arXiv:2102.12851v2 [math.DS] 26 Nov 2021

HOMOGENEOUS SPECTRUM OF QUASI-PERIODIC GEVREY SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH DIOPHANTINE FREQUENCY

YAN YANG AND KAI TAO*

Abstract. We consider the quasi-periodic Schrödinger operator with the non-degenerate Gevrey potential for the Diophantine frequency. We prove that if the coupling number of the potential is large, then the spectrum is homogeneous.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the following quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators $H(x,\omega)$ on $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$(H(x,\omega)\phi)(n) = \phi(n+1) + \phi(n-1) + V(x+n\omega)\phi(n),\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $V : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a real function called potential, ω is an irrational number called frequency and $x \in \mathbb{T}$ is called phase.

Due to the rich backgrounds in quantum physics, this operator and its special example, Almost Mathieu operator(AMO for short),

$$(M(x,\omega)\phi)(n) = \phi(n+1) + \phi(n-1) + 2\lambda\cos(2\pi(x+n\omega))\phi(n), \tag{1.2}$$

have been extensively studied, especially people found that one can use ideas from the dynamical systems (mainly linear cocycles) to study them in 2000's(such as [J99, BG00, GS01]). In this field, the most famous question is the Ten Martini Problem, which was dubbed by Barry Simon and conjectures that for any irrational ω, the spectrum of AMO (1.2) is a Cantor set. It was completely solved by Avila and Jitomirskaya in [AJ09]. For the Schrödinger operators (1.1), Goldstein and Schlag [GS11] also obtained this Cantor spectrum, when the potential V is analytic and the Lyapunov exponent $L(E,\omega)$, which will be defined later, is positive. We denote these spectrums by $\mathcal{S}_\omega$, since they don't depend on x for any irrational ω. These two results show that $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ has the isolation: for any $E \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$, there exists a deleted neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(E)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(E) \bigcap \mathcal{S}_\omega = \emptyset$.

On the other hand, Goldstein-Damanik-Schlag-Voda [GDSV18] proved that $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ also has a certain kind of continuity in measure: they considered the strong Diophantine frequency(SDC for short), i.e. for some $\alpha > 1$,

$$\|n\omega\| \geq \frac{c_\omega}{n(\log|n|+1)^\alpha} \text{ for all } n \neq 0, \tag{1.3}$$

and then showed that in the supercritical region, which means $L(E,\omega) > 0$, $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ is homogeneous. Here, a closed set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called homogeneous if there is $\tau > 0$ such that for any $E \in \mathcal{S}$ and $0 < \sigma \leq \mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{S})$,

$$|\mathcal{S} \cap (E-\sigma, E+\sigma)| > \tau\sigma. \tag{1.4}$$

Key words and phrases. Homogeneous Spectrum; Gevrey Potential; Diophantine Frequency; Quasi-periodic Schrödinger Operator; Large Coupling Number.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A30, 47A35, 37C55.

* Corresponding author.

The homogeneity of the spectrum also plays an essential role in the inverse spectral theory of almost periodic potentials(refer to the fundamental work of Sodin- Yuditskii [SY95, SY97]). It was shown that the homogeneity of the spectrum implies the almost periodicity of the associated potentials. What's more, it is deeply related to Deift's conjecture [BDGL15, DGL17], who asked whether the solutions of the KdV equation are almost periodic if the initial data is almost periodic. So after the work [GDSV18] was submitted on arXiv in 2015, the research of the homogeneous spectrum becomes a hot spot in our field.

In [LYZZ17], Leguil-You-Zhao-Zhou proved that for a measure-theoretically typical analytic potential, $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ is homogeneous with the SDC frequency. For the AMO, they further proved that if $\beta(\omega) = 0$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, then $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ is also homogeneous. Note that for any irrational ω, there exist its continued fraction approximants $\left\{\frac{p_s}{q_s}\right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$, satisfying

$$\frac{1}{q_s(q_{s+1}+q_s)} < \left|\omega - \frac{p_s}{q_s}\right| < \frac{1}{q_s q_{s+1}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Then, $\beta(\omega)$ is defined as the exponential growth exponent of $\{\frac{p_s}{q_s}\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$\beta(\omega) := \limsup_s \frac{\log q_{s+1}}{q_s} \in [0, \infty].$$

