In this section, we introduce some estimates for integrals with point and at most two line singularities, which will be frequently used later. We note that these results are valid for all dimensions n but not only the odd ones.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $n \ge 1$, $k_1, l_1 \in [0, n)$, $k_2, l_2 \in [0, +\infty)$, $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$, and $k_2 + l_2 + \beta \ge n$. It follows uniformly in $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}}\mathrm{d}y \\ \lesssim &\begin{cases} \langle |x-z|^{-\max\{0,k_1+l_1-n\}}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n\}}, & k_1+l_1 \ne n, \\ \langle |x-z|^{0-}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n\}}, & k_1+l_1 = n, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The idea is almost the same to the proof of [9, Lemma 6.3], so we don't present the proof here.

We now turn to integrals with both point singularities line singularities when $n \ge 2$. Given separated $w, w', x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define quantities

$$E_{xyyz} = \tfrac{x-y}{|x-y|} - \tfrac{y-z}{|y-z|}, \quad E_{ww'xy} = \tfrac{w-w'}{|w-w'|} - \tfrac{x-y}{|x-y|}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $n \ge 2$, $k_1, l_1 \in [0, n)$, $k_2, l_2 \in [0, +\infty)$, $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$, $k_2 + l_2 + \beta \ge n$, and $p, q \in [0, n-1)$. It follows uniformly in $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p|E_{ww'xy}|^q}\mathrm{d}y \\ \lesssim &|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}\begin{cases} \langle |x-z|^{-\max\{0,k_1+l_1-n\}}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-\max\{p,q\}\}}, & k_1+l_1 \ne n, \\ \langle |x-z|^{0-}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-\max\{p,q\}\}}, & k_1+l_1 = n. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The tedious proof of Proposition 3.1 will be given in Appendix A, which is based on first proving the special case $q = 0$ that will also be frequently used later in Section 3.4.

3.2. **An integration by parts regime.**

Throughout the section, let $n \geq 4m+1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and set $\mathbb{K} = \{1, \cdots, k\}$, $\mathbb{K}_0 = \{0, \cdots, k\}$. We consider the oscillatory integral in the form of

$$U^{\vec{l}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V(x_i) \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)} f(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k)\phi(X/T)\mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k,$$

where $r_i = x_i - x_{i+1}$, $X = |r_0| + \cdots + |r_k|$, $\vec{l} = \{l_1, \cdots, l_k\}$ with $0 \leq l_i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$, $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ bounded with $\phi' \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $T > 0$, $f \in S^0(\mathbb{R}^{1+(k+1)n} \setminus \{0\})$ (see (4.68)), and V satisfies (iii) of Assumption 1.2. Below are the assumptions and notations throughout the rest of this chapter.

- Assume the existence of $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{K}_0$ such that
$$l_{i_1} + 2 - 2m > 0,\ l_{i_2} + 2 - 2m > 0. \tag{3.2}$$
- Let σ be a fixed permutation of $\mathbb{K}_0$ such that $L_k \geq L_{k-1} \geq \cdots \geq L_0$ where
$$L_i = \max\{0, l_{\sigma(i)} + 2 - 2m\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{K}_0, \tag{3.3}$$
and we define $k_0 = \min\{i \in \mathbb{K}_0;\ L_i > 0\}$.
- If A is a non-empty finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, we define
$$\begin{aligned} N(A, i) &= \min\{j \in A;\ j \geq i\}, \quad i \leq \max A, \\ L(A, i) &= \max\{j \in A;\ j < i\}, \quad i > \min A. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$
- If A is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, we define
$$D_i A = \begin{cases} A \setminus \{N(A, i)\}, & A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } i \leq \max A, \\ A, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$
One checks that $D_i D_j A = D_j D_i A$ always holds, so it is reasonable to denote
$$D_I A = \left(\prod_{i\in I} D_i\right) A, \quad I \subset \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.6}$$
and it is also true that $D_{I_1} D_{I_2} A = D_{I_2} D_{I_1} A$ for any $I_1, I_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}$, but it may not be equal to $\prod_{i\in I_1\cup I_2} D_i A$ if $I_1 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$. It obviously follows that $D_{I_1} A \subset D_{I_2} B$ if $I_1 \supset I_2$ and $A \subset B$.
- Denoted by
$$E_{i,j} = \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{|x_i - x_{i+1}|} - \frac{x_j - x_{j+1}}{|x_j - x_{j+1}|}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{K}_0,\ i \neq j, \tag{3.7}$$
if F is a non-empty finite set of $E_{i,j}$ with $i < j$, we define the norm of F to be
$$\|F\| = \left(\sum_{E_{i,j}\in F} |E_{i,j}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.8}$$
If $F = \{E_{i_1,j_1}, \cdots, E_{i_r,j_r}\}$ with $j_1 < \cdots < j_r$, we sometimes interpret F to be the vector $F = (E_{i_1,j_1}, \cdots, E_{i_r,j_r}) \in \mathbb{R}^{rn}$ for convenience.

The following result will be used in (4.84) when studying a specific type of oscillatory integrals in the high energy part of the fundamental solution estimate.

Proposition 3.3. *For every $\mu \in \{1, \cdots, k-k_0\}$, $U^{\vec{I}}$ is a finite linear combination of oscillatory integrals in the form of*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{-J} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i) \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+d_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \|F_i\|^{-p_i} \\ \times g(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k, F_1, \cdots, F_s)\psi(X/T)\mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k,\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and every such integral is equipped with two sequences of indices

$$\begin{aligned}\emptyset =& I^*_{0,1} \subset \cdots \subset I^*_{s,1} = \{i \in \mathbb{K};\ |\alpha_i| = \tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m\}, \\ \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0;\ l_i + 2 - 2m \le 0\} =& I^*_{0,2} \subset \cdots \subset I^*_{s,2} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0;\ d_i = \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

satisfying the following constraints:

(1) $J = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}} |\alpha_i| + \sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} d_i$, *and it follows that*

$$\begin{cases} 1 \le s \le \mu, & \\ |\alpha_i| \le \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in \mathbb{K}, \\ 0 \le d_i \le \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in \mathbb{K}_0, \\ L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k_0+\mu-1} \le J \le L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}. & \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

If $s < \mu$, it further follows that $J = L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$.

(2) *If $J < L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$, then for $i = 1, \cdots, s$, there exists $\tau^{(i)} \in \mathbb{K}_0$ for either*

$$\begin{cases} I^*_{i,1} \setminus I^*_{i-1,1} = \{\tau^{(i)}\} \\ I^*_{i,2} = I^*_{i-1,2} \end{cases} \quad or \quad \begin{cases} I^*_{i,1} = I^*_{i-1,1} \\ I^*_{i,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2} = \{\tau^{(i)}\} \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

to hold. If $J = L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$, $s \ge 2$ and $1 \le i \le s-1$, such $\tau^{(i)}$ also exists.

(3) *Denoted by*

$$I^*_i = D_{I^*_{i,2}}(\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{i,1}) = D_{I^*_{i,2}} D_{I^*_{i,1}} \mathbb{K} = D_{I^*_{i,1}} D_{I^*_{i,2}} \mathbb{K}, \quad i = 0, \cdots, s, \tag{3.13}$$

it follows that $I^*_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\tau^{(i)}$ exists.

(4) *Denoted by*

$$F_i = \left\{E_{j_1,j_2};\ j_2 \in I^*_{i-1},\ j_1 = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, j_2)\right\}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s, \tag{3.14}$$

it follows that

$$p_i + \cdots + p_s \le \sum_{j\in I^1_{F_i}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j\in I^2_{F_i}} 2d_j, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I^1_{F_i} &= \{j \in \mathbb{K};\ j_1 < j \le j_2,\ E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_i\}, \\ I^2_{F_i} &= \{j \in \mathbb{K}_0;\ E_{j,j'} \text{ or } E_{j',j} \in F_i\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

It also follows for $i = 1, \cdots, s$ *that*

$$I^*_{i,1} \setminus I^*_{i-1,1} \subset I^1_{F_i}, \quad I^*_{i,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2} \subset I^2_{F_i}. \tag{3.17}$$

(5) $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+(k+1)n+\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}(k-k_0-i)n} \setminus \{0\})$ *satisfies*

$$|\partial_\lambda^j \partial_{r_0}^{\alpha_0} \cdots \partial_{r_k}^{\alpha_k} \partial_{F_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_{F_s}^{\beta_s} g| \lesssim \lambda^{-j} |r_0|^{-|\alpha_0|} \cdots |r_k|^{-|\alpha_k|} \|F_1\|^{-|\beta_1|} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-|\beta_s|}. \tag{3.18}$$

We also have $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *bounded and* $\mathrm{supp}\psi \subset \mathrm{supp}\phi$.

Remark 3.4. *In the third constraint, that* $I^*_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$ *whenever* $\tau^{(i)}$ *exists is a consequence of the second constraint, because* $i - 1 < s$ *always holds in such cases, and we know by* $\# I^*_{0,1} = 0$ *and* $\# I^*_{0,2} = k_0$ *that*

$$\# I^*_{i-1} = k - k_0 - (i-1) > k - k_0 - s \geq k - k_0 - \mu \geq 0.$$

If $\mu < k - k_0$ *and* $J < L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$, *we then have* $I^*_s \neq \emptyset$ *since* $\tau^{(s)}$ *must exist and so*

$$\# I^*_s = k - k_0 - s \geq k - k_0 - \mu \geq 1.$$

Remark 3.5. *That* F_i *in* (3.14) *is well defined is a consequence of* $I^*_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, *which holds in the context for* $\# I^*_0 = k - k_0 \geq 1$ *and the other cases are explained in Remark 3.4. To see this, we first note that* $\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2} \neq \emptyset$ *for it contains the non-empty* I^*_{i-1}. *If* $j = \min I^*_{i-1}$, *it follows that* $j > \min(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2})$, *for otherwise we must have* $\{0, \cdots, j-1\} \subset I^*_{i-1,2}$, *and then* $I^*_{i-1} \subset D_{I^*_{i-1,2}}\mathbb{K} \subset D_{\{0,\cdots,j-1\}}\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K} \setminus \{1, \cdots, j\}$ *yields a contradiction. Therefore* j_1 *is well defined for each* $j_2 \in I^*_{i-1}$ *in* (3.14). *If* $E_{j_1,j_2}, E_{j_3,j_4} \in F_i$ *and* $E_{j_1,j_2} \neq E_{j_3,j_4}$, *it is easy to check that either* $j_1 < j_2 \leq j_3 < j_4$ *or* $j_3 < j_4 \leq j_1 < j_2$ *must hold, so* $\|F_i\|$ *is also well defined.*

Remark 3.6. *It follows by definition that*

$$\begin{aligned} I^1_{F_i} &= \bigcup_{j \in I^*_{i-1}} \{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, j) + 1, \cdots, j\}, \\ I^2_{F_i} &= \bigcup_{j \in I^*_{i-1}} \{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, j), j\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Therefore (3.17) *implies that*

$$\tau^{(i)} \leq \max I^*_{i-1}, \tag{3.20}$$

holds whenever $\tau^{(i)}$ *defined in constraint 3) exists.*

Lemma 3.7. *If integral* (3.9) *in Proposition 3.3 is given with* $s \geq 2$, *then*

$$I^1_{F_i} \supset I^1_{F_{i+1}}, \ I^2_{F_i} \supset I^2_{F_{i+1}}, \ \|F_i\| \geq \|F_{i+1}\|, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s-1. \tag{3.21}$$

The conclusion also holds for $i = s$ *if* $\mu < k - k_0$ *and* $J < L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$, *while* $I^*_s \neq \emptyset$ *by Remark 3.4 and then* F_{s+1} *can be defined in the way of* (3.14) *by Remark 3.5.*

Proof. We first note that the conclusion holds in the special case $F_{i+1} \subset F_i$. Also note that when $s \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, we always have the existence of $\tau^{(i)}$, and $I^*_i = D_{\tau^{(i)}} I^*_{i-1}$ holds by constraint 2), meaning $I^*_i \subset I^*_{i-1}$ and

$$I^*_{i-1} \setminus I^*_i = \{N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})\}, \tag{3.22}$$

where $N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})$ is well defined because of (3.20). By Remark 3.4, (3.22) is also true for $i = s$ if $\mu < k - k_0$ and $J < L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$.

If $I^*_{i,1} \setminus I^*_{i-1,1} = \{\tau^{(i)}\}$ and $I^*_{i,2} = I^*_{i-1,2}$, we of course have $F_{i+1} \subset F_i$ by definition.

If $I^*_{i,1} = I^*_{i-1,1}$, $I^*_{i,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2} = \{\tau^{(i)}\}$, and $\tau^{(i)} = \max I^*_{i-1}$, then $\tau^{(i)} = N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})$ by definition. For any $E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_{i+1}$, we have $j_2 \in I^*_i \subset I^*_{i-1}$, $j_2 < \tau^{(i)}$ and

$$j_1 = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus (I^*_{i-1,2} \cup \{\tau^{(i)}\}), j_2) = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, j_2), \tag{3.23}$$

which means $E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_i$. So $F_{i+1} \subset F_i$ is also true.

If $I^*_{i,1} = I^*_{i-1,1}$, $I^*_{i,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2} = \{\tau^{(i)}\}$, $\tau^{(i)} < \max I^*_{i-1}$ and $\tau^{(i)} \notin I^*_{i-1}$, we must have $\tau^{(i)} \notin I^*_i$ and $\tau^{(i)} < N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})$. So for any $E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_{i+1}$, we have either $j_2 < \tau^{(i)}$ or $j_2 > N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})$. Now (3.23) of course holds when $j_2 < \tau^{(i)}$, and it also holds when $j_2 > N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})$ because $\tau^{(i)} < N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)}) \in I^*_{i-1} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}$, which combined with the assumption $I^*_{i,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2} = \{\tau^{(i)}\}$ also implies $N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)}) \in I^*_{i-1} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i,2}$. Therefore $E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_i$, and $F_{i+1} \subset F_i$ still holds.

Lastly, if $I^*_{i,1} = I^*_{i-1,1}$, $I^*_{i,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2} = \{\tau^{(i)}\}$, $\tau^{(i)} < \max I^*_{i-1}$ and $\tau^{(i)} \in I^*_{i-1}$, we must have $\tau^{(i)} = N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})$ and the existence of $N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1) = N(I^*_i, \tau^{(i)}) \in I^*_i$. Splitting $F_i = F_i^{(1)} \cup F_i^{(2)}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^{(1)} &= \{E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_i;\ j_2 < \tau^{(i)} \text{ or } j_2 > N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)\}, \\ F_i^{(2)} &= \{E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_i;\ j_2 = \tau^{(i)} \text{ or } j_2 = N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

the same discussion in the previous case shows that

$$F_i^{(1)} = \{E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_{i+1};\ j_2 < \tau^{(i)} \text{ or } j_2 > N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)\}, \tag{3.25}$$

and therefore

$$F_{i+1} = F_i^{(1)} \cup \{E_{\tilde{j}, N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)}+1)}\}, \tag{3.26}$$

where $\tilde{j} = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i,2}, N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1))$. If

$$L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)) > \tau^{(i)},$$

we must have

$$L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)) = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus (I^*_{i-1,2} \cup \{\tau^{(i)}\}), N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)) = \tilde{j},$$

which means $E_{\tilde{j}, N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)}+1)} \in F_i$ and therefore $F_{i+1} \subset F_i$. If

$$L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)) = \tau^{(i)}, \tag{3.27}$$

which is the only possibility left since $N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1) > \tau^{(i)} \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}$, we must have

$$\tilde{j} = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus (I^*_{i-1,2} \cup \{\tau^{(i)}\}), N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)} + 1)) < \tau^{(i)},$$

and consequently

$$\tilde{j} = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i,2}, \tau^{(i)}) = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus (I^*_{i-1,2} \cup \{\tau^{(i)}\}), \tau^{(i)}) = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, \tau^{(i)}),$$

which together with (3.24) and (3.27) implies

$$F_i = F_i^{(1)} \cup \{E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2},\tau^{(i)}),\tau^{(i)}}, E_{\tau^{(i)},N(I^*_{i-1},\tau^{(i)}+1)}\} = F_i^{(1)} \cup \{E_{\tilde{j},\tau^{(i)}}, E_{\tau^{(i)},N(I^*_{i-1},\tau^{(i)}+1)}\}, \quad (3.28)$$

so it is now obvious to see $I^1_{F_i} = I^1_{F_{i+1}}$ and $I^2_{F_i} \supset I^2_{F_{i+1}}$ if we compare (3.26) with (3.28), while $\|F_i\| \geq \|F_{i+1}\|$ is a consequence of the triangle inequality applied to $E_{\tilde{j},N(I^*_{i-1},\tau^{(i)}+1)} = E_{\tilde{j},\tau^{(i)}} + E_{\tau^{(i)},N(I^*_{i-1},\tau^{(i)}+1)}$. □

Remark 3.8. *The proof also shows that either $F_{i+1} \subset F_i$ holds, or there exist $j_1 < j_2 < j_3$ such that $E_{j_1,j_2}, E_{j_2,j_3} \in F_i$, $E_{j_1,j_3} \in F_{i+1}$ and $F_i \setminus \{E_{j_1,j_2}, E_{j_2,j_3}\} = F_{i+1} \setminus \{E_{j_1,j_3}\}$.*

Next, we introduce some lemmas for the proof of Proposition 3.3, and formally the key one is Lemma 3.11 which exploits the pattern if we integrate (3.9) by parts once and once again.

Lemma 3.9. *If integral* (3.9) *in Proposition 3.3 is given, then*

$$I^1_{F_i} \subset \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{i-1,1},\ I^2_{F_i} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s.$$

The conclusion also holds for $i = s+1$ if $I^*_s \neq \emptyset$ while F_{s+1} can be defined in the way of (3.14) *by Remark 3.5.*

Proof. To show the first inclusion, we pick up any $j \in I^1_{F_i}$, then there exists $i_0 \in I^*_{i-1} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}$ with

$$L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, i_0) < j \leq i_0,$$

which implies $D_j(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}) = (\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}) \setminus \{i_0\}$, so it is impossible for $j \in I^*_{i-1,1}$ to hold, because otherwise

$$I^*_{i-1} = D_{I^*_{i-1,1}} D_{I^*_{i-1,2}} \mathbb{K} \subset D_j(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}) = (\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}) \setminus \{i_0\} \not\ni i_0,$$

which is a contradiction. The second inclusion is obvious by (3.19). □

Lemma 3.10. *Given $I_1 \subset \mathbb{K}$, $I_2 \subset \mathbb{K}_0$, $I = D_{I_2}(\mathbb{K} \setminus I_1) \neq \emptyset$, $y_0 = x_0$, $y_{k+1} = x_{k+1}$ and the change of variables*

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_i - x_{i+1}, & i \in \mathbb{K} \cap I_2, \\ x_i, & i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I_2. \end{cases}$$

Then for each $i \in I$, the following statements hold:

1) *If $j \in \mathbb{K}_0$, $j \neq i$ and $j \neq L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_2, i)$, then $x_j - x_{j+1}$ is independent of y_i in the y-coordinates.*
2) *$\nabla_{y_i} X = -E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_2,i),i}$ holds where $E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_2,i),i}$ is defined.*

Proof. For each $i \in I$, we first note that $L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_2, i)$ is well defined for the same reason explained in Remark 3.5. To show 1), one checks that

$$x_j - x_{j+1} = \begin{cases} y_j, & j \in \mathbb{K} \cap I_2, \\ y_j - \sum_{\tau=j+1}^{j^*} y_\tau, & j \in (\mathbb{K} \setminus I_2) \cup \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$j^* = N((\mathbb{K} \setminus I_2) \cup \{k+1\}, j+1).$$

The case of $j \in \mathbb{K} \cap I_2$ is obvious because $I \subset \mathbb{K} \setminus I_2$. If $j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus (\mathbb{K} \cap I_2)$, $j \neq i$ and $j \neq L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_2, i)$, since $L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_2, i) = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus (\mathbb{K} \cap I_2), i)$ always holds, we have either $j < j+1 \leq \cdots \leq j^* < i$ or $i < j < j+1 \leq \cdots \leq j^*$, and therefore $x_j - x_{j+1} = y_j - \sum_{\tau=j+1}^{j^*} y_\tau$ is also independent of y_i.

To show 2), by the conclusion of 1) and the fact that $L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_2, i)^* = i$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{y_i} X &= \nabla_{y_i}|x_i - x_{i+1}| + \nabla_{y_i}|x_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)} - x_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)+1}| \\
&= \nabla_{y_i}\left|y_i - \textstyle\sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*} y_\tau\right| + \nabla_{y_i}\left|y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)} - \sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)+1}^{i} y_\tau\right| \\
&= \frac{y_i - \sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*} y_\tau}{\left|y_i - \sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*} y_\tau\right|} - \frac{y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)} - \sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)+1}^{i} y_\tau}{\left|y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)} - \sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)+1}^{i} y_\tau\right|} \\
&= \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{|x_i - x_{i+1}|} - \frac{x_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)} - x_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)+1}}{|x_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)} - x_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i)+1}|} \\
&= -E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I_2,i),i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

□

Lemma 3.11. *Given $\dot{s} \in \{0, \cdots, k-k_0-1\}$, $\dot{\alpha}_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ for $i \in \mathbb{K}$, $\dot{d}_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for $i \in \mathbb{K}_0$, and two sequences of indices*

$$I^*_{0,1} \subset \cdots \subset I^*_{\dot{s},1} \subset \mathbb{K}, \quad I^*_{0,2} \subset \cdots \subset I^*_{\dot{s},2} \subset \mathbb{K}_0, \tag{3.30}$$

with $I_i^* \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 0, \cdots, \dot{s}$ where I_i^* is defined in the way of (3.13) *so that $F_1, \cdots, F_{\dot{s}+1}$ can be defined in the way of* (3.14) *by Remark 3.5, and we assume*

$$\begin{cases}
I^1_{F_1} \supset \cdots \supset I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}},\ I^2_{F_1} \supset \cdots \supset I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}, & \\
|\dot{\alpha}_i| \leq \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}, \\
0 \leq \dot{d}_i \leq \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}, \\
|\dot{\alpha}_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}, \\
0 \leq \dot{d}_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}},
\end{cases} \tag{3.31}$$

where $I^1_{F_i}$ and $I^2_{F_i}$ are defined in the way of (3.16) *which is also equivalent to* (3.19). *Consider the expression*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-\dot{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i) \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{\dot{s}+1} \|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i} \\
\times \dot{g}(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k, F_1, \cdots, F_{\dot{s}+1}) \dot{\psi}(X/T) \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

where $\dot{J} = |\dot{\alpha}_1| + \cdots + |\dot{\alpha}_k| + \dot{d}_0 + \cdots + \dot{d}_k$, $\dot{g}(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k, F_1, \cdots, F_{\dot{s}+1})$ is smooth and supported away from the origin in every variable satisfying estimates of the same type to

(3.18), $\dot{\psi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *is bounded with* $\dot{\psi}' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, *and* $\dot{p}_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *with*

$$\dot{p}_i + \cdots + \dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1} \leq \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_i}} |\dot{\alpha}_j| + \sum_{j \in I^2_{F_i}} 2\dot{d}_j, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \dot{s}+1. \tag{3.33}$$

Then integral (3.32) *is a finite linear combination of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-\dot{J}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{K}} V^{(\ddot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\ddot{d}_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{\dot{s}+1} \|F_i\|^{-\ddot{p}_i} \\ \times \ddot{g}(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k, F_1, \cdots, F_{\dot{s}+1}) \ddot{\psi}(X/T) \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

where $\ddot{g}$ *and* $\ddot{\psi}$ *inherit the properties of* $\dot{g}$ *and* $\dot{\psi}$ *respectively, and furthermore, there either exists* $i_0 \in I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} |\ddot{\alpha}_{i_0}| = |\dot{\alpha}_{i_0}| + 1, \\ \ddot{\alpha}_i = \dot{\alpha}_i, & i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{i_0\}, \\ \ddot{d}_i = \dot{d}_i, & i \in \mathbb{K}_0, \end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

or exists $i_0 \in I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{d}_{i_0} = \dot{d}_{i_0} + 1, \\ \ddot{\alpha}_i = \dot{\alpha}_i, & i \in \mathbb{K}, \\ \ddot{d}_i = \dot{d}_i, & i \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i_0\}, \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

while in both cases we always have

$$\ddot{p}_i + \cdots + \ddot{p}_{\dot{s}+1} \leq \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_i}} |\ddot{\alpha}_j| + \sum_{j \in I^2_{F_i}} 2\ddot{d}_j, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \dot{s}+1. \tag{3.37}$$

Proof. Set $y_0 = x_0$, $y_{k+1} = x_{k+1}$, and the change of variables

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_i - x_{i+1}, & i \in \mathbb{K} \cap I^*_{\dot{s},2} \\ x_i, & i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$r_i = x_i - x_{i+1} = \begin{cases} y_i, & i \in \mathbb{K} \cap I^*_{\dot{s},2}, \\ y_i - \sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*} y_\tau, & i \in (\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}) \cup \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where $i^* = N((\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}) \cup \{k+1\}, i+1)$, and

$$x_i = \sum_{\tau=i}^{(i-1)^*} y_\tau, \quad i \in \mathbb{K},$$

so such change of variables and its inverse only leave with universal constants. We denote $\tilde{V} = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{K}} V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i)$, $\tilde{r} = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i)}$, $\tilde{g} = \dot{g}(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k, F_1, \cdots, F_{\dot{s}+1})$ and $\tilde{\psi} = \dot{\psi}(X/T)$ for convention.

In the sequel, we will frequently use Lemma 3.10 with $I_1 = I^*_{\dot{s},1}$, $I_2 = I^*_{\dot{s},2}$ and so $I = I^*_{\dot{s}} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} = (\nabla_{y_{i_1}}, \cdots, \nabla_{y_{i_\nu}})$ where $i_1, \cdots, i_\nu \in I^*_{\dot{s}}$ be increasing. The second conclusion of Lemma 3.10 shows that

$$\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X = -\Big(E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, i_1), i_1}, \cdots, E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, i_\nu), i_\nu}\Big), \tag{3.38}$$

and therefore $|\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X| = \|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|$. Note that

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} = \mathrm{i}^{-1}\lambda^{-1}|\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X|^{-2}\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X \cdot \nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} = \mathrm{i}^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-2}\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X \cdot \nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X},$$

integration by parts in the y-coordinates gives

$$-\mathrm{i}^{-1}\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} \cdot \left(\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}\tilde{V}\tilde{r}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+2)}\prod_{i=1}^{\dot{s}}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X\right)\mathrm{d}y_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}y_k, \tag{3.39}$$

and there are five types of integrals derived from (3.39).

The first type is

$$\mathrm{I} = \lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}\tilde{r}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\prod_{i=1}^{\dot{s}}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\left(\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}\tilde{V}\cdot\frac{\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X}{\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|}\right)\mathrm{d}y_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}y_k.$$

Note that

$$\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}\tilde{V} = \nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}\Big(\textstyle\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(\sum_{\tau=i}^{(i-1)^*} y_\tau)\Big), \tag{3.40}$$

if $i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$, it must follows that $\{i, \cdots, (i-1)^*\} \cap I^*_{\dot{s}} = \emptyset$, otherwise there exists $j \in \{i, \cdots, (i-1)^*\} \cap I^*_{\dot{s}} \subset \{i, \cdots, N((\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}) \cup \{k+1\}, i)\} \cap (\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2})$, and then $j = N((\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}) \cup \{k+1\}, i) = N(\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, i)$ holds, which implies $L(\mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, j) < i \leq j$ and the contradiction $i \in I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$. So the gradient in (3.40) only falls on $V^{(\dot{\alpha}_{i_0})}(\sum_{\tau=i_0}^{(i_0-1)^*} y_\tau)$ where $i_0 \in I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$. On the other hand, (3.38) indicates that each one dimensional component of $\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}} X/\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|$ is a component of $E_{j_1,j_2}/\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|$ for some $E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_{\dot{s}+1}$. We thus conclude that I is a finite linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{r}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\\
&\qquad\times V^{(\ddot{\alpha}_{i_0})}(\textstyle\sum_{\tau=i_0}^{(i_0-1)^*} y_\tau)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{i_0\}} V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(\sum_{\tau=i}^{(i-1)^*} y_\tau)\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q\mathrm{d}y_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}y_k\\
=&C\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}V^{(\ddot{\alpha}_{i_0})}(x_{i_0})\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{i_0\}} V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i)}\\
&\qquad\times\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q\mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

where $i_0 \in I^1_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$, $|\ddot{\alpha}_{i_0}| = |\dot{\alpha}_{i_0}| + 1$, and Q is a monomial in $E_{j_1,j_2}/\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|$ for some $E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_{\dot{s}+1}$.

The second type of integrals derived from (3.39) is

$$\mathrm{II} = \lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}\tilde{V}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\left(\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}\tilde{r}\cdot\frac{\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}X}{\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|}\right)\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k.$$

If $i\in I^*_{\dot{s}}$ and $i_0\in\mathbb{K}_0$, Lemma 3.10 indicates that y_i is independent of r_{i_0} unless $i_0=i$ or $i_0=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)$, where in either case we have $i_0\in I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$ by definition, and of course $i_0\in(\mathbb{K}\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2})\cup\{0\}$. One checks in a way similar to (3.29) that

$$\nabla_{y_i}|r_{i_0}|^{-1}=\begin{cases}-|r_{i_0}|^{-2}\frac{r_{i_0}}{|r_{i_0}|}, & i_0=i,\\ |r_{i_0}|^{-2}\frac{r_{i_0}}{|r_{i_0}|}, & i_0=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),\end{cases}$$

so we conclude that II is a finite linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{V}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\\&\qquad\times|r_{i_0}|^{-(n-2-l_{i_0}+\dot{d}_{i_0}+1)}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i_0\}}|r_i|^{-n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k\\=&C\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}|r_{i_0}|^{-(n-2-l_{i_0}+\dot{d}_{i_0}+1)}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i_0\}}|r_i|^{-n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i}\\&\qquad\times\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,\end{aligned}\tag{3.42}$$

where $i_0\in I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$ and Q is a polynomial in $(r_{i_0}/|r_{i_0}|, E_{j_1,j_2}/\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|)$ for some $E_{j_1,j_2}\in F_{\dot{s}+1}$.

