arXiv:2308.00238v1 [math.CV] 1 Aug 2023

GAMMA-BAZILEVIC FUNCTIONS RELATED WITH GENERALIZED TELEPHONE NUMBERS

GANGADHARAN MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY[1] ,KALIAPPAN VIJAYA[1], HIJAZ AHMAD[2],*

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to consider coefficient estimates in a class of functions $\mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathfrak{X},\varkappa)$ consisting of analytic functions f normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad |z| < 1\}$ subordinating generalized telephone numbers, to derive certain coefficient estimates a_2, a_3 and Fekete-Szegö inequality for $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathfrak{X},\varkappa)$. A similar results have been done for the function f^{-1} and $\log \frac{f(z)}{z}$.Similarly application of our results to certain functions defined by using convolution products with a normalized analytic function is given, and in particular we state Fekete-Szeg"o inequalities for subclasses described through Poisson Borel and Pascal distribution series.

Keywords: Analytic functions, starlike functions, convex functions, subordination, Fekete-Szegö inequality, Poisson distribution series, Borel distribution series Hadamard product.
MSC(2010): 30C80, 30C45

1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

1.1. **Generalized telephone numbers (GTN)- $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(n)$:** The classical telephone numbers (TN), distinguished as involution numbers , are exact by the recurrence relation

$$\mathfrak{T}(n) = \mathfrak{T}(n-1) + (n-1)\mathfrak{T}(n-2) \quad for \quad n \geq 2$$

with

$$\mathfrak{T}(0) = \mathfrak{T}(1) = 1.$$

. Associates of those numbers with symmetric groups have been perceived first time in 1800 by Heinrich August Rothe, who piercing out that $\mathfrak{T}(n)$ is the variety of involutions (self-inverse permutations)in the symmetric groups (see,as an instance, [6, 17]). Due to the fact involutions resembles to standard younger tableaux, it is vibrant that the n^{th} involution quantity is consistently the range of young tableaux on the set $1, 2, ..., n$ (for information,see [3]). it's far really worth mentioning according to John Riordan, the above recurrence

relation, in reality, yields the range of production styles in a cellphone machine with n subscribers(see [34]). In 2017, Wlochand Wolowiec-Musial [43] familiarized generalized telephone numbers (GTN) via the succeeding recursion

$$\mathfrak{T}(\varkappa, n) = \varkappa\mathfrak{T}(\varkappa, n-1) + (n-1)\mathfrak{T}(\varkappa, n-2) \quad n \geq 0 \quad and \quad \varkappa \geq 1$$

with

$$\mathfrak{T}(\varkappa, 0) = 1, \mathfrak{T}(\varkappa, 1) = \varkappa,$$

and deliberated some properties. In 2019, Bednarz and Wolowiec-Musial [2] presented a new generalization of TN by

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(n) = \mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(n-1) + \varkappa(n-1)\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(n-2), \quad n \geq 2 \quad and \quad \varkappa \geq 1$$

with

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(0) = \mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(1) = 1.$$

They provided the generating function, straight formula and matrix generators for these numbers. Moreover, they acquired clarifications and proved few properties of these numbers related with congruence's. These days, they resulting the exponential generating function and the summation method $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(n)$ as follows:

$$e^{x+\varkappa\frac{x^2}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(n)\frac{x^n}{n!} \quad (\varkappa \geq 1)$$

As we are able to observe,if $\varkappa = 1$, then we achieve classical telephone numbers $\mathfrak{T}(n)$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(n)$ is for a few values of n as

(1) $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(0) = \mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa} = 1$,
(2) $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(2) = 1 + \varkappa$,
(3) $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(3) = 1 + 3\varkappa$
(4) $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(4) = 1 + 6\varkappa + 3\varkappa^2$
(5) $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(5) = 1 + 10\varkappa + 15\varkappa^2$
(6) $\mathfrak{T}_{\varkappa}(6) = 1 + 15\varkappa + 45\varkappa^2 + 15\varkappa^3$.

In [7], for$z \in \Delta := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \quad and \quad |z| < 1\}$ an unit disc, Deniz consider

$$\mathfrak{X}(z) := e^{(z+\varkappa\frac{z^2}{2})} = 1+z+\frac{1+\varkappa}{2}z^2+\frac{1+3\varkappa}{6}z^3+\frac{3\varkappa^2+6\varkappa+1}{24}z^4+\frac{1+10\varkappa+15\varkappa^2}{120}z^5+\cdots.$$

.

1.2. **Subclasses of analytic functions $\mathfrak{A}$.** Denote by $\mathfrak{A}$ the class of analytic functions as given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, z \in \Delta. \tag{1.1}$$

Also, denote $\mathfrak{S}$ be the subclass of $\mathfrak{A}$ together with univalent functions in Δ with $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$. In [31], Robertson introduced the following classes:

$$\mathfrak{S}^* = \{f \in \mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{R}\Big(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\Big) > 0, \quad (z \in \Delta)\} \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C} = \{f \in \mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{R}\Big(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)}\Big) > 0, \quad (z \in \Delta)\}. \tag{1.3}$$

The class of functions fulfilling the analytic standards given by (1.2) and (1.3) are referred to as as *starlike* and *convex* functions in Δ respectively.