Obviously, the strong Diophantine number is a subset of the set $\{\omega : \beta(\omega) = 0\}$. Lately, Liu-Shi [LS19] extended it to the finite Liouville frequency $0 \leq \beta(\omega) < \infty$, but the coupling number λ of the potential $V = \lambda V_0$ needs to be very small. Due to Avila's global theory of one-frequency cocycles, Liu-Shi's operator is in the subcritical regime and has purely absolutely continuous spectrum. In the meantime, Shi with Yuan and Jian [JS19, SY19] obtained the similar results for the following extended Harper's model

$$(H(x,\omega)\phi)(n) = -a(x+(n+1)\omega)\phi(n+1) - \overline{a(x+n\omega)}\phi(n-1) + V(x+n\omega)\phi(n),\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$a(x) = \lambda_1 \exp\left(2\pi i\left(x+\frac{\omega}{2}\right)\right) + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \exp\left(-2\pi i\left(x+\frac{\omega}{2}\right)\right), V(x) = \cos 2\pi x.$$

Recently, Xu-Zhao [XZ20] gave another homogeneity result for the non-critical extended Harper's with the Diophantine frequency $\mathcal{D}_{c,A}$, i.e. for some $A > 1$,

$$\|n\omega\| \geq \frac{c}{|n|^A} \text{ for all } n \neq 0. \tag{1.7}$$

After reviewing these results, we will find that except the first one [GDSV18], the others are all about the operators who are in the subcritical regime or whose potential is the cosine function. There is also another observation that they all need the potential to be analytic. Cai-Wang [CW21] announced a new breakthrough lately that for any $d-$dimension Diophantine frequency $\mathcal{D}_{d,c,A}$, i.e. for some $A > d$,

$$\|n\omega\| \geq \frac{c}{|n|^A} \text{ for all } n \neq 0, \tag{1.8}$$

there exists a $k_0 = D_0 A$, where D_0 is a numerical constant, such that for any potential $V \in C^k(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R})$ with $k \geq k_0$, the spectrum $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ is homogeneous if $\|V\|_k \leq \epsilon_0$, where $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(c, A, k, d)$. Note that this operator is always having purely absolutely continuous spectrum and its Lyapunov exponent is always zero. This is a traditional territory of reducibility in methodology, which can help people seek out the edge points of the spectral gaps, where the cocycles are reducible to constant parabolic cocycles. Unfortunately, it can not work in the supercritical regime.

In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger operators (1.1) with some non-analytic potential in the supercritical regime. We say a C^∞ function V is a Gevrey class $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathbb{T})$ for some $s > 1$, if

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}} |\partial^m V(x)| \leq K^m (m!)^s \quad \forall m \geq 0 \tag{1.9}$$

for some constant $K \geq 0$. Let

$$\|V\|_{s,K} := \frac{1}{3} \sup_m \frac{(1+|m|)^2}{K^m (m!)^s} \|\partial^m V\|_{C^0(\mathbb{T})},$$

$G^{s,K}(\mathbb{S}^1) = \{V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) | \|V\|_{s,K} < \infty\}$ and $G^s(\mathbb{T}) = \cup_{K>0} G^{s,K}(\mathbb{T})$. Obviously, $G^1(\mathbb{T})$ is the space of analytic functions and for any $s \geq 1$, $G^s(\mathbb{T})$ is a subspace of the space of smooth functions.

Theorem 1. *Consider the quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators (1.1). Let $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{c,A}$, $V = \lambda v$ and $v \in \mathcal{G}^{s,K}$ be a non-degenerate Gevrey function, which means it satisfies the following called non-degeneracy condition*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{T}, \ \exists m, \ s.t. \ v^{(m)}(x) \neq 0. \tag{1.10}$$

Then, there exists a constant $\lambda_0(v, c, A, s, K)$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$, the spectrum $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ is homogeneous.

Remark 1.1. So, the spectrum $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ always has the positive measure in this condition.

Remark 1.2. It is obvious that all analytic functions on $\mathbb{T}$ are non-degenerate. This non-degeneracy condition was first introduced by Klein [K05] for the Gevrey potential. With its help, he proved the Anderson Localization, the positivity and weak Hölder continuity of the Lyapunov exponent for the SDC frequency (1.3). In our paper, we can extend them to the Diophantine one (1.7) easily (see Remark 3.1).