The third type of integrals derived from (3.39) is

$$\mathrm{III} = \lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}\tilde{V}\tilde{r}\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}\left(\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+2)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\right)\cdot\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}X\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k.$$

If $i\in I^*_{\dot{s}}$ and $j_1,j_2\in\mathbb{K}_0$, by Lemma 3.10, E_{j_1,j_2} is independent of y_i unless

$$\{j_1,j_2\}\cap\{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),i\}\neq\emptyset,$$

so then for $i\in I^*_{\dot{s}}$ and $1\le j_0\le\dot{s}+1$, we have

$$\nabla_{y_i}\|F_{j_0}\|^{-1}=-\tfrac{1}{2}\|F_{j_0}\|^{-3}\sum_{\substack{E_{j_1,j_2}\in F_{j_0}\\ \{j_1,j_2\}\cap\{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),i\}\neq\emptyset}}\nabla_{y_i}\left|E_{j_1,j_2}\right|^2,$$

and there are at most three non-trivial terms in the summation since $L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)<i$:

- If $j_1 = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, i)$ and $j_2 \neq i$, then y_i is independent of r_{j_2} by Lemma 3.10, and similar to (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{y_i}\left|E_{j_1,j_2}\right|^2 =&\nabla_{y_i}\left|\frac{y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}-\sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)+1}^{i} y_\tau}{|y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}-\sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)+1}^{i} y_\tau|}-\frac{r_{j_2}}{|r_{j_2}|}\right|^2\\ =&-2\left(E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),j_2}\cdot r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}\right)\frac{r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}}{|r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}|^3}-2\frac{E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),j_2}}{|r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}|}.\end{aligned}$$

- If $j_1 = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, i)$ and $j_2 = i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{y_i}\left|E_{j_1,j_2}\right|^2 =&\nabla_{y_i}\left|\frac{y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}-\sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)+1}^{i} y_\tau}{|y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}-\sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)+1}^{i} y_\tau|}-\frac{y_i-\sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*} y_\tau}{|y_i-\sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*} y_\tau|}\right|^2\\ =&-2\left(E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),i}\cdot r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}\right)\frac{r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}}{|r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}|^3}+2\left(E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),i}\cdot r_i\right)\frac{r_i}{|r_i|^3}\\ &-2\left(|r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}|^{-1}+|r_i|^{-1}\right)E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),i}.\end{aligned}$$

- If $j_2 = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, i)$, then y_i is independent of r_{j_1}, and calculation in the first case above implies

$$\nabla_{y_i}\left|E_{j_1,j_2}\right|^2 = 2\left(E_{j_1,L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}\cdot r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}\right)\frac{r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}}{|r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}|^3}+2\frac{E_{j_1,L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}}{|r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}|}.$$

Since $I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}} = \cup_{i\in I^*_{\dot{s}}}\{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}, i), i\}$, the above argument implies that when $1 \le j_0 \le \dot{s}+1$, each one dimensional component of $\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}\|F_{j_0}\|^{-1}$ is a sum of the form $\|F_{j_0}\|^{-2}|r_{i_0}|^{-1}Q$ where $i_0 \in I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$ and Q is a polynomial in $(r_{i_0}/|r_{i_0}|, E_{j_1,j_2}/\|F_{j_0}\|)$ for some $E_{j_1,j_2} \in F_{j_0}$. Hence if $\dot{s} \ge 1$, III is then a finite linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{V}\tilde{r}|r_{i_0}|^{-1}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+2)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q_1\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k\\ =&C\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}|r_{i_0}|^{-(n-2-l_{i_0}+\dot{d}_{i_0}+1)}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i_0\}}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i)}\\ &\times\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+2)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q_1\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,\end{aligned}\tag{3.43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{V}\tilde{r}|r_{i_0}|^{-1}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\|F_{j_0}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{j_0}+1)}\prod_{\substack{i<\dot{s}+1\\ i\neq j_0}}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q_2\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k\\
=&C\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}|r_{i_0}|^{-(n-2-l_{i_0}+\dot{d}_{i_0}+1)}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i_0\}}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i)}\\
&\qquad\times\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\|F_{j_0}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{j_0}+1)}\prod_{\substack{i<\dot{s}+1\\ i\neq j_0}}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}Q_2\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.44}$$

where $i_0\in I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$, Q_1 is a polynomial in $(r_{i_0}/|r_{i_0}|, E_{j_1,j_2}/\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|)$ for some $E_{j_1,j_2}\in F_{\dot{s}+1}$, $1\le j_0\le\dot{s}$, and Q_2 is a polynomial in $(r_{i_0}/|r_{i_0}|, E_{j_1,j_2}/\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|, E_{j_1',j_2'}/\|F_{j_0}\|)$ for some $E_{j_1,j_2}\in F_{\dot{s}+1}$ and some $E_{j_1',j_2'}\in F_{j_0}$. If $\dot{s}=0$, we then only have terms like (3.43) in the combination.

The fourth type of integrals derived from (3.39) is

$$\mathrm{IV}=\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}(\Delta_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}X)\tilde{V}\tilde{r}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+2)}\prod_{i=1}^{\dot{s}}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k.$$

If $i\in I^*_{\dot{s}}$, it follows by (3.38) and (3.29) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{y_i}X=&-\nabla_{y_i}\cdot E_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2}),i}=\nabla_{y_i}\cdot\left(\frac{y_i-\sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*}y_\tau}{|y_i-\sum_{\tau=i+1}^{i^*}y_\tau|}-\frac{y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}-\sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)+1}^{i}y_\tau}{|y_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}-\sum_{\tau=L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)+1}^{i}y_\tau|}\right)\\
=&(n-1)\left(|r_{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i)}|^{-1}+|r_i|^{-1}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

so we conclude by $I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}=\cup_{i\in I^*_{\dot{s}}}\{L(\mathbb{K}_0\setminus I^*_{\dot{s},2},i),i\}$ again that IV is a finite linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}|r_{i_0}|^{-1}\tilde{V}\tilde{r}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+2)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k\\
=&C\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}|r_{i_0}|^{-(n-2-l_{i_0}+\dot{d}_{i_0}+1)}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V^{(\dot{\alpha}_i)}(x_i)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i_0\}}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\dot{d}_i)}\\
&\qquad\times\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+2)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.45}$$

where $i_0\in I^2_{F_{\dot{s}+1}}$.

The fifth type of integrals derived from (3.39) is

$$\mathrm{V}=\lambda^{-\dot{J}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{V}\tilde{r}\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|^{-(\dot{p}_{\dot{s}+1}+1)}\prod_{i<\dot{s}+1}\|F_i\|^{-\dot{p}_i}\left(\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}(\tilde{g}\tilde{\psi})\cdot\frac{\nabla_{y_{I^*_{\dot{s}}}}X}{\|F_{\dot{s}+1}\|}\right)\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_k.$$

By the properties presumed for $\dot{g}$ and $\dot{\psi}$, with a mixture of the arguments for II and III, we conclude without more details that V is a finite linear combination of the form (3.34) with properties that come along.

Now (3.37) when $i = \dot{s} + 1$ and the alternatives (3.35), (3.36) are obviously seen from (3.41), (3.42), (3.43), (3.44) ,(3.45), the discussion for V, and (3.33), while the other cases in (3.37) is a result of (3.33) and the inclusions in (3.31). □

Now we are ready to show Proposition 3.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. The proof will be an induction on μ by repeatedly using Lemma 3.11. To satisfy the condition that $\dot{g}$ being supported away from the origin in each of $r_1, \cdots, r_k, F_1, \cdots, F_{\dot{s}+1}$, we in principle should first introduce cutoffs in $|r_i|/\epsilon$ and $\|F_i\|/\epsilon$ whose derivatives have the same type of bounds in the discussion for II and III in the proof of Lemma 3.11, and let $\epsilon \to 0$ when such application comes to an end. The convergence is actually a result of the fact that (3.9) is absolutely convergent for $\mu = k - k_0$, which is a consequence of Proposition 3.21 studied later. To avoid distraction, we will pretend that such condition on $\dot{g}$ has been satisfied in the following application.

We first prove the statement for $\mu = 1$. Note that Lemma 3.11 is first applicable to U^l, that is $\dot{s} = 0$, $|\dot{\alpha}_i| \equiv 0$, $\dot{d}_i \equiv 0$, $\dot{p}_1 = 0$, $\dot{g} = f$, $\dot{\psi} = \phi$, $\dot{P} \equiv 1$, $I^*_{0,1} = \emptyset$,

$$I^*_{0,2} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0; l_i + 2 - 2m \le 0\} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0; 0 = \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}\}, \tag{3.46}$$

and therefore $I^*_0 = \{i \in \mathbb{K}; l_i + 2 - 2m > 0\} \neq \emptyset$ by the initial assumption (3.2). Inductively, after finitely many times of applying Lemma 3.11 whenever applicable to terms in the combination, we must end up with the fact that U^l is a finite linear combination of integrals in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-\check{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V^{(\check{\alpha}_i)}(x_i) \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\check{d}_i)} \|F_1\|^{-\check{p}_1} \\ \times \check{g}(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k, F_1) \check{\psi}(X/T) \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \check{J} = |\check{\alpha}_1| + \cdots + |\check{\alpha}_k| + \check{d}_0 + \cdots + \check{d}_k, & \\ |\check{\alpha}_i| = 0, & i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^1_{F_1}, \\ \check{d}_i = 0, & i \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^2_{F_1}, \\ \check{p}_1 \le \sum_{j\in I^1_{F_1}} |\check{\alpha}_j| + \sum_{j\in I^2_{F_1}} 2\check{d}_j, & \end{cases}$$

and the subordinate parameters are subject to three cases:

Case 1 There exists $i_0 \in I^1_{F_1}$ such that $|\check{\alpha}_{i_0}| = \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m$ and

$$\begin{cases} |\check{\alpha}_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in I^1_{F_1} \setminus \{i_0\}, \\ 0 \le \check{d}_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in I^2_{F_1}, \\ L_{k_0} \le \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m \le \check{J} \le L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}. & \end{cases}$$

In this case, we define $I^*_{1,1} = \{i_0\}$, $I^*_{1,2} = I^*_{0,2}$. It is obvious that

$$I^*_{1,1} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}; |\check{\alpha}_i| = \tfrac{n+2}{2} - 2m\}, \tag{3.48}$$

It also follows by (3.19) that

$$I^2_{F_1} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{0,2} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0; l_i + 2 - 2m > 0\}, \tag{3.49}$$

which combining with (3.46) implies

$$I^*_{1,2} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0; \check{d}_i = \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}\}. \tag{3.50}$$

Case 2 *There exists* $i_0 \in I^2_{F_1}$ *such that* $0 < \check{d}_{i_0} = \max\{0, l_{i_0} + 2 - 2m\}$ *and*

$$\begin{cases} |\check{\alpha}_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in I^1_{F_1}, \\ 0 \le \check{d}_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in I^2_{F_1} \setminus \{i_0\}, \\ L_{k_0} \le \max\{0, l_{i_0} + 2 - 2m\} \le \check{J} \le L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}. \end{cases}$$

In this case, we define $I^*_{1,1} = I^*_{0,1} = \emptyset$ and $I^*_{1,2} = I^*_{0,2} \cup \{i_0\}$. Now (3.49) implies $i_0 \notin I^*_{0,2}$ and (3.50) holds in a similar way, while (3.48) holds trivially.

Case 3 *It follows that*

$$\begin{cases} |\check{\alpha}_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in I^1_{F_1}, \\ 0 \le \check{d}_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in I^2_{F_1}, \\ \check{J} = L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}. \end{cases}$$

In this case, we just need to define $I^*_{1,1} = I^*_{0,1}$ and $I^*_{1,2} = I^*_{0,2}$.

In all three cases, (3.17) holds for $i = 1$ by definition. Now all constraints are checked for $\mu = 1$ in the statement if we equip integral (3.47) with the sequences $I^*_{0,1} \subset I^*_{1,1}$ and $I^*_{0,2} \subset I^*_{1,2}$ defined respectively in the above three cases.

By induction, we now suppose $k - k_0 \ge 2$ and validity of the statement for some $\mu \in \{1, \cdots, k - k_0 - 1\}$. First note that for every integral (3.9) equipped with sequences (3.10) in the combination, if $J = L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$, it is then trivial that all subordinate constraints remain true with μ replaced by $\mu + 1$.

So discussion is only needed when $s = \mu$ and $J < L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}$, while we recall that $\tau^{(\mu)}$ in (3.12) must exist. Now Lemma 3.11 is first applicable to (3.9), that is, $\dot{s} = \mu$,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{J} = J,\ \dot{p}_{\mu+1} = 0, & \\ \dot{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, & i \in \mathbb{K}, \\ \dot{d}_i = d_i, & i \in \mathbb{K}_0 \\ \dot{p}_i = p_i, & i = 0, \cdots, \mu, \end{cases}$$

sequences (3.30) given by (3.10), $\dot{g} = g$, $\dot{\psi} = \psi$ and $\dot{P} = P$. This is because $I^*_0, \cdots, I^*_\mu$ are nonempty by Remark 3.4, the inclusions in the first line of (3.31) are guaranteed by

Lemma 3.7, we also know by Lemma 3.9 that

$$\begin{cases} I^1_{F_{\mu+1}} \subset \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{\mu,1} = \{i \in \mathbb{K};\ |\alpha_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m\}, \\ I^2_{F_{\mu+1}} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{\mu,2} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0;\ 0 \le d_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}\}, \end{cases}$$

which checks the last two lines of (3.31), and (3.33) trivially holds. Inductively using Lemma 3.11, we end up with the fact that integral (3.9) is a finite linear combination of integrals in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-\tilde{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda X} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V^{(\tilde{\alpha}_i)}(x_i) \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+\tilde{d}_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu+1} \|F_i\|^{-\tilde{p}_i} \\ \times \tilde{g}(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k, F_1, \cdots, F_{\mu+1}) \tilde{\psi}(X/T) \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{J} = |\tilde{\alpha}_1| + \cdots + |\tilde{\alpha}_k| + \tilde{d}_0 + \cdots + \tilde{d}_k, & \\ \tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, & i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^1_{F_{\mu+1}}, \\ \tilde{d}_i = d_i, & i \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^2_{F_{\mu+1}}, \\ \tilde{p}_i + \cdots + \tilde{p}_{s+1} \le \sum_{j\in I^1_{F_i}} |\tilde{\alpha}_j| + \sum_{j\in I^2_{F_i}} 2\tilde{d}_j, & i = 1, \cdots, \mu+1, \end{cases} \tag{3.52}$$

and the subordinate parameters are subject to three cases:

Case 1' There exists $i_0 \in I^1_{F_{\mu+1}}$ *such that* $|\tilde{\alpha}_{i_0}| = \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m$ *and*

$$\begin{cases} |\tilde{\alpha}_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in I^1_{F_{\mu+1}} \setminus \{i_0\}, \\ 0 \le \tilde{d}_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in I^2_{F_{\mu+1}}, \\ L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k_0+\mu} \le \tilde{J} \le L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}. & \end{cases}$$

In this case, we define $I^*_{\mu+1,1} = I^*_{\mu,1} \cup \{i_0\}$ and $I^*_{\mu+1,2} = I^*_{\mu,2}$.

Case 2' There exists $i_0 \in I^2_{F_{\mu+1}}$ *such that* $0 < \tilde{d}_{i_0} = \max\{0, l_{i_0} + 2 - 2m\}$

$$\begin{cases} |\tilde{\alpha}_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in I^1_{F_{\mu+1}}, \\ 0 \le \tilde{d}_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in I^2_{F_{\mu+1}} \setminus \{i_0\}, \\ L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k_0+\mu} \le \tilde{J} \le L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}. & \end{cases}$$

In this case, we define $I^*_{\mu+1,1} = I^*_{\mu,1}$ and $I^*_{\mu+1,2} = I^*_{\mu,2} \cup \{i_0\}$.

Case 3' It follows that

$$\begin{cases} |\tilde{\alpha}_i| < \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i \in I^1_{F_{\mu+1}}, \\ 0 \le \tilde{d}_i < \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}, & i \in I^2_{F_{\mu+1}}, \\ \tilde{J} = L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k-1}. & \end{cases}$$

In this case, we define $I^*_{\mu+1,1} = I^*_{\mu,1}$ and $I^*_{\mu+1,2} = I^*_{\mu,2}$.

The remaining discussion is parallel to those from Case 1 to Case 3 previously. That $i_0 \notin I^*_{\mu,1}$ in Case 1' and that $i_0 \notin I^*_{\mu,2}$ in Case 2' are implied by Lemma 3.9. We also conclude in all three cases that (3.17) holds for $i = \mu + 1$ by definition, and that

$$\begin{cases} I^*_{\mu+1,1} = \{i \in \mathbb{K};\ |\tilde{\alpha}_i| = \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m\}, \\ I^*_{\mu+1,2} = \{i \in \mathbb{K}_0;\ \tilde{d}_i = \max\{0, l_i + 2 - 2m\}\}, \end{cases}$$

which follows by (3.52), the definitions of $I^*_{\mu,1}$ and $I^*_{\mu,2}$, and the consequence of Lemma 3.9 saying

$$I^*_{\mu,1} \subset \mathbb{K} \setminus I^1_{F_{\mu+1}}, \quad I^*_{\mu,2} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^2_{F_{\mu+1}}.$$

Now integral (3.51) satisfies all constraints in the statement for $\mu + 1$ if we equip it with the sequences $I^*_{0,1} \subset \cdots \subset I^*_{\mu,1} \subset I^*_{\mu+1,1}$ and $I^*_{0,2} \subset \cdots \subset I^*_{\mu,2} \subset I^*_{\mu+1,2}$ defined respectively above, and the proof is complete. □

3.3. **Reduction of line singularities.**

We now turn to a reduction of the family of $\|F_i\|$ in the integral (3.9), and the main result in this section is Proposition 3.16. The key property of $F_1, \cdots, F_s$ we observe is that the subscripts of their elements have a nested pattern described by the following.

Definition 3.12. *We call subset A of $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ is admissible, if*

1) $(i, j) \in A$ *implies* $i < j$.
2) $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2) \in A$ *and* $i_1 < j_2 < j_1$ *imply* $i_1 \le i_2$.

If integral (3.9) in Proposition 3.3 is given with $s \ge 2$, then $\tau^{(1)}, \cdots, \tau^{(s-1)}$ defined in (3.12) must exist, and it has been shown in (3.22) that

$$I^*_{i-1} \setminus I^*_i = \{j^{(i)}\} = \{N(I^*_{i-1}, \tau^{(i)})\}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s-1,$$

We define for $j \in \mathbb{K}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_j &= \begin{cases} j - 1, & j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_0, \\ L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, j), & j \in I^*_{i-1} \setminus I^*_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, s-1, \\ L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}, j), & j \in I^*_{s-1}, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} j - 1, & j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_0, \\ L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}, j^{(i)}), & j = j^{(i)},\ i = 1, \cdots, s-1, \\ L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}, j), & j \in I^*_{s-1}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

It immediately follows that $\iota_j < j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\iota_{j^{(i)}}, j^{(i)}} \in F_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s-1, \\ E_{\iota_j, j} \in F_s, \quad j \in I^*_{s-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

We note in advance that the following property is crucial for Proposition 3.21 in the next section.

Proposition 3.13. *If integral* (3.9) *in Proposition 3.3 is given with $s \geq 2$, then $\{(\iota_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ is admissible.*

Proof. Suppose $\iota_j < j' < j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{K}$. We first note that $j \in I_0^*$ must hold because $\iota_j \leq j - 2$, and the conclusion is obviously trivial when $j' \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_0^*$.

If $j' \in I_0^*$ and $\iota_j = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_{i-1,2}^*, j)$ for some $i = 1, \cdots, s$, we know by $\iota_j < j' < j$ that $j' \notin \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_{i-1,2}^*$. Since $I_{i-1}^* \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_{i-1,2}^*$, then $j' \notin I_{i-1}^*$ holds and thus $j' \in I_0^* \setminus I_{i-1}^*$ follows. Therefore, there exists $i' \in \mathbb{K}$ with $1 \leq i' \leq i - 1$ such that $I_{i'-1} \setminus I_{i'}^* = \{j'\}$, and consequently

$$\iota_{j'} = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_{i'-1,2}^*, j') \geq L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_{i-1,2}^*, j') = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I_{i-1,2}^*, j) = \iota_j,$$

where the inequality is a result of $I_{i'-1,2}^* \subset I_{i-1,2}^*$, and the second equality is a conclusion of $\iota_j < j' < j$ again. □

Lemma 3.14. *Suppose integral* (3.9) *in Proposition 3.3 is given with $s \geq 2$, and*

$$p_i + \cdots + p_s \leq \tilde{p}_i + \cdots + \tilde{p}_s, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s, \tag{3.55}$$

where $\tilde{p}_1, \cdots, \tilde{p}_s \geq 0$. Then

$$\|F_1\|^{-p_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s} \lesssim \|F_1\|^{-\tilde{p}_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-\tilde{p}_s}.$$

Proof. Lemma 3.7 implies that $1 \gtrsim \|F_1\| \geq \cdots \geq \|F_s\|$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_1\|^{-p_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s} =& \|F_1\|^{-\tilde{p}_1} \|F_1\|^{-(p_1 - \tilde{p}_1)} \|F_2\|^{-p_2} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s} \\ \lesssim& \|F_1\|^{-\tilde{p}_1} \times \begin{cases} \|F_2\|^{-p_2} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s}, & \text{if } p_1 \leq \tilde{p}_1, \\ \|F_2\|^{-(p_1 + p_2 - \tilde{p}_1)} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s}, & \text{if } p_1 > \tilde{p}_1, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows by an induction on s if we use (3.55). □

Lemma 3.15. *If integral* (3.9) *in Proposition 3.3 is given with $s \geq 2$, it follows that*

$$\sum_{j \in I_{F_i}^1} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in I_{F_i}^2} d_j \leq J - (L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k_0 + i - 2}), \quad i = 2, \cdots, s. \tag{3.56}$$

Proof. The inclusions (3.10) imply

$$|\alpha_j| = \tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m \geq L_\tau, \quad j \in I_{i-1,1}^*,$$

for any $k_0 \leq \tau \leq k$ because $l_\tau \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$, and also imply

$$d_j = L_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} > 0, \quad j \in I_{i-1,2}^* \setminus I_{0,2}^*,$$

because $d_j = \max\{0, l_j + 2 - 2m\} = l_j + 2 - 2m > 0$ must hold. Since the constraint 2) in Proposition 3.3 also implies $\#I^*_{i-1,1} + \#(I^*_{i-1,2} \setminus I^*_{0,2}) = i - 1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} J \geq & \left(\sum_{j \in I^*_{i-1,1}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in I^*_{i-1,2} \setminus I^*_{0,2}} d_j \right) + \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{i-1,1}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}} d_j \right) \\ \geq & (L_{k_0} + \cdots + L_{k_0+i-2}) + \left(\sum_{j \in I^1_{F_i}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in I^2_{F_i}} d_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the last line is a consequence of Lemma 3.9. □

Proposition 3.16. *Suppose integral* (3.9) *in Proposition 3.3 is given, and* $\{E_{\iota_j,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{K}}$ *is defined through* (3.53).

1) *If* $s \geq 2$ *and* $I^*_{s-1,1} \neq \emptyset$, *which guarantees the existence of* $i_0 \in \{1, \cdots, s-1\}$ *with* $I^*_{i_0,1} \setminus I^*_{i_0-1,1} = \{\tau^{(i_0)}\}$ *and* $I^*_{i_0,2} = I^*_{i_0-1,2}$, *then*

$$\|F_1\|^{-p_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s} \lesssim |E_{\iota_{j^{(i_0)}}, j^{(i_0)}}|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2} - 2m)} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{j^{(i_0)}\}} |E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)}. \tag{3.57}$$

If $j^{(i_0)} < k$, *then* $j^{(i_0)} \leq \iota_j$ *holds for all* $j \in \{j^{(i_0)} + 1, \cdots, k\}$.

2) *If* $s \geq 2$ *and* $I^*_{s-1,1} = \emptyset$, *then*

$$\|F_1\|^{-p_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s} \lesssim |E_{\iota_k,k}|^{-\min\{n+1-4m, \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m + d_0 + d_k\}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{k\}} |E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)},$$

which also holds if $s = 1$.

Proof. First note that (3.54) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_i\| \geq |E_{\iota_{j^{(i)}}, j^{(i)}}|, \quad i = 1, \cdots, s-1, \\ \|F_s\| \geq |E_{\iota_j,j}|, \quad j \in I^*_{s-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

We first show 1). If $1 \leq i < i_0$, since $I^*_{i_0,1} \setminus I^*_{i_0-1,1} = \{\tau^{(i_0)}\}$ implies $\tau^{(i_0)} \in I^1_{F_{i_0}} \subset I^1_{F_i}$ by (3.17) and Lemma 3.7, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} p_i + \cdots + p_s =& 2 \left(\sum_{j \in I^1_{F_i}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in I^2_{F_i}} d_j \right) - \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_i}} |\alpha_j| \\ \leq & \begin{cases} 2J - |\alpha_{i_0}|, & i = 1, \\ 2(J - L_{k_0} - \cdots - L_{k_0+i-2}) - |\alpha_{i_0}|, & 1 < i < i_0, \end{cases} \\ \leq & 2(L_{k_0+i-1} + \cdots + L_{k-1}) - (\tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m) \\ \leq & (n+1-4m)(k - k_0 - i) + (\tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.15), (3.56), the last inequality in (3.11), and the fact that $|\alpha_{i_0}| = \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m$ for $\tau^{(i_0)} \in I^*_{i_0,1} \subset I^*_{s,1}$. If $i_0 \le i \le s$, we always have by (3.56) that

$$\begin{aligned} p_i + \cdots + p_s \le & 2\left(\sum_{j\in I^1_{F_i}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j\in I^2_{F_i}} d_j\right) \\ \le & \begin{cases} 2J, & i = 1, \\ 2(J - L_{k_0} - \cdots - L_{k_0+i-2}), & 1 < i \le s, \end{cases} \\ \le & 2(L_{k_0+i-1} + \cdots + L_{k-1}) \\ \le & (n+1-4m)(k-k_0-i+1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

Applying Lemma 3.14 with

$$\tilde{p}_i = \begin{cases} n+1-4m, & i \notin \{i_0, s\}, \\ \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m, & i = i_0, \\ (n+1-4m)(k-k_0-s+1), & i = s, \end{cases}$$

and we get by using (3.58), $I^*_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1}\{j^{(i)}\}$ and $\#I^*_{s-1} = k - k_0 - s + 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1\|^{-p_1}\cdots\|F_s\|^{-p_s} \lesssim & \|F_{i_0}\|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\left(\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le s-1\\ i\ne i_0}} \|F_i\|^{-(n+1-4m)}\right)\|F_s\|^{-(n+1-4m)(k-k_0-s+1)} \\ \le & |E_{\iota_{j^{(i_0)}}, j^{(i_0)}}|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)} \prod_{\substack{1\le i\le s-1\\ i\ne i_0}} |E_{\iota_{j^{(i)}}, j^{(i)}}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \prod_{j\in I^*_{s-1}} |E_{\iota_j, j}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \\ = & |E_{\iota_{j^{(i_0)}}, j^{(i_0)}}|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)} \prod_{j\in I^*_0\setminus\{j^{(i_0)}\}} |E_{\iota_j, j}|^{-(n+1-4m)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

which implies (3.57) for $|E_{\iota_j,j}| \lesssim 1$. Now suppose $j^{(i_0)} < k$ and

$$\iota_i < j^{(i_0)} < i, \tag{3.61}$$

for some $i \in \{j^{(i_0)} + 1, \cdots, k\}$, which indicates $\iota_i \le i - 2$, thus

$$i \in I^*_{i'_0-1}, \tag{3.62}$$

and $\iota_i = L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i'_0-1,2}, i)$ hold for some $i'_0 \ge 1$ by (3.53). Note that $i'_0 > i_0$ must hold, because otherwise $i'_0 < i_0$ for $j^{(i_0)} \ne i$, and then $j^{(i_0)} \in I^*_{i_0-1} \subset I^*_{i'_0-1} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i'_0-1,2}$ yields the contradiction $\iota_i \ge j^{(i_0)}$ to (3.61). On the other hand, we have shown at the beginning of the proof that $\tau^{(i_0)} \in I^1_{F_{i_0}}$, so there in the view of (3.19) exists some $j \in I^*_{i_0-1}$ with

$$L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i_0-1,2}, j) < \tau^{(i_0)} \le j. \tag{3.63}$$

Note that $j \in I^*_{i_0-1}$ implies $N(I^*_{i_0-1}, \tau^{(i_0)}) \le j$, that is $j^{(i_0)} \le j$. It is in fact impossible for $j^{(i_0)} < j$ to hold, otherwise, the fact by definition that $j^{(i_0)} \in I^*_{i_0-1} \subset \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i_0-1,2}$ implies $j^{(i_0)} \le L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i_0-1,2}, j) < j$, and the contradiction $\tau^{(i_0)} \le N(I^*_{i_0-1}, \tau^{(i_0)}) = j^{(i_0)} \le L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i_0-1,2}, j) < \tau^{(i_0)}$ to (3.63). Now we must have $j = j^{(i_0)}$, that is

$$L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i_0-1,2}, j^{(i_0)}) < \tau^{(i_0)} \le j^{(i_0)}.$$

This, and the fact $\{j^{(i_0)}, \cdots, i-1\} \subset I^*_{i'_0-1,2}$ implied by (3.61), together show $\{\tau^{(i_0)}, \cdots, i-1\} \subset I^*_{i'_0-1,2}$, and consequently

$$I^*_{i'_0-1} = D_{I^*_{i'_0-1,1}} D_{I^*_{i'_0-1,2}} \mathbb{K} \subset D_{\tau^{(i_0)}} D_{\{\tau^{(i_0)}, \cdots, i-1\}} \mathbb{K},$$

which indicates the contradiction $i \notin I^*_{i'_0-1}$ to (3.62). Therefore, (3.61) is not true, and the proof of 1) is complete.

To show 2), if $s \ge 2$, $I^*_{s-1,1} = \emptyset$ and $\{0, k\} \cap I^*_{s-1,2} \ne \emptyset$, note that (3.59) is true for $i = 1, \cdots, s$, we may apply Lemma 3.14 with

$$\tilde{p}_i = \begin{cases} n+1-4m, & i = 1, \cdots, s-1, \\ (k-k_0-s+1)(n+1-4m), & i = s, \end{cases}$$

and similar to (3.60), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1\|^{-p_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s} &\lesssim \left(\prod_{1 \le i \le s-1} \|F_i\|^{-(n+1-4m)} \right) \|F_s\|^{-(n+1-4m)(k-k_0-s+1)} \\ &\le \prod_{1 \le i \le s-1} |E_{\iota_{j^{(i)}}, j^{(i)}}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \prod_{j \in I^*_{s-1}} |E_{\iota_j, j}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \\ &\le \prod_{j \in \mathbb{K}} |E_{\iota_j, j}|^{-(n+1-4m)}, \end{aligned}$$

and this is a stronger estimate for $n+1-4m \le \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m + d_0 + d_k$ holds when $\{0, k\} \cap I^*_{s-1,2} \ne \emptyset$.

If $I^*_{s-1,1} = \emptyset$ and $\{0, k\} \cap I^*_{s-1,2} = \emptyset$, then

$$I^*_{s-1} = D_{I^*_{s-1,2}} \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{s-1,2} \ni k, \tag{3.64}$$

so it is easy to get from (3.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} I^1_{F_s} &= \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} \{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}, j) + 1, \cdots, j\} = \mathbb{K}, \\ I^2_{F_s} &= \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} \{L(\mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}, j), j\} = \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

Next for $i = 1, \cdots, s$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_i + \cdots + p_s &\leq \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_i}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in I^2_{F_i}} 2d_j \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}} 2d_j \\ &= \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_s}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} 2d_j + \sum_{j \in I^*_{s-1,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}} 2d_j \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_s}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} 2d_j + (n+1-4m)(s-i), \end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.9 and the fact that $\#(I^*_{s-1,2} \setminus I^*_{i-1,2}) \leq s - i$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \in I^1_{F_s}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} 2d_j = \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_s}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in I^2_{F_s}} d_j + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} d_j \\ \leq & L_{k_0+s-1} + \cdots + L_{k-1} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} d_j \\ \leq & (\tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m)(k - k_0 - s + 1) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} d_j \\ = & (\tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m)(k - k_0 - s) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus (I^*_{s-1,2} \cup \{k\})} d_j + (\tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m) + d_0 + d_k \\ \leq & (\tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m)(k - k_0 - s) + (\tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m)\#\left(\mathbb{K} \setminus (I^*_{s-1,2} \cup \{k\})\right) + \tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m + d_0 + d_k \\ \leq & (n+1-4m)(k - k_0 - s) + \tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m + d_0 + d_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

where we have used (3.65), an application of (3.56) that is similar to (3.59), the assumption $\{0, k\} \cap I^*_{s-1,2} = \emptyset$, and the fact that $\#(\mathbb{K} \setminus (I^*_{s-1,2} \cup \{k\})) = k - k_0 - s$ which is due to $\emptyset = I^*_{s-1,1} = \cdots = I^*_{0,1}$ and consequently $I^*_{s-1,2} = I^*_{0,2} \cup \{\tau^{(1)}, \cdots, \tau^{(s-1)}\}$. On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in I^1_{F_s}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus I^*_{s-1,2}} 2d_j \leq & 2\left(\sum_{j \in I^1_{F_s}} |\alpha_j| + \sum_{j \in I^2_{F_s}} d_j \right) \\ \leq & 2(L_{k_0+s-1} + \cdots + L_{k-1}) \\ \leq & (n+1-4m)(k - k_0 - s + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

Combining (3.67), (3.68) and (3.66), we derive for $i = i, \cdots, s$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & p_i + \cdots + p_s \\ \leq & (n+1-4m)(k - k_0 - i) + \min(n+1-4m, \tfrac{n+1}{2} - 2m + d_0 + d_k), \end{aligned}$$

so we may apply Lemma 3.14 with

$$\tilde{p}_i = \begin{cases} n+1-4m, & i = 1, \cdots, s-1, \\ (n+1-4m)(k-k_0-s) + \min\{n+1-4m, \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m + d_0 + d_k\}, & i = s, \end{cases}$$

to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F_1\|^{-p_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s} \\ \lesssim &\left(\prod_{1\le i\le s-1} \|F_i\|^{-(n+1-4m)} \right) \|F_s\|^{-(n+1-4m)(k-k_0-s) - \min\{n+1-4m, \frac{n+1}{2}-2m+d_0+d_k\}} \\ \le &|E_{\iota_k,k}|^{-\min\{n+1-4m, \frac{n+1}{2}-2m+d_0+d_k\}} \prod_{1\le i\le s-1} |E_{\iota_{j(i)},j^{(i)}}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \prod_{j\in I^*_{s-1}\setminus\{k\}} |E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \\ \le &|E_{\iota_k,k}|^{-\min\{n+1-4m, \frac{n+1}{2}-2m+d_0+d_k\}} \prod_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{k\}} |E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we have used (3.64) and consequently $\#(I^*_{s-1} \setminus \{k\}) = k - k_0 - s$. Now the proof of 2) is complete. □

3.4. **Estimates for integrals with point and reduced line singularities.**

Since $\|F_1\|^{-p_1} \cdots \|F_s\|^{-p_s}$ in (3.9) has been estimated in Proposition 3.16 in particular forms, we now need to consider the estimates for integrals in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} &I_k(x_0, x_{k+1}; \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k, a_0, \cdots, a_k, q_1, \cdots, q_k) \\ = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-} \cdots \langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}}{|x_0-x_1|^{a_0} \cdots |x_k - x_{k+1}|^{a_k}} |E_{\eta_1,1}|^{-q_1} \cdots |E_{\eta_k,k}|^{-q_k} \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

based on the three lemmas (Lemma 3.18 to Lemma 3.20), which together prove the main result Proposition 3.21 in this section.