Assuming $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ then we are saying that the function f_1 is subordinate to f_2 if there exists a Schwarz charcterestic $\varpi(z)$, analytic in Δ with $\varpi(0) = 0$ and $|\varpi(z)| < 1$ $(z \in \Delta)$, such that $f_1(z) = f_1(\varpi(z))$ $(z \in \Delta)$. We denote this subordination by means of

$$f_1 \prec f_2 \quad \text{or} \quad f_1(z) \prec f_2(z) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Particularly, if f_2 is univalent in Δ, the above subordination is equal to

$$f_1(0) = f_2(0) \quad \textit{and} \quad f_1(\Delta) \subset f_2(\Delta).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{S}^*(\psi) = \{f \in \mathfrak{S} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \psi(z)\} \tag{1.4}$$

where $\psi(z) = 1 + m_1 z + m_2 z^2 + m_3 z^3 + \cdots ., m_1 > 0$. By varying the function ψ, several familiar classes can be obtained as illustrated below:

(1) For $\psi = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$, we get the class $\mathfrak{S}^*(A, B)$, see [15]. Also by fixing $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ and $B = -1$, we have $\mathfrak{S}^*(\alpha) = \mathfrak{S}^*(1 - 2\alpha, -1)$ [31].

(2) In [32] , by taking $\psi = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2}\Big(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\Big)^2$, a new class was defined and studied.

(3) Assuming $\psi(z) =: z + \sqrt{1 + z^2}$, $z \in \Delta$, Raina and Sokol [30]and Sokol and Thomas [33] extensively discussed the geometric properties for $f \in \mathfrak{S}^*_S(\psi)$

(4) In [35],the class $\mathfrak{S}^*_L(\psi) = \{f \in \mathfrak{S} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1 + z}\}$, was studied and further studied in [22].

(5) The class $\mathfrak{S}_C = \{f \in \mathfrak{S} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2\}$ was introduced in and investigated in [36, 38].

(6) In [21,37] the authors defined and discussed the class $\mathfrak{S}_e^*(\psi) = \{f \in \mathfrak{S} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec e^z\}$.
(7) For $\psi = 1 + \sin(z)$, the class is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{\sin}^*$, see [5] .
(8) For $\psi = \cosh(z)$, the class is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{\cosh}^*$, see [1].

Lately, for $\vartheta \geqq 0$, $\kappa \geqq 0$ and $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ Fitri and Thomas [14] studied the a new class $G(\vartheta, \kappa)$ which holds the following:

$$\Re\left\{\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z)^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + (\kappa-1)\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right]^{\vartheta}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z)^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}}\right]^{1-\vartheta}\right\} > 0 \quad (1.5)$$

and discussed its characterization results. Inspired fundamentally by the aforesaid works (see [9, 12, 23, 27, 30]), and recent work of Murugusundaramoorthy and Vijaya [26], in this paper first time we describe a new class $\mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ as given in Definition 1.1 which coalesces the many new subclasses of $\mathfrak{S}^*$ and $\mathfrak{C}$ in association with GTN. First,we shall find estimations of a_2 and a_3 for $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ of the form (1.1) also for $f^{-1} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ and $log\frac{f(z)}{z}$. Further we prove the Fekete-Szegö inequality for a general class . Additionally we confer certain applications of our consequences by way of convolution to certain classes defined through Poisson, Borel and Pascal distributions .

Now, we define the following class $\mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$:

Definition 1.1. For $\vartheta \geqq 0$, $\kappa \geqq 0$ a function $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ is in the class $\mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ if

$$\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + (\kappa-1)\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right]^{\vartheta}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}}\right]^{1-\vartheta}$$
$$\prec e^{(z+\varkappa\frac{z^2}{2})} =: \mathcal{X}(z);\ z = re^{i\theta} \in \Delta. \quad (1.6)$$

By specializing the parameters ϑ and κ we state the subsequent new subclasses of $\mathfrak{S}$ as illustrated under which are not discussed sofar for for functions associated with GTN:

Remark 1.2.

(1) $\mathfrak{G}_0^0(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) \equiv \mathfrak{S}^*(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) = \left\{f \in \mathfrak{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec e^{(z+\varkappa\frac{z^2}{2})}; z = re^{i\theta} \in \Delta.\right\}$
(2)

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^0(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) \equiv \mathfrak{G}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) = \left\{f \in \mathfrak{A} : \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right]^{1-\vartheta}\left[\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)}\right]^{\vartheta} \prec e^{(z+\varkappa\frac{z^2}{2})}; z = re^{i\theta} \in \Delta\right\}$$

(3) $\mathfrak{G}_0^1(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) \equiv \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) = \left\{f \in \mathfrak{A} : \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec e^{(z+\varkappa\frac{z^2}{2})}; z = re^{i\theta} \in \Delta\right\}$

(4) $\mathfrak{G}_0^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) \equiv \mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) = \left\{f \in \mathfrak{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\kappa} \prec e^{(z+\varkappa\frac{z^2}{2})}; z = re^{i\theta} \in \Delta\right\}$

$$(5)\quad \mathfrak{G}_1^1(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)\equiv\Re(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)=\left\{f\in\mathfrak{A}: f'(z)+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\prec e^{(z+\varkappa\frac{z^2}{2})}; z=re^{i\theta}\in\Delta\right\}$$

1.3. **A set of Lemmas.** Recent years Fekete-Szegö results for the class of starlike, convex and various other subclasses of analytic functions, were studied interested reader may refer to [26,27,39–41] . In this article also we aimed to discuss the Fekete-Szegö problem for $f\in\mathfrak{G}_\vartheta^\kappa(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)$ in association with GTN. To prove our predominant end result, we take into account the following:

Let **P** denote class of functions with positive real part in Δ, and be assumed as of the form

$$p(z)=1+c_1z+c_2z^2+\cdots,z\in\Delta.$$

Lemma 1.3. *[20] If $p\in\mathbf{P}$, then*

$$|c_2-vc_1^2|\leqq\begin{cases}-4v+2, & \text{if}\quad v\leqq 0,\\ 2, & \text{if}\quad 0\leqq v\leqq 1,\\ 4v-2, & \text{if}\quad v\geqq 1.\end{cases}$$

While $v<0$ or $v>1$, the equality holds if and only if $p_1(z)$ is $\dfrac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one in all its rotations. If $0<v<1$, then equality holds if and only if $p_2(z)=\dfrac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$or considered one of its rotations . If $v=0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p_3(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z}\quad(0\leqq\eta\leqq 1)$$

or one in every of its rotations. If $v=1$, the equality holds if and best if p_1 is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds when $v=0$.