As a contrast, it is not an easily thing to study the spectrum of the quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators for non-SDC frequency in the supercritical regime. Actually, Goldstein-Schlag-Voda [GSV16] attempted to proved the homogeneous spectrum of the multi-frequency Schrödinger operators with more generic frequency, but they only succeed on a non full-measured subset of $\mathcal{D}_{d,c,A}$ (1.8). What's more, Avila-Last-Shamis-Zhou [ALSZ21] showed that even for the AMO (1.2), the spectrum is not homogeneous if $\exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}\beta(\omega)\right) < \lambda < \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}\beta(\omega)\right)$.

The main reason for this situation is that it is very hard to obtain the good enough properties of the finite-volume determinant $f_n(x, E, \omega)$ with more generic frequency. For example, we need it to be not too small, since it is the denominator of the Green function. If the potential is the cosine, it can be handled explicitly via the Lagrange interpolation for the trigonometric polynomial. But for general potential V, it is hard to judge when it vanishes or not. In [GS08], Goldstein and Schlag used the subharmonicity, which comes from the analyticity of the potential, and Hilbert transform to obtain the BMO norm of f_n, and then applied the John-Nirenberg inequality to yield the following called large deviation theorem(LDT for short) with the SDC ω:

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\log|f_n(x)| - \langle \log|f_n| \rangle\right| > n\delta\right\} \leq C \exp\left(-c\delta\left(\delta_{SDC}^{(n)}\right)^{-1}\right), \tag{1.11}$$

where $\delta_{SDC}^{(n)} = \frac{C_V (\log n)^{\alpha+2}}{n}$. We call $\delta_{SDC}^{(n)}$ the smallest deviation, since (1.11) works only when $\delta \gg \delta_{SDC}^{(n)}$. It is determined mainly by the holomorphic width of the analytic potential and the frequency, and the latter plays a central role. Generally speaking, it will be larger when the irrational frequency is "more rational". In [GT20], we applied their methods and obtain the corresponding LDTs for the Brjuno-Rüssmann frequency, which is a famous extension of the SDC number. However, there exist some difficulties to apply it in this paper. The first one is that our potential is not analytic and then $\log|f_n(x)|$ is no longer subharmonic. The second one is that $\langle \log|f_n| \rangle$ may be nonexistent. What's more, even though we ignored the difference in potential, that is, we assumed the potential is analytic, and then obtained the LDT for the Diophantine frequency $\mathcal{D}_{c,A}$ in this paper, we will find that the smallest deviation $\delta_{DC}^{(n)}$ is too large for the original methods in [GDSV18].

So now, let's make an introduction of this paper and explain briefly how to solve these problems. Section 2 is the preliminaries, including Lyapunov exponent, Gevrey function, Cartan's estimate and semialgebraic set theory. Especially in subsection 2.2, we will show that the Gevrey cocycles have an analytic truncation,

which will allow us to use some lemmas, which were for the analytic function or subharmonic one. In Section 3, we construct an induction to get the LDTs. Specifically, we lead into the Łojasiewicz-type inequality from the non-degeneracy condition (1.10) to obtain the initial step, and apply the Cartan's estimate, instead of the BMO norm and the John-Nirenberg inequality, to yield the induction step. Then in the LDTs, $\langle \log |f_n(x)| \rangle$ can be replaced by L_n , which is very close to $\log \lambda$. As mentioned above, this LDT is not good enough for the original methods. So in Section 4, we optimize them and lead into the covering form of LDT to get the desired Wegner's estimate, which estimates the probability that there exists an eigenvalue in some interval $(E-\epsilon, E+\epsilon)$. At last, after the further optimization of the way to produce spectral segments, which are closed to $\mathcal{S}_\omega$, of considerable size, we obtain the stability of spectrum, and then prove the homogeneity.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Lyapunov exponent.** It is obvious that the characteristic equation $H(x,\omega)\phi = E\phi$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(n+1) \\ \phi(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V(x+n\omega)-E & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi(n) \\ \phi(n-1) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

Define

$$M(x,E,\omega) := \begin{pmatrix} V(x)-E & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

and the n-step transfer matrix

$$M_n(x,E,\omega) := \prod_{k=n}^{1} M(x+k\omega,E,\omega).$$

By the Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem, the Lyapunov exponent

$$L(E,\omega) = \lim_{n\to\infty} L_n(E,\omega) = \inf_{n\to\infty} L_n(E,\omega) \geq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

always exists, where

$$L_n(E,\omega) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \|M_n(x,E,\omega)\| dx. \tag{2.4}$$