Before introducing these results, it is crucial to note that when there are a lot of line singularities $|E_{\eta_j,j}|^{-q_j}$ in the integral (3.69), the possibility of bounding such integrals will come from further assuming $\{(\eta_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ to be admissible by Definition 3.12, because then we are always able to choose a specific variable x_τ such that Proposition 3.2 is first applicable in the integral of x_τ where at most two line singularities are relevant, and the admissibility of $\{(\eta_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ will also allow such mechanism after each time of applying Proposition 3.2. The choice of such x_τ is asked to obey certain constraints for technical reasons.

Proposition 3.17. *Suppose that* $\{(\eta_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ *in* (3.69) *is admissible. Then we can find* $\tau \in \mathbb{K}$ *such that* x_τ *is independent of* $E_{\eta_j,j}$ *unless* $j \in \{\tau-1, \tau\}$*, and we also assert the following:*

(i) If there exists $s \in \mathbb{K}$ *with* $\eta_s \le s-3$*, then* τ *can be chosen to satisfy* $\eta_s < \tau < s$*.*

(ii) τ *can always be chosen to satisfy either*

$$\eta_k \le \eta_{\tau+1} < \eta_\tau < \tau < \tau+1 \le k, \tag{3.70}$$

or

$$\eta_k < \tau = k. \tag{3.71}$$

Proof. To prove (i), let

$$\tau = \max\{j \in \{1, \cdots, s-1\};\ \eta_j = j-1 \text{ or } \eta_j = \eta_{j-1}\}, \tag{3.72}$$

which is well defined because $\eta_1 = 0$. If $\tau < s-1$, from the admissibility of $\{(\eta_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ and that τ being the greatest, we must have

$$\eta_{\tau+1} < \eta_\tau < \tau < \tau + 1, \tag{3.73}$$

thus for $j = \tau+1, \cdots, k$, it follows from the admissibility again that either $\eta_j \geq \tau+1$ or $\eta_j \leq \eta_{\tau+1}$ holds, and consequently

$$\eta_j \notin \{\tau-1, \tau\}, \quad j = \tau+1, \cdots, k. \tag{3.74}$$

If $\tau = s-1$, then (3.74) is also true, because the admissibility implies either $\eta_j \geq s$ or $\eta_j \leq \eta_s$, and recall that we have assumed $\eta_s \leq s-3 = \tau-2$. It is now clear that

$$\eta_s < \tau < s, \tag{3.75}$$

and $E_{\eta_j, j}$ depends on x_τ only when $j \in \{\tau-1, \tau\}$.

To prove (ii), if $\eta_k \geq k-2$, we can just choose $\tau = k$ because $\eta_j < k-2$ for each $j < k-2$. If $\eta_k \leq k-3$, we may use the first assertion with $s = k$ to choose τ, and then (3.73) implies either (3.70) or (3.71). □

We now turn back to the estimates of (3.69) in different regimes of assumption on indices.

Lemma 3.18. *Suppose $n \geq 4m+1$, $k \geq 2$, $\{(\eta_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ is admissible in* (3.69)*, and*

$$\begin{cases} n-2m \leq a_i \leq n-2\,(1 \leq i \leq k-1),\ 0 \leq a_0, a_k \leq n-2,\ a_0 + a_k \geq \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \beta_i \geq 2m\,(i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{k\}),\ \beta_k \geq \frac{n+1}{2}, \\ q_i = n+1-4m\,(1 \leq i \leq k-1),\ 0 \leq q_k \leq \min\{a_0 + a_k, n+1-4m\}. \end{cases} \tag{3.76}$$

Then

$$I_k(x_0, x_{k+1}; \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k, a_0, \cdots, a_k, q_1, \cdots, q_k) \lesssim 1, \quad |x_0 - x_{k+1}| \gtrsim 1.$$

Proof. If $k = 2$, then $\{E_{\eta_1,1}, E_{\eta_2}, 2\} = \{E_{0,1}, E_{\eta_2,2}\}$, and one checks with Proposition 3.2 in both situations that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x_1\rangle^{-(\beta_1+\epsilon)} |r_0|^{-a_0} |r_1|^{-a_1} |E_{0,1}|^{-q_1} |E_{\eta_2,2}|^{-q_2} \mathrm{d}x_1 \\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-} \mathrm{d}x_1}{|x_0 - x_1|^{a_0} |x_1 - x_2|^{a_1} |E_{x_0 x_1 x_1 x_2}|^{n+1-4m} |E_{x_{\eta_2} x_{\eta_2+1} x_2 x_3}|^{q_2}}, \\ \lesssim&|E_{x_0 x_2 x_2 x_3}|^{-q_2} |x_0 - x_2|^{-\min\{a_0, a_1\}}, \quad |x_0 - x_2| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-}\mathrm{d}x_2}{|x_0-x_2|^{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}|x_2-x_3|^{a_2}|E_{x_0x_2x_2x_3}|^{q_2}} \lesssim 1, \quad |x_0-x_3|\gtrsim 1,$$

where we have used the facts that $\beta_2 \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$,

$$\min\{a_0,a_1\}+a_2+\beta_2-n \geq \min\{a_0+a_2, n-2m\} - \tfrac{n-1}{2} \geq 0,$$

and

$$\min\{a_0,a_1\}+a_2-q_2 \geq \min\{a_0+a_2,n-2m\} - \min\{a_0+a_2, n+1-4m\} \geq 0.$$

If $k \geq 3$, we split the proof into three cases.

Case 1: There exists $s \in \{3,\cdots,k\}$ with $\eta_s \leq s-3$.

By the first assertion of Proposition 3.17, we can find $\tau \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\eta_s < \tau < s$, so that $E_{\eta_j,j}$ depends on x_τ only when $j \in \{\tau-1,\tau\}$, and then the integral with respect to x_τ reads

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_\tau\rangle^{-\beta_\tau-}\mathrm{d}x_\tau}{|x_{\tau-1}-x_\tau|^{a_{\tau-1}}|x_\tau-x_{\tau+1}|^{a_\tau}|E_{\eta_{\tau-1},\tau-1}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{\eta_\tau,\tau}|^{n+1-4m}}. \tag{3.77}$$

If $\eta_\tau = \eta_{\tau-1}$, the triangle inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} &|E_{\eta_{\tau-1},\tau-1}|^{-(n+1-4m)}|E_{\eta_\tau,\tau}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \\ \lesssim &|E_{\eta_\tau,\tau}|^{-(n+1-4m)}|E_{\tau-1,\tau}|^{-(n+1-4m)} + |E_{\eta_{\tau-1},\tau-1}|^{-(n+1-4m)}|E_{\tau-1,\tau}|^{-(n+1-4m)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

we may thus just consider $\eta_\tau = \eta_{\tau-1}$ in the view of (3.72), and apply Proposition 3.2 to (3.77) and (3.78), to conclude that integral (3.77) is bounded by

$$|E_{x_{\eta_{\tau-1}}x_{\eta_{\tau-1}+1}x_{\tau-1}x_{\tau+1}}|^{-(n+1-4m)}|x_{\tau-1}-x_{\tau+1}|^{-\min\{a_{\tau-1},a_\tau\}},$$

where we have used $\beta_\tau \geq 2m$ which always holds. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I_k \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}} &\frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\cdots\langle x_{\tau-1}\rangle^{-\beta_{\tau-1}-}\langle x_{\tau+1}\rangle^{-\beta_{\tau+1}-}\cdots\langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}}{|r_0|^{a_0}\cdots|r_{\tau-2}|^{a_{\tau-2}}|x_{\tau-1}-x_{\tau+1}|^{\min\{a_{\tau-1},a_\tau\}}|r_{\tau+1}|^{a_{\tau+1}}\cdots|r_k|^{a_k}} \\ &\times \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_{\tau-1}\mathrm{d}x_\tau\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|E_{x_{\eta_{\tau-1}}x_{\eta_{\tau-1}+1}x_{\tau-1}x_{\tau+1}}|^{n+1-4m}\prod\limits_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{\tau-1,\tau\}}|E_{x_{\eta_j}x_{\eta_j+1}x_jx_{j+1}}|^{q_j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

Now we relabel

$$y_j = \begin{cases} x_j, & 0\leq j\leq \tau-1, \\ x_{j+1} & \tau\leq j\leq k, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\beta}_j = \begin{cases} \beta_j, & 1\leq j\leq \tau-1, \\ \beta_{j+1} & \tau\leq j\leq k-1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{a}_j = \begin{cases} a_j, & 0\leq j\leq \tau-2, \\ \min(a_{\tau-1},a_\tau), & j=\tau-1, \\ a_{j+1} & \tau\leq j\leq k-1, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{q}_j = \begin{cases} q_j, & \text{if } \tau\geq 3,\ 1\leq j\leq \tau-2, \\ n+1-4m, & j=\tau-1, \\ q_{j+1}, & \tau\leq j\leq k-1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\eta_{\tau-1} < \tau - 1$ implies $E_{x_{\eta_{\tau-1}} x_{\eta_{\tau-1}+1} x_{\tau-1} x_{\tau+1}} = E_{y_{\eta_{\tau-1}} y_{\eta_{\tau-1}+1} y_{\tau-1} y_\tau}$. If $1 \le j \le \tau - 2$, it is also obvious from the admissibility that $E_{x_{\eta_j} x_{\eta_j+1} x_j x_{j+1}} = E_{y_{\eta_j} y_{\eta_j+1} y_j y_{j+1}}$. If $\tau + 1 \le j \le k$, the argument from (3.73) to (3.75) also shows that

$$E_{x_{\eta_j} x_{\eta_j+1} x_j x_{j+1}} = \begin{cases} E_{y_{\eta_j} y_{\eta_j+1} y_{j-1} y_j}, & \text{if } \eta_j \le \tau - 2, \\ E_{y_{\eta_j-1} y_{\eta_j} y_{j-1} y_j}, & \text{if } \eta_j \ge \tau + 1. \end{cases}$$

In other words, if we denote

$$\tilde{\eta}_j = \begin{cases} \eta_j, & 1 \le j \le \tau - 1, \\ \eta_{j+1}, & \text{if } \tau \le j \le k-1 \text{ and } \eta_{j+1} \le \tau - 2, \\ \eta_{j+1} - 1, & \text{if } \tau \le j \le k-1 \text{ and } \eta_{j+1} \ge \tau + 1, \end{cases}$$

then (3.79) says

$$I_k \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}} \frac{\langle y_1 \rangle^{-\tilde{\beta}_1 -} \cdots \langle y_{k-1} \rangle^{-\tilde{\beta}_{k-1} -}}{|y_0 - y_1|^{\tilde{a}_0} \cdots |y_{k-1} - y_k|^{\tilde{a}_{k-1}}} |E_{\tilde{\eta}_1,1}|^{-\tilde{q}_1} \cdots |E_{\tilde{\eta}_{k-1},k-1}|^{-\tilde{q}_{k-1}} \mathrm{d}y_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}y_{k-1}. \quad (3.80)$$

We claim that $\{(\tilde{\eta}_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{k\}\}$ is admissible. In fact, that $\tilde{\eta}_j < j$ is obvious by definition, and to check condition 2) in Definition 3.12, it is only necessary to discuss when $\tau \le j \le k-1$ and $\tilde{\eta}_j < i < j$, where there are four possibilities:

1) If $i \le \tau - 1$, we must know $\tilde{\eta}_j = \eta_{j+1}$, so $\tilde{\eta}_j \le \eta_i = \tilde{\eta}_i$ is obvious.
2) If $i \ge \tau$ and $\tilde{\eta}_i = \eta_{i+1}$, it is easy to see from $\tilde{\eta}_j < i < j$ that $\eta_{j+1} < i + 1 < j + 1$, and therefore $\tilde{\eta}_j \le \eta_{j+1} \le \eta_{i+1} = \tilde{\eta}_i$.
3) If $i \ge \tau$, $\tilde{\eta}_i = \eta_{i+1} - 1$ and $\tilde{\eta}_j = \eta_{j+1} - 1$, then $\eta_{j+1} < i + 1 < j + 1$ and thus $\tilde{\eta}_j = \eta_{j+1} - 1 \le \eta_{i+1} - 1 = \tilde{\eta}_i$.
4) If $i \ge \tau$, $\tilde{\eta}_i = \eta_{i+1} - 1$ and $\tilde{\eta}_j = \eta_{j+1}$, then $\eta_{j+1} \le \tau - 2$, $\eta_{i+1} \ge \tau + 1$, and thus $\tilde{\eta}_j \le \tilde{\eta}_i - 2$.

Now it is routine to check the relevant conditions in (3.76) with respect to $\tilde{a}_i$, $\tilde{\beta}_i$ and $\tilde{q}_i$ for the RHS of (3.80). Thus the estimate of I_k is reduced to that of I_{k-1}.

Case 2: $\eta_s \ge s - 2$ for all $s \in \{3, \cdots, k\}$, and $\eta_3 = 2$.

We first know $\eta_j \ge 2$ for $j \ge 3$ so that $E_{\eta_j, j}$ is independent of x_1. Since $\beta_1 \ge 2m$ always holds, we can apply Proposition 3.2 to the integral with respect to x_1 whenever $\eta_2 = 0$ or $\eta_2 = 1$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x_1 \rangle^{-\beta_1 -} |r_0|^{-a_0} |r_1|^{-a_1} |E_{0,1}|^{-q_1} |E_{\eta_2,2}|^{-q_2} \mathrm{d}x_1 \\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_1 \rangle^{-\beta_1 -} \mathrm{d}x_1}{|x_0 - x_1|^{a_0} |x_1 - x_2|^{a_1} |E_{x_0 x_1 x_1 x_2}|^{n+1-4m} |E_{x_{\eta_2} x_{\eta_2+1} x_2 x_3}|^{(n+1-4m)}}, \\ \lesssim&|E_{x_0 x_2 x_2 x_3}|^{-(n+1-4m)} |x_0 - x_2|^{-\min\{a_0, a_1\}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

and consequently

$$I_k \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}} \frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-}\cdots\langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}}{|x_0-x_2|^{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}|x_2-x_3|^{a_2}\cdots|x_k-x_{k+1}|^{a_k}} \times \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|E_{x_0x_2x_2x_3}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{\eta_3,3}|^{q_3}\cdots|E_{\eta_k,k}|^{q_k}},$$

so the estimate is reduced to that of I_{k-1} with the obvious relabeling

$$y_j=\begin{cases}x_0, & j=0,\\ x_{j+1}, & 1\le j\le k,\end{cases}\qquad \tilde{a}_j=\begin{cases}\min\{a_0,a_1\}, & j=0,\\ a_{j+1}, & 1\le j\le k-1,\end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_j=\begin{cases}0, & j=1,\\ \eta_{j+1}, & 2\le j\le k-1,\end{cases}\qquad (\tilde{\beta}_j,\tilde{q}_j)=(\beta_{j+1},q_{j+1}),\quad 1\le j\le k-1,$$

and an easy check of relevant conditions in (3.76) where we remark that

$$\tilde{a}_0+\tilde{a}_{k-1}=\min\{a_0+a_k,a_1+a_k\}\ge\min\{a_0+a_k,n-2m\}\ge\max\{\tfrac{n-1}{2},q_k\}=\max\{\tfrac{n-1}{2},\tilde{q}_{k-1}\}.$$

Case 3: $\eta_s\ge s-2$ for all $s\in\{3,\cdots,k\}$, and $\eta_3=1$.

We must have $\{E_{\eta_1,1},E_{\eta_2,2},E_{\eta_3,3}\}=\{E_{0,1},E_{1,2},E_{1,3}\}$. Since $E_{1,3}=E_{1,2}+E_{2,3}$, it follows from homogeneity argument that

$$|E_{1,2}|^{-(n+1-4m)}|E_{1,3}|^{-q_3}\lesssim|E_{1,2}|^{-(n+1-4m)}|E_{2,3}|^{-q_3}+|E_{2,3}|^{-(n+1-4m)}|E_{1,3}|^{-q_3},$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}I_k\lesssim&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\cdots\langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|r_0|^{a_0}\cdots|r_k|^{a_k}|E_{0,1}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{1,2}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{2,3}|^{q_3}\cdots|E_{\eta_k,k}|^{q_k}}\\&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\cdots\langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|r_0|^{a_0}\cdots|r_k|^{a_k}|E_{0,1}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{2,3}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{1,3}|^{q_3}\cdots|E_{\eta_k,k}|^{q_k}}\\:=&I_k^1+I_k^2.\end{aligned}$$

Bounding I_k^1 has essentially been discussed, because the integral with respect to x_1 is exactly (3.81) with $\eta_2=1$, and all consequences follow with no change.

Therefore, we are left to bound I_k^2. We first apply Proposition 3.2 to the integral with respect to x_1 to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\mathrm{d}x_1}{|r_0|^{a_0}|r_1|^{a_1}|E_{0,1}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{1,3}|^{q_3}}\lesssim|E_{x_0x_2x_3x_4}|^{-q_3}|x_0-x_2|^{-\min\{a_0,a_1\}},$$

where $\beta_1\ge 2m$ is used. If $k=3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}I_k^2=I_3^2\lesssim&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-}\langle x_3\rangle^{-\beta_3-}\mathrm{d}x_2\mathrm{d}x_3}{|x_0-x_2|^{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}|x_2-x_3|^{a_2}|x_3-x_4|^{a_3}|E_{x_0x_2x_3x_4}|^{q_3}|E_{x_2x_3x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}}\\\lesssim&\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-}\mathrm{d}x_2}{|x_0-x_2|^{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}|x_2-x_4|^{\min\{a_2,a_3\}}|E_{x_0x_2x_2x_4}|^{q_3}}\\\lesssim&1,\quad |x_0-x_4|=|x_0-x_{k+1}|\ge 1,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that

$$\min\{a_0, a_1\} + \min\{a_2, a_3\} \geq \min\{a_0 + a_3, n - 2m\} \geq \max\{\tfrac{n-1}{2}, q_3\}.$$

If $k \geq 4$, we have $q_3 = n + 1 - 4m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_k^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}} & \frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-}\cdots\langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}}{|x_0 - x_2|^{\min\{a_0,a_1\}}|r_2|^{a_2}\cdots|r_k|^{a_k}} \\ & \times \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|E_{x_0x_2x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{x_2x_3x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{\eta_4,4}|^{q_4}\cdots|E_{\eta_k,k}|^{q_k}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

The triangle inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|E_{x_0x_2x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{x_2x_3x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}} \\ \lesssim & \frac{1}{|E_{x_0x_2x_2x_3}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{x_2x_3x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}} + \frac{1}{|E_{x_0x_2x_2x_3}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{x_0x_2x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

and we also note that $\eta_4 = 3$ must hold, because otherwise $2 = \eta_4 \leq \eta_3 < 3 < 4$ yields the contradiction $\eta_3 = 2$. So we may apply Proposition 3.2 and (3.83) to the integral with respect to x_2 on the RHS of (3.82) where $E_{\eta_4,4}, \cdots, E_{\eta_k,k}$ are irrelevant, to get

$$I_k^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(k-2)n}} \frac{\langle x_3\rangle^{-\beta_3-}\cdots\langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}\mathrm{d}x_3\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|x_0 - x_3|^{\min\{a_0,a_1,a_2\}}|r_3|^{a_3}\cdots|r_k|^{a_k}|E_{x_0x_3x_3x_4}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{\eta_4,4}|^{q_4}\cdots|E_{\eta_k,k}|^{q_k}},$$

then the estimate is reduced to that of I_{k-2} with the relabeling

$$y_j = \begin{cases} x_0, & j = 0, \\ x_{j+2}, & 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{a}_j = \begin{cases} \min\{a_0, a_1, a_2\}, & j = 0, \\ a_{j+2}, & 1 \leq j \leq k-2, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_j = \begin{cases} 0, & j = 1, \\ \eta_{j+2} & 2 \leq j \leq k-2, \end{cases} \quad (\tilde{\beta}_j, \tilde{q}_j) = (\beta_{j+2}, q_{j+2}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-2,$$

and the proof is complete with an easy check of relevant conditions in (3.76). □

Lemma 3.19. *Suppose $n \geq 4m + 1$, $k \geq 2$, $\{(\eta_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ is admissible in (3.69), $j_0 \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{k\}$ be fixed with $j_0 \leq \eta_j\,(j_0 + 1 \leq j \leq k)$, $q_i = n + 1 - 4m$ for $i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{j_0\}$, $q_{j_0} = \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m$, $n - 2m \leq a_i \leq n - 2\,(i \notin \{0, k\})$, $0 \leq a_0, a_k \leq n - 2$ and either*

$$a_0, a_k \geq \tfrac{n-1}{2},\ \beta_i \geq 2m\,(i \in \mathbb{K}), \tag{3.84}$$

or

$$a_0 + a_k \geq \tfrac{n-1}{2},\ \beta_i \geq \tfrac{n+1}{2}\,(i \in \mathbb{K}). \tag{3.85}$$

Then

$$I_k(x_0, x_{k+1}; \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k, a_0, \cdots, a_k, q_1, \cdots, q_k) \lesssim 1, \quad |x_0 - x_{k+1}| \gtrsim 1.$$

Proof. We only show the proof when (3.84) holds, for the other case when (3.85) holds can be shown in a parallel way.

If $k = 2$, then $j_0 = \eta_2 = 1$ and $\{E_{\eta_1,1}, E_{\eta_2,2}\} = \{E_{0,1}, E_{1,2}\}$. One checks with Proposition 3.2 to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-} |r_1|^{-a_1} |r_2|^{-a_2} |E_{0,1}|^{-q_1} |E_{1,2}|^{-q_2} \mathrm{d}x_2 \\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-}\mathrm{d}x_2}{|x_1-x_2|^{a_1}|x_2-x_3|^{a_2}|E_{x_0x_1x_1x_2}|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}|E_{x_1x_2x_2x_3}|^{n+1-4m}}, \\
\lesssim&|E_{x_0x_1x_1x_3}|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}|x_1-x_3|^{-\min\{a_1,a_2\}}, \quad |x_1-x_3|>0,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\mathrm{d}x_1}{|x_0-x_1|^{a_0}|x_1-x_3|^{\min\{a_1,a_2\}}|E_{x_0x_1x_1x_3}|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}} \lesssim 1, \quad |x_0-x_3| \gtrsim 1,$$

where we have used $a_1 \geq n-2m$ to make sure $\min\{a_1,a_2\}+a_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

If $k \geq 3$, by the second assertion of Proposition 3.17, we can find $\tau \in \mathbb{K}$ such that x_τ is independent of $E_{\eta_j,j}$ unless $j \in \{\tau-1,\tau\}$, satisfying either

$$j_0 \leq \eta_k \leq \eta_{\tau+1} < \eta_\tau < \tau < \tau+1 \leq k,$$

or

$$j_0 \leq \eta_k < \tau = k.$$

We split the argument into two cases.

Case 1: $j_0 = \tau - 1$.

We must have $j_0 = \eta_k = k-1$ in this case. We apply Proposition 3.2 to the integral with respect to x_k and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-}\mathrm{d}x_k}{|x_{k-1}-x_k|^{a_{k-1}}|x_k-x_{k+1}|^{a_k}|E_{x_{\eta_{k-1}}x_{\eta_{k-1}+1}x_{k-1}x_k}|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}|E_{x_{k-1}x_kx_kx_{k+1}}|^{n+1-4m}} \\
\lesssim&\, |E_{x_{\eta_{k-1}}x_{\eta_{k-1}+1}x_{k-1}x_{k+1}}|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}|x_{k-1}-x_{k+1}|^{-\min\{a_{k-1},a_k\}}, \quad |x_{k-1}-x_{k+1}|>0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$a_{k-1}+a_k+\beta_k-n \geq a_k, \quad a_{k-1}+a_k-(n+1-4m) \geq a_k.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
I_k \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}} &\frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\cdots\langle x_{k-1}\rangle^{-\beta_{k-1}-}}{|x_0-x_1|^{a_0}\cdots|x_{k-2}-x_{k-1}|^{a_{k-2}}|x_{k-1}-x_{k+1}|^{\min\{a_{k-1},a_k\}}} \\
&\times \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_{k-1}}{|E_{\eta_1,1}|^{n+1-4m}\cdots|E_{\eta_{k-2},k-2}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{x_{\eta_{k-1}}x_{\eta_{k-1}+1}x_{k-1}x_{k+1}}|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The desired estimate is then implied by Proposition 3.18, where in the relevant conditions (3.76) one mainly checks by $a_{k-1} \geq n-2m$ that

$$\min\{a_0+\min\{a_{k-1},a_k\}, n+1-4m\} \geq \min\{\tfrac{n-1}{2}, n+1-4m\} \geq \tfrac{n+1}{2}-2m.$$

Case 2: $j_0 < \tau - 1$.

Since $j_0 < j_0 + 1 < \tau$ in this case, we have $q_{j_0+1} = \cdots = q_\tau = \cdots = q_k = n + 1 - 4m$. The same type of argument from (3.73) to (3.75) shows that $E_{\eta_j,j}$ is irrelevant in the integral with respect to x_τ if $j \notin \{\tau - 1, \tau\}$, which is exactly (3.77), and therefore the application of Proposition 3.2 gives

$$I_k \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}} \frac{\prod\limits_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{\tau\}} \langle x_j\rangle^{-\beta_\tau-}}{|x_{\tau-1} - x_{\tau+1}|^{\min\{a_{\tau-1},a_\tau\}} |E_{x_{\eta_{\tau-1}} x_{\eta_{\tau-1}+1} x_{\tau-1} x_{\tau+1}}|^{n+1-4m}} \times \frac{\prod\limits_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{\tau\}} \mathrm{d}x_j}{\prod\limits_{j\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{\tau-1,\tau\}} |r_j|^{a_j} \prod\limits_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{\tau-1,\tau\}} |E_{x_{\eta_j} x_{\eta_j+1} x_j x_{j+1}}|^{q_j}}.$$

If $\tau = k$, then $\min\{a_{\tau-1}, a_\tau\} \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. If $\tau < k$, one then has $1 < \tau < k$ and $\min\{a_{\tau-1}, a_\tau\} \geq n + 1 - 4m$ by the assumptions in this lemma. Therefore the estimate can be immediately reduced to that of I_{k-2}, and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.20. *Suppose $n \geq 4m + 1$, $k \geq 2$, $\{(\eta_j, j);\ j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ is admissible in* (3.69)*, and*

$$\begin{cases} n - 2m \leq a_i \leq n - 2\,(a \leq i \leq k - 1),\ 0 \leq a_0, a_k \leq n - 2, \\ \beta_i \geq 2m\,(i \in \mathbb{K}), \\ q_i = n + 1 - 4m\ (i \in \mathbb{K}). \end{cases}$$

1) *If $n - 2 \geq a_0 + a_k \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, then*

$$I_k(x_0, x_{k+1}; \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_k, a_0, \cdots, a_k, q_1, \cdots, q_k) \lesssim 1, \quad 0 < |x_0 - x_{k+1}| \lesssim 1.$$

2) *If $i_0 \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{k\}$ and $a_0, a_k \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-} \cdots \langle x_k\rangle^{-\beta_k-} \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k}{\langle x_{i_0} - x_{i_0+1}\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i_0\}} |x_i - x_{i+1}|^{a_i} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} |E_{\eta_i,i}|^{n+1-4m}} \lesssim 1, \quad 0 < |x_0 - x_{k+1}| \lesssim 1.$$

Proof. The same type of argument from (3.72) to (3.80) with the help of the second assertion of Proposition 3.17 shall finally reduce the estimate to the case of $k = 2$. Without repeating the discussion, we only prove when $k = 2$ in the following.

To show 1), note that $\eta_2 = 0$ or $\eta_2 = 1$ holds, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-} \mathrm{d}x_2}{|x_1 - x_2|^{a_1} |x_2 - x_3|^{a_2} |E_{x_0 x_1 x_1 x_2}|^{n+1-4m} |E_{x_{\eta_2} x_{\eta_2+1} x_2 x_3}|^{n+1-4m}} \\ \lesssim &|E_{x_0 x_1 x_1 x_3}|^{-(n+1-4m)} |x_1 - x_3|^{-\min\{a_1,a_2\}}, \quad |x_1 - x_3| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the case of $\eta_2 = 0$ we have used

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|E_{x_0 x_1 x_1 x_2}|^{n+1-4m} |E_{x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3}|^{n+1-4m}} \\ \lesssim &\frac{1}{|E_{x_0 x_1 x_1 x_2}|^{n+1-4m} |E_{x_1 x_2 x_2 x_3}|^{n+1-4m}} + \frac{1}{|E_{x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3}|^{n+1-4m} |E_{x_1 x_2 x_2 x_3}|^{n+1-4m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Proposition 3.2 implies

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\mathrm{d}x_1}{|x_0-x_1|^{a_0}|x_1-x_3|^{\min\{a_1,a_2\}}|E_{x_0x_1x_1x_3}|^{n+1-4m}} \lesssim 1, \quad 0<|x_0-x_3|\lesssim 1.$$

We next show 2). If $k=2$, then $i_0=1$, and one checks with Proposition 3.2 using $a_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{-\beta_1-}\mathrm{d}x_1}{|x_0-x_1|^{a_0}\langle x_1-x_2\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}|E_{x_0x_1x_1x_2}|^{n+1-4m}|E_{x_{\eta_2}x_{\eta_2+1}x_2x_3}|^{n+1-4m}}\\ \lesssim&|E_{x_0x_2x_2x_3}|^{-(n+1-4m)}, \quad |x_0-x_2|>0,\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_2\rangle^{-\beta_2-}\mathrm{d}x_2}{|x_2-x_3|^{a_3}|E_{x_0x_2x_2x_3}|^{n+1-4m}} \lesssim 1, \quad 0<|x_0-x_3|\lesssim 1.$$

□

Proposition 3.21. *We have*

$$I^{(1)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \frac{X^{\frac{n-1}{2}}|V^{(\alpha_1)}(x_1)|\cdots|V^{(\alpha_k)}(x_k)|\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|r_0|^{n-2-l_0+d_0}\cdots|r_k|^{n-2-l_k+d_k}\|F_1\|^{p_1}\cdots\|F_s\|^{p_s}} \lesssim 1, \quad |x_0-x_{k+1}|\gtrsim 1,$$

and

$$I^{(2)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \frac{X^{n-L_k-2m}|V^{(\alpha_1)}(x_1)|\cdots|V^{(\alpha_k)}(x_k)|\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|r_0|^{n-2-l_0+d_0}\cdots|r_k|^{n-2-l_k+d_k}\|F_1\|^{p_1}\cdots\|F_s\|^{p_s}} \lesssim 1, \quad |x_0-x_{k+1}|\lesssim 1,$$

where V, F_i and all the indices are the same ones in (3.9)*.*

Proof. To estimate $I^{(1)}$, Proposition 3.16 first implies either

$$\begin{aligned}I^{(1)} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} X^{\frac{n-1}{2}} &\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}|V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i)|\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+d_i)}\\ &\times|E_{\iota_{j^{(i_0)}},j^{(i_0)}}|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\prod_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{j^{(i_0)}\}}|E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,\end{aligned} \tag{3.86}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}I^{(1)} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} X^{\frac{n-1}{2}} &\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}|V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i)|\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+d_i)}\\ &\times|E_{\iota_k,k}|^{-\min\{n+1-4m,\frac{n+1}{2}-2m+d_0+d_k\}}\prod_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{k\}}|E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,\end{aligned} \tag{3.87}$$

where in the first estimate, $j^{(i_0)}\leq\iota_j$ holds for all $j\in\{j^{(i_0)}+1,\cdots,k\}$ if $j^{(i_0)}<k$. Recall that $\{(\iota_j,j;);\,j\in\mathbb{K}\}$ is admissible by Proposition 3.13.