We also need the following:

Lemma 1.4. *[13] If $p\in\mathbf{P}$, then*

$$|\,c_n\,|\leq 2\ \ \forall n\geq 1\qquad\text{and}\qquad |c_2-\frac{c_1^2}{2}|\leq 2-\frac{|c_1|^2}{2}.$$

Lemma 1.5. *[19] If $p\in\mathbf{P}$, and $v\in\mathbb{C}$ (complex numbers), then*

$$|c_2-vc_1^2|\leqq 2\max(1,|2v-1|).$$

The result is sharp for the functions

$$p_1(z)=\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2},\quad p_2(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 1.6. *[16] If $p\in\mathbf{P}$, then $\hbar\in\mathbb{C}$,*

$$\left|c_2-\hbar\frac{c_1^2}{2}\right|\leq\ \max\{2,2|\hbar-1|\}=\begin{cases}2, & 0\leq\hbar\leq 2;\\ 2|\hbar-1|, & \textit{elsewhere.}\end{cases}$$

The result is sharp for the functions defined by $p_1(z)=\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or $p_2(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$.

2. Coefficient Estimate

By making use of the Lemma 1.3, we prove the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\vartheta \geq 0$ *and* $\kappa \geq 0$. *If* $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ *be as in* (1.1) , *then*

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leqq \frac{1}{(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}, \\ |a_3| &\leqq \frac{1}{(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)} \max\{1, \left|\frac{\left(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2} + \frac{1+\varkappa}{2}\right|\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \vartheta^2 - \vartheta + 1; \quad S = 2\vartheta^2 - 4\vartheta + 1; \quad Q = \vartheta^2 - 7\vartheta - 2.$$

These consequences are sharp.

Proof. Define $P(z) \in \mathbf{P}$ by

$$P(z): = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots .$$

it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{P(z)-1}{P(z)+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[c_1 z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right)z^2 + \left(c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4}\right)z^3 + \cdots\right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\Re(P(z)) > 0$ and $P(0) = 1$.Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(w(z)) &= e^{\left(\frac{P(z)-1}{P(z)+1} + \varkappa \frac{[\frac{P(z)-1}{P(z)+1}]^2}{2}\right)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{c_1}{2}z + \left(\frac{c_2}{2} + \frac{(\varkappa-1)c_1^2}{8}\right)z^2 + \left(\frac{c_3}{2} + (\varkappa-1)\frac{c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{(1-3\varkappa)}{48}c_1^3\right)z^3 + \ldots\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

If $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$, then there is a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in Δ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in Δ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + (\kappa-1)\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)\right]^{\vartheta}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}}\right]^{1-\vartheta} \\ &= \mathcal{X}(w(z)) \\ &= e^{\left(w(z) + \kappa\frac{[w(z)]^2}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

For given $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), a computation indicates that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + a_2 z + (2a_3 - a_2^2)z^2 + (3a_4 + a_2^3 - 3a_3 a_2)z^3 + \cdots .$$

Similarly we have

$$1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}=1+2a_2z+(6a_3-4a_2^2)z^2+\cdots.$$

Let us outline $W(z)$ by

$$W(z):=\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}}+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}+(\kappa-1)\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)\right]^{\vartheta}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}}\right]^{1-\vartheta} \tag{2.4}$$

An easy computation indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} W(z) &= 1+(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)a_2z+(1+2\vartheta)(2+\kappa)a_3z^2 \\ &+ \left(\kappa^2(\vartheta^2-\vartheta+1)+\kappa(2\vartheta^2-4\vartheta+1)+(\vartheta^2-7\vartheta-2)\right)a_2^2z^2+\cdots \\ &= 1+b_1z+b_2z^2+\cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Now by (2.2) and (2.5),

$$b_1=\frac{c_1}{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad b_2=\frac{c_2}{2}+\frac{(\varkappa-1)c_1^2}{8}. \tag{2.6}$$

In view of the equation (2.5) and (2.6), we see that

$$b_1 = (1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)a_2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= (1+2\vartheta)(2+\kappa)a_3 \\ &+ \left(\kappa^2(\vartheta^2-\vartheta+1)+\kappa(2\vartheta^2-4\vartheta+1)+(\vartheta^2-7\vartheta-2)\right)a_2^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

or equivalently, we have

$$a_2 = \frac{c_1}{2(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{1}{(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}\left(\frac{c_2}{2}-\frac{c_1^2}{8}\left[1-\varkappa-\frac{2\left(\kappa^2(\vartheta^2-\vartheta+1)+\kappa(2\vartheta^2-4\vartheta+1)+(\vartheta^2-7\vartheta-2)\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}\left(c_2-\frac{c_1^2}{4}\left[1-\varkappa-\frac{2\left(\kappa^2(\vartheta^2-\vartheta+1)+\kappa(2\vartheta^2-4\vartheta+1)+(\vartheta^2-7\vartheta-2)\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