2.2. **Gevrey function and analytic truncation.** From now on, we always assume $V = \lambda v$, where $v \in \mathcal{G}^{s,K}$. It is showed that the condition (1.9) is equivalent to the following weak exponential decay of the Fourier coefficients of v:

$$|\hat{v}(k)| \leq \|v\|_{s,K} \exp\left(-\rho|k|^{\frac{1}{s}}\right), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\rho = \frac{1}{K}$. For every positive integer n, consider the truncation of the Gevrey function v:

$$v_n(x) := \sum_{|k|\leq \bar{n}} \hat{v}(k) e^{ikx},$$

where $\bar{n} = \deg v_n$ will be determined later. Note that $v_n(x)$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{T}$ and $v_n(z)$ is a holomorphic on the strip $\mathbb{T}_n := \{z : |\mathrm{Im} z| \leq \rho_n\}$, where

$$\rho_n = \frac{\rho}{2}\bar{n}^{\frac{1}{s}-1}.$$

Indeed, if $z = x + iy$ with $|y| < \rho_n$, then

$$|v_n(z)| \leq \sum_{|k|\leq\bar{n}} |\hat{v}(k)|\, e^{|k||y|} \leq \|v\|_{s,K} \sum_{k=0}^{\bar{n}} \exp\left(-\rho|k|^{\frac{1}{s}}\right) e^{k|y|} < \|v\|_{s,K} \sum_{k=0}^{\bar{n}} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}|k|^{\frac{1}{s}}\right) < C.$$

It is also obvious that for any n,

$$|v(x) - v_n(x)| \le \|v\|_{s,K} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}\bar{n}^{\frac{1}{s}}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

We choose $\bar{n} = n^{2s}$ to make the error in (2.6) super exponentially small:

$$|v(x) - v_n(x)| \le \|v\|_{s,K} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}n^2\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Then, the width of holomorphicity of v_n becomes:

$$\rho_n = \frac{\rho}{2}n^{-2(s-1)}.$$

Define the analytic matrix related to M_n by replacing v by v_n:

$$M_n^t(x, E, \omega) = \prod_{j=n}^{1} \begin{pmatrix} E - \lambda v_n(x + j\omega) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

For fixed E and ω, $M_n^t(x, E, \omega)$ has its complex analytic extension $M_n^t(z, E, \omega)$ on the strip $\mathbb{T}_n$. In this paper, we always assume $E \in [-\lambda\|v\|_\infty - 2, \lambda\|v\|_\infty + 2]$, or our question will be trivial. Now,

$$1 \le u_n(x, E, \omega), u_n^t(x, E, \omega) \le \log(2\lambda\|v\|_\infty + 4).$$

Therefore , there exists a constant $\lambda_0'(v)$ such that if $\lambda > \lambda_0'(v)$, then for any $n \ge 1$,

$$u_n(x, E, \omega), u_n^t(x, E, \omega) \le S(\lambda) = \log\lambda + (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $H_{[a,b]}(x, \omega)$ be the restriction of $H(x, \omega)$ to the interval $[a, b]$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, $\phi(a - 1) = \phi(b+1) = 0$, and we denote the corresponding Dirichlet determinant by $f_{[a,b]}(x, E, \omega) := \det(H_{[a,b]}(x, \omega) - E)$. We use $E_j^{[a,b]}(x, \omega)$, $\psi_j^{[a,b]}(x, \omega)$ to denote the eigenpairs of $H_{[a,b]}(x, \omega)$, with $\psi_j^{[a,b]}(x, \omega)$ being ℓ^2-normalized. One has

$$f_{[a,b]}(x, E, \omega) = f_{b-a+1}(x + (a - 1)\omega, E, \omega),$$

where $f_n := f_{[1,n]}$. Simple computations yield that

$$M_n(x, E, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} f_n(x, E, \omega) & -f_{n-1}(x + \omega, E, \omega) \\ f_{n-1}(x, E, \omega) & -f_{n-2}(x + \omega, E, \omega) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.10}$$

and similar communication also holds between f_n^t and M_n^t, where $f_n^t(x, E, \omega) := \det(H_n^t(x, \omega) - E)$ and $H_n^t(x, \omega)$ denotes the restriction operators $H_n(x, \omega)$ with the potential λv_n.

Due to the subharmonicities of u_n^t and $\log|f_n^t|$, we can use the following propositions in our paper.

Proposition 2.1 (Theorem 1.4 in [GT20]). *Let $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a subharmonic function on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{c,A}$. Suppose