We now decompose the RHS of either of these estimates into $I_j^{(1)}$ ($j\in\mathbb{K}_0$) according to the regions $D_j=\{X\sim|r_j|\}$.

If $j \notin \{0,k\}$, then in D_j

$$X^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} |V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i)| \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+d_i)}$$
$$\lesssim \langle x_1\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-}\langle x_k\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{1,k\}} \langle x_i\rangle^{-2m-} |r_0|^{-(n-2-l_0+d_0)} |r_k|^{-(n-2-l_k+d_k)} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i,n-2m\}},$$

where we have used the decay $|V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i)| \lesssim \langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{3n+1}{2}-2m-}$,

$$\langle x_i\rangle^{-1}\langle x_{i+1}\rangle^{-1} \lesssim \langle x_1 - x_{i+1}\rangle^{-1} \le |x_1 - x_{i+1}|^{-1}, \tag{3.88}$$

$n-2-l_i+d_i \ge \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $n-2m-\min\{n-2-l_i+d_i, n-2m\} \le \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m$. Now the RHS of both (3.86) and (3.87) when restricted in D_j can be estimated as

$$I_j^{(1)} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \langle x_1\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-}\langle x_k\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{1,k\}} \langle x_i\rangle^{-2m-} |r_0|^{-(n-2-l_0+d_0)}$$
$$\times |r_k|^{-(n-2-l_k+d_k)} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{0,k\}} |r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i,n-2m\}} \prod_{j\in\mathbb{K}} |E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,$$

and the desired bound is checked by Lemma 3.18.

Similarly in D_0 or D_k, it follows that

$$X^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} |V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i)| \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+d_i)} \lesssim \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} \langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-} |r_0|^{-a_0} |r_k|^{-a_k} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i,n-2m\}},$$

where either

$$a_0 + a_k \ge n - 2 - l_0 - d_0 + d_k \ge \tfrac{n-1}{2} + d_0 + d_k,$$

or

$$a_0 + a_k \ge n - 2 - l_k + d_0 + d_k \ge \tfrac{n-1}{2} + d_0 + d_k,$$

and we have used $n-2-l_i-\frac{n-1}{2} \ge 0$. So for $I_k^{(1)}$, we have

$$I_k^{(1)} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} \langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-} |r_0|^{-a_0} |r_k|^{-a_k} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{0,k\}} |r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i,n-2m\}}$$
$$\times |E_{\iota_{j^{(i_0)}},j^{(i_0)}}|^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)} \prod_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{j^{(i_0)}\}} |E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,$$

or

$$I_k^{(1)} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} \langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-} |r_0|^{-a_0} |r_k|^{-a_k} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{0,k\}} |r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i,n-2m\}}$$
$$\times |E_{\iota_k,k}|^{-\min\{n+1-4m,\frac{n+1}{2}-2m+d_0+d_k\}} \prod_{j\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{k\}} |E_{\iota_j,j}|^{-(n+1-4m)} \mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,$$

and the desired bound for $I_k^{(1)}$ can be checked by Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 3.19, while the bound for $I_0^{(1)}$ follows in the same way.

To estimate $I^{(2)}$, let $L_k = l_{i_1} + 2 - 2m$ for some $i_1 \in \mathbb{K}_0$. First note that in D_j we have

$$X^{n-L_k-2m}|r_j|^{-(n-2-l_j+d_j)} \lesssim |r_j|^{-(l_{i_1}-l_j+d_j)}.$$

If $l_j + 2 - 2m \le 0$, then $j \notin I^*_{0,2}$, $d_j = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i\in I^*_{0,2}}(n-2-l_i+d_i)+l_{i_1}-l_j \le & \sum_{i\in I^*_{0,2}\setminus\{i_1\}}(n-2-l_i)+\sum_{i=k_0}^{k-1}L_i+(n-2-l_j)\\ \le & (n-2m)(k-k_0)+n-2-l_j \le (n-2)(k-k_0+1).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\#I^*_{0,2} = k - k_0 + 1$ and $\frac{n-1}{2} \le n - 2 - l_i + d_i \le n - 2m$ holds for $i \in I^*_{0,2}$, we have the existence of $\delta_i \ge 0$ for $i \in I^*_{0,2}$ such that $\frac{n-1}{2} \le n - 2 - l_i + d_i + \delta_i \le n - 2$ holds, and

$$\sum_{i\in I^*_{0,2}}(n-2-l_i+d_i+\delta_i) = \sum_{i\in I^*_{0,2}}(n-2-l_i+d_i)+l_{i_1}-l_j.$$

This, together with (3.88) and the fact that $|r_i| \lesssim |r_j|$ for $i \in \mathbb{K}_0$, implies the following bounds. We decompose $I^{(2)}$ into $I^{(2)}_j$ $(j = 0, \cdots, k)$ by restricting the integral in D_j. If $j \notin \{0, k\}$, then $I^{(2)}_j$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\langle x_{j-1}-x_j\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}\langle x_i\rangle^{-2m-}\prod_{i\in I^*_{0,2}}|r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i+\delta_i,n-2m\}}\prod_{i\in\{0,k\}}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+d_i)}\\ \times\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus(I^*_{0,2}\cup\{j\}\cup\{0,k\})}|r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i,n-2m\}}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}|E_{\iota_i,i}|^{-(n+1-4m)}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k.\end{aligned}$$

If $j \in \{0, k\}$, then $I^{(2)}_j$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}\langle x_i\rangle^{-2m-}\prod_{i\in I^*_{0,2}}|r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i+\delta_i,n-2m\}}\prod_{i\in\{0,k\}\setminus\{j\}}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i+d_i)}\\ \times\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus(I^*_{0,2}\cup\{j\}\cup\{0,k\})}|r_i|^{-\max\{n-2-l_i+d_i,n-2m\}}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}|E_{\iota_i,i}|^{-(n+1-4m)}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k.\end{aligned}$$

These bounds can be estimated by Lemma 3.20, and we remark that the conditions for a_0 and a_k in the two cases of Lemma 3.20 follow from the fact that $n - 2 - l_i + d_i \ge \frac{n-1}{2}$ when $i = 0, k$, and the other conditions are easy to check.

If $l_j + 2 - 2m > 0$, then $j \in I^*_{0,2}$ and

$$\sum_{i\in I^*_{0,2}\setminus\{j\}}(n-2-l_i+d_i)+l_{i_1}-l_j+d_j \le (n-2m)(k-k_0),$$

so the estimate can also be similarly reduced to the application of Lemma 3.20, and we save the parallel details here. □

4. Estimates for the fundamental solution

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even (low energy) cut-off function defined by

$$\chi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\lambda| \le \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } |\lambda| \ge \lambda_0, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ is given by Theorem 2.7 and denote by $\tilde{\chi}(\lambda) = 1 - \chi(\lambda)$. Using spectral theorem and Stone's formula, we split

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathrm{i}tH} P_{ac}(H) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda} \left(R^+(\lambda) - R^-(\lambda)\right) \left(\chi(\lambda) + \tilde{\chi}(\lambda)\right) \mathrm{d}\lambda, \\ &:= e^{-\mathrm{i}tH} \chi(H) P_{ac}(H) + e^{-\mathrm{i}tH} \tilde{\chi}(H) P_{ac}(H) \end{aligned}$$

into low and high energy part. Therefore Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from the following

Theorem 1.3(low energy part). *Assume that V satisfies (i) and (ii) of Assumption 1.2. Let $K_L(t,x,y)$ be the integral kernel of $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)P_{ac}(H)$. Then*

$$|K_L(t,x,y)| \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-h(m,n,\mathbf{k})} (1+|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}) \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \quad t \neq 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $h(m,n,\mathbf{k})$ is given by (1.9).

Theorem 1.3(high energy part). *Assume that V satisfies Assumption 1.2. Let $K_H(t,x,y)$ be the integral kernel of $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\tilde{\chi}(H)P_{ac}(H)$. Then*

$$|K_H(t,x,y)| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \quad t \neq 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The proofs are given in Section 4.2 and 4.3 respectively. In Section 4.1, we collect and establish several lemmas to be used in the low energy part.

4.1. Several Lemmas for the low energy part.

The first goal of this section is to obtain an integral representation of $Q_j v R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})$ when $1 \le n \le 4m-1$ (see Lemma 4.3), in which we separate out appropriate oscillating factors. We shall, in the next section, combine such representation and Theorem 2.7 to handle the kernel of the remainder term in the Born expansion for the low energy part. The second goal is to obtain a similar representation for $v(R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})V)^l(R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})$ when $n \ge 4m-1$ with some positive integers l (see Lemma 4.5).

When considering the term $Q_j v R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})$, we need to take advantage of the cancellation property of Q_j. To this end, we state two lemmas concerning functions with certain vanishing moments and the proofs are given in Appendix B.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f(x) \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\sigma > \max\{j+1, p\} + n/2$ for some $\frac{1-n}{2} \le p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose $\langle x^\alpha, f(x)\rangle = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \le j$. Then, we have*

$$\left|\left\langle |x-\cdot|^p,\ f(\cdot)\right\rangle\right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma} \langle x\rangle^{p-j-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that V satisfies* (ii) *in Assumption 1.2 and* $-\frac{n-1}{2} \le p \le j$, *where* $j \in \{-1\} \cup \{J_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{m-\frac{n}{2}, 2m-\frac{n}{2}\}\}$. *Then*

$$\left\| \partial_\lambda^l S_j \left(v(\cdot)|x-\cdot|^p e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x-\cdot|\mp \mathrm{i}s\lambda|x|} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-l} \langle x \rangle^{p-j-1}, & \lambda \langle x \rangle \le 1, \\ \lambda^{j+1-l} \langle x \rangle^{p}, & \lambda \langle x \rangle > 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

where $k \in I^{\pm}$ *(see* (2.2)*),* $0 \le l \le [\frac{n}{2m}] + 1$.

To proceed, we introduce the following notation concerning a class of functions with parameters, which is slightly different from $S^b_K(\Omega)$. More precisely, let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $s \in (0,1)$ be parameters, we say $g(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^b_K(\Omega, \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2})$, if

$$\|\partial_\lambda^j g(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)\|_{L^2} \le C_j |\lambda|^{b-j} \quad \lambda \in \Omega, \ 0 \le j \le K, \tag{4.4}$$

and $C_j > 0$ does not depend on the parameters x, s.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $1 \le n \le 4m-1$ *and* Q_j *be given in* (2.20) *(*$j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$*). Then, we have*

$$\left(Q_j v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) \right)(y) = \int_0^1 e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|} k^{\pm}_{j,1,0}(\lambda, s, y, x) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^1 e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|} k^{\pm}_{j,1,1}(\lambda, s, y, x) \mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.5}$$

where $k^{\pm}_{j,1,i}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)$ *(*$i = 0, 1$*) satisfy*

$$k^{\pm}_{j,1,i}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{\min\{n-2m+[j+\frac{1}{2}], 0\}}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}), \quad j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} k^{\pm}_{j,1,i}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{\min\{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m+\max\{[j+\frac{1}{2}],0\},0\}}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}), \quad j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Furthermore, for $j \in \{m-\frac{n}{2}, 2m-\frac{n}{2}\}$, *one has*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(Q_j v \left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) \right) \right)(y) = \\ &\sum_{i=0,1} \left(\int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s^q|x|} k^+_{j,2,i}(\lambda, s, y, x) \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^1 e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda s^q|x|} k^-_{j,2,i}(\lambda, s, y, x) \mathrm{d}s \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,i}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)$ *(*$i = 0, 1$*) satisfy*

$$k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{\frac{n+1}{2}}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}) \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{1}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}). \tag{4.10}$$

Finally, when $2m+1 \le n \le 4m-1$, $k^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2},2,i}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)$ *(*$i = 0, 1$*) satisfy*

$$k^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2},2,i}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{n-2m}_{2}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}) \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} k^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2},2,i}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}_{2}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. We first prove (4.5)–(4.7) and divide it into two cases.

When $n = 1$, $j = 0$, we set

$$k^{\pm}_{j,0,0}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = Q_0 v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|} R^{\pm}_0(\lambda^{2m})(x - \cdot)\right),$$
$$k^{\pm}_{j,0,1}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = 0.$$

(4.5) follows because $k^{\pm}_{j,0,0}$ is independent of s. Furthermore, note that $e^{i\lambda_k|x|} = e^{i\lambda|x|}$, when $k = 0$, and $e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x|} = e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda|x|}$, when $k = m$. Using the fact $||x - y| - |x|| \le |y|$ repeatedly we have when $k \in I^{\pm}$ (see (2.2)) that

$$\left|\partial^l_\lambda \left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|} e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda|x-y|}\right)\right| \lesssim_l \langle y\rangle^l, \qquad l \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

This, together with (2.3), implies when $0 < \lambda < 1$ that

$$\left|\partial^l_\lambda \left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|} R^{\pm}_0(\lambda^{2m})(x - y)\right)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{1-2m-l}\langle y\rangle^l.$$

Thus

$$\left\| v(y)\partial^l_\lambda \left(e^{\mp i\lambda|x|} R^{\pm}_0(\lambda^{2m})(x - y)\right)\right\|_{L^2_y} \lesssim \lambda^{1-2m-l}$$

holds for $l = 0, 1$, which yields (4.6) for $j = 0, n = 1$.

When $n = 1$, $j \in J_{\mathbf{k}} \setminus \{0\}$ or when $3 \le n \le 4m-1$, $j \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$, we choose a smooth function $\phi(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(t) = 1$, if $|t| \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(t) = 0$, if $|t| \geqslant 1$. Set

$$\theta = \min\{[j + \tfrac{1}{2}], 2m - n\}, \tag{4.13}$$

and for $n = 1$, we define

$$\begin{cases} k^{\pm}_{j,1,0}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = (1 - \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle))Q_j v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|} R^{\pm}_0(\lambda)(x - \cdot)\right), \\ k^{\pm}_{j,1,1}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)Q_j v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|} \sum\limits_{k\in I^{\pm}} C_{-1,\theta}\lambda_k^{n-2m+\theta}|x - \cdot|^{\theta} e^{is\lambda_k|x-\cdot|}(1 - s)^{\theta-1}\right); \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

further, for $n \geqslant 3$, we define

$$\begin{cases} k^{\pm}_{j,1,0}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = (1 - \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle))Q_j v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|} \sum\limits_{k\in I^{\pm}} D_{\frac{n-3}{2}}\lambda_k^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m} \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x-\cdot|}}{|x-\cdot|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\right), \\ k^{\pm}_{j,1,1}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)Q_j v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|} \sum\limits_{k\in I^{\pm}} \sum\limits_{l=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} C_{l,\theta}\lambda_k^{n-2m+\theta}|x - \cdot|^{\theta} e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x-\cdot|}(1 - s)^{n-l+\theta-3}\right) \\ \quad +(1 - \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle))Q_j v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}s\lambda|x|} \sum\limits_{k\in I^{\pm}} \sum\limits_{l=0}^{\frac{n-5}{2}} C_{l,\theta}\lambda_k^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}|x - \cdot|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x-\cdot|}(1 - s)^{\frac{n-5}{2}-l}\right), \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

where the constants $C_{l,\theta}$, $D_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$ are given in (2.7) and (2.9), and we have abused the notation on $k^{\pm}_{j,1,0}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)$ for it does not depend on s. Then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} Q_j v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) &= \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle) Q_j v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) + (1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)) Q_j v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) \\ &= \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle) Q_j v r_\theta^{+}(\lambda)(x-\cdot) + (1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)) Q_j v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|} k^{\pm}_{j,1,0}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^1 e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|} k^{\pm}_{j,1,1}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality above, we use (2.5) with θ given in (4.13) and the fact that $Q_j \le S_{[j+\frac12]-1}$; in the third equality above, we use (4.14) (when $n = 1$), (4.15) (when $n \ge 3$), (2.7) and (2.9). This proves (4.5). Furthermore, for each $l \in \{0, \cdots, [\frac{n}{2m}] + 1\}$ and $k \in I^{\pm}$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$W_1 := \left\| \partial_\lambda^l \left(\lambda_k^{n-2m+\theta} Q_j \left(|x-\cdot|^\theta v(\cdot) e^{is\lambda_k|x-\cdot| \mp is\lambda|x|} \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{\theta - \max\{[j+\frac12],0\}} \lambda^{n-2m-l+\theta}, \quad (4.16)$$

and consequently when $\lambda\langle x\rangle \le 1$, it follows that

$$W_1 \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m-l+\max\{[j+\frac12],0\}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, & \text{if } j < 2m - \frac{n}{2}, \\ \lambda^{-l}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}, & \text{if } j = 2m - \frac{n}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

where we have used the fact that $\theta - \max\{[j+\frac12], 0\} \ge -\frac{n-1}{2}$ if $j < 2m - \frac{n}{2}$ in the last inequality. We apply Proposition 4.2 with $p = -\frac{n-1}{2}$ and obtain

$$W_2 := \left\| \partial_\lambda^l \left(\lambda_k^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m} Q_j v(\cdot) |x-\cdot|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{is\lambda_k|x-\cdot| \mp is\lambda|x|} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m+\max\{[j+\frac12],0\}-l} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad (4.18)$$

and consequently when $\lambda\langle x\rangle > \frac12$, it follows that

$$W_2 \lesssim \lambda^{n-2m+\max\{[j+\frac12],0\}-l}, \quad (4.19)$$

which holds uniformly for $0 < s < 1$. Note that $\theta \le [j+\frac12] \le \max\{[j+\frac12], 0\}$ implies $\min\{n-2m+[j+\frac12],\ 0\} \le n-2m+\max\{[j+\frac12], 0\}$ and $\min\{n-2m+[j+\frac12],\ 0\} \le n-2m+\theta$, we conclude (4.6) by (4.16) and (4.19). Similarly, we conclude (4.7) by (4.17) and (4.18).

We are left to prove (4.8)–(4.10). For $j \in \{m - \frac{n}{2}, 2m - \frac{n}{2}\}$, we define $k^{\pm}_{j,2,0}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = k^{\pm}_{j,1,0}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)$ and $k^{\pm}_{j,2,1}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) = k^{\pm}_{j,1,1}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x)$. Instead of (4.13), we choose

$$\theta = \max\{[j+\tfrac12], 0\}$$

in (4.14) and (4.15). By (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^{+}(\lambda^{2m})(x-y) - R_0^{-}(\lambda^{2m})(x-y) = &\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{\theta-1}{2}\right]} (a_l^{+} - a_l^{-}) \lambda^{n-2m+2l} |x-y|^{2l} \\ &+ r_\theta^{+}(\lambda, |x-y|) - r_\theta^{-}(\lambda, |x-y|), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Therefore (4.8) follows from (2.9) and (4.20), and (4.9)-(4.12) follow from (4.16)-(4.19) and a direct computation. The proof of Lemma 4.3 is finished. □

When $n \geq 4m+1$, we shall establish a similar result for $\left(v(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot)\right)(y)$ with some $l \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Before that, we first prove

Lemma 4.4. *Let $n \geq 4m+1$, $l \in \{[\frac{n}{2m}]+3, \cdots\}$, $s_i \geq 0$ be integers with $s_0+\cdots+s_l \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$, and $R_0^{\pm,(s_i)}(\lambda^{2m})$ be the operator with integral kernel $\partial_\lambda^{s_i}[R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)]$. Assume that V satisfies* (ii) *in Assumption 1.2. Then for each $k \in I^{\pm}$ and $\tau \in \{0, \cdots, n-1\}$, it follows that*

$$\left\| v(y) \left(\prod_{j=0}^{l-1} (R_0^{\pm,(s_j)}(\lambda^{2m})V) \partial_\lambda^{s_l} \left(|x-\cdot|^{-\tau} e^{\mp i\lambda s|x|} e^{i\lambda_k s|x-y|} \right) \right)(y) \right\|_{L_y^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-s_l} \langle x \rangle^{-\tau}, \tag{4.21}$$

and the estimate holds uniformly in $\lambda, s \in (0,1)$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Further, we also have for each $k \in I^+$, $j \in \{0, \cdots, l-1\}$ and $\tau \in \{\frac{n-1}{2}, \cdots, n-2m\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| v(y) \Big(R_0^{-,(s_0)}(\lambda^{2m}) \, V \cdots \Big(R_0^{+,(s_j)}(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^{-,(s_j)}(\lambda^{2m}) \Big) V \cdots R_0^{+,(s_{l-2})}(\lambda^{2m}) \\ \times V R_0^{+,(s_{l-1})}(\lambda^{2m}) V \partial_\lambda^{s_l} \left(|x-\cdot|^{-\tau} e^{-i\lambda s|x|} e^{i\lambda_k s|x-y|} \right) \Big)(y) \Big\|_{L_y^2} \lesssim \lambda^{n-2m-s_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

which holds uniformly in $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. The proof is given in Appendix B. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $n \geq 4m+1$ and assume that V satisfies* (ii) *in Assumption 1.2. Then for $l > [\frac{n}{2m}]+2$, we have*

$$v(R_0^{\pm}V)^l R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) = \int_0^1 e^{\pm i\lambda|x|} k_{1,0}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^1 e^{\pm i\lambda s|x|} k_{1,1}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.23}$$

moreover, for $q = 0, 1$,

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} k_{1,q}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^0_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}). \tag{4.24}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & v\left((R_0^+V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) - (R_0^-)V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-\cdot) \right) \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{1} \left(\int_0^1 e^{i\lambda s^q|x|} k_{2,i}^+(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^1 e^{-i\lambda s^q|x|} k_{2,i}^-(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \mathrm{d}s \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

and for $i = 0, 1$ that

$$k_{2,i}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{n-2m}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}), \tag{4.26}$$

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} k_{2,i}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, \cdot, x) \in S^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0,1), \ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}). \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. For convenience, we denote

$$\tilde{r}_0^{\pm}(\lambda, s, x-y) = \sum_{k \in I^{\pm}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} C_{j,0} \lambda_k^{n-2m} e^{is\lambda_k|x-y|} (1-s)^{n-j-3},$$

$$r^{\pm}_{2m-n,1}(\lambda,s,x-y)=\sum_{k\in I^{\pm}}|x-y|^{2m-n}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{2m-3}C_{l,2m-n}e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x-y|}(1-s)^{2m-3-l}\right),$$

and

$$r^{\pm}_{2m-n,0}(\lambda,x-y)=\sum_{k\in I^{\pm}}\sum_{j=2m-2}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}D_j\lambda_k^{j+2-2m}|x-y|^{j+2-n}e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x-y|},$$

where the constant $C_{j,0}$ is given in (2.7), and $C_{l,2m-n}$, D_j are given in (2.9), from which we have

$$\begin{aligned}R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)-R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)&=r_0^+(\lambda)(x-y)-r_0^-(\lambda)(x-y)\\&=\int_0^1\tilde{r}_0^+(\lambda,s,x-y)-\tilde{r}_0^-(\lambda,s,x-y)\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

and

$$R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)=\int_0^1 r^{\pm}_{2m-n,1}(\lambda,s,x-y)\mathrm{d}s+r^{\pm}_{2m-n,0}(\lambda,x-y).\tag{4.29}$$

We first prove (4.23)–(4.24). Set

$$\begin{cases}k^{\pm}_{1,1}(\lambda,s,y,x)=e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})V)^l r^{\pm}_{2m-n,1}(\lambda,s,x-\cdot)\right)(y),\\ k^{\pm}_{1,0}(\lambda,s,y,x)=e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})V)^l r^{\pm}_{2m-n,0}(\lambda,x-\cdot)\right)(y).\end{cases}$$

Thus, (4.23) follows from (4.29) and that $k^{\pm}_{1,0}(\lambda,s,y,x)$ is actually independent of s. To obtain (4.24), we use (4.21) and (4.22) to deduce for each $\gamma\in\{0,\cdots,\frac{n+1}{2}\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\partial_\lambda^\gamma k^{\pm}_{1,1}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x)\right\|_{L^2}&\lesssim\sum_{s_0+\cdots+s_l=\gamma}\sum_{k\in I^{\pm}}\sum_{j=0}^{2m-3}\left\|v(y)\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l-1}(R_0^{\pm,(s_j)}(\lambda^{2m})V)\partial_\lambda^{s_l}\left(|x-\cdot|^{2m-n}e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k s|x-\cdot|}\right)\right)(y)\right\|_{L^2_y}\\&\lesssim\lambda^{-\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.21) and the fact $\frac{n-1}{2}\le n-2m$ in the last inequality. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\partial_\lambda^\gamma k^{\pm}_{1,0}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x)\right\|_{L^2}\\&\lesssim\sum_{s_0+\cdots+s_l=\gamma}\sum_{k\in I^{\pm}}\sum_{j=2m-2}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left\|v(y)\left(\prod_{j=0}^{l-1}(R_0^{\pm,(s_j)}(\lambda^{2m})V)\partial_\lambda^{s_l}\left(\lambda_k^{j+2-2m}|x-\cdot|^{j+2-n}e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k s|x-\cdot|}\right)\right)(y)\right\|_{L^2_y}\\&\lesssim\lambda^{-\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

By these two estimates, we obtain (4.24) immediately.

Next, we prove (4.25)–(4.26). Let $\phi(t)$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(t) = 1$, $|t| \le 1$ and $\phi(t) = 0, |t| \geqslant 2$. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&v(y)\left((R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-y) - (R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)\right)\\
=&v(y)\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}(R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^j(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m}))V(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^{l-j-1}R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-y) \qquad (4.30)\\
+&v(y)R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l\left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-y) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{2,1}^-(\lambda,s,y,x) = &\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^-V)^l\tilde{r}_0^-(\lambda,s,x-\cdot)\right)(y)\\
&+(1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle))e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^-V)^l r_{2m-n,1}^-(\lambda,s,x-\cdot)\right)(y),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{2,1}^+(\lambda,s,y,x) = &\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^-V)^l\tilde{r}_0^+(\lambda,s,x-\cdot)\right)(y)\\
&+(1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle))e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^-V)^l r_{2m-n,1}^+(\lambda,s,x-\cdot)\right)(y)\\
&+e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}v(y)\left(\sum_{r=0}^{l-1}(R_0^-V)^r(R_0^+-R_0^-)V(R_0^+V)^{l-r-1}r_{2m-n,1}^+(\lambda,s,x-\cdot)\right)(y),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$k_{2,0}^-(\lambda,s,y,x) = (1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle))e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^-V)^l r_{2m-n,0}^-(\lambda,x-\cdot)\right)(y),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{2,0}^+(\lambda,s,y,x) = &(1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle))e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda|x|}v(y)\left((R_0^-V)^l r_{2m-n,0}^+(\lambda,x-\cdot)\right)(y)\\
&+e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda|x|}v(y)\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}\left((R_0^-V)^j(R_0^+-R_0^-)V(R_0^+V)^{l-j-1}r_{2m-n,0}^+(\lambda,x-\cdot)\right)(y),
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (4.25) follows by combining (4.28), (4.29) and (4.30). Similar to the proof of (4.24), we obtain (4.26) and (4.27) by using (4.21) and (4.22). Therefore the proof is complete. □

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.3(low energy part).**

By an iteration of the resolvent identity and (2.16), we have for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)P_{ac}(H)\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{2N}\frac{(-1)^k m}{\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}\left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(VR_0^+(\lambda^{2m}))^k - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(VR_0^-(\lambda^{2m}))^k\right)\lambda^{2m-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\\
&-\frac{m}{\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^{\infty}e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^N R_0^+ vM^+(\lambda)^{-1}vR_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(VR_0^+(\lambda^{2m}))^N\lambda^{2m-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\\
&+\frac{m}{\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^{\infty}e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}(R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^N R_0^- vM^-(\lambda)^{-1}vR_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(VR_0^-(\lambda^{2m}))^N\lambda^{2m-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^{2N} \Omega_k^{low} - \left(\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}\right). \tag{4.31}$$

Since $\Omega_0^{low} = e^{-\mathrm{i}tH_0}\chi(H_0)$, by [24, 35], we have

$$\left|\Omega_0^{low}(t,x,y)\right| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \quad t \neq 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.32}$$

When $1 \leq n \leq 4m-1$, we choose $N = 0$ in (4.31), and it suffices to estimate the kernel of the remainder term $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$. Applying the expansion (2.28) in Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_r^{\pm,low}(t,x,y) = \sum_{l,h\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{m}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \big\langle (M_{l,h}^{\pm} + \Gamma_{l,h}^{\pm}(\lambda)) Q_l v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y),\\ Q_h v R_0^{\mp}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x)\big\rangle \lambda^{4m-n-l-h-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Furthermore, we use Lemma 4.3 to rewrite (4.33) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_r^{\pm,low}(t,x,y) = \\ &\sum_{p,q\in\{0,1\}} \sum_{l,h\in J_{\mathbf{k}}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m} + \mathrm{i}\lambda\left(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right)} T_{l,h}^{\pm}(\lambda, x, y, s_1, s_2)\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.33'}$$

where

$$T_{l,h}^{\pm} := \left\langle \left(M_{l,h}^{\pm} + \Gamma_{l,h}^{\pm}(\lambda)\right) Q_l k_{l,1,p}^{\pm}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y), Q_h k_{h,1,q}^{\mp}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x)\right\rangle \lambda^{4m-n-l-h-1}. \tag{4.34}$$

We mention that in order to deal with the oscillatory integral (4.33'), a key ingredient is to understand possible cancellations of $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$, which are closely related to the specific type of the zero resonances. We shall discuss the case $0 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq m_n$ and the case $\mathbf{k} = m_n + 1$ separately (see subsection 4.2.1 and 4.2.2).

When $n \geq 4m+1$, note that $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot)$ has singularity $|\cdot|^{2m-n}$ and it doesn't belong to $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We overcome such difficulty by choosing $N = [\frac{n}{2m}] + 3$ in (4.31). Thus we need to first estimate the initial terms Ω_k^{low} with $1 \leq k \leq N$, in which the integral kernel $\Omega_k^{low}(t,x,y)$ can be expressed by the following

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(-1)^k m}{\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \Bigg(R_0^{+}(\lambda^{2m})(z_0 - z_1) \prod_{j=1}^{k} (V(z_j) R_0^{+}(\lambda^{2m})(z_j - z_{j+1}))\\ &- R_0^{-}(\lambda^{2m})(z_0 - z_1) \prod_{j=1}^{k} (V(z_j) R_0^{-}(\lambda^{2m})(z_j - z_{j+1}))\Bigg) \lambda^{2m-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}z_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}z_k,\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

where $z_0 = x$, $z_{k+1} = y$ (see subsection 4.2.3). Finally we prove pointwise estimates for the kernel of the remainder term $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$, based on Lemma 4.5 and similar methods used for $1 \leq n \leq 4m-1$ (see subsection 4.2.4).