For brevity we let

$$M=\vartheta^2-\vartheta+1; \quad S=2\vartheta^2-4\vartheta+1; \quad Q=\vartheta^2-7\vartheta-2 \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{1}{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}\left(c_2-\frac{c_1^2}{4}\left[1-\varkappa-\frac{2\left(\kappa^2(\vartheta^2-\vartheta+1)+\kappa(2\vartheta^2-4\vartheta+1)+(\vartheta^2-7\vartheta-2)\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right]\right). \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}\left(c_2-\frac{c_1^2}{4}\left[1-\varkappa-\frac{2\left(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Now by taking absolute on(2.9) and applying Lemma 1.4, we get

$$|a_2| \leqq \frac{1}{(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}$$

and by taking absolute on (2.12) and applying Lemma 1.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_3| &\leqq \frac{1}{(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)} \\
&\times \max\{1, \left|2 \times \frac{1}{4}\left[1-\varkappa-\frac{2\left(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right]-1\right|\} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)} \max\{1, \frac{1}{2}\Big|-\left(\frac{2\left(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right)-1-\varkappa\Big|\} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)} \max\{1, \Big|\frac{\left(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}+\frac{1+\varkappa}{2}\Big|\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first two bounds are sharp for the function $f : \Delta \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z) &= \int_0^z \mathcal{X}(t)dt \\
&= \int_0^z e^{t+\frac{\varkappa t^2}{2}}dt \\
&= z+\frac{z^2}{2}+\frac{1+\varkappa}{6}z^3+\frac{1+3\varkappa}{24}z^4+\frac{3\varkappa^2+6\varkappa+1}{120}z^5+\cdots.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have $b_1 = 1$ and $b_2 = 1/2$. By using (2.7) and (2.6), we get

$$|a_2| = \frac{1}{(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}$$

and again by using(2.6), (2.8) we have

$$c_2+\frac{(\varkappa-1)c_1^2}{4} = (1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)a_3+\Big((\kappa^2(\vartheta^2-\vartheta+1)+\kappa(2\vartheta^2-4\vartheta+1)+(\vartheta^2-7\vartheta-2)\Big)a_2^2.$$

Substituting for $a_2 = \frac{1}{(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}$ simple calculation and taking absolute value gives

$$|a_3| = \frac{1}{2(\vartheta+2)(1+2\kappa)}\left|\frac{\vartheta^2+\vartheta-2(\vartheta+3)\kappa-2}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}-\varkappa-1\right|.$$

□

By way of assuming $\vartheta = 0$ and $\kappa \geqq 0$ we state the following

Remark 2.2. If $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ and as in (1.1) then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leqq \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ |a_3| &\leqq \frac{1}{2(1+2\kappa)} \max\{1, \left|\frac{\kappa^2+8\kappa+3}{2(1+\kappa)^2} + \varkappa\right|\} = \frac{1}{2(1+2\kappa)}\left(\frac{\kappa^2+8\kappa+3}{2(1+2\kappa)(1+\kappa)^2} + \varkappa\right). \end{aligned}$$

By way of fixing $\vartheta = 0 = \kappa$ we state the following

Remark 2.3. If $f \in \mathfrak{S}^*(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ and as assumed in (1.1) then

$$|a_2| \leqq 1, \qquad \text{and} \qquad |a_3| \leqq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \left|\frac{3}{2} + \varkappa\right|\} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} + \varkappa\right).$$

By way of assuming $\vartheta = 0$ and $\kappa = 1$ we state the following

Remark 2.4. If $f \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ and as in (1.1), then

$$|a_2| \leqq \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad |a_3| \leqq \frac{1}{6} \max\{1, \left|\frac{1}{2} + \varkappa\right|\} = \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varkappa\right).$$

By way of letting $\kappa = 0$ we state the following

Remark 2.5. If $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa) = \mathfrak{B}_{\vartheta}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ and as in (1.1) , then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leqq \frac{1}{1+\vartheta}, \\ |a_3| &\leqq \frac{1}{\vartheta+2} \max\{1, \frac{1}{2}\left|\left(\frac{\vartheta^2+\vartheta-2}{(1+\vartheta)^2}\right) - 1 - \varkappa\right|\} = \frac{1}{2(1+\vartheta)}\left(\frac{\vartheta+3}{(1+\vartheta)^2} + \varkappa\right). \end{aligned}$$

By way of fixing $\vartheta = 1$ and $\kappa = 0$ we state the following

Remark 2.6. If $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ given by (1.1) then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leqq \frac{1}{2}, \\ |a_3| &\leqq \frac{1}{3} \max\{1, \frac{1}{2}\left|1 + \varkappa\right|\} = \frac{1}{6}(1+\varkappa). \end{aligned}$$

3. Fekete-Szegő type problems

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $0 \leqq \mu \leqq 1$, $\vartheta \geqq 0$ *and* $\kappa \geqq 0$. *If* $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ *and assumed as in* (1.1) *then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leqq \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2\mathbf{L}}\left(1 + \varkappa + \dfrac{\aleph}{\mathbf{W}^2}\right), & \text{if} \quad \mu \leqq \sigma_1, \\ \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{L}}, & \text{if} \quad \sigma_1 \leqq \mu \leqq \sigma_2, \\ \dfrac{-1}{2\mathbf{L}}\left(1 + \varkappa + \dfrac{\aleph}{\mathbf{W}^2}\right), & \text{if} \quad \mu \geqq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where, for convenience,

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(\varkappa-1)\mathbf{W}^2 + 2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q)}{2\mathbf{L}}; \sigma_2 = \frac{\varkappa\mathbf{W}^2 + 2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q)}{2\mathbf{L}};$$

$$\aleph := 2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q) - 2\mu\mathbf{L}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathbf{L} := (1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa), \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{W} := (1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa) \tag{3.3}$$

and M, S, Q are assumed as in (2.11).

Proof. Now by using (2.9) and (2.10) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{4}\times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[1 - \varkappa - \frac{2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q) - 2\mu(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\vartheta+2)(1+2\kappa)}\left(c_2 - vc_1^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v: &= \frac{1}{4}\left[1 - \varkappa - \frac{2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q) - 2\mu(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left[1 - \varkappa - \frac{2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q) - 2\mu\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{W}^2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proclamation of Theorem 3.1 now trails by applying Lemma 1.3.

□

Using Lemma 1.5, we directly find the following:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0 \leqq \vartheta \leqq 1$, and $0 \leqq \kappa \leqq 1$. If $f \in \mathfrak{G}_\vartheta^\kappa(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{(\vartheta+2)(1+2\kappa)} \\ &\times \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}\left|-1 - \varkappa - \frac{2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q) - 2\mu(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa))^2}\right|\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}}\max\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}\left|1 + \varkappa + \frac{2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q) - 2\mu\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{W}^2}\right|\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Coefficient inequalities for f^{-1}

Theorem 4.1. *If $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ and $f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n w^n$ is the inverse function of f with $|w| < r_0$ where r_0 is greater than the radius of the Koebe domain of the class $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$,we have*

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}$$

$$|d_2| \leq \frac{1}{2\mathbf{L}} max\left\{1, \left| \frac{-(1+\varkappa)\mathbf{W}^2 - 2\left(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q\right) + 4\boldsymbol{L}}{2\mathbf{W}^2} \right|\right\}.$$

For any $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\left| d_3 - \hbar d_2^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}} max\left\{1, \left| \frac{(1+\varkappa)\mathbf{W}^2 + 2\left(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q\right) + 2\boldsymbol{L}(\hbar - 2)}{2\mathbf{W}^2} \right|\right\} \quad (4.1)$$

where M, S, Q are assumed as in (2.11) and $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{W}$ are as in (3.2) and (3.3).

Proof. As

$$f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n w^n \quad (4.2)$$

it can be understood that

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = f\{f^{-1}(z)\} = z. \quad (4.3)$$

From (1.1) and (4.3), we get

$$f^{-1}(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n) = z. \quad (4.4)$$

Considering (4.3) and (4.4), you could attain

$$z + (a_2 + d_2)z^2 + (a_3 + 2a_2 d_2 + d_3)z^3 + \ldots\ldots\ldots = z. \quad (4.5)$$

By relating the coefficients of z and z^2 from the expression (4.5), it can be understood that

$$d_2 = -a_2 \quad (4.6)$$

$$d_3 = 2a_2^2 - a_3. \quad (4.7)$$

From relations (2.9),(2.10),(4.6) and (4.7)

$$d_2 = -\frac{c_1}{2(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)} = -\frac{c_1}{2\mathbf{W}}; \quad (4.8)$$

The estimate $|d_3|$ follows at once by fixing $\mu = 2$ in Fekete–Szegö theorem 3.2. For any $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}$, consider

$$d_3 - \hbar d_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2\mathbf{L}}\left(c_2 - \frac{(1-\varkappa)\mathbf{W}^2 - 2\left(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q\right) + 2\mathbf{L}(2-\hbar)}{4\mathbf{W}^2} c_1^2\right) \quad (4.9)$$

Taking absolute value of (4.9) and by using making use of Lemma 1.5 to the right hand aspect of (4.9), you can still derive the end result as in (4.1). □

Remark 4.2. For the function classes given in Remark 1.2, you can still easily state above result analogues to Theorem 4.1 by means of fixing the parameters suitably in Theorem 4.1 it's miles worthy to notice they are new and no longer been studied thus far in association with telephone numbers.

5. LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS OF f

Then, *the logarithmic coefficients* γ_n of $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ are demarcated with the assistance of the resulting series expansion:

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(f) z^n, \ z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{5.1}$$

Recall that we can redraft (5.1) in the series form as follows:

$$2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n = a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + a_4 z^3 + \cdots - \frac{1}{2}[a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + a_4 z^3 + \ldots]^2 + \frac{1}{3}[a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + a_4 z^3 + \cdots]^3 + \cdots, \ z \in \mathbb{U},$$

and seeing the coefficients of z^n for $n = 1, 2$, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} 2\gamma_1 = a_2, \\ 2\gamma_2 = a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\kappa \geqq 0$, $\vartheta \geqq 0$ *and if* $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\vartheta}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$, *be as assumed in* (1.1) *then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_1| &\leqq \frac{1}{2(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}, \\ |\gamma_2| &\leqq \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}} \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}\left|1 + \varkappa + \frac{2(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q) - \mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{W}^2}\right|\right\} \end{aligned}$$

where

Proof. We note first that since $a_2 = \frac{c_1}{2(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)}$,(2.9) and $|c_1| \leq 2$, the inequality $|\gamma_1|$ is insignificant. The result for $|\gamma_2|$ trails by taking $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ in the Fekete–Szegö theorem 3.2. □

6. APPLICATION TO FUNCTIONS BASED ON CONVOLUTION

The class of univalent functions were intensively studied with the aid of numerous researchers in exclusive prospective involving certain distributions namely Borel , Binomial, Poisson, logarithm, Pascal, hypergeometric. In this section based on convolution, we defined a new generalized class

and discuss the Fekete-Szegő type problems. In addition we discuss these results based on certain probability distribution series.

Let $\wp(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \wp_n z^n, \quad (\wp_n > 0)$ and $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(z) &= (f * \wp)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \wp_n a_n z^n \\ &= z + \wp_2 a_2 z^2 + \wp_3 a_3 z^3 + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

We define the class $\mathfrak{G}^{\wp}_{\vartheta,\kappa}(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)$ in the following way:

$$\mathfrak{G}^{\wp}_{\vartheta,\kappa} := \{f \in \mathfrak{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(z) \in \mathfrak{G}^{\kappa}_{\vartheta}(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)\}$$

where $\mathfrak{G}^{\kappa}_{\vartheta}(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)$ is given by Definition 1.1 ,

$$\left[\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}} + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} + (\kappa-1)\left(\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} - 1\right)\right]^{\vartheta}\left[\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}}\right]^{1-\vartheta} \prec \mathcal{X}(z)$$

Now, we obtain the coefficient estimate for $f \in \mathfrak{G}^{\wp}_{\vartheta,\kappa}(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)$, from the corresponding estimate for $f \in \mathfrak{G}^{\kappa}_{\vartheta}(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)$. Applying Theorem 3.1 for the function (6.1), we get the following Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 after an obvious change of the parameter μ.Our main result is the following:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $0 \leqq \kappa \leqq 1$, and $0 \leqq \vartheta \leqq 1$. If $f \in \mathfrak{G}^{\wp}_{\vartheta,\kappa}(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)$, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &= \frac{2}{(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)\wp_3} \\ &\quad max\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}\left|-1-\varkappa + \frac{2\left(M\kappa^2 + S\kappa + Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)\wp_2)^2} + \frac{2\mu(\vartheta+2)(1+2\kappa)\wp_3}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)\wp_2)^2}\right|\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where M, S, Q are assumed as in (2.11) .