Before proceeding, we mention that the following two lemmas will be used frequently during the proof. The first one concerns estimate on oscillatory integrals in one dimension (see e.g. in [4, 24]).

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $\chi(\lambda)$ be given by* (4.1)*, and consider the oscillating integral*

$$I(t,x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}+\mathrm{i}\lambda x} f(\lambda)\chi(\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

where $f(\lambda) \in S^b_K((0,\lambda_0))$. Denoted by $\mu_b = \frac{m-1-b}{2m-1}$, we have

(i) If $b \in [-\frac{1}{2},\ 2Km-1)$, then

$$|I(t,x)| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1+b}{2m}}(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x|)^{-\mu_b}, \quad |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x| \geq 1.$$

(ii) If $b \in (-1,\ 2Km-1)$, then

$$|I(t,x)| \lesssim (1+|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}})^{-(1+b)}, \quad |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x| < 1. \tag{4.36}$$

The following lemma can be seen in [18, Lemma 3.8] or [9, Lemma 6.3].

Lemma 4.7. *Let $n \geq 1$. Then there is some absolute constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{-k}\langle y\rangle^{-l}\,\mathrm{d}y \leq C\langle x\rangle^{-\min\{k,\,k+l-n\}},$$

provided $l \geq 0$, $0 \leq k < n$ and $k + l > n$.

Now we divide the proof into four parts.

4.2.1. $0 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq m_n$ *and* $1 \leq n \leq 4m-1$.

We discuss the situations $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|) \geq 1$ and $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|) \leq 1$ separately.

Case 1: $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|) \geq 1$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $|x| \geq |y|$ and thus $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. For $l, h \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$, we use (4.6) and (4.7) to estimate the term $k^{\pm}_{l,1,p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y)$ and $k^{\mp}_{h,1,q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x)$ in (4.34) respectively.

If $0 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq \tilde{m}_n$ and $l, h \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$, then we have

$$\min\{n-2m+[l+\tfrac{1}{2}],\ 0\} = n-2m+[l+\tfrac{1}{2}],$$

and

$$\min\{\tfrac{n+1}{2}-2m+\max\{[h+\tfrac{1}{2}],0\},0\} = \tfrac{n+1}{2}-2m+\max\{[h+\tfrac{1}{2}],0\},$$

so it follows from (4.6), (4.7) and Theorem 2.7 that

$$|\partial^{\gamma}_{\lambda} T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda,x,y,s_1,s_2)| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad \gamma = 0,\cdots,[\tfrac{n}{2m}]+1,$$

which holds uniformly in x, y, s_1, s_2, i.e.,

$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda,x,y,s_1,s_2) \in S^{\frac{n-1}{2}}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,\lambda_0)). \tag{4.37}$$

On the other hand, if $\tilde{m}_n < \mathbf{k} \le m_n$, by (4.6), (4.7) and Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda, x, y, s_1, s_2) \in S^{2m_n-2\mathbf{k}-\frac{n-1}{2}}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,\lambda_0)). \tag{4.38}$$

To proceed, we use a cut-off function to split the integral region $(s_1, s_2) \in [0,1]\times[0,1]$ of (4.33') into

$$D_1 := \{(s_1,s_2); |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\left(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right) \le 1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 := \{(s_1,s_2); |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\left(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right) \ge 1\}.$$

If $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le \tilde{m}_n$, by (4.37), we apply Lemma 4.6 with $b = \frac{n-1}{2}$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
|(4.33')| \le & \int\int_{D_1} |t|^{-\frac{n+1}{4m}}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \\
& + \int\int_{D_2} |t|^{-\frac{n+1}{4m}}\left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\left(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right)\right)^{-\mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}\left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where $\mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \frac{m-1-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m-1}$, in the last inequality, we use the assumption $|x-y| \le |x| + |y| \le 2|x|$, $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x| \gtrsim 1$, and when $(s_1,s_2) \in D_1$,

$$\int\int_{D_1} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \le \int\int_{D_1}\left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|)\right)^{-\max\{\mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}},0\}} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \le (|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x|)^{-\max\{\mu_{\frac{n-1}{2}},0\}},$$

when $(s_1,s_2) \in D_2$,

$$\left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\left(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right)\right)^{-\mu} \lesssim \begin{cases} (|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x|)^{-\mu}, & \text{if } \mu < 0, \\ (|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x|)^{-\mu} s_2^{-q\mu}, & \text{if } \mu \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

as well as the following identity

$$\tfrac{n-1}{2} + \tfrac{m-1-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m-1} = \tfrac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}. \tag{4.40}$$

Similarly, if $\tilde{m}_n < \mathbf{k} \le m_n$, by (4.38), we apply Lemma 4.6 with $b = 2m_n - 2\mathbf{k} - \frac{n-1}{2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|(4.33')| \le & \int\int_{D_1} |t|^{-\frac{1+2m_n-2\mathbf{k}-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m}}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \\
& + \int\int_{D_2} |t|^{-\frac{1+2m_n-2\mathbf{k}-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m}}\left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\left(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right)\right)^{-\frac{m-1-(2m_n-2\mathbf{k}-\frac{n-1}{2})}{2m-1}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \\
\lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{2m_n-2\mathbf{k}+1}{2m}}\left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Case 2: $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x| + |y|) \le 1$.

By triangle inequality, we have $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\left(s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right) \le 1$ and $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y| \le 1$.

If $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le \tilde{m}_n$, we first consider $1 \le n \le 2m-1$. By Theorem 2.7 and (4.6), it follows that for $l, h \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$, and $s = 0, 1$,

$$\left|\partial_\lambda^s T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda, x, y, s_1, s_2)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{n-1-s}, \qquad 0 < \lambda < \lambda_0,$$

i.e.,

$$\lambda^{4m-n-l-h-1} T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda, x, y, s_1, s_2) \in S^{n-1}_1((0, \lambda_0)).$$

Applying (4.36) in Lemma 4.6 with $b = n-1$ yields

$$|(4.33')| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 1 ds_1 ds_2 \le |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \tag{4.42}$$

Next, we consider $2m+1 \le n \le 4m-1$, where we note that $\tilde{m}_n = 0$ and we must have $\mathbf{k} = 0$. In this case, we need to take advantage of the cancellation in $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$. Indeed, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) v M^+(\lambda)^{-1} v R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m}) v M^-(\lambda)^{-1} v R_0^-(\lambda^{2m}) \\
=&\left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})\right) v M^+(\lambda)^{-1} v R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) + R_0^-(\lambda^{2m}) v \left(M^+(\lambda)^{-1} - M^-(\lambda)^{-1}\right) v R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) \\
&+ R_0^-(\lambda^{2m}) v M^-(\lambda)^{-1} v \left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})\right) \\
:=&\Phi_1(\lambda) + \Phi_2(\lambda) + \Phi_3(\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

and we deduce from (4.31) (with $N = 0$) that

$$\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low} = \frac{m}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\lambda^{2m}} \left(\Phi_1(\lambda) + \Phi_2(\lambda) + \Phi_3(\lambda)\right) \lambda^{2m-1} \chi(\lambda^{2m}) \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

By Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 4.3, the integral kernel of $\Phi_1(\lambda)$, denoted by $\Phi_1(\lambda, x, y)$, is a linear combination of

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p |y| \mp \mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q |x|} \left\langle \left(M^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}} + \Gamma^+_{m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda)\right) k^+_{m-\frac{n}{2}, 1, p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y), k^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2}, 2, q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2,$$

where $p, q \in \{0, 1\}$ and $M^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}} = (Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}} T_0 Q_{m-\frac{n}{2}})^{-1}$ are independent of the sign $\pm$. Since zero is regular, we apply (2.30) with $\mathbf{k} = 0$ to deduce that

$$M^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}} + \Gamma^+_{m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda) \in S^0_2((0, \lambda_0)). \tag{4.43}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^{\pm}_{1, m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) \\
=&\left\langle \left(M^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}} + \Gamma^+_{m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda)\right) k^+_{m-\frac{n}{2}, 1, p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y), k^{\pm}_{m-\frac{n}{2}, 2, q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \lambda^{2m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (4.43), (4.6) and (4.11) imply that

$$T^{\pm}_{1, m-\frac{n}{2}, m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) \in S^{n-1}_2((0, \lambda_0)).$$

Applying (4.36) in Lemma 4.6 with $b = n-1$ yields

$$\left| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-it\lambda^{2m}} \Phi_1(\lambda, x, y) \lambda^{2m-1} \chi(\lambda^{2m}) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right|$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{\pm} \sum_{p=0,1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-it\lambda^{2m}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p |y| \mp \mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q |x|} T^{\pm}_{1,m-\frac{n}{2},m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) \chi(\lambda^{2m}) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right| \\
&\lesssim (1+|t|)^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} |x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \qquad (4.44)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.5), it follows that the integral kernel $\Phi_2(\lambda, x, y)$ is a sum of

$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p |y| - \mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q |x|} \left\langle \left(\Gamma^+(\lambda) - \Gamma^-(\lambda)\right) k^+_{m-\frac{n}{2},1,p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\ k^+_{m-\frac{n}{2},1,q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2,
$$

where $p, q \in \{0, 1\}$. It follows by (2.30) and (4.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&T_{2,m-\frac{n}{2},m-\frac{n}{2}}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) := \\
&\left\langle (\Gamma^+(\lambda) - \Gamma^-(\lambda)) k^+_{m-\frac{n}{2},1,p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y)\, k^+_{m-\frac{n}{2},1,q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \lambda^{2m-1} \in S_2^{n-1}((0, \lambda_0)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(s_1^p|y| - s_2^q|x|) \le 1$ for $p, q \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus, (4.36) in Lemma 4.6 shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-it\lambda^{2m}} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p |y| - \mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q |x|} T^+_{2,p,q}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) \chi(\lambda^{2m}) \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2 \right| \\
&\lesssim (1+|t|)^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} |x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}.
\end{aligned} \qquad (4.45)
$$

The estimate for the term associated with $\Phi_3(\lambda)$ is the same as in $\Phi_1(\lambda)$ and we omit the detail. Combining with (4.44) and (4.45), we have

$$
\left| \Omega_r^{+,low}(t, x, y) - \Omega_r^{-,low}(t, x, y) \right| \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} |x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \qquad (4.46)
$$

which finishes the case of $2m + 1 \le n \le 4m - 1$ and $\mathbf{k} = 0$.

If $\tilde{m}_n < \mathbf{k} \le m_n$, by Theorem 2.7 and (4.6), it follows for $l, h \in J_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $s = 0, 1$ that

$$
T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda, x, y, s_1, s_2) \in S_1^{2m_n - 2\mathbf{k}}((0, \lambda_0)).
$$

Applying (4.36) in Lemma 4.6 with $b = 2m_n - 2\mathbf{k}$ yields

$$
|(4.33')| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2m_n+1-2\mathbf{k}}{2m}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 1 \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2 \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2m_n+1-2\mathbf{k}}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} |x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \qquad (4.47)
$$

Therefore, the result for $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le \tilde{m}_n$ follows from (4.39), (4.42) and (4.46). The result for $\tilde{m}_n < \mathbf{k} \le m_n$ follows from (4.41) and (4.47).

4.2.2. $\mathbf{k} = m_n + 1$ *and* $1 \le n \le 4m - 1$.

Now we turn to the case that zero is an eigenvalue. Observe that in (4.33), if $l \ne 2m - \frac{n}{2}$ or $h \ne 2m - \frac{n}{2}$, by (2.29), (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$
T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda, x, y, s_1, s_2) \in S^0_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0, \lambda_0)),
$$

and

$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} T^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda, x, y, s_1, s_2) \in S^0_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,\lambda_0)).$$

Furthermore, if $l = h = 2m-\frac{n}{2}$, by (2.30), (4.6) and (4.7), the remainder term $\Gamma_{l,h}$ satisfies

$$\lambda^{4m-n-l-h-1}\left\langle \Gamma^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda) Q_l k^{\pm}_{l,1,p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y), Q_h k^{\mp}_{h,1,q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x)\right\rangle \in S^0_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,\lambda_0)),$$

and

$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\lambda^{4m-n-l-h-1}\left\langle \Gamma^{\pm}_{l,h}(\lambda) Q_l k^{\pm}_{l,1,p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y), Q_h k^{\mp}_{h,1,q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x)\right\rangle \in S^0_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,\lambda_0)).$$

Therefore, the proof for these terms are exactly the same as the case $\mathbf{k} = m_n$.

We are left to estimate the kernel of

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}\left(R_0^+ v Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} M^+_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2m-\frac{n}{2}} Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^+ - R_0^- v Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} M^-_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2m-\frac{n}{2}} Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^-\right)\lambda^{-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{4.48}$$

Since $M^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2m-\frac{n}{2}} = (b_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v G_{4m-n} v G Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}})^{-1}$ is independent of the sign $\pm$, we exploit the cancellation in (4.48) by writing

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) v Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} D_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m}) v Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} D_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})\\
&= \left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})\right) v Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} D_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) + R_0^-(\lambda^{2m}) v Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} D_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v\left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})\right),\\
&:= \Psi_1(\lambda) + \Psi_2(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.48) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}\left(\Psi_1(\lambda) + \Psi_2(\lambda)\right)\lambda^{-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Now we discuss the situations $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|) \le 1$ and $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|) \ge 1$ separately.

Case 1: $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|) \le 1$.

We only prove the result for $\Psi_1(\lambda)$, since the treatment for $\Psi_2(\lambda)$ is parallel. Note that by (4.5) and (4.8), the integral kernel of $\int_0^\infty e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}\Psi_1(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})d\lambda$ is a linear combination of

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}+\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p|y|\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q|x|} T^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},p,q}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y)\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2, \tag{4.49}$$

where $p, q \in \{0,1\}$, and

$$T^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},p,q}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) = \langle D_1 k^+_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,p}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y), k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,q}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x)\rangle\lambda^{-1}.$$

By (4.6) and (4.9), we have

$$T^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},p,q}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) \in S^{\frac{n-1}{2}}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((0,\lambda_0)).$$

Note that $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(s_1^p|y| \mp s_2^q|x|) \le 1$ in this case, so we can apply Lemma 4.6 with $b = \frac{n-1}{2}$ to deduce

$$|(4.49)| \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-\frac{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2m}} \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-\frac{n+1}{4m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}})(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \tag{4.50}$$

Case 2: $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|) \ge 1$.

Without loss the generality, we assume $|x| \geq |y|$.

If $1 \leq n \leq 2m-1$. Choose a smooth cutoff function $\phi(t)$ such that $\phi(t) = 1$, $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(t) = 0, |t| \geq 1$. One has the following partition

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle D_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v\, R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y),\ Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^{\mp}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x)\right\rangle \\ =&\Big(1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle)+\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle)\Big)\left\langle D_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v\, R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y),\ Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^{\mp}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x)\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} &\left\langle D_1 Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y),\ Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^{\mp}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x)\right\rangle \\ &\times (1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle))\lambda^{-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,1,>}(\lambda,s,z,x) &= (1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle)) \\ &\times Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}s\lambda|x|}\sum_{k\in I^{\pm}}\sum_{p=0}^{\frac{n-5}{2}} C_{p,-\frac{n-1}{2}}\lambda_k^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}|x-\cdot|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x-\cdot|}(1-s)^{\frac{n-5}{2}-p}\right)(z)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,0,>}(\lambda,s,z,x) = (1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle))Q_j v\left(e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|}\sum_{k\in I^{\pm}} D_{\frac{n-3}{2}}\lambda_k^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}\frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda\lambda_k|x-\cdot|}}{|x-\cdot|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\right)(z),$$

where the constants $C_{p,-\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $D_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$ are given in (2.9). Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle))Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x) \\ =&\int_0^1 e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda|x|}k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,0,>}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^1 e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|}k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,1,>}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x)\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned}$$

moreover, note that $1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle) = 0$ when $\lambda\langle x\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then (4.18) yields

$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\|k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,1,>}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x)\|_{L^2} \in S^{\frac{1}{1}}((0,\lambda_0)). \tag{4.52}$$

Thus we can write (4.51) as a linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}\pm\mathrm{i}\lambda(s_1^p|y|+s_2^q|x|)} &\left\langle D_1 k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,p}(\lambda,s_1,\cdot,y), k^{\mp}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,q,>}(\lambda,s_2,\cdot,x)\right\rangle \\ &\times(1-\phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle))\,\lambda^{-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2,\end{aligned}$$

where $p, q \in \{0,1\}$. By (4.6) and (4.52), we have

$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left\langle D_1 k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,p}(\lambda,s_1,\cdot,y), k^{\mp}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,q,>}(\lambda,s_2,\cdot,x)\right\rangle\lambda^{-1} \in S^{0}_{1}((0,\lambda_0)).$$

Then, we apply Lemma 4.6 with $b = 0$ to yield that

$$\begin{aligned}|(4.51)| &\lesssim \sum_{p,q\in\{0,1\}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left|s_1^p|y| + s_2^q|x|\right|\right)^{-\frac{m-1}{2m-1}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{p,q\in\{0,1\}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x|\right)^{-\frac{m-1}{2m-1}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} s_2^{-q\frac{m-1}{2m-1}} \mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2 \qquad (4.53) \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n+1}{4m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we use $|x| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}|x-y|$ and the fact $\frac{m-1}{2m-1} + \frac{n-1}{2} \geq \frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}$.

Next we estimate

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle) \left(\Psi_1(\lambda,x,y) + \Psi_2(\lambda,x,y)\right) \lambda^{-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

It suffices to prove the result for $\Psi_1(\lambda)$, since the treatment for $\Psi_2(\lambda)$ is the same. Set

$$k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q,<}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x) = \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x), \qquad q\in\{0,1\},$$

where $k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q}$ are given in Lemma 4.3, then it follows by (4.10) that

$$\left\|\partial_\lambda^l k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q,<}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x)\right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{1-l}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \leq \lambda^{1-l-\frac{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}{2m-1}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \quad 0<\lambda<1, \qquad (4.54)$$

where $l = 0, 1$, and in the second inequality we use the identity (4.40) and the fact that $k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q,<} = 0$ if $\lambda\langle x\rangle \geq 1$. Note that the integral kernel

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \phi(\lambda\langle x\rangle)\phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle)\Psi_1(\lambda,x,y)\lambda^{-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

can be written as a linear combination of

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}+\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p|y|\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q|x|} T^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},p,q,<}(\lambda,s_1,s_2,x,y)\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s_2, \qquad (4.55)$$

where $p, q \in \{0, 1\}$, and

$$T^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},p,q,<}(\lambda,s_1,s_2,x,y) = \phi(\lambda\langle y\rangle)\left\langle D_1 k^{+}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},1,p}(\lambda,s_1,\cdot,y), k^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},2,q,<}(\lambda,s_2,\cdot,x)\right\rangle \lambda^{-1}.$$

By (4.6) and (4.54), we have

$$\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}} T^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},p,q,<}(\lambda,s_1,s_2,x,y) \in S^{-\frac{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}{2m-1}}_1((0,\lambda_0)).$$

Note that $-\frac{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}{2m-1} > -\frac{1}{2}$, then applying Lemma 4.6 with $b = -\frac{m-\frac{n+1}{2}}{2m-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|(4.55)| \lesssim & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |t|^{-\frac{1+b}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left|s_1^p|y| \mp s_2^q|x|\right|\right)^{-\frac{m-1-b}{2m-1}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}} \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2 \\ \leq & |t|^{-\frac{1+b}{2m}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}} \\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n+1}{4m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

where in the above equality, we use the fact $|x| \gtrsim |x-y|$ and the identity (4.40). Therefore (4.56) together with (4.50) and (4.53), implies the result for $\mathbf{k} = m_n + 1$.

If $2m+1 \leq n \leq 4m-1$, we estimate (4.49) in a more direct way. It follows from (4.7) and (4.10) that

$$\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} T^{\pm}_{2m-\frac{n}{2},p,q}(\lambda, s_1, s_2, x, y) \in S^0_2((0,\lambda_0)).$$

Applying Lemma 4.6 with $b = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(4.49)| \lesssim & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left|s_1^p|y| \mp s_2^q|x|\right|\right)^{-\frac{m-1}{2m-1}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2 \\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n+1}{4m}} \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

where we have used the fact that $\frac{n-1}{2} \geq \frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}$ when $2m+1 \leq n \leq 4m-1$. Therefore, by (4.50), (4.57), we have

$$\left|\Omega_r^{+,low}(t,x,y) - \Omega_r^{-,low}(t,x,y)\right| \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2m}}\right)\left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}.$$

4.2.3. *The estimates for $\Omega_k^{low}(t,x,y)$ when $n \geq 4m+1$.*

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we shall prove that

$$\left|\Omega_k^{low}(t,x,y)\right| \leq t^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \tag{4.58}$$

Recall that $\Omega_k^{low}(t,x,y)$ is expressed by (4.35). In order to estimate (4.35), we set

$$U_1 = \{(z_1,\dots,z_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{nk} : t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|z_0 - z_1| + \cdots + |z_k - z_{k+1}|) \leq 1\}, \quad U_2 = \mathbb{R}^{nk} \setminus U_2.$$

In the region U_1, by (2.9), (B.7) and the algebraic identity

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k+1} A_j^+ - \prod_{j=0}^{k+1} A_j^- = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} A_0^- \cdots (A_j^+ - A_j^-) A_{j+1}^+ \cdots A_k^+,$$

the integral (4.35) can be written as a linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[0,1]^{k+1}}\int_{U_1}\int_0^{+\infty} & e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}+\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_{j_0}}s_{j_0}|z_{j_0-1}-z_{j_0}|+\sum_{j=1,j\neq j_0}^{k+1}\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_j}s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|}\prod_{j=1,j\neq j_0}^{k+1}|z_{j-1}-z_j|^{-t_j}\\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^{k}V(z_j)\lambda^{n-1+\sigma}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\prod_{j=1}^{k+1}(1-s_j)^{q_j}\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}z_1\cdots\mathrm{d}z_k\mathrm{d}s_1\cdots\mathrm{d}s_{k+1},\end{aligned}\tag{4.59}$$

where $\sigma\geqslant 0$, $\lambda_{k_j}=\lambda\exp(\frac{\mathrm{i}k_jz}{m})$, $\lambda_{k_{j_0}}=\lambda$ or $-\lambda$, $1\leq j_0\leq k+1$, and moreover

$$\begin{cases}k_j\in\{1,\cdots,m\} & \text{if } j<j_0,\\ k_j\in\{0,\cdots,m-1\} & \text{if } j_0<j\leq k+1,\\ t_j\in\{\frac{n-1}{2},\cdots,n-2m\} & \text{for } j=1,\cdots,k+1,\ j\neq j_0,\\ p_j\in\{0,1\} & \text{for } j\neq j_0,\\ q_j\in\{0,\cdots,2m-3\},\\ q_{j_0}\in\{\frac{n-3}{2},\frac{n-1}{2},\cdots,n-3\}.\end{cases}$$

Since in U_1, one has

$$|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\left|\sum_{j=1,j\neq j_0}^{k+1}(s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|)\pm s_{j_0}|z_{j_0-1}-z_{j_0}|\right|\leq 1,$$

and $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\leq 1$ by the triangle inequality. Then, applying Lemma 4.6 with $b=n-1$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned}|(4.59)|&\lesssim|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}}\prod_{j=1,j\neq j_0}^{k+1}|z_{j-1}-z_j|^{-t_j}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\langle z_j\rangle^{-\beta}dz_1\cdots dz_k\\ &\leq|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.7.

In U_2, we need to estimate the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{U_2}e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m},|z_0-z_1|)\prod_{j=1}^{k}(V(z_j)R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m},|z_j-z_{j+1}|))\lambda^{2m-1}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}z_1\cdots\mathrm{d}z_k.$$

We will only consider the integral with sign " + " here since the other case can be estimated similarly.

We further split $U_2=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}U_{2,i}$, where

$$U_{2,i}=\{(z_1,\ldots,z_k)\in U_2:\ |z_{i-1}-z_i|\geq|z_{j-1}-z_j|\text{ for all }j=1,\cdots,k+1\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we only consider the integral in $U_{2,1}$. By (2.9), it can be written as a linear combination of

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \int_{U_{2,1}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}+\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_1}|z_0-z_1|+\sum_{j=2}^{k+1}\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_j}s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|} \times \prod_{j=1}^{k+1}|z_{j-1}-z_j|^{-t_j}\prod_{j=1}^{k}V(z_j)\prod_{j=2}^{k+1}(1-s_j)^{q_j}\lambda^{n-1-t_1+\sigma}\chi(\lambda^{2m})\mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}z_1\cdots\mathrm{d}z_k\mathrm{d}s_2\cdots\mathrm{d}s_{k+1}, \tag{4.60}$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, and for $j = 1, \cdots, k+1$, $\lambda_{k_j} = \lambda \exp\{\frac{\mathrm{i}k_j\pi}{m}\}$, $k_j \in \{0, \cdots, m-1\}$, moreover,

$$\begin{cases} t_1 \in \{\frac{n-1}{2}, \cdots, n-2\}, \\ t_j \in \{\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, \cdots, n-2m\} \quad \text{for } j \neq 1. \\ p_j \in \{0, 1\}, \\ q_j \in \{0, \cdots, 2m-3\}. \end{cases}$$

In order to use Lemma 4.6 to estimate (4.60), we write

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_1}|z_0-z_1|+\sum_{j=2}^{k+1}\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_j}s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|} =& e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda|z_0-z_1|+\sum_{j=2}^{k+1}\mathrm{i}\lambda s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|} \\ &\times e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|z_0-z_1|-\mathrm{i}\lambda|z_0-z_1|+\sum_{j=2}^{k+1}(\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_j}s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|-\mathrm{i}\lambda s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.61}$$

Since

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|x-y|}e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda|x-y|} \in S^0_K((0,1)), \qquad k = 0, \cdots, m-1$$

for all $K > 0$, we have

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k|z_0-z_1|-\mathrm{i}\lambda|z_0-z_1|+\sum_{j=2}^{k+1}(\mathrm{i}\lambda_{k_j}s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|-\mathrm{i}\lambda s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j|)} \in S^0_{(n+1)/2}((0,1)).$$

Now we plug the identity (4.61) into (4.60) and apply Lemma 4.6 with $b = n-1-t_1$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(4.60)| &\lesssim \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \int_{U_{2,1}} |t|^{-\frac{n-t_1}{2m}} \left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} \left(|z_0-z_1| + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_j| \right) \right)^{-\frac{m-1-(n-1-t_1)}{2m-1}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{k+1}|z_0-z_1|^{-t_j}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\langle z_j\rangle^{-\beta}\mathrm{d}s_2\cdots\mathrm{d}s_{k+1}\mathrm{d}z_1\cdots\mathrm{d}z_k \\ &\lesssim \int_{U_{2,1}} |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|z_0-z_1|)^{-t_1-\frac{m-1-(n-1-t_1)}{2m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k+1}|z_0-z_1|^{-t_j}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\langle z_j\rangle^{-\beta}\mathrm{d}z_1\cdots\mathrm{d}z_k \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality, we use Lemma 4.7 and note that in $U_{2,1}$, we have the relation

$$|z_0-z_1| \sim |z_0-z_1| + \sum_{j=z}^{k+1} s_j^{p_j}|z_{j-1}-z_{j+1}|,$$

and $t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|z_0 - z_1| \gtrsim 1$; in the last inequality, we use $|z_0 - z_1| \gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |z_{j-1} - z_j| \geq |x-y|$ and the fact that $t_1 + \frac{m-1-(n-1-t_1)}{2m-1} \geq \frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}$ when $t_1 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Therefore we complete the proof of (4.58).

4.2.4. $0 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq m_n + 1$ *and* $n \geq 4m + 1$.

By (4.31), (4.32) and (4.58), it suffices to consider the remainder term $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$.

When $\mathbf{k} = 0$, it follows from (2.28) and (2.29) that

$$M^+(\lambda)^{-1} - M^-(\lambda)^{-1} = \Gamma_0^+(\lambda) - \Gamma_0^-(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-2m}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0, \lambda_0)).$$

By Lemma 4.5, we can proceed the same way as in the case $2m + 1 \leq n \leq 4m - 1$ and deduce that

$$|\Omega_r^{+,low}(t, x, y) - \Omega_r^{-,low}(t, x, y)| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}} (1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x - y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},$$

which completes the proof of (1.9) for $\mathbf{k} = 0$.

When $\mathbf{k} = 1$, it suffices to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \chi(\lambda^{2m}) \Big(\Big\langle (M^+(\lambda))^{-1} v(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y), v(R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x) \Big\rangle \\ - \Big\langle (M^-(\lambda))^{-1} Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} v(R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y), v(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x) \Big\rangle \Big) \lambda^{2m-1} \mathrm{d}\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{4.62}$$

If we set

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon_1(\lambda, x, y) = \Big\langle (M^+(\lambda))^{-1} v \Big((R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y) - (R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y) \Big), \\ v(R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x) \Big\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\Upsilon_2(\lambda, x, y) \\ &= \Big\langle \Big((M^+(\lambda))^{-1} - (M^-(\lambda))^{-1} \Big) v(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y),\ v(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x) \Big\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon_3(\lambda, x, y) = \Big\langle (M^-(\lambda))^{-1} v(R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y), \\ v \Big((R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x) - (R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^l R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x) \Big) \Big\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

then we can rewrite (4.62) as

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \chi(\lambda^{2m}) (\Upsilon_1(\lambda, x, y) + \Upsilon_2(\lambda, x, y) + \Upsilon_3(\lambda, x, y)) \lambda^{2m-1} \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

It follows from (4.23)–(4.26) that $\Upsilon_1(\lambda, x, y)$ has the following expression

$$\sum_{\pm} \sum_{p,q=0,1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p |y| + \mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q |x|} \left\langle (M^+(\lambda))^{-1} k_{2,p}^{\pm}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\ k_{1,q}^-(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2,$$

$\Upsilon_2(\lambda, x, y)$ can be written as

$$\sum_{p,q=0,1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p |y| - \mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q |x|} \left\langle (\Gamma_1^+(\lambda) - \Gamma_1^-(\lambda)) k_{1,p}^+(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\ K_{1,q}^+(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2,$$

where we use the fact $(M^+(\lambda))^{-1} - (M^-(\lambda))^{-1} = \Gamma_1^+(\lambda) - \Gamma_1^-(\lambda)$, and $\Upsilon_3(\lambda, x, y)$ can be written as

$$\sum_{\pm} \sum_{p,q=0,1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda s_1^p |y| \mp \mathrm{i}\lambda s_2^q |x|} \left\langle (M^-(\lambda))^{-1} k_{1,p}^-(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\, k_{2,q}^{\pm}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2.$$

Case 1: $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x| + |y|) \le 1$.

In this case, (2.29), (4.25) and (4.26) yield

$$\lambda^{2m-1} \left\langle (M^+(\lambda))^{-1} k_{2,p}^{\pm}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\, k_{1,q}^-(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \in s^{n-2m-1}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0, \lambda_0)),$$

$$\lambda^{2m-1} \left\langle (M^-(\lambda))^{-1} k_{1,p}^-(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\, k_{2,q}^{\pm}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \in s^{n-2m-1}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0, \lambda_0)),$$

$$\lambda^{2m-1} \left\langle (\Gamma_1^+(\lambda) - \Gamma_1^-(\lambda)) k_{1,p}^+(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\, Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} k_{1,q}^+(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \in S^{n-4m-1}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0, \lambda_0)).$$

Thus, we use (4.36) in Lemma 4.6 with $b = n - 4m - 1$ to obtain

$$|(4.62)| \lesssim (1 + |t|)^{-\frac{n-4m}{2m}} (1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} |x - y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \tag{4.63}$$

Case 2: $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x| + |y|) \ge 1$.