Proof. For $f(z) \in \mathfrak{G}^{\wp}_{\vartheta,\kappa}(\mathcal{X},\varkappa)$ snd $(f * \wp)(z) = \mathcal{F}(z)$ given by (6.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(z): &= \left[\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}} + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} + (\kappa-1)\left(\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} - 1\right)\right]^{\vartheta}\left[\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\kappa}z^{\kappa}}\right]^{1-\vartheta} \\ &= 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Continuing as in Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(z) &= 1 + (1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)\wp_2 a_2 z + (1+2\vartheta)(2+\kappa)\wp_3 a_3 z^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\kappa^2(\vartheta^2 - \vartheta + 1) + \kappa(2\vartheta^2 - 4\vartheta + 1) + (\vartheta^2 - 7\vartheta - 2)\right)\wp_2^2 a_2^2 z^2 + \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

From (2.7)- (2.10) and from this equation(6.3), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{c_1}{2(1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)\wp_2} \tag{6.4}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)\wp_3}\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{4}\left[1-\varkappa - \frac{2\left(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)\wp_2)^2}\right]\right). \tag{6.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)\wp_3}\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{4}\left[1-\varkappa - \frac{2\left(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)\wp_2)^2}\right]\right) \\ &-\mu\frac{c_1^2}{4(1+\vartheta)^2(1+\kappa)^2\wp_2^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)\wp_3}\left[c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{4}\left(1-\varkappa - \frac{2\left(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q\right)}{((1+\vartheta)(1+\kappa)\wp_2)^2}\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. + \mu\frac{2(1+2\vartheta)(1+2\kappa)\wp_3}{(1+\vartheta)^2(1+\kappa)^2\wp_2^2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by applying Lemma 1.5 we get the desired result. The result is sharp by assuming

$$\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\vartheta} + \kappa\left[1 + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} - \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \vartheta\left(\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} - 1\right)\right] = \mathcal{X}(z)$$

and

$$\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\vartheta} + \kappa\left[1 + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} - \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \vartheta\left(\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} - 1\right)\right] = \mathcal{X}(z^2)$$

□

Theorem 6.2. *Let* $0 \leqq \mu \leqq 1,\ \vartheta \geqq 0,\quad \kappa \geqq 0$ *and* $\wp_n > 0$. *If* $f \in \mathfrak{G}^{\wp}_{\vartheta,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ *be given by* (1.1) *then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \quad \leqq \quad \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2\mathbf{L}\wp_3}\left(1+\varkappa+\dfrac{\aleph_2}{\mathbf{W}^2}\right), & \text{if} \quad \mu \leqq \sigma_1, \\ \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{L}\wp_3}, & \text{if} \quad \sigma_1 \leqq \mu \leqq \sigma_2, \\ \dfrac{-1}{2\mathbf{L}\wp_3}\left(1+\varkappa+\dfrac{\aleph_2}{\mathbf{W}^2}\right), & \text{if} \quad \mu \geqq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where, for convenience,

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{\wp_2^2}{\wp_3}\left[\frac{(\varkappa-1)\mathbf{W}^2+2(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q)}{2\mathbf{L}}\right], \qquad \sigma_2 = \frac{\wp_2^2}{\wp_3}\left[\frac{\varkappa\mathbf{W}^2+2(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q)}{2\mathbf{L}}\right],$$

$$\aleph_2 := 2(M\kappa^2+S\kappa+Q)-2\mu\mathbf{L}\frac{\wp_3}{\wp_2^2},$$

M, S, Q are assumed as in (2.11) *and* $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{W}$ *are as in* (3.2) *and* (3.3)

Proof. By (6.4), (6.5) and proceeding as in Theorems 3.1 and 6.1 we get required result. □

6.1. **Application to functions based on certain distributions.** A variable x is said to be Poisson distributed is given by

$$P(x=r)=\frac{m^r e^{-m}}{r!},\ r=0,1,2,3,\cdots,$$

where m is called the parameter. In [28], Porwal represented in power series

given by

$$P(m,z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}e^{-m}z^n, \qquad z\in\mathcal{U}, \quad m>0.$$

By ratio test the radius of convergence of above series is infinity. Using the convolution, he defined a linear operator $\mathcal{J}^m(z):\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ (see also, [9, 24, 25, 28, 29]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^m f = f(z)*P(m,z) &= z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\psi_m a_n z^n,\\ &= z+\psi_2 a_2 z^2+\psi_3 a_3 z^3+\cdots \qquad z\in\Delta,\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_n=\frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}e^{-m}$. In particular

$$\psi_2 = me^{-m} \qquad and \qquad \psi_3=\frac{m^2}{2}e^{-m}. \tag{6.6}$$

From (6.6) , by taking $\wp_2 = me^{-m} = \psi_2$ and $\wp_3 = \frac{m^2}{2}e^{-m} = \psi_3$ one can easily state the results(as in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2) associated with Poisson distribution .