(4.24) and (4.27) yield

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda^{2m-1} \left\langle (M^+(\lambda))^{-1} k_{2,p}^{\pm}(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\, k_{1,q}^-(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \in S^{\frac{n-1}{2}-2m}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0, \lambda_0)),$$

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda^{2m-1} \left\langle (M^-(\lambda))^{-1} k_{1,p}^-(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\, k_{2,q}^{\pm}(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \in S^{\frac{n-1}{2}-2m}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0, \lambda_0)),$$

$$\langle x \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda^{2m-1} \left\langle (\Gamma_1^+(\lambda) - \Gamma_1^-(\lambda)) k_{1,p}^+(\lambda, s_1, \cdot, y),\, Q_{2m-\frac{n}{2}} k_{1,q}^+(\lambda, s_2, \cdot, x) \right\rangle \in S^{n-4m-1}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}((0, \lambda_0)).$$

Note that $n - 4m - 1 \ge \frac{n-1}{2} - 2m$ when $n \ge 4m + 1$, we apply Lemma 4.6 with $b = \frac{n+1}{2} - 2m - 1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} |(4.62)| &\lesssim (1 + |t|)^{-\frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}{2m}} \left(|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} (|x| + |y|) \right)^{-\min\left\{ \frac{3m-\frac{n+1}{2}}{2m-1}, 0 \right\}} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n-2m}{2m}} (1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}} |x - y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

Here, we use $\langle x \rangle^{-1} \langle y \rangle^{-1} \le (|x| + |y|)^{-1} \le |x - y|^{-1}$ and

$$\tfrac{n(m-1)}{2m-1} \le \min\left\{ \tfrac{3m-\frac{n+1}{2}}{2m-1}, 0 \right\} + \tfrac{n-1}{2}.$$

Therefore, (1.9) follows by (4.63) and (4.64) and the proof of the low energy part of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

Remark 4.8. *During the proof, we have taken advantage of the possible cancellation property of $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$ when zero is regular in dimensions $n \geq 2m+1$ or when zero is an eigenvalue of H, while in other cases, it suffices to prove results for $\Omega_r^{\pm,low}$. We summarize the cases in the following.*

resonance / *dimension*	$0 \leq k \leq \tilde{m}_n$	$\tilde{m}_n < k \leq m_n$	$k = m_n + 1$
$1 \leq n \leq 2m-1$	$\Omega_r^{\pm,low}$	$\Omega_r^{\pm,low}$	$\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$
$2m+1 \leq n \leq 4m-1$	$\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$	$\Omega_r^{\pm,low}$	$\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$
$n \geq 4m+1$	Ω_j^{low}, *and* $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$		Ω_j^{low}, *and* $\Omega_r^{+,low} - \Omega_r^{-,low}$

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3(high energy part).**

Given $K \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we apply the resolvent identity

$$R^{\pm}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2K-1} (-1)^k R_0^{\pm}(\lambda)(VR_0^{\pm}(\lambda))^k + (R_0^{\pm}(\lambda)V)^K R^{\pm}(\lambda)(VR_0^{\pm}(\lambda))^K,$$

to the Stone's formula of $e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)\tilde{\chi}(H)$, then

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_{ac}(H)\tilde{\chi}(H) = \sum_{k=0}^{2K-1} \Omega_k^{high} + \Omega_{K,r}^{+,high} - \Omega_{K,r}^{-,high},$$

where

$$\Omega_k^{high} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)\left(R_0^+(\lambda)(VR_0^+(\lambda))^k - R_0^-(\lambda)(VR_0^-(\lambda))^k\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

$$\Omega_{K,r}^{\pm,high} = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda)V)^K R^{\pm}(\lambda)(VR_0^{\pm}(\lambda))^K \mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{4.65}$$

These integrals converge in weak* sense when V satisfies (ii) of Assumption 1.2, and K will be chosen sufficiently large later.

The distribution kernel of Ω_0^{high} is

$$\Omega_0^{high}(t,x,y) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\tilde{\chi}(|\cdot|^{2m})e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\cdot|^{2m}}\right)(x-y),$$

by the Fourier representation of the spectral measure of $(-\Delta)^{2m}$, and the estimate for $\Omega_0^{high}(t,x,y)$ will be immediately implied by Lemma 4.9 introduced later.

The distribution kernel of Ω_k^{high} when $k \geq 1$ is formally the repeated integral

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_k^{high}(t,x,y)\\ =&\frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k} R_0^+(\lambda)(r_i) - \prod_{i=0}^{k} R_0^-(\lambda)(r_i)\right)\prod_{i=1}^{k} V(x_i)dx_1\cdots dx_k\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

where $r_i = x_i - x_{i+1}$, $x_0 = x$ and $x_{k+1} = y$. For every fix $k \in \{1,\cdots,2K-1\}$, let

$$X = |r_0| + \cdots + |r_k|, \quad T = |t|^{\frac{1}{2m}} + |t|, \quad \mathbb{K} = \{1,\cdots,k\}, \quad \mathbb{K}_0 = \{0,\cdots,k\}.$$

We fix a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ which will be chosen later, take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ where $\operatorname{supp}\phi \subset [-1,1]$ and $\phi = 1$ in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, and further decompose $\Omega_k^{high}(t,x,y)$ into

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y)\\ =&\frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}R_0^+(\lambda)(r_i)-\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}R_0^-(\lambda)(r_i)\right)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V(x_i)\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T})dx_1\cdots dx_k\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda,\end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y) =& \frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)\\ \times&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}R_0^+(\lambda)(r_i)-\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}R_0^-(\lambda)(r_i)\right)\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V(x_i)(1-\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}))dx_1\cdots dx_k\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda,\end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

To the end of this section, we use the notation S_N^b in general dimensions $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$ slightly differently from the previous (2.26). Denote $f \in S_N^b(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d; |\xi| > r_0\})$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, if $f \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d; |\xi| \geq r_0\}$ and

$$|\partial^\alpha f(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha |\xi|^{b-|\alpha|}, \quad |\xi| > r_0,\ |\alpha| \leq N. \tag{4.68}$$

We also denote $S^b(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d; |\xi| > r_0\}) = \bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}_0} S_N^b(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d; |\xi| > r_0\})$.

If f also depends on parameters, for example $f = f(\xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k)$, then we also denote $f(\xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k) \in S_N^b(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d; |\xi| > r_0\})$ and $f(\xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k) \in S^b(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d; |\xi| > r_0\})$ with respect to ξ in the sense that every seminorm C_α is bounded uniformly in the parameters $(r_0, \cdots, r_k)$.

The following estimates ([24, Lemma 2.1]) for higher dimensional oscillatory integrals will be used frequently.

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $N > \frac{n}{2}$, $a \in S_{N+1}^{2m}(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n; |\xi| > r_0\})$ for some given $r_0 > 0$ with*

$$c_1|\xi|^{2m-1} \leq |\nabla a(\xi)| \leq c_2|\xi|^{2m-1}, \quad |\xi| > r_0,$$

and

$$c_1'|\xi|^{d(2m-2)} \leq |\det Ha(\xi)| \leq c_2'|\xi|^{n(2m-2)}, \quad |\xi| > r_0.$$

Also suppose $\psi \in S_N^b(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n; |\xi| > r_0\})$, and denote

$$\mu_{b,n} = \tfrac{d(m-1)-n}{2m-1}. \tag{4.69}$$

Consider the oscillatory integral

$$I(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathrm{i}t(a(\xi)+x\cdot\xi)}\psi(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi, \quad t \neq 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

1) If $b \in [n(m-1) - N(2m-1), 2Nm - n)$, then

$$|I(t,x)| \lesssim \begin{cases} |t|^{-\frac{d}{2}+\mu_{b,n}}|x|^{-\mu_{b,n}}, & |t| \gtrsim 1,\ |t|^{-1}|x| \gtrsim 1,\\ |t|^{-N}, & |t| \gtrsim 1,\ |t|^{-1}|x| << 1.\end{cases} \tag{4.70}$$

2) If $b \in [-\frac{n}{2}, 2Nm - n)$, then

$$|I(t,x)| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n+b}{2m}} \left(1 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x|\right)^{-\mu_{b,n}}, \quad 0 < |t| \lesssim 1,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.71}$$

The constants in estimates (4.70) *and* (4.71) *stay bounded when c_1, c_2, c_1', c_2' and the seminorms of a, ψ stay bounded.*

4.3.1. *Estimates for $\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y)$ when $k \geq 1$.*

For this part, we only need to assume $V \in L^\infty$. Since $\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y) = 0$ when $|x-y| > \delta T$, we only need to consider its estimates when $0 < |x-y| \leq \delta T$. We will show the existence of a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ depending on λ_0, such that

$$|\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y)| \begin{cases} \lesssim_N |t|^{-N}, & |t| \gtrsim 1,\ 0 < |x-y| \lesssim \delta|t|,\ N \in \mathbb{N}_+, \\ \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}, & 0 < |t| \lesssim 1,\ 0 < |x-y| \lesssim \delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}. \end{cases}$$

We start by the formal expression (4.66) of $\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y)$. If $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} R_0^+(\lambda)(r_i) - \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} R_0^-(\lambda)(r_i) &= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} \left(R_0^+(\lambda)(r_i) - R_0^-(\lambda)(r_i)\right) \prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}} R_0^{\delta_{i,i'}}(\lambda)(r_{i'}) \\ &= 2\pi\mathrm{i} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} E_0'(\lambda)(r_i) \prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}} R_0^{\delta_{i,i'}}(\lambda)(r_{i'}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_{i,i'} = \begin{cases} -, & i' < i, \\ +, & i' > i, \end{cases}$$

$E_0'(\lambda)$ is the density of the spectral measure of $(-\Delta)^{2m}$ at $\lambda > 0$ with kernel

$$E_0'(\lambda)(r_i) = (2\pi)^{-n} \left(2m\lambda^{\frac{2m-1}{2m}}\right)^{-1} \int_{\{|\xi|^{2m}=\lambda\}} e^{\mathrm{i}\xi\cdot r_i} \mathrm{d}S_\lambda(\xi),$$

and dS_λ is the surface measure on $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ |\xi|^{2m} = \lambda\}$. Note that $\mathrm{d}\xi = (2m\lambda^{\frac{2m-1}{2m}})^{-1}\mathrm{d}S_\lambda \mathrm{d}\lambda$, we therefore know that $\Omega_k^{high,1}(t,x,y)$ is the linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k,i}^{high,1}(t,x,y) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}) V(x_1)\cdots V(x_k) \\ &\times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^{2m} + \mathrm{i}\xi\cdot r_i} \prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}} R_0^{\delta_{i,i'}}(|\xi|^{2m})(r_{i'}) \tilde{\chi}(|\xi|^{2m}) \mathrm{d}\xi\right) \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k, \\ &i = 0, \cdots, k. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\Omega_{k,i}^{high,1}(t,x,y)$, we know from (2.3) that $R_0^{\delta_{i,i'}}(|\xi|^{2m})(r_{i'})$ is a finite linear combination of the form

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\delta_{i,i'}|\xi||r_{i'}|} |r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l)} f_l(\xi, r_{i'}), \quad l = \min\{0, \tfrac{n-3}{2}\}, \cdots, \tfrac{n-3}{2},$$

where

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha f_l(\xi, r_{i'})| \lesssim_\alpha |\xi|^{-(2m-2-l)-|\alpha|}, \quad \xi, r_{i'} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

If we define

$$a(\xi, t, r_0, \cdots, r_k) = |\xi|^{2m} - t^{-1}\xi \cdot r_i - t^{-1}|\xi| \sum_{i' \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i\}} \delta_{i,i'} |r_{i'}|,$$

then $\Omega_{k,i}^{high,1}(t, x, y)$ is a finite linear combination of the form

$$I(t, x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} V(x_1) \cdots V(x_k) \phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}) \prod_{i' \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i\}} |r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathrm{i}ta(\xi,t,r_0,\cdots,r_k)} g(\xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k) \tilde{\chi}(|\xi|^{2m}) \mathrm{d}\xi \right) \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k,$$

where

$$l_{i'} \in \left\{ \min\{0, \tfrac{n-3}{2}\}, \cdots, \tfrac{n-3}{2} \right\}, \tag{4.72}$$

and

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha g(\xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k)| \lesssim_\alpha |\xi|^{-\sum_{i' \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i\}} (2m-2-l_{i'})-|\alpha|}, \quad \xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \tag{4.73}$$

To give long time estimate to such $I(t, x, y)$, if we choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, then when $|t| \gtrsim 1$ and $X \le \delta T \lesssim \delta |t|$, we have

$$|t|^{-1}|\xi| X <<_{\lambda_0} |\xi|^{2m}, \quad |\xi|^{2m} > \lambda_0,$$

which implies $a(\xi, t, r_0, \cdots, r_k) \in S^{2m}(\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ |\xi|^{2m} > \lambda_0\})$ with seminorms bounded uniformly in parameters $t, r_0, \cdots, r_k$, and that

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla_\xi a(\xi, t, r_0, \cdots, r_k)| \sim |\xi|^{2m-1}, \\ |\det H_\xi a(\xi, t, r_0, \cdots, r_k)| \sim |\xi|^{n(2m-2)}, \end{cases} \qquad |\xi|^{2m} > \lambda_0,\ |t| \gtrsim 1,\ X \le \delta |t|.$$

A calculation with (4.73) also show that

$$g(\xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k) \tilde{\chi}(|\xi|^{2m}) \in S^{-\sum_{i' \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i\}} (2m-2-l_{i'})}(\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ |\xi|^{2m} > \lambda_0\}).$$

Now Lemma 4.9 immediately implies for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$ that

$$\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathrm{i}ta(\xi,t,r_0,\cdots,r_k)} g(\xi, r_0, \cdots, r_k) \tilde{\chi}(|\xi|^{2m}) \mathrm{d}\xi \right| \lesssim_N |t|^{-N}, \quad |t| \gtrsim 1,\ r_0 \cdots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |I(t, x, y)| &\lesssim_N |t|^{-N} \int_{\{X \lesssim \delta T\}} \prod_{i' \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i\}} |r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})} \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k \\ &\lesssim_N |t|^{-N+kn-\sum_{i' \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i\}} (n-2-l_{i'})} \\ &\lesssim_{N'} |t|^{-N'}, \quad |t| \gtrsim 1,\ 0 < |x-y| \le \delta |t|,\ N' \in \mathbb{N}_+, \end{aligned}$$

by scaling, and estimates starting from the integral in x_i.

To give short time estimate to $I(t,x,y)$, we further decompose $I(t,x,y)$ into

$$I_1(t,x,y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} V(x_1)\cdots V(x_k)\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}) \prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}} |r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})} \times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathrm{i}ta(\xi,t,r_0,\cdots,r_k)} g(\xi,r_0,\cdots,r_k)\tilde{\chi}(|\xi|^{2m})\phi(\tfrac{1}{\delta t|\xi|^{2m}})\mathrm{d}\xi\right)\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,$$

and

$$I_2(t,x,y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} V(x_1)\cdots V(x_k)\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}) \prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}} |r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})} \times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathrm{i}ta(\xi,t,r_0,\cdots,r_k)} g(\xi,r_0,\cdots,r_k)\tilde{\chi}(|\xi|^{2m})(1-\phi(\tfrac{1}{\delta t|\xi|^{2m}}))\mathrm{d}\xi\right)\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k.$$

For $I_1(t;x,y)$, we first note when $t>0$ that

$$ta(\xi,t,r_0,\cdots,r_k) = a(t^{\frac{1}{2m}}\xi,1,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_0,\cdots,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_k),$$

so a scaling yields

$$\begin{aligned} &I_1(t,x,y)\\ =&t^{-\frac{n}{2m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} V(x_1)\cdots V(x_k)\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}) \prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}} |r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})}\\ &\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}a(\xi,1,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_0,\cdots,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_k)} g(t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\xi,r_0,\cdots,r_k)\tilde{\chi}(t^{-1}|\xi|^{2m})\phi(\tfrac{1}{\delta|\xi|^{2m}})\mathrm{d}\xi\right)\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.74}$$

If δ is sufficiently small, then when $0<t\lesssim 1$ and $X\le\delta T\lesssim\delta t^{\frac{1}{2m}}$, we have

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}X|\xi| <<_\delta |\xi|^{2m},\quad |\xi|^{2m}\ge\tfrac{1}{\delta},$$

which implies $a(\xi,1,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_0,\cdots,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_k)\in S^{2m}(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n;\,|\xi|^{2m}>\frac{1}{\delta}\})$ and

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla_\xi a(\xi,1,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_0,\cdots,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_k)|\sim|\xi|^{2m-1},\\ |\det H_\xi a(\xi,1,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_0,\cdots,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_k)|\sim|\xi|^{n(2m-2)}, \end{cases} \quad |\xi|^{2m}>\tfrac{1}{\delta},\ 0<t\lesssim 1,\ |X|\le\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}.$$

It is not hard to check with (4.73), denoted by $b=-\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})$, that

$$t^{\frac{b}{2m}} g(t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\xi;r_0,\cdots,r_k)\tilde{\chi}(t^{-1}|\xi|^{2m})\phi(\tfrac{1}{\delta|\xi|^{2m}})\in S^b(\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n;\,|\xi|^{2m}>\tfrac{1}{\delta}\}).$$

So Lemma 4.9 implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}a(\xi,1,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_0,\cdots,t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}r_k)} g(t^{-\frac{1}{2m}}\xi,r_0,\cdots,r_k)\tilde{\chi}(t^{-1}|\xi|^{2m})\phi(\tfrac{1}{\delta|\xi|^{2m}})\mathrm{d}\xi\right|\\ \lesssim& t^{-\frac{b}{2m}},\quad 0<t\lesssim 1,\ X\le\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.75}$$

and we remark that this estimate only uses Lemma 4.9 for fixed time, so there is no limitation for the range of $b = -\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})$. Combining (4.75) with (4.74) and a parallel discussion for $-1 \lesssim t < 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1(t,x,y)| \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \int_{X\lesssim\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}} |t|^{(1-\frac{n}{2m})k} \prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}} |t^{-\frac{1}{2m}} r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})} \mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k \\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}+k}, \quad 0<|t|\lesssim 1,\ 0<|x-y|\le\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.76}$$

which is a consequence of scaling.

For $I_2(t,x,y)$, we use (4.73) to give when $0<|t|\lesssim 1$ and $r_0,\cdots,r_k\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathrm{i}ta(\xi,t,r_0,\cdots,r_k)} g(\xi,r_0,\cdots,r_k)\tilde{\chi}(|\xi|^{2m})(1-\phi(\tfrac{1}{\delta t|\xi|^{2m}}))\mathrm{d}\xi\right| \\ \lesssim & \left|\int_{\{|\xi|^{2m}\lesssim_\delta |t|^{-1}\}\cap\{|\xi|^{2m}>\lambda_0\}} |\xi|^{-\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})}\mathrm{d}\xi\right| \\ \lesssim_{\delta,\lambda_0} & \begin{cases} 1+|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}+\frac{1}{2m}\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})}, & \sum\limits_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})\neq n, \\ \ln|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}, & \sum\limits_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})=n. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

If $\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})\neq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2(t,x,y)| \lesssim & \left(1+|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}+\frac{1}{2m}\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})}\right)\int_{\{X\lesssim\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}\}}\prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}|r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k \\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}\left(|t|^{\frac{n+2k+2k\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\}}{2m}}+|t|^k\right) \\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}, \quad 0<|t|\lesssim 1,\ 0<|x-y|\le\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

where we have used scaling and the range (4.72) for $l_{i'}$. If $\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(2m-2-l_{i'})=n$, which implies $\sum_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}(n-2-l_{i'})=n-k(2m-n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2(t,x,y)| \lesssim & \ln|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}\int_{\{X\lesssim\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}\}}\prod_{i'\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{i\}}|r_{i'}|^{-(n-2-l_{i'})}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k \\ \sim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}+k}\ln|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}} \\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}, \quad 0<|t|\lesssim 1,\ 0<|x-y|\le\delta|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.78}$$

The short time estimate is completed by (4.76), (4.77) and (4.78).

4.3.2. *Estimates for* $\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y)$.

In this part, we need to assume V satisfies (iii) in Assumption 1.2. It will be proved that

$$|\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y)| \lesssim \begin{cases} |t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}(1+|t|^{-1}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, & |t| \gtrsim 1,\ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, & 0<|t| \lesssim 1,\ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

We start by the formal expression (4.67) of $\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y)$ which also has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y) &= \frac{(-1)^k m}{\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \lambda^{2m-1} \tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m}) \\ &\times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(r_i) - \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(r_i) \right) \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V(x_i)(1-\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}))\mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k \right) \mathrm{d}\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

When $\lambda > 0$, we know from (2.3) that $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(r_i)$ is a finite linear combination of the form

$$e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda|r_i|}\lambda^{-(2m-2-l)}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l)} f_l(\lambda; r_i), \quad l = \min\{0, \tfrac{n-3}{2}\}, \cdots, \tfrac{n-3}{2},$$

where

$$|\partial_\lambda^j \partial_{r_i}^\alpha f_l(\lambda; r_i)| \lesssim_{j,\alpha} \lambda^{-j}|r_i|^{-|\alpha|}, \quad \lambda, |r_i| > 0,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,$$

therefore $\Omega_k^{high,2}(t,x,y)$ is a finite linear combination of the form

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vec{l}}(t;x,y) = \int_0^{+\infty} & e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}} \tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m}) \lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)} \times \\ & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} e^{\pm \mathrm{i}\lambda X} f(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k) \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V(x_i)(1-\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T}))\mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k \right) \mathrm{d}\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{4.79}$$

where $\vec{l} = (l_0, \cdots, l_k)$ with $l_i \in \left\{ \min\{0, \frac{n-3}{2}\}, \cdots, \frac{n-3}{2} \right\}$, and

$$\left| \partial_\lambda^j \partial_{r_0}^{\alpha_0} \cdots \partial_{r_k}^{\alpha_k} f(\lambda; r_0, \cdots, r_k) \right| \lesssim_{j,\alpha_0,\cdots,\alpha_k} \lambda^{-j}|r_0|^{-|\alpha_0|} \cdots |r_k|^{-|\alpha_k|}, \quad \lambda, |r_i| > 0,\ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

We split the discussion for $I^{\vec{l}}(t;x,y)$ into two cases according to $\vec{l}$.

Case 1: There exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{K}_0$ with

$$2m - 2 - l_i \geq 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{K}_0 \setminus \{i_0\}. \tag{4.80}$$

For $j \in \mathbb{K}_0$, let $D_j = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{kn};\ |r_j| = \max_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|\}$, then $X \sim |r_j|$ holds in D_j. We first rewrite (4.79)

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\vec{l}}(t;x,y) &= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{K}_0} \int_{D_j} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} V(x_i)(1-\phi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T})) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m} \pm \mathrm{i}\lambda X} \tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m}) \lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)} f(\lambda, r_0, \cdots, r_k)\mathrm{d}\lambda \right) \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_k \\ &:= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{K}_0} I_j^{\vec{l}}(t;x,y), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)}f(\lambda,r_0,\cdots,r_k)\in S^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)}(\{\lambda\in\mathbb{R};\,|\lambda|^{2m}>\lambda_0\})$$
$$\subset S^{1+l_{i_0}}(\{\lambda\in\mathbb{R};\,|\lambda|^{2m}>\lambda_0\}),$$

where the inclusion is due to assumption (4.80), and every relevant seminorm is bounded uniformly in parameters $r_0,\cdots,r_k$ by (4.3.2). Since $l_{i_0}\geq -1$ implies $1+l_{i_0}\geq -\frac{1}{2}$, we apply Lemma 4.9 whenever $0<|t|\lesssim 1$ or $|t|\gtrsim 1$ to get

$$\left|\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}\pm\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)}f(\lambda,r_0,\cdots,r_k)\mathrm{d}\lambda\right|$$
$$\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}},\quad X\geq\tfrac{\delta T}{2}\gtrsim T,$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\vec{I_j^l}(t;x,y)|\\
\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}\int_{\{X\gtrsim T\}\cap D_j}X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}\langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k\\
\sim&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}\int_{\{X\gtrsim T\}\cap D_j}X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-2-l_j)}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}\langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{j\}}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k,
\end{aligned}$$

where we note that

$$\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}+(n-2-l_j)=\tfrac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}+\tfrac{1}{2m-1}(\tfrac{n-3}{2}-l_{i_0})+(\tfrac{n-3}{2}-l_j)\geq 0. \tag{4.81}$$

To show long time estimate, we have when $X\sim|r_j|\gtrsim T\sim|t|\gtrsim 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-2-l_j)}\\
\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}(|t|+|x-y|)^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle r_j\rangle^{-(\frac{n-3}{2}-l_j)}\\
\sim&\langle r_j\rangle^{-(\frac{n-3}{2}-l_j)}\begin{cases}|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}, & |t|>|x-y|,\\ |t|^{-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}-\frac{1}{2m-1}(\frac{n-3}{2}-l_{i_0})}|x-y|^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, & |t|\leq|x-y|,\end{cases}\\
\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}(1+|t|^{-1}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}\langle r_j\rangle^{-(\frac{n-3}{2}-l_j)},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.82}$$

which implies when $|t|\gtrsim 1$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\vec{I^l}(t;x,y)|\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}(1+|t|^{-1}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}\\
&\times\sum_{j\in\mathbb{K}_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\langle r_j\rangle^{-(\frac{n-3}{2}-l_j)}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}\langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-}\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{j\}}|r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k.
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that the integrals above are bounded uniformly in x_0 and x_{k+1} is a consequence of Proposition 3.2 if we first estimate the integral in x_j to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\langle x_j\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-}\mathrm{d}x_j}{|x_{j-1}-x_j|^{n-2-l_{j-1}}\langle x_j-x_{j+1}\rangle^{\frac{n-3}{2}-l_j}}\lesssim 1,\quad |x_{j-1}-x_{j+1}|>0,$$

and then estimate the repeated integral in variables remained starting from x_{j-1} to x_1 or from x_{j+1} to x_k.

To show short time estimate, recall (4.81), it follows when $0 < |t| \lesssim 1$ and $X \sim |r_j| \gtrsim T \sim |t|^{\frac{1}{2m}}$ that, if $l_{i_0} \le l_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}} X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-2-l_j)} \\
\lesssim &|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}} (|t|^{\frac{1}{2m}} + |x-y|)^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-2-l_j)} \\
\sim &\begin{cases} |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}+\frac{1}{2m}(l_j-l_{i_0})}, & |t|^{\frac{1}{2m}} > |x-y|, \\ |t|^{-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}+\frac{1}{2m}(l_j-l_{i_0})}|x-y|^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, & |t|^{\frac{1}{2m}} \le |x-y|, \end{cases} \\
\lesssim &|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.83}$$

and if $l_{i_0} > l_j$, we similarly have

$$\begin{aligned}
|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}} X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-2-l_j)} =&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}} X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-2-l_{i_0})} X^{-(l_{i_0}-l_j)} \\
\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}} X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-2-l_{i_0})} |r_{i_0}|^{-(l_{i_0}-l_j)} \\
\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}} |r_{i_0}|^{-(l_{i_0}-l_j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies when $0 < |t| \lesssim 1$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\vec{I_j^l}(t;x,y)| \\
\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}} \\
&\times \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} \langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{j\}} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)} \mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k, & \text{if } l_{i_0} \le l_j, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} |r_{i_0}|^{-(n-2-l_j)} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}} \langle x_i\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-} \prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0\setminus\{j,i_0\}} |r_i|^{-(n-2-l_i)} \mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k, & \text{if } l_{i_0} > l_j, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and the fact that the integrals above are bounded uniformly in x_0 and x_{k+1} is also a consequence of Proposition 3.2 if we first estimate the integral in x_j to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_j\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-}\mathrm{d}x_j}{|x_{j-1}-x_j|^{n-2-l_{j-1}}} \lesssim 1, \quad |x_{j-1}-x_j| > 0, \text{ if } i_0 \ne j-1,$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle x_j\rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-}\mathrm{d}x_j}{|x_{j-1}-x_j|^{n-2-l_j}} \lesssim 1, \quad |x_{j-1}-x_j| > 0, \text{ if } i_0 = j-1,$$

and then estimate the remained repeated integral in the same way.

Case 2: There exist $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{K}_0$ with

$$l_{i_1} + 2 - 2m > 0,\ l_{i_2} + 2 - 2m > 0.$$

Apply Proposition 3.3 with $\mu = k - k_0$ (and T replaced by δT there) to the spatial integrals in (4.79), and note that $J = L_{k_0} + \cdots + K_{k-1}$ must hold by (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vec{I^l}(t;x,y)\\
=&\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)-L_{k_0}-\cdots-L_{k-1}}\\
&\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} e^{\pm\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\frac{\left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i)\right)g(\lambda,r_0,\cdots,r_k,F_1,\cdots,F_s)\psi(\frac{X}{\delta T})}{\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}|r_i|^{n-2-l_i+d_i}\prod_{i=1}^s\|F_i\|^{p_i}}\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\frac{\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}}V^{(\alpha_i)}(x_i)}{\prod_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}|r_i|^{n-2-l_i+d_i}\prod_{i=1}^s\|F_i\|^{p_i}}\psi(\tfrac{X}{\delta T})\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k\\
&\times\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}\pm\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)-L_{k_0}-\cdots-L_{k-1}}g(\lambda,r_0,\cdots,r_k,F_1,\cdots,F_s)\mathrm{d}\lambda,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.84}$$

with all properties illustrated in Proposition 3.3, where we note that $X \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta T \sim T$ holds in $\operatorname{supp}\psi(\frac{X}{\delta T})$. By definition of L_i in (3.3), we have

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)+L_{k_0}+\cdots+L_{k-1}\geq -L_k = 2m-2-l_{i_0},$$

where $i_0 = \sigma(k)$ (see (3.3)), and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)-L_{k_0}-\cdots-L_{k-1}}g(\lambda,r_0,\cdots,r_k,F_1,\cdots,F_s)\\
\in&S^{1+l_{i_0}}(\{\lambda\in\mathbb{R};\,|\lambda|^{2m}>\lambda_0\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m}\pm\mathrm{i}\lambda X}\tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1-\sum_{i\in\mathbb{K}_0}(2m-2-l_i)-L_{k_0}-\cdots-L_{k-1}}g(\lambda,r_0,\cdots,r_k,F_1,\cdots,F_s)\mathrm{d}\lambda\right|\\
\lesssim&|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}},\quad X\geq\tfrac{\delta T}{2}\gtrsim T.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the calculation in (4.82) and (4.83) (recall $l_{i_0} = \max_{j\in\mathbb{K}_0} l_j$ by definition of L_k in (3.3)), it follows when $X \gtrsim T$ that

$$|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-\frac{n-1}{2}}\text{ and }|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}}X^{-\mu_{1+l_{i_0},1}-(n-L_k-2m)},$$

are both bounded by the function

$$\begin{cases}|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}(1+|t|^{-1}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, & |t|\gtrsim 1,\\ |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, & 0<|t|\lesssim 1.\end{cases}\tag{4.85}$$

If $|x-y|\gtrsim 1$, we conclude

$$|\vec{I^l}(t,x,y)|\lesssim (4.85)\times\int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}}\frac{X^{\frac{n-1}{2}}|V^{(\alpha_1)}(x_1)|\cdots|V^{(\alpha_k)}(x_k)|\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|r_0|^{n-2-l_0+d_0}\cdots|r_k|^{n-2-l_k+d_k}\|F_1\|^{p_1}\cdots\|F_s\|^{p_s}},$$

and if $|x-y| \lesssim 1$, we conclude

$$|I^{\vec{l}}(t,x,y)| \lesssim (4.85) \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{kn}} \frac{X^{n-L_k-2m}|V^{(\alpha_1)}(x_1)|\cdots|V^{(\alpha_k)}(x_k)|\mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_k}{|r_0|^{n-2-l_0+d_0}\cdots|r_k|^{n-2-l_k+d_k}\|F_1\|^{p_1}\cdots\|F_s\|^{p_s}},$$

so the proof is completed by Proposition 3.21.