Recently various subclasses of analytic , univalent and by univalent functions are discussed based on Borel distribution [10, 11, 40] of a discrete random variable X with parameter ς with probability mass function as given by

$$p(X=r)=\frac{(\varsigma r)^{r-1}e^{-\varsigma r}}{r!} \quad r=1,2,3,\cdots. \tag{6.7}$$

Recently, Wanas and Khuttar [42] gave a power series representation

$$\mathfrak{B}(\varsigma,z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{(\varsigma(n-1))^{n-2}e^{-\varsigma(n-1)}}{(n-1)!}z^n \quad (z\in\Delta,0\leq\varsigma\leq 1) \tag{6.8}$$

where whose coefficients are the probabilities of Borel distribution . By way of the use of ratio test, it could be shown that the radius of convergence of the above series is infinity. Let us introduce a linear operator

$$L_\varsigma:\mathfrak{A}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{A}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_\varsigma f(z)=\mathfrak{B}(\varsigma,z)*f(z) &= z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\Lambda_n a_n z^n \\ &= z+\Lambda_2 a_2 z^2+\Lambda_3 a_3 z^3+\cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

where $\Lambda_n=\Lambda_n(\varsigma)=\frac{(\varsigma(n-1))^{n-2}e^{-\varsigma(n-1)}}{(n-1)!}$. By fixing $n=2,3$ we have $\Lambda_2=e^{-\varsigma}\quad\Lambda_3=\varsigma e^{-2\varsigma}$. Now by taking $\wp_2=\Lambda_2=e^{-\varsigma}\quad\wp_3=\Lambda_3=\varsigma e^{-2\varsigma}$ as in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 we state the results in association with Borel distribution.

Lately, El-Deeb et al. [4, 8] introduced a power series whose coefficients are

$$(1-q)^s,\frac{qs(1-q)^s}{1!},\frac{q^2s(s+1)(1-q)^s}{2!},\frac{q^3s(s+1)(s+2)(1-q)^s}{3!}\cdots$$

, respectively, probabilities of Pascal distribution is

$$\Theta_q^s(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\binom{n+s-2}{s-1}q^{n-1}(1-q)^s z^n,\qquad z\in\mathbb{D};s\geq 1;0\leq q\leq 1.$$

whose radius of convergence is infinity by ratio test. Now, we define the linear operator $\Lambda_q^s(z):\mathfrak{A}\to\mathfrak{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_q^s f(z)=\Theta_q^s(z)*f(z) &= z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\Phi_n a_n z^n,\qquad z\in\mathbb{D} \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

where $\Phi_n=\binom{n+s-2}{s-1}q^{n-1}(1-q)^s$. Now by taking

$$\wp_2=\Phi_2=\binom{s}{s-1}q(1-q)^s\quad\wp_3=\Phi_3=\binom{s+1}{s-1}q^2(1-q)^s$$

one can easily state the results(as in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2) associated Pascal distribution.

Conclusion

We investigated coefficient estimates for $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$ analytic functions subordinating generalized telephone numbers, and derived initial coefficient estimates a_2, a_3 and Fekete-Szegö inequality for $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{X}, \varkappa)$. A similar results have been done for the function f^{-1} and $\log \frac{f(z)}{z}$. Further application of our results to certain functions defined by convolution products. Appropriately specifying the parameters in Theorems 2.1 to 4.1 it is easy to straightforwardly state the results for the numerous new subclasses listed in Remark 1.2 which can be new and now not discussed thus far by way of subordinating with telephonic numbers. In addition we can state results as Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 the function classes connected with Poisson ,Borel and Pascal distributions which also not discussed so far . Further keeping with the latest trend of research we can extended the study using Quantum calculus see [44, 45].

Declaration Statements

Data availability: No data were used to support this study.

Competing interests: We declare that we do not have any commercial or associative interests that represent conflicts of interest in connection with this manuscript. There are no professional or other personal interests that can inappropriately influence our submitted work.

Authors' Contributions: We contributed equally to the writing of this article, and they read and approved the final manuscript for publication.

Funding: Not applicable.

References

[1] A. Alotaibi, M. Arif, M. A. Alghamdi and S. Hussain, *Starlikeness associated with cosine hyperbolic function*, Mathematics, 2020, **8** (7): 16 pages.

[2] U. Bednarz and M. Wolowiec-Musial, *On a new generalization of telephone numbers*, Turk. J. Math., **43**(2019), 1595-1603.

[3] J.S.Beissinger,*Similar constructions for Young tableaux and involutions,and their applications to shiftable tableaux*, DiscreteMath. **67**(1987),149-163.

[4] T. Bulboacă and G. Murugusundaramoorthy,*Univalent functions with positive coefficients involving Pascal distribution series*,Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (2020), No. 3, 867–877.

[5] N. E. Cho, V. Kumar, S. S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, *Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.,**45** (2019), 213—232.

[6] S.Chowla,I.N.Herstein,W.K.Moore,*On recursions connected with symmetric groups* I. Can.J. Math. **3**(1951),328-334.

[7] E. Deniz, *Sharp coefficient bounds for starlike functions associated with generalized telephone numbers*, Bull Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., Springer (2020), 18 pages.