4.3.3. *Estimates for $\Omega_{K,r}^{\pm,high}$.*

In this part, we assume $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}+[\frac{n}{2m}]+1)-}$. Our final task in this section is to estimate the kernel of $\Omega_{K,r}^{\pm,high}$ defined in (4.65), which can be written by the oscillatory integral

$$\Omega_{K,r}^{\pm,high}(t,x,y) = \frac{m}{\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda^{2m} \pm \lambda(|x|+|y|)} \tilde{\chi}(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1} T_{\pm}(\lambda,x,y)\mathrm{d}\lambda, \tag{4.86}$$

where $T_{\pm}(t,x,y)$ is the following scalar product between $L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-}$ and $L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+}$

$$\left\langle R^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})V(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})V)^{K-1}(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot-y)e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|}), V(R_0^{\mp}(\lambda^{2m})V)^{K-1}(R_0^{\mp}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot-x)e^{\pm\mathrm{i}\lambda|x|})\right\rangle$$

We will show the existence of a sufficiently large K such that

$$|\Omega_{K,r}^{\pm,high}(t,x,y)| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}}, \quad |t|>0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.87}$$

Before the proof of (4.87), we first establish pointwise estimates for $T_{\pm}(t,x,y)$. To this end, we need the following two lemmas concerning the free resolvent.

Lemma 4.10. *For any $s\in\mathbb{N}_0$, it follows uniformly in $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda\gtrsim 1$ that*

$$\left|\partial_\lambda^s R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}\langle|x-y|^{-(n-2-\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\})}\rangle\langle x-y\rangle^{-(\frac{n-1}{2}-s)}, \tag{4.88}$$

and that

$$\left|\partial_\lambda^s (R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|})\right| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}\langle x\rangle^s\langle|x-y|^{-(n-2-\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\})}\rangle\langle x-y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}. \tag{4.89}$$

Proof. We only prove for the case of R_0^+, since the proof for R_0^- is the same. It follows from (2.3) that $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)$ is a linear combination of

$$h_{j,l}(\lambda,x-y) := \lambda^{-(2m-2-l)}e^{\mathrm{i}e^{\frac{j\pi\mathrm{i}}{m}}\lambda|x-y|}|x-y|^{-(n-2-l)},$$

where $0\le j\le m-1$ and $\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\}\le l\le\frac{n-3}{2}$. Notice that $\partial_\lambda^s(h_{0,l}(\lambda,x-y)e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|})$ is a linear combination of

$$\lambda^{-(2m-2-l+s_1)}(|x-y|-|y|)^{s_2}e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda(|x-y|-|y|)}|x-y|^{n-2-l}, \quad s_1+s_2=s,$$

while $\partial_\lambda^s(h_{j,l}(\lambda,x-y)e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|})$ has a better bound by the exponential decay $e^{-(\sin\frac{j\pi}{m})\lambda|x-y|}$ when $j\neq 0$. Therefore (4.88) and (4.89) follow immediately by differentiating $h_{j,l}(\lambda,x-y)$ and $h_{j,l}(\lambda,x-y)e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|}$. □

Lemma 4.11. *For $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it follows that*

$$\|\partial_\lambda^s(R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m}))\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\to L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}} \lesssim \lambda^{-(2m-1)}, \quad \lambda \gtrsim 1, \tag{4.90}$$

and if $|V(x)| \le \langle x\rangle^{-(s+1)-}$, it also follows that

$$\|\partial_\lambda^s(R^\pm(\lambda^{2m}))\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\to L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}} \lesssim \lambda^{-(2m-1)}, \quad \lambda \gtrsim 1.$$

Proof. It is well known (see [29]) that

$$\|\partial_z^s \mathfrak{R}_0(z)\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\to L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}} \lesssim z^{\frac{-1-s}{2}}, \quad |z| \gtrsim 1,\ z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus[0,+\infty).$$

Thus, the first statement follows by the limiting absorption principle and (2.1).

Next, by the resolvent identity

$$R^\pm(\lambda^{2m}) = (I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})V)^{-1}R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m}),$$

$\partial_\lambda^s(R^\pm(\lambda^{2m}))$ can be written as a linear combination of

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p}\Big(I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})V)^{-1}\partial_\lambda^{s_j}(R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m}))V\Big)(I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})V)^{-1}\partial_\lambda^{s_{p+1}}(R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})),$$

where $0 \le p \le s$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{p+1} s_j = s$. Note that $(I + R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})V)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded for λ in $L^2_{-(s_j+\frac{1}{2})-}$ when $|V(x)| \le \langle x\rangle^{-(s_j+1)-}$, and

$$\|\partial_\lambda^{s_j}(R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m}))V\|_{L^2_{-(s_{j+1}+\frac{1}{2})-}\to L^2_{-(s_j+\frac{1}{2})-}} \lesssim \lambda^{-(2m-1)}, \quad \lambda \gtrsim 1,$$

by (4.90) when $|V(x)| \le \langle x\rangle^{-(s_j+s_{j+1}+1)-}$. Then we have the second statement. □

Proposition 4.12. *If $K > [\frac{n-4}{4}] + 2$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}+s)}$, then*

$$\left|\partial_\lambda^s T_\pm(\lambda, x, y)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{(2K+1)(2m-1)+([\frac{n-4}{4}]+2)(n-1)}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ \lambda \gtrsim 1.$$

Proof. Denoted by $R_0^{\pm,(j)} = \partial_\lambda^j R_0(\lambda^{2m})$ and $R_V^{\pm,(j)} = \partial_\lambda^j R_V(\lambda^{2m})$, we know $\partial_\lambda^s T_\pm(t, x, y)$ is a linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R^{\pm,(s_V)}VR_0^{\pm,(s_{K-1})}V\cdots R_0^{\pm,(s_1)}V\partial_\lambda^{s_0}(R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - y)e^{\mp i\lambda|y|}),\\ VR_0^{\mp,(\tilde{s}_{K-1})}V\cdots R_0^{\mp,(\tilde{s}_1)}V\partial_\lambda^{\tilde{s}_0}(R_0^\mp(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot - x)e^{\pm i\lambda|y|})\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{4.91}$$

where $s_0 + \cdots s_{K-1} + s_V + \tilde{s}_0 + \cdots + \tilde{s}_{K-1} = s$. We first use Lemma 4.10 and Proposition 3.2 to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{-s_1}\left(R_0^{+,(s_1)}V\partial_\lambda^{s_0}(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot-y)e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|})\right)(\tilde{z})\right| \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{2(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\langle|\tilde{z}-z|^{-(n-2-\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\})}\rangle\langle|z-y|^{-(n-2-\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\})}\rangle\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{-s_1}\langle z\rangle^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}+s-s_0)-}\mathrm{d}z}{\langle\tilde{z}-z\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}-s_1}\langle z-y\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{2(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\langle|\tilde{z}-z|^{-(n-2-\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\})}\rangle\langle|z-y|^{-(n-2-\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\})}\rangle\langle z\rangle^{-(\frac{n+1}{2}+s-s_0-s_1)-}\mathrm{d}z}{\langle\tilde{z}-z\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle z-y\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{2(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\langle|\tilde{z}-y|^{-\max\{0,n-4-2\min\{0,\frac{n-3}{2}\}\}}\rangle\langle\tilde{z}-y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{2(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\langle|\tilde{z}-z|^{-(n-4)}\rangle\langle\tilde{z}-y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{-1}\langle z\rangle^{-1} \lesssim \langle\tilde{z}-z\rangle^{-1}$. If $l \le K-1$, we obtain inductively by such argument that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{-s_l}\left(R_0^{+,(s_l)}V\cdots R_0^{+,(s_1)}V\partial_\lambda^{s_0}(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot-y)e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|})\right)(\tilde{z})\right| \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{(l+1)(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\langle|\tilde{z}-z|^{-(n-2-2l)}\rangle\langle\tilde{z}-y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 4.11 and the fact that $\|V\|_{L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}\to L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim 1$, if we take $l = [\frac{n-4}{4}]+1$ so that $2(n-2-2l) \le n-1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|VR_0^{\pm,(s_{K-1})}V\cdots R_0^{\pm,(s_1)}V\partial_\lambda^{s_0}(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot-y)e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|})\right\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}} \\
\lesssim&\|R_0^{\pm,(s_{K-1})}\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\to L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}}\cdots\|R_0^{\pm,(s_{l+2})}\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\to L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}}\|R_0^{\pm,(s_{l+1})}\|_{L^2_{s_{l+1}+\frac{1}{2}+}\to L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}} \\
&\times\left\|V(\tilde{z})\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{s_l}\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{-s_l}\left(R_0^{+,(s_1)}V\partial_\lambda^{s_0}(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot-y)e^{\mp\mathrm{i}\lambda|y|})\right)(\tilde{z})\right\|_{L^2_{s_{l+1}+\frac{1}{2}+}(\tilde{z})} \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{-(K-l-1)(2m-1)+(l+1)(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\langle|\tilde{z}-y|^{-2(n-2-2l)}\rangle\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{-2(\frac{n}{2}+s-s_l)-}}{\langle\tilde{z}-y\rangle^{n-1}}\mathrm{d}\tilde{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{-(K-l-1)(2m-1)+(l+1)(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\langle\tilde{z}\rangle^{-n-}}{|\tilde{z}-y|^{n-1}}\mathrm{d}\tilde{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\lesssim&\lambda^{-(K-l-1)(2m-1)+(l+1)(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and the such proof also implies the bound $\lambda^{-(K-l-1)(2m-1)+(l+1)(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}$ for

$$\left\|VR_0^{\mp,(\tilde{s}_{K-1})}V\cdots R_0^{\mp,(\tilde{s}_1)}V\partial_\lambda^{\tilde{s}_0}(R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^{2m})(\cdot-x)e^{\pm\mathrm{i}\lambda|x|})\right\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

These two estimates and Lemma 4.11 imply the bound

$$\begin{aligned}|(4.91)| \lesssim & \lambda^{-2(K-l-1)(2m-1)+2(l+1)(\frac{n+1}{2}-2m)}\|R^{\pm,(s_V)}\|_{L^2_{s+\frac{1}{2}+}\to L^2_{-(s+\frac{1}{2})-}}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\\ \lesssim & \lambda^{-(2K+1)(2m-1)+(l+1)(n-1)}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\\ = & \lambda^{-(2K+1)(2m-1)+([\frac{n-4}{4}]+2)(n-1)}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

and the same bound holds for $|\partial_\lambda^s T_\pm(t,x,y)|$. □

Proof of (4.87). If we take $s=[\frac{n}{2m}]+1$ in Proposition 4.12, then the decay of V implies with respect to λ that

$$T_\pm(\lambda,x,y)\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\in S^{-(2K+1)(2m-1)+([\frac{n-4}{4}]+2)(n-1)+[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((\lambda_0,+\infty)).$$

If K is taken so large that $-(2K+1)(2m-1)+([\frac{n-4}{4}]+2)(n-1)+[\frac{n}{2m}]+1\le\frac{n-1}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\chi(\lambda^{2m})\lambda^{2m-1}T_\pm(\lambda,x,y)\langle x\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\in & S^{-(2K+1)(2m-1)+([\frac{n-4}{4}]+2)(n-1)+[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((\lambda_0/2,+\infty))\\ \subset & S^{\frac{n-1}{2}}_{[\frac{n}{2m}]+1}((\lambda_0/2,+\infty)).\end{aligned}$$

So the application of Lemma 4.9 to (4.86) yields the long time estimates

$$\begin{aligned}|\Omega^{\pm,high}_{K,r}(t,x,y)|\lesssim & \begin{cases}|t|^{-\frac12+\frac{m-1-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m-1}}(|x|+|y|)^{-\frac{m-1-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m-1}}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, & |t|\gtrsim 1,\ |x|+|y|\gtrsim|t|,\\ |t|^{-[\frac{n}{2m}]-1}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, & |t|\gtrsim 1,\ |x|+|y|\lesssim|t|,\end{cases}\\ \lesssim & \begin{cases}|t|^{-\frac12+\frac{m-1-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m-1}}(|x|+|y|)^{-\frac{m-1-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m-1}-\frac{n-1}{2}}, & |t|\gtrsim 1,\ |x|+|y|\gtrsim|t|,\\ |t|^{-[\frac{n}{2m}]-1}, & |t|\gtrsim 1,\ |x|+|y|\lesssim|t|,\end{cases}\\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},\quad |t|\gtrsim 1,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n,\end{aligned}$$

and the short time estimates

$$\begin{aligned}|\Omega^{\pm,high}_{K,r}(t,x,y)|\lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{1+\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m}}\left(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}(|x|+|y|)\right)^{-\frac{m-1-\frac{n-1}{2}}{2m-1}}\langle x\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\\ \lesssim & |t|^{-\frac{n}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|)^{-\frac{n(m-1)}{2m-1}},\quad 0<|t|\lesssim 1,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n.\end{aligned}$$

□

4.4. **A result for the smoothing operators.**

If α is a positive real, then the smoothing operator $H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}}e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)$ can be defined through spectral calculus, and can also be split into low and high energy parts

$$\begin{aligned}H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}}e^{-itH}P_{ac}(H)= & \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^{+\infty}\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}}e^{-it\lambda}\left(R^+(\lambda)-R^-(\lambda)\right)\left(\chi(\lambda)+\tilde\chi(\lambda)\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda,\\ := & H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}}e^{-itH}\chi(H)P_{ac}(H)+H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}}e^{-itH}\tilde\chi(H)P_{ac}(H),\end{aligned}$$

where χ is defined by (4.1) and $\tilde\chi(\lambda)=1-\chi(\lambda)$.

It is now reasonable to discuss the kernels for these two parts in a completely parallel way, because the only relevant changes are the function classes to which the amplitude functions in the oscillatory integrals in λ belong, and similar changes happen after whatever further decomposition or treatment. The oscillatory integral estimates Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.9 are then still applicable with a few adjustments, where one should be slightly more careful when checking the differentiability of the amplitude functions in every detail.

It turns out that a minor change of the decay assumption on V suffices to give the following result, and we only state it with a few technical comments against the main differences for its proof compared with the previous contents in the case $\alpha = 0$.

Proposition 4.13. *Suppose $n \geq 1$ is odd, $m \geq 1$ is an integer, and V satisfies Assumption 1.2 except that* (1.7) *is replaced by*

$$\beta := \begin{cases} 4m + 4\mathbf{k} + 2, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq 2m - 1, \\ 2n + 4\mathbf{k} + 2, & \text{if } 2m + 1 \leq n \leq 4m - 1, \\ n + 2, & \text{if } n \geq 4m + 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.92}$$

If $\alpha \in [0, n(m-1)]$, denoted by $K_\alpha(t, x, y)$ the kernel of $H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}} e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H)$, then

$$|K_\alpha(t,x,y)| \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-h(m,n,\mathbf{k})-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} (1+|t|^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2m}}) \left(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)-\alpha}{2m-1}}, \quad t \neq 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The result still holds if $H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}}$ is replace by $f(H)$ for any $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ satisfying

$$|\partial^j f(\lambda)| \leq C_j |\lambda|^{\frac{\alpha}{2m} - j}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},\ j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Remark 4.14. *If we take f with $\alpha = n(m-1)$, i.e. $f(H) \sim H^{\frac{n(m-1)}{2m}}$, the above result implies in odd dimensions the smoothing dispersive bound*

$$\|f(H) e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H)\|_{L^1 - L^\infty} \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-h(m,n,\mathbf{k}) - \frac{n(m-1)}{2m}} (1+|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}), \quad t \neq 0,$$

which is a variable coefficient variant of the smoothing effect obtained in Kenig-Vega-Ponce [30] (also see Erdoğan-Goldberg-Green [7, Proposition 2.1], or more generally Huang-Huang-Zheng [24, Theorem 3.1]) for the free case $V \equiv 0$.

In particular when $0 \leq \mathbf{k} \leq \tilde{m}_n$ (see (1.6)*), we have*

$$\|f(H) e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H)\|_{L^1 - L^\infty} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad t \neq 0,$$

and this generalizes, in all odd dimensions, the smoothing estimate in Erdoğan-Green [10, Corollary 1.4] where $n > 2m$, $f(H) = H^{\frac{n(m-1)}{2m}}$ and $\mathbf{k} = 0$ (i.e. assuming zero to be regular), which was obtained by studying the endpoint L^p boundedness of the wave operators.

Let $K_\alpha^{low}(t, x, y)$ and $K_\alpha^{high}(t, x, y)$ be kernels respectively of the low and high energy parts of $H^{\frac{\alpha}{2m}} e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H)$. The change of decay assumption (4.92) mainly comes from

the argument for establishing the low energy estimate

$$\left|K_\alpha^{low}(t,x,y)\right| \lesssim (1+|t|)^{-h(m,n,\mathbf{k})-\frac{\alpha}{2m}}(1+|t|^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2m}})\left(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)-\alpha}{2m-1}}, \quad t\neq 0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

and we only point out a few places most relevant. Under the new assumption (4.92), we need, and are able to show the following:

- (4.3) in Lemma 4.2 holds for $l=0,\cdots,\frac{n+1}{2}$.
- In Lemma 4.3, the function class statements (4.6), (4.7), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) are valid in their $\frac{n+1}{2}$-times differentiable versions. For example, (4.6) can be improved as

$$k^{\pm}_{j,1,i}(\lambda,s,\cdot,x)\in S^{\min\{n-2m+[j+\frac{1}{2}],0\}}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left((0,1),\ \|\cdot\|_{L^2}\right), \quad j\in J_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

- All relevant details when the above changes are applied in the proof for the low energy part in Section 4.2 are correspondingly adjusted, which relates to the appropriate choice of K in the application of Lemma 4.6. More precisely, such adjustments are only needed when $1\le n\le 4m-1$, and this is why the assumption (4.92) is only new in such range of dimensions.

To establish the high energy estimate

$$\left|K_\alpha^{high}(t,x,y)\right| \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2m}}\left(1+|t|^{-\frac{1}{2m}}|x-y|\right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)-\alpha}{2m-1}}, \quad t\neq 0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

we only remark that to prove a relevant version of the bound (4.87), we need to apply Lemma 4.12 with $s=\frac{n+1}{2}$ where the decay assumption of V will allow such change, and the rest of necessary adjustments are obvious with the application of Lemma 4.9.

Appendix A. The proof of Proposition 3.2

Recall the quantities E_{xyyz} and $E_{ww'xy}$ defined in (3.1), and we introduce two coordinates for $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$y=\begin{cases}\frac{x+z}{2}+s_y\frac{(x-z)}{|x-z|}+h_y, & s_y\in\mathbb{R},\ h_y\in(x-z)^\perp\cong\mathbb{R}^{n-1},\\ x+\tilde{s}_y\frac{(w'-w)}{|w'-w|}+\tilde{h}_y, & \tilde{s}_y\in\mathbb{R},\ \tilde{h}_y\in(w'-w)^\perp\cong\mathbb{R}^{n-1}.\end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

Set $\Gamma=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n;\ 0<|h_y|<\frac{|x-z|}{2}-|s_y|\}$, $\Gamma_+=\{y\in\Gamma;\ s_y\ge 0\}$, $\Gamma_-=\{y\in\Gamma;\ s_y<0\}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n;\ 0<|\tilde{h}_y|<\tilde{s}_y\}$. It is elementary to show that

$$|E_{xyyz}|\sim\begin{cases}\frac{|h_y|}{\min\{|x-y|,|y-z|\}}, & y\in\Gamma,\\ 1, & y\notin\overline{\Gamma},\end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

and that

$$|E_{ww'xy}|\sim\frac{|\tilde{h}_y|}{|\tilde{s}_y|+|\tilde{h}_y|}\sim\frac{|\tilde{h}_y|}{|x-y|}\sim\begin{cases}\frac{|\tilde{h}_y|}{\tilde{s}_y}, & y\in\tilde{\Gamma},\\ 1, & y\notin\overline{\tilde{\Gamma}}.\end{cases} \tag{A.3}$$

We first prove the special case $q = 0$ of Proposition 3.2, that is the case where only one line singularity shows up.

Lemma A.1. *Suppose $n \geq 2$, $k_1, l_1 \in [0, n)$, $k_2, l_2 \in [0, +\infty)$, $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$, $k_2 + l_2 + \beta \geq n$, and $p \in [0, n-1)$. It follows uniformly in $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p}\mathrm{d}y \\ \lesssim &\begin{cases} \langle |x-z|^{-\max\{0,k_1+l_1-n\}}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-p\}}, & k_1+l_1 \neq n, \\ \langle |x-z|^{0-}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-p\}}, & k_1+l_1 = n. \end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Proof. By (A.2) and Lemma 3.1, the estimate for the integral over $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma$ immediately follows. Now consider the integral over Γ_+. If $y \in \Gamma_+$, we have

$$\begin{cases} |x-y| \sim \frac{|x-z|}{2} - s_y \lesssim |x-z|, \\ |y-z| \sim |x-z|, \\ |E_{xyyz}| \sim \frac{|h_y|}{|x-y|}, \\ \langle y-y_0\rangle \sim \langle s_y - s_{y_0}\rangle + \langle h_y - h_{y_0}\rangle. \end{cases} \tag{A.5}$$

When $|x-z| \leq 2$, since $\langle x-y\rangle \sim \langle y-z\rangle \sim 1$, $p < n-1$ and $k_1 < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma_+} \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\mathrm{d}y}{|x-y|^{k_1}|y-z|^{l_1}|E_{xyyz}|^p} \lesssim &|x-z|^{-l_1}\int_0^{\frac{|x-z|}{2}} \Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2} - s_y\Big)^{-(k_1-p)} \bigg(\int_{|h_y|<\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y} |h_y|^{-p}\mathrm{d}h_y\bigg)\mathrm{d}s_y \\ \lesssim &|x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

When $|x-z| > 2$ and $y \in \Gamma_+$, $\langle |y-z|^{-1}\rangle \sim 1$ and $\langle y-z\rangle \sim |y-z|$ follow. Set $\theta = \min\{k_2, l_2, k_2+l_2+\beta-n\} \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|x-y|^{k_2-p}|y-z|^{l_2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x-z|^{\theta}|x-y|^{k_2+l_2-p-\theta}},$$

and consequently we derive from (A.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Gamma_+} \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p}\mathrm{d}y \\ \lesssim &|x-z|^{-\theta}\int_0^{\frac{|x-z|}{2}-1} \Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2} - s_y\Big)^{-(k_2+l_2-p-\theta)} \bigg(\int_{|h_y|<\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y} \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\mathrm{d}h_y}{|h_y|^p}\bigg)\mathrm{d}s_y \\ &+ |x-z|^{-l_2}\int_{\frac{|x-z|}{2}-1}^{\frac{|x-z|}{2}} \Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2} - s_y\Big)^{-(k_1-p)} \bigg(\int_{|h_y|<\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y} \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\mathrm{d}h_y}{|h_y|^p}\bigg)\mathrm{d}s_y,\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where the second term on the RHS is bounded by $|x-z|^{-l_2}$ if we neglect $\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}$, so we are left to show

$$\int_0^{\frac{|x-z|}{2}-1}\Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y\Big)^{-(k_2+l_2-p-\theta)}\bigg(\int_{|h_y|<\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y}\frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\mathrm{d}h_y}{|h_y|^p}\bigg)\mathrm{d}s_y\lesssim|x-z|^{-\min\{\theta,k_2+l_2-p\}}.$$

If $k_2+l_2-p\le\theta$ which implies $\beta\ge n-p$, since

$$\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\lesssim\langle s_y-s_{y_0}\rangle^{-(\beta-(n-p)+1)-}\langle h_y-h_{y_0}\rangle^{-(n-1-p)-},$$

by (A.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{\frac{|x-z|}{2}-1}\Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y\Big)^{-(k_2+l_2-p-\theta)}\bigg(\int_{|h_y|<\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y}\frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\mathrm{d}h_y}{|h_y|^p}\bigg)\mathrm{d}s_y\\ \lesssim&|x-z|^{\theta-(k_2+l_2-p)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle s_y-s_{y_0}\rangle^{-(1+\beta-(n-p))-}\mathrm{d}s_y\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{\langle h_y-h_{y_0}\rangle^{-(n-1-p)-}}{|h_y|^p}\mathrm{d}h_y\\ \lesssim&|x-z|^{\theta-(k_2+l_2-p)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $k_2+l_2-p>\theta$, we use

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y\Big)^{-(k_2+l_2-p-\theta)}\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\\ \lesssim&\Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y\Big)^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-\theta-p)-}+\langle s_y-s_{y_0}\rangle^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n-\theta+1)-}\langle h_y-h_{y_0}\rangle^{-(n-1-p)-},\end{aligned}$$

to get

$$\int_0^{\frac{|x-z|}{2}-1}\Big(\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y\Big)^{-(k_2+l_2-p-\theta)}\bigg(\int_{|h_y|<\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y}\frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\mathrm{d}h_y}{|h_y|^p}\bigg)\mathrm{d}s_y\lesssim1.$$

Now the estimate for the integral over Γ_+ is shown, and the part over Γ_- follows in a parallel way. □

Now we turn to prove Proposition 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We break the integral into three parts.

Part 1: Integral over $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\tilde{\Gamma}$

$|E_{ww'xy}|\sim1$ when $y\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\tilde{\Gamma}$, so (A.4) implies the desired bound for the integral over $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Part 2: Integral over $\tilde{\Gamma}\setminus\Gamma$

If $y\in\tilde{\Gamma}\setminus\Gamma$, then $|E_{xyyz}|\sim1$, $|x-y|\sim\tilde{s}_y$, $|E_{ww'xy}|\sim\frac{|\tilde{h}_y|}{\tilde{s}_y}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}\setminus\Gamma}\frac{\langle|x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle|y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p|E_{ww'xy}|^q}\mathrm{d}y\\ \lesssim&\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}}\frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle\tilde{s}_y^{-k_1}\rangle\langle\tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-k_2}\tilde{s}_y^q\langle|y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-z\rangle^{-l_2}}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}\tag{A.8}$$

We split the RHS of (A.8) into 4 parts corresponded to the integration over

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \left\{y \in \tilde{\Gamma};\ \tilde{s}_y \geq 2|x-z|\right\},\\
B &= \left\{y \in \tilde{\Gamma};\ 0 < \tilde{s}_y < 2|x-z|,\ |y-z| \geq \tfrac{1}{2}|x-z|\right\},\\
C &= \left\{y \in \tilde{\Gamma};\ 0 < \tilde{s}_y < 2|x-z|,\ |y-z| < \tfrac{1}{2}|x-z|,\ |\tilde{h}_y| \geq \tfrac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|\right\},\\
D &= \left\{y \in \tilde{\Gamma};\ 0 < \tilde{s}_y < 2|x-z|,\ |y-z| < \tfrac{1}{2}|x-z|,\ |\tilde{h}_y| < \tfrac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $y \in A$, then $|y-z| \geq |\tilde{s}_y - \tilde{s}_z| \geq \tilde{s}_y - |x-z| \geq \frac{\tilde{s}_y}{2}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
I_A &\triangleq \int_A \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle \tilde{s}_y^{-k_1}\rangle\langle \tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-k_2}\tilde{s}_y^q\langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-z\rangle^{-l_2}}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\lesssim \int_{2|x-z|}^{+\infty}\langle \tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_{y_0}\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle \tilde{s}_y^{-(k_1+l_1)}\rangle\langle \tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-(k_2+l_2)}\tilde{s}_y^q\left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y|<\tilde{s}_y}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\right)\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y\\
&\sim \int_{2|x-z|}^{+\infty}\langle \tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_{y_0}\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle \tilde{s}_y^{-(k_1+l_1)}\rangle\langle \tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-(k_2+l_2)}\tilde{s}_y^{n-1}\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y.
\end{aligned}$$

It is quite elementary to get a sufficient bound

$$I_A \lesssim \begin{cases}\langle |x-z|^{-\max\{0,k_1+l_1-n\}}\rangle\langle x-z\rangle^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)}, & k_1+l_1 \neq n,\\ \langle |x-z|^{0-}\rangle\langle x-z\rangle^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)}, & k_1+l_1 = n.\end{cases}$$

Consider the integration over B. Set

$$I_B \triangleq \int_B \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle \tilde{s}_y^{-k_1}\rangle\langle \tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-k_2}\tilde{s}_y^q\langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-z\rangle^{-l_2}}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y.$$

Note that when $y \in B$, we have $|y-z| \gtrsim |x-z|$, $\tilde{s}_y \sim |x-y|$ and $|\tilde{h}_y| < \tilde{s}_y < 2|x-z|$, as how we treat (A.6) and (A.7), it follows that

$$I_B \lesssim \langle |x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}\rangle\langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-q\}}.$$

Consider the integration over C. If $y \in C$, we have $\tilde{s}_y \sim |x-y| \sim |x-z|$, and it follows from (A.3) that $|E_{ww'xy}| \sim \frac{|\tilde{h}_y|}{\tilde{s}_y} \gtrsim \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|}{|x-z|} \sim |E_{ww'xz}|$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_C &\triangleq \int_C \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle \tilde{s}_y^{-k_1}\rangle\langle \tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-k_2}\tilde{s}_y^q\langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-z\rangle^{-l_2}}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y\\
&\sim \int_C \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{ww'xy}|^q}\mathrm{d}y \qquad (A.9)\\
&\lesssim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}}\mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned}$$

and the estimate for I_C immediately follows from Lemma 3.1.

Consider the integration over D. If $y \in D$, we have $\tilde{s}_y \sim |x-y| \sim |x-z|$ and $|y-z| \geq |\tilde{h}_y - \tilde{h}_z| \sim |\tilde{h}_z|$. Set

$$I_D \triangleq \int_D \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle \tilde{s}_y^{-k_1}\rangle \langle \tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-k_2}\tilde{s}_y^q \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-z\rangle^{-l_2}}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y. \tag{A.10}$$

When $|x-z| \lesssim 1$, first observe that $|\tilde{h}_z| \sim |E_{ww'xz}||x-z| \lesssim 1$ and $|y-z| < \frac{1}{2}|x-z| \lesssim 1$ hold for $y \in D$. If $0 \leq l_1 \leq n-1$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I_D &\lesssim \int_{\tilde{s}_y \sim |x-z|} \frac{1}{\tilde{s}_y^{k_1-q}|\tilde{h}_z|^{l_1}} \left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y| < \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q} \right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y \\ &\sim |x-z|^{-(k_1-q-1)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{-(l_1+q+1-n)} \\ &\sim |x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|E_{ww'xz}|^{n-1-l_1} \\ &\leq |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}, \end{aligned}$$

and if $n-1 < l_1 < n$, we use $1 \gtrsim |x-z|^{l_1} \gtrsim |y-z|^{l_1} \gtrsim |\tilde{s}_y - \tilde{s}_z|^{l_1-(n-1)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1}$ when $y \in D$ to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I_D &\lesssim |x-z|^{-(k_1-q)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{-(n-1)} \int_{\tilde{s}_y \sim |x-z|} \frac{1}{|\tilde{s}_y - \tilde{s}_z|^{l_1-(n-1)}} \left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y| < \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q} \right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y \\ &\lesssim |x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n-q)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{-q} \\ &\sim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $|x-z| \gtrsim 1$, we decompose I_D into I_{D_1} and I_{D_2} with respect to the region of integration, where $D_1 = D \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n;\, |y-z| < 1\}$ and $D_2 = D \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n;\, |y-z| \geq 1\}$. Recall $|E_{ww'xz}| \sim \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|}{|\tilde{s}_y|}$ holds when $y \in D$, we first see that I_{D_1} has the bound

$$\begin{aligned} I_{D_1} &\lesssim \int_{D\cap\{|y-z|<1\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\tilde{s}_y^{k_2-q}|\tilde{h}_y|^q|y-z|^{l_1}} \\ &\lesssim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2} \int_{D\cap\{|y-z|<1\}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^q \mathrm{d}y}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q|y-z|^{l_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

so if $0 \leq l_1 \leq n-1$, we use the facts that $|y-z| \gtrsim |\tilde{h}_z|$ and $|\tilde{h}_z| \sim |\tilde{h}_y - \tilde{h}_z| \leq |y-z| < 1$ when $y \in D_1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{D_1} &\lesssim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2} \int_{D\cap\{|y-z|<1\}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-l_1}|\tilde{h}_z|^{-(n-1-q)}}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\lesssim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2} \int_{|\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|<1} |\tilde{h}_z|^{-(n-1-q)} \left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y|<\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|\tilde{h}_z|^q} \right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y \\ &\lesssim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2}, \end{aligned}$$

while in the case of $n-1<l_1<n$, we use $|y-z|^l \gtrsim |\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|^{l_1-(n-1)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{D_1} \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2}\int_{|\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|<1}|\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|^{n-1-l_1}|\tilde{h}_z|^{-(n-1-q)}\left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y|<\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|\tilde{h}_z|^q}\right)\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y \\ \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{D_2}, recall $|\tilde{h}_z|\le|x-z|\sim\tilde{s}_y$ holds when $y\in D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{D_2} \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)}\int_{D\cap\{|y-z|\ge1\}}\frac{\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_{y_0}\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z\rangle^{-l_2}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1}}{\tilde{s}_y^{n-l_2-\beta}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-q}|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y \\ \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)}\int_{\tilde{s}_y\sim|x-z|}\frac{\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_{y_0}\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z\rangle^{-l_2}}{\tilde{s}_y^{1-l_2-\beta}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-q}}\left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y|<\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\right)\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y \\ \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_{y_0}\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z\rangle^{-l_2}\langle\tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-(1-l_2-\beta)}\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y \\ \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

Now it is clear that

$$I_D \lesssim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}\langle|x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}\rangle\langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n\}}.$$

and the bound for (A.8) has been completely shown.

Part 3. Integral over $\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma$

A routine calculation combining (A.1) and $z=x+\tilde{s}_z\frac{(w'-w)}{|w'-w|}+\tilde{h}_z$ shows that

$$\tilde{h}_y-h_y=\left(\left(\tfrac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y\right)\tfrac{\tilde{s}_z}{|x-z|}-\tilde{s}_y\right)\tfrac{(w'-w)}{|w'-w|}+\left(\tfrac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y\right)\tfrac{\tilde{h}_z}{|x-z|}.$$

If $y\in\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma$, we have $|\tilde{h}_y-h_y|\ge(\frac{|x-z|}{2}-s_y)\frac{|\tilde{h}_z|}{|x-z|}\sim|E_{ww'xz}||x-y|$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|h_y|^p|\tilde{h}_y|^q} \lesssim & |\tilde{h}_y-h_y|^{-\min\{p,q\}}\left(|h_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}}+|\tilde{h}_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}}\right) \\ \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-\min\{p,q\}}|x-y|^{-\min\{p,q\}}\left(|h_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}}+|\tilde{h}_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

We first consider the integral over $\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_+$, where $\min\{|x-y|,|y-z|\}=|x-y|$ and $|y-z|\sim|x-z|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_+}\frac{\langle|x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle|y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p|E_{ww'xy}|^q}\mathrm{d}y \\ \lesssim & \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_+}\frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle|x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle|y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle}{|x-y|^{-(p+q)}\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|h_y|^p|\tilde{h}_y|^q}\mathrm{d}y \\ \lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-\min\{p,q\}}\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_+}\frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}\langle|x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle|y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\left(|h_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}}+|\tilde{h}_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}}\right)}{|x-y|^{-\max\{p,q\}}\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}}\mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

Since when $y \in \tilde{\Gamma} \cap \Gamma_+$, we have $|h_y| < \frac{|x-z|}{2} - s_y < \frac{|x-z|}{2}$, and $|\tilde{h}_y| < \tilde{s}_y < |x-z|$, as how we treat (A.6) and (A.7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_+} \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p |E_{ww'xy}|^q} \mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-\min\{p,q\}} \langle |x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-\max\{p,q\}\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally consider the integral over $\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_-$, where $\min\{|x-y|,|y-z|\} = |y-z| \sim \frac{|x-z|}{2} + s_y$, $|x-y| \sim \tilde{s}_y \sim |x-z|$. We split $\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_-$ into

$$E = \left\{ y \in \tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_-;\ |\tilde{h}_y| \geq \tfrac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z| \right\}, \quad F = \left\{ y \in \tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma_-;\ |\tilde{h}_y| < \tfrac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z| \right\},$$

Similar to (A.9), we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_E \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p |E_{ww'xy}|^q} \mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \int_E \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p} \mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim & \begin{cases} |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \langle |x-z|^{-\max\{0,k_1+l_1-n\}}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-p\}}, & k_1+l_1 \neq n, \\ |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \langle |x-z|^{0-}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-p\}}, & k_1+l_1 = n. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, when $y \in F$, note that $|E_{ww'xz}| \sim \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|}{|x-z|} \sim \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|}{|x-y|}$, (A.12) also says

$$\frac{1}{|h_y|^p |\tilde{h}_y|^q} \lesssim |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}}{|x-y|^q} \left(|h_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}} + |\tilde{h}_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}} \right),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_F \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p |E_{ww'xy}|^q} \mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim & \int_F \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{|x-y|^{-q}|y-z|^{-p}\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|h_y|^p |\tilde{h}_y|^q} \mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \int_F \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}} \left(|h_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}} + |\tilde{h}_y|^{-\max\{p,q\}} \right) \langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|y-z|^{-p}} \mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim & |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \int_F \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|y-z|^{-\max\{p,q\}}|h_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}} \mathrm{d}y \\
& + |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \int_F \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}} \langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|y-z|^{-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}} \mathrm{d}y,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

where we have used $|\tilde{h}_z| \sim |\tilde{h}_y - \tilde{h}_z| \le |y-z|$ for the first term on the RHS of (A.13). Since $|h_y| < \frac{|x-z|}{2} + s_y < \frac{|x-z|}{2}$ for $y \in F$, as how we treat (A.6) and (A.7), it again follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \int_F \frac{\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|E_{xyyz}|^p |E_{ww'xy}|^q}\mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim &|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}\langle |x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}\rangle \langle x-z\rangle^{-\min\{k_2,l_2,k_2+l_2+\beta-n,k_2+l_2-\max\{p,q\}\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimating the last term on the RHS of (A.13) is not parallel, but more like mimicking the treatment for (A.10). When $|x-z| \lesssim 1$, which implies $|x-y| \sim \tilde{s}_y \lesssim 1$, $|y-z| \le \frac{|x-z|}{2} \lesssim 1$, $\langle |x-y|^{-1}\rangle \sim |x-z|^{-1}$ and $\langle x-y\rangle \sim \langle y-z\rangle \sim 1$ when $y \in F$, we first have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \int_F \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|y-z|^{-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}}\mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim &|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_1} \int_F \frac{\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}}{|y-z|^{l_1-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}}\mathrm{d}y,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

and it is easy to check when $y \in F$ that

$$\frac{1}{|y-z|^{l_1-p}} \lesssim \begin{cases} |x-z|^{p-l_1}, & 0 \le l_1 \le p, \\ |\tilde{h}_z|^{p-l_1}, & p < l_1 \le n-1, \\ \frac{1}{|\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|^{l_1-(n-1)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-p}}, & p < n-1 < l_1 < n, \end{cases} \tag{A.15}$$

so putting (A.15) into (A.14) and using $|\tilde{h}_z| \le |x-z|$, one easily obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q} \int_F \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}\langle |x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle \langle |y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle \langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|y-z|^{-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}}\mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim &|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_1} \int_{\{\tilde{s}_y \sim |x-z|\}\cap\{|\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|\lesssim 1\}} \left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y|<\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|} \frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|y-z|^{l_1-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}} \right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y \\
\lesssim &\begin{cases} |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_1-\max\{0,p-l_1\}-1)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-\max\{p,l_1\}}, & 0 \le l_1 \le n-1, \\ |E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}, & n-1 < l_1 < n, \end{cases} \\
\lesssim &|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_1+l_1-n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|x-z| \gtrsim 1$, similar to the treatment for I_D above by considering I_{D_1} and I_{D_2}, we first observe that if $y \in F \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ |y-z| < 1\}$, it follows that $\langle |x-y|^{-1}\rangle \sim \langle y-z\rangle \sim 1$, $\langle x-y\rangle \sim |x-z|$, $|\tilde{h}_z| \lesssim |\tilde{h}_y - \tilde{h}_z| < 1$, and

$$\frac{1}{|y-z|^{l_1-p}} \lesssim \begin{cases} |\tilde{h}_z|^{\min\{0,p-l_1\}}, & 0 \le l_1 \le n-1, \\ \frac{1}{|\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|^{l_1-(n-1)}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-p}}, & n-1 < l_1 < n, \end{cases}$$

so we may first deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}\int_{F\cap\{|y-z|<1\}}\frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}\langle|x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle|y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|y-z|^{-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}}\mathrm{d}y\\
\lesssim&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2}\int_{|\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z|<1}\mathbb{1}_{\{|\tilde{h}_z|\lesssim 1\}}\left(\int_{|\tilde{h}_y|<\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{h}_z|}\frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}\mathrm{d}\tilde{h}_y}{|y-z|^{l_1-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}}\right)\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}_y\\
\lesssim&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-k_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are only left to consider the integration over $F\cap\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n;\,|y-z|<1\}$ when $|x-z|\gtrsim 1$, where $\langle|x-y|^{-1}\rangle\sim\langle|y-z|^{-1}\rangle\sim 1$. Almost parallel to the discussion for I_{D_2} in (A.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}\int_{F\cap\{|y-z|\geq 1\}}\frac{|\tilde{h}_z|^{q-\min\{p,q\}}\langle|x-y|^{-k_1}\rangle\langle|y-z|^{-l_1}\rangle\langle y-y_0\rangle^{-\beta-}}{\langle x-y\rangle^{k_2}\langle y-z\rangle^{l_2}|y-z|^{-p}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}}\mathrm{d}y\\
\lesssim&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)}\int_{F\cap\{|y-z|\geq 1\}}\frac{\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_{y_0}\rangle^{-\beta-}|x-z|^{l_2+\beta-n}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-p}}{|y-z|^{l_2-p}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-\max\{p,q\}}|\tilde{h}_y|^{\max\{p,q\}}}\mathrm{d}y\\
\lesssim&|E_{ww'xz}|^{-q}|x-z|^{-(k_2+l_2+\beta-n)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the minor difference here is that we have used $|\tilde{h}_z|\lesssim|\tilde{h}_y-\tilde{h}_z|\lesssim|y-z|\lesssim|x-z|\sim\tilde{s}_y$ and $p<n-1$ to deduce for $y\in F\cap\{|y-z|\geq 1\}$ that

$$\frac{|x-z|^{l_2+\beta-n}|\tilde{h}_z|^{n-1-p}}{|y-z|^{l_2-p}}\lesssim\frac{|x-z|^{l_2+\beta-n}|y-z|^{n-1}}{|y-z|^{l_2}}\lesssim\frac{|x-z|^{l_2+\beta-1}}{|y-z|^{l_2}}\lesssim\langle\tilde{s}_y-\tilde{s}_z\rangle^{-l_2}\langle\tilde{s}_y\rangle^{-(1-l_2-\beta)}.$$

Now the estimate for the integral over $\tilde{\Gamma}\cap\Gamma$ has been shown, and the proof is complete. □

Appendix B. The proofs of Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4

B.1. **Proof of Lemma 4.1.**

If $|x|\leq 1$, (4.2) holds by Schwartz inequality and the assumption $p-\sigma<-\frac{n}{2}$. Therefore it suffices to consider the case $|x|\geq 1$ and we divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1: $p\geq 0$ is even.

(4.2) follows if we use

$$|y-x|^p=\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=p}(-1)^{|\beta|}C_{\alpha,\beta}x^\alpha y^\beta,$$

in the LHS of (4.2) and note that

$$\begin{cases}\left|\langle x^\alpha y^\beta,\ f(y)\rangle\right|\lesssim\|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}\langle x\rangle^{|\alpha|}\leq\|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}\langle x\rangle^{p-j-1}, & \text{if } |\beta|>j,\\ \langle x^\alpha y^\beta,\ f(y)\rangle=0, & \text{if } |\beta|\leq j.\end{cases}$$

Case 2: $p\geq 0$ is odd.

We first write $|x-y|^p = (|x-y|^p - |x||x-y|^{p-1}) + |x||x-y|^{p-1}$. Since $p-1$ is even, it follows from *Case 1* that

$$\left|\langle |x||x-\cdot|^{p-1},\ f(\cdot)\rangle\right| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{p-j-1}\,. \tag{B.1}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|x-y|^p - |x||x-y|^{p-1} = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=p-1} (-1)^{|\beta|} C_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2x^\alpha x_i}{|x|^{|\alpha|+1}} \frac{|x|^{|\alpha|+1} y^\beta y_i}{|x|+|x-y|} - \frac{x^\alpha}{|x|^{|\alpha|}} \frac{|x|^{|\alpha|} y^\beta {y_i}^2}{|x|+|x-y|} \right).$$

Fixing $l,\ q,\ j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma \in {\mathbb{N}_0}^n$, and denoting $\tau = |\gamma| + l - q$, one checks by induction that

$$\frac{|x|^l y^\gamma}{(|x|+|x-y|)^q} = \sum_{s=1}^{q+j-|\gamma|+1} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|\in\{j+1,j+2\}\\ |\alpha_1|+h-s=\tau}} L_{s,\alpha_1}(x) \frac{|x|^h y^{\alpha_1}}{(|x|+|x-y|)^s} + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_2|\le j\\ i+|\alpha_2|=\tau}} L_{\alpha_2}(x) |x|^i y^{\alpha_2}, \tag{B.2}$$

where $|L_{s,\alpha_1}(x)|,\ |L_{\alpha_2}(x)| \lesssim 1$. In addition, if $|\alpha_1| \in \{j+1, j+2\}$, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{|x|^h y^{\alpha_1}}{(|x|+|x-y|)^s} \right| \le |x|^{p-j-1} \langle y\rangle^{j+1}.$$

For the term $\frac{|x|^{|\alpha|+1} y^\beta y_i}{|x|+|x-y|}$, we apply (B.2) with $l = |\alpha|+1$, $\gamma = \beta + e_i$ and $q = 1$; for the term $\frac{|x|^{|\alpha|} y^\beta {y_i}^2}{|x|+|x-y|}$, we apply (B.2) with $l = |\alpha|$, $\gamma = \beta + 2e_i$ and $q = 1$. In particular, it follows that $\tau = p$, and $h - s = p - |\alpha_1| \le p - j - 1$. By the assumption on f, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle |x-\cdot|^p - |x||x-\cdot|^{p-1},\ f(\cdot)\right\rangle\right| &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma} \,\|\, \langle y\rangle^{-\sigma}\, \langle y\rangle^{j+1} \,\|_{L^2}\, \langle x\rangle^{p-j-1} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}\, \langle x\rangle^{p-j-1}\,,\end{aligned}$$

which together with (B.1) yields (4.2).

Case 3: $\frac{1-n}{2} \le p < 0$.

Set $k = -p$, thus $0 < k \le \frac{n-1}{2}$. For any fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have the following identity

$$|x-y|^{-k} = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} C_l \frac{(|x|-|x-y|)^{j+1}}{|x|^{j+l+1}|x-y|^{k-l}} + \sum_{l=0}^{j} C_l' \frac{(|x|-|x-y|)^l}{|x|^{k+l}}, \tag{B.3}$$

for some $C_l, C_l' > 0$. On one hand, note that by Lemma 4.7 and the assumption on σ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle \frac{(|x|-|x-\cdot|)^{j+1}}{|x|^{j+l+1}|x-\cdot|^{k-l}},\ f(\cdot)\right\rangle\right| &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}\, \langle x\rangle^{-j-l-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle y\rangle^{-2(\sigma-j-1)} |x-y|^{-2(k-l)} \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}\, \langle x\rangle^{p-j-1}\,,\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the binomial theorem gives

$$\frac{(|x|-|x-y|)^l}{|x|^{k+l}} = \sum_{s=0}^{l} \frac{(-1)^s l!}{s!(l-s)!} \frac{|x|^s |x-y|^{l-s}}{|x|^{k+l}}.$$

Then by *Case 1* and *Case 2*, we have for each $s \in \{0, \cdots, l\}$ that

$$|x|^{s-k-l} \left| \left\langle |x-\cdot|^{l-s},\ f(\cdot) \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma} \langle x \rangle^{p-j-1},$$

In view of (B.3), (4.2) follows by combining the above two estimates.

B.2. **Proof of Lemma 4.2.**

We first consider the case $j = -1$. First note that when $k \in I^{\pm}$, it follows that $|\partial_\lambda^l \left(e^{\mathrm{i}s(\lambda_k \mp \lambda)|x|} \right)| \lesssim \lambda^{-l}$. This, together with the triangle inequality $\|x-y| - |x\| \le |y|$ yields

$$\left| \partial_\lambda^l \left(e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k|x-y| \mp \mathrm{i}s\lambda|x|} \right) \right| = \left| \partial_\lambda^l \left(e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k(|x-y|-|x|)} e^{\mathrm{i}s(\lambda_k \mp \lambda)|x|} \right) \right| \lesssim_l \lambda^{-l} \langle y \rangle^l. \tag{B.4}$$

Then, (B.4) shows (4.3) for S_{-1}.

For the case $j \ge 0$, the Taylor formula for $e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k(|x-y|-|x|)}$ gives

$$e^{\mathrm{i}s\lambda_k(|x-y|-|x|)} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{j} \frac{1}{\gamma!} (\mathrm{i}\lambda_k s(|x-y|-|x|))^\gamma + \tilde{r}_{j+1}(\lambda_k s(|x-y|-|x|)),$$

where

$$\tilde{r}_j(\lambda_k s(|x-y|-|x|)) = \frac{1}{j!} (\mathrm{i}\lambda_k s(|x-y|-|x|))^{j+1} \int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k su(|x-y|-|x|)} (1-u)^j \, \mathrm{d}u.$$

Meanwhile, a direct computation shows that for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\left| \partial_\lambda^l \tilde{r}_j(\lambda_k s(|x-y|-|x|)) \right| \lesssim_l \lambda^{j+1-l} \langle y \rangle^{\max\{j+1,l\}}.$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| v(\cdot)|x-\cdot|^p \partial_\lambda^l \tilde{r}_j(\lambda_k s(|x-\cdot|-|x|)) \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda^{j+1-l} \| |x-\cdot|^p \langle \cdot \rangle^{-\beta/2+\max\{j+1,l\}} \|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{j+1-l} \langle x \rangle^p, \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used $\frac{\beta}{2} - \max\{p+l, p+j+1\} > \frac{n}{2}$ which follows from $0 \le l \le [\frac{n}{2m}] + 1$ and assumption on V. On the other hand, we use Lemma 4.1 to obtain

$$\|S_j v(\cdot)|x-\cdot|^p (|x-\cdot|-|x|)^\gamma\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{p+\gamma-j-1}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\left\| \partial_\lambda^l S_j \left(v(\cdot)|x-\cdot|^p \sum_{\gamma=0}^{j} \frac{1}{\gamma!} (i\lambda_k s(|x-\cdot|-|x|))^\gamma \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-l} \langle x \rangle^{p-j-1}, & \lambda \langle x \rangle \le 1, \\ \lambda^{j+1-l} \langle x \rangle^p, & \lambda \langle x \rangle > 1, \end{cases}$$

this, together with (B.5), yields (4.3), and the proof is complete.

B.3. **Proof of Lemma 4.4.**

By (2.9) ($\theta_0 = 2m - n$), we have

$$|\partial_\lambda^{s_i} R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})(x)| \lesssim |x|^{2m-n} + |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+s_i}, \qquad 0 \le s_i \le \tfrac{n+1}{2}.$$

If $l > [\frac{n}{2m}] + 2$, then we have by (B.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| v(y) \left(\prod_{j=0}^{l-1} (R_0^{\pm,(s_j)}(\lambda^{2m})V) \partial_\lambda^{s_l} \left(|x-\cdot|^{-\tau} e^{\mp \mathrm{i}\lambda s|x|} e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k s|x-y|} \right) \right)(y) \right| \\
\lesssim & \lambda^{-s_l} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nl}} \langle y \rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \left| \partial_\lambda^{s_i} R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})(z_i - z_{i+1}) V(z_{i+1}) \right| \langle z_l \rangle^{s_l} |z_l - x|^{-\tau} dz_1 \cdots dz_l \right| \\
\lesssim & \lambda^{-s_l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nl}} \langle y \rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}-s_0} \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \left((|z_i - z_{i+1}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} + |z_i - z_{i+1}|^{2m-n}) \langle z_{i+1} \rangle^{-\beta+s_i+s_{i+1}} \right) |z_l - x|^{-\tau} \mathrm{d}z_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}z_l \\
\lesssim & \lambda^{-s_l} \langle y \rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}+s_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y-z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \langle z \rangle^{-\beta+s_{l-1}+s_l} |z-x|^{-\tau} \mathrm{d}z,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

where $z_0 = y$ and the last inequality follows by repeated use of the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|x-z|^{-\max\{n-2mj, \frac{n-1}{2}\}} + |x-z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}) \langle z \rangle^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} (|x-z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} + |z-y|^{2m-n}) dz \\
\lesssim |x-z|^{-\max\{n-2m(j+1), \frac{n-1}{2}\}} + |x-z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad \text{if } 2mj < n,
\end{aligned}$$

which, in turn, follows from Lemma 3.1, the fact that $\beta - s_i - s_{i+1} > \frac{n+3}{2}$, as well as the inequality

$$\langle z_i \rangle^{-s_i} \langle z_{i+1} \rangle^{-s_i} \left(|z_i - z_{i+1}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+s_i} + |z_i - z_{i+1}|^{2m-n} \right) \le |z_i - z_{i+1}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} + |z_i - z_{i+1}|^{2m-n}.$$

Therefore, Minkowski inequality and Lemma 4.7 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\text{B.6})\|_{L_y^2} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \langle y \rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}+s_0} |y-z|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \right\|_{L_y^2} \langle z \rangle^{-\beta+s_{l-1}+s_l} |z-x|^{-\tau} \mathrm{d}z \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle z \rangle^{-\beta+s_{l-1}+s_l+\max\{-\frac{\beta}{2}+s_0, -\frac{n-1}{2}\}} |z-x|^{-\tau} \mathrm{d}z \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\tau},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\frac{\beta}{2} - s_0 > 0$ and $-\beta + s_{l-1} + s_l + \max\{-\frac{\beta}{2} + s_0, -\frac{n-1}{2}\} < -n$ when $\beta > n + 2$. Now we obtain (4.21).

In order to prove (4.22), we apply (2.5) with $\theta = 0$ to derive

$$R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-y) - R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-y) = \sum_{k=0,m} e^{\frac{\mathrm{i}k\pi}{m}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} C_{j,0} \lambda_k^{n-2m} \int_0^1 e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_k s|x-y|} (1-s)^{n-3-j} \mathrm{d}s \right). \tag{B.7}$$

In particular, this implies when $\lambda|x-y|\le 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_\lambda^{s_j}\left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)-R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)\right)\right| &\lesssim \sum_{s_{j,1}+s_{j,2}=s_j}\lambda^{n-2m-s_{j,1}}|x-y|^{s_{j,2}}\\ &\lesssim \lambda^{n-2m-s_j}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.3), we derive when $\lambda|x-y|\ge 1$ and $0<\lambda<1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\langle y\rangle^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-1}\partial_\lambda^{s_j}R_0^\pm(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)\right| &\lesssim \sum_{0\le j\le\frac{n-3}{2}}\ \sum_{s_{j,1}+s_{j,2}=s_j}\lambda^{j+2-2m-s_{j,1}}|x-y|^{-(n-2-j)+s_{j,2}}\langle y\rangle^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-1}\\ &\lesssim \lambda^{n-2m-s_j}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we obtain

$$\left|\langle y\rangle^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-1}\partial_\lambda^{s_j}\left(R_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)-R_0^-(\lambda^{2m})(x-y)\right)\right|\lesssim\lambda^{n-2m-s_j},\qquad 0<\lambda<1.$$

Thus,

LHS of(4.22)

$$\begin{aligned}&\lesssim\lambda^{n-2m-s_j}\Bigg\|\langle z_0\rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}-s_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nl}}\prod_{i=0}^{j-1}\Big((|z_i-z_{i+1}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}+|z_i-z_{i+1}|^{2m-n})\langle z_{i+1}\rangle^{-\beta+s_i+s_{i+1}}\Big)\langle z_j\rangle^{-s_j+1}\\ &\quad\times\langle z_{j+1}\rangle^{-\beta+s_j+1}\prod_{i=j+1}^{l-1}\Big((|z_i-z_{i+1}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}+|z_i-z_{i+1}|^{2m-n})\langle z_{i+1}\rangle^{-\beta+s_i+s_{i+1}}\Big)|z_l-x|^{-\tau}\mathrm{d}z_1\cdots\mathrm{d}z_l\Bigg\|_{L^2_{z_0}}\\ &\lesssim\lambda^{n-2m-s_j}\end{aligned}$$

since $\beta-s_{j-1}-1>\frac{n+1}{2}$ and $\beta-s_i-s_{i+1}>\frac{n+1}{2}$. This yields (4.22).

Acknowledgements

T. Huang was supported by National Key R&D Program of China under the grant 2023YFA1010300 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grants 12101621 and 12371244. S. Huang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grants 12171178 and 12171442. Q. Zheng was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grant 12171178.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, R. J. Høegh-Krohn and S. Mazzucchi, Mathematical theory of Feynman path integrals: An introduction, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 523, Springer, 2008.

[2] S. Agmon, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci., IV. **2** (1975), 151-218.

[3] A. Barton, S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, The Neumann problem for higher order elliptic equations with symmetric coefficients, *Math. Ann.* **371** (2018), no. 1-2, 297-336.

[4] H. Cheng, S. Huang and Q. Zheng, Dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation with finite rank perturbations. *Adv. Math.* **426** (2023), Paper No. 109105, 91 pp.
[5] P. Constantin and J.-C. Saut, Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), 413-439.
[6] E. B. Davies, L^p spectral theory of higher-order elliptic differential operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1997), no. 5, 513-546.
[7] M. B. Erdoğan, M. Goldberg and W. R. Green, Counterexamples to L^p-boundedness of wave operators for classical and higher order Schrödinger operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **285** (2023), no. 5, Paper 110008, 18pp.
[8] M. B. Erdoğan, M. Goldberg and W. R. Green, Dispersive estimates for higher order Schrödinger operators with scaling-critical potentials, preprint, arXiv:2308.11745v1.
[9] M. B. Erdoğan and W. R. Green, Dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation for $C^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$ potentials in odd dimensions. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **13** (2010), 2532-2565.
[10] M. B. Erdoğan and W. R. Green, A note on endpoint L^p-continuity of wave operators for classical and higher order Schrödinger operators. *J. Differential Equations* **355** (2023), 144-161.
[11] M. B. Erdoğan, W. R. Green and E. Toprak, On the fourth order Schrödinger equation in three dimensions: dispersive estimates and zero energy resonances. *J. Differential Equations* **271** (2021), 152-185.
[12] M. B. Erdoğan and W. R. Green, The L^p-continuity of wave operators for higher order Schrödinger operators. *Adv. Math.* **404** (2022), Paper No. 108450, 41pp.
[13] H. Feng, A. Soffer and X. Yao, Decay estimates and Strichartz estimates of fourth-order Schrödinger operator. *J. Funct. Anal.* **274** (2018), no. 2, 605-658.
[14] H. Feng, A. Soffer, Z. Wu and X. Yao, Decay estimates for higher-order elliptic operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **373** (2020), no. 4, 2805-2859.
[15] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1964
[16] D. Fujiwara, A construction of the fundamental solution for the Schrödinger equation, *J. Anal. Math.* **35** (1979), 41-96.
[17] D. Fujiwara, Remarks on convergence of the Feynman path integrals, *Duke Math. J.* **47** (1980), no. 3, 559-600
[18] M. Goldberg and M. Visan, A counterexample to dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in higher dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **266** (2006), 211-238.
[19] M. Goldberg and W. R. Green, The L^p boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities, *Adv. Math.* **303** (2016), 360-389.
[20] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series and products. Santiego New York: Academic Press, 6th edn. 2002.

[21] A. Grigor'yan, Heat Kernel and Analysis on Manifolds. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. vol. 47. American Mathematical Society.

[22] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. II: Differential Operators with Constant Coefficients, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 257, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[23] S. Huang, M. Wang, Q. Zheng and Z. Duan, L^p estimates for fractional Schrödinger operators with Kato class potentials. *J. Differential Equations* **265** (2018), no. 9, 4181-4212.

[24] T. Huang, S. Huang and Q. Zheng, Inhomogeneous oscillatory integrals and global smoothing effects for dispersive equations. *J. Differential Equations* **263** (2017), no. 12, 8606-8629.

[25] A. Jensen and T. Kato, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and timedecay of the wave functions, *Duke Math. J.* **46** (1979), no. 3, 583-611.

[26] A. Jensen, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions results in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $m \geq 5$. *Duke Math. J.* **47** (1980), no. 1, 57-80.

[27] A. Jensen and G. Nenciu, A unified approach to resolvent expansions at thresholds. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13** (2001), no. 6, 717-754.

[28] J.-L. Journé, A. Soffer and C. D. Sogge, Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), no. 5, 573-604.

[29] A. Komech and E. Kopylova, Dispersion decay and scattering theory, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2012.

[30] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **40** (1991), 33-69.

[31] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Ural'ceva, Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968, Translated from the Russian by S. Smith

[32] S. Mazzucchi, Mathematical Feynman Path Integrals and Their Applications. World Scientific, Singapore, 2009.

[33] S. Mayboroda and V. Maz'ya, Regularity of solutions to the polyharmonic equation in general domains. *Invent. Math.* **196** (2014), 1-68.

[34] P. Li, A. Soffer and X. Yao, Decay estimates for fourth-order Schrödinger operators in dimension two, *J. Funct. Anal.* **284** (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 109816, 83 pp.

[35] A. Miyachi, On some estimates for the wave equation in L^p and H^p. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **27** (1980), 331-354.

[36] I. Rodnianski and W. Schlag, Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials. *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 3, 451-513.

[37] M. Schechter, Spectra of partial differential operators, 2nd ed., North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, vol. 14, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1986.

[38] W. Schlag, Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators: a survey. Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive equations. *Ann. of Math. Stud.* **163** (2007), 255-285.

Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ.
[39] W. Schlag, On pointwise decay of waves. *J. Math. Phys.* **62** (2021), Paper No. 061509, 27pp.
[40] B. Simon, Schrödinger semigroups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (N.S.) **7** (1982), no. 3, 447-526.
[41] A. Soffer, Z. Wu and X. Yao, Decay estimates for bi-Schrödinger operators in dimension one, *Ann. Henri Poincaré.* **23** (2022), no. 8, 2683-2744.
[42] K. Yajima, The $W^{k,p}$ continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **47** (1995), no. 3, 551-581.
[43] R. Zhang, T. Huang and Q. Zheng, The scattering of fractional Schrödinger operators with short range potentials. *J. Funct. Anal.* **281** (2021), Paper No. 109033, 44 pp.
[44] Q. Zheng, X. Yao and D. Fan, Convex hypersurfaces and L^p estimates for Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **208** (2004), no. 1, 122-139.

Han Cheng, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, PR China

Email address: chh@hust.edu.cn

Shanlin Huang, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei Key Laboratory of Engineering Modeling and Scientific Computing, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, PR China

Email address: shanlin_huang@hust.edu.cn

Tianxiao Huang, School of Mathematics (Zhuhai), Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, 519082, Guangdong, China

Email address: htx5@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Quan Zheng, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, PR China

Email address: qzheng@hust.edu.cn