[8] S. M. El-Deeb, T. Bulboacă and J. Dziok, *Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **59**(2019), 301–314.
[9] S.M.El-Deeb and T. Bulboacă, *Fekete-Szegö inequalities for certain class of analytic functions connected with q-anlogue of Bessel function*,J.Egyptian. Math.Soc.(2019),1-11,https://doi.org/10.1186/s42787-019-0049-2.
[10] S.M.El-Deeb, G.Murugusundaramoorty, A.Alburaikan, *A bi-Bazilevi˘c functions based on the Mittag-Leffler-Type Borel distribution associated with Legendre polynomials*. J. Math. Comput. Sci. **24**(2021), 235–245.
[11] S.M.El-Deeb, G.Murugusundaramoorthy, *Applications on a subclass of $\beta-$ uniformly starlike functions connected with -Borel distribution*, Asian-European J. Math., 2250158.
[12] K.Ganesh, R.B.Sharma, M.Haripriya and G.Murugusundaramoorthy , *Bounds on Zalcman conjecture and$H_2(2)$ of $\lambda-$-pseudo-starlike functions related to a shell-like domain*, Poincare Journal of Analysis and Applications, **8(2)**2021, 171-182.
[13] U. Grenander and G.Szegö, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences*, University of California Press, Berkeley (1958).
[14] S. Fitri and D.K.Thomas, *Gamma- Bazilevič functions*, Mathematics 2020, 8(2), 175; https://doi.org/10.3390/math8020175
[15] W. Janowski, *Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families*, Ann. Pol. Math.,**23** (1970), 159-177.
[16] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20**(1969) 8–12.
[17] D.E.Knuth,:The Art of Computer Programming, **3**.Addison-Wesley,Boston(1973).
[18] B. Kowalczyk, A. Lecko, and H. M. Srivastava, *A note on the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions with respect to convex functions*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (Nouvelle Sér.) **101 (115)** (2017), 143-149.
[19] R.J. Libera and E. J.Zlotkiewicz, *Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **85(2)**(1982),225–230.
[20] W. Ma and D. Minda, *A Unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, *in: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis*, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang, and S. Zhang(Eds.), Int. Press (1994), 157–169.
[21] R. Mendiratta, S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, *On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **38** 2015, 365–386.
[22] M. Mohsin and S. N. Malik, *Upper bound of third hankel determinant for class of analytic functions related with lemniscate of bernoulli*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2013, 412: doi.10.1186/1029-242X-2013-412.
[23] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and T. Bulboacă, H*ankel determinants for new subclasses of analytic functions related to a shell shaped region*, Mathematics, (2020), **8**, 1041.
[24] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Subclasses of starlike and convex functions involving Poisson distribution series* , Afr. Mat. (2017) **28**:1357-1366.
[25] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya and S. Porwal, *Some inclusion results of certain subclass of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. **45 (4),**(2016), no. 1101-1107.

[26] G.Murugusundaramoorthy and K.Vijaya, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with generalized telephone numbers*. Symmetry 2022, 14, 1053. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14051053.

[27] G.Murugusundaramoorthy and H.Dutta,*Fekete-Szego Inequality and Application of Poisson Distribution Series for some Subclasses of Analytic Functions related with Bessel Functions*, Appl.Math. Info. Sci., **16(2)**(2022), 305 - 313

[28] S. Porwal, *An application of a Poisson distribution series on certain analytic functions*, J. Complex Anal., (2014), Art. ID 984135, 1–3.

[29] S. Porwal and M. Kumar, *A unified study on starlike and convex functions associated with Poisson distribution series*, Afr. Mat., **27(5)**(2016), 1021-1027. Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 63(2018), No. 1, 71-78.

[30] R.K.Raina and J. Sokol, *On coeffcient estimates for a certain class of starlike functions*,Hacettepe Journal of Math. and Stat., **44(6)**(2015),1427-1433

[31] M. S. Robertson, *Certain classes of starlike functions*, Michigan Math. J., **32**(1985), 135–140.

[32] F. Ronning, *Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc.,**118** (1993), 189-196.

[33] J. Sokol, and D.K.Thomas, *Further results on a class starlike functions related to the Bernoulli lemniscate*,Houston J.Math., **44**,2018,83–95.

[34] J.Riordan,Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis. Dover, Mineola (2002).

[35] J. Sokół, *Radius problem in the class* $\mathcal{SL}^*$, Appl. Math. Comput., **214** (2009), 569-573.

[36] L. Shi, A. Izaz, M. Arif, N. E. Cho, S. Hussain and K. Hassan, *A study of third hankel determinant problem for certain subfamilies of analytic functions involving cardioid domain*, Mathematics, **7** (2019), 418.

[37] L. Shi, H. M. Srivastava, M. Arif, S. Hussain and H. Khan, *An investigation of the third Hankel determinant problem for certain subfamilies of univalent functions involving the exponential function*, Symmetry, **11**(5),(2019), 14 pages.

[38] K. Sharma, N. K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, *Starlike functions associated with a cardioid*, Afr. Mat., 27 (2016), 923-939.

[39] H. M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and M.K. Das, *The Fekete-Szegö problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **44 (2)** (2001), 145–163.

[40] H.M.Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorty, S.M.El-Deeb,; *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates of bi-close-to-convex functions connected with Borel distribution of the Mittag-Leffler-type*. J. Nonlinear Var. Anal., **5** (2021), 103–118.

[41] H.M.Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorty and T. Bulboacă, *The second Hankel determinant for subclasses of Bi-univalent functions associated with a nephroid domain*, Rev.Real Acad. Cienc.Exactas Fis.Nat.Ser.A-Mat. (2022) 116:145 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-022-01286-6

[42] A. K. Wanas and J. A. Khuttar, *Applications of Borel distribution series on analytic functions*, Earthline J. Math. Sci., **4**(1)(2020), 71-82. https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.4120.7182.

[43] A.Włoch,M.Wołowiec-Musiał,:*On generalized telephone number, their interpretations and matrix generators*. Util.Math. **10**(2017),531–39.

[44] H. M. Srivastava, Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions, in *Univalent functions, fractional calculus,*

and their applications (Kōriyama, 1988), 329–354, Ellis Horwood Ser. Math. Appl, Horwood, Chichester.

[45] H. M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q-) calculus and fractional q-calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. **44** (2020), no. 1, 327–344.

(G.Murugusundaramoorthy, K.vijaya) [1]Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Science, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore - 632014,TN., India.,

Email address: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com; kvijaya@vit.ac.in

(Hijaz Ahmad) [2], ∗ Corresponding author ,Section of Mathematics, International Telematic University Uninettuno, Corso Vittorio Emanuele II, 39,00186 Roma, Italy,

Email address: hijaz555@gmail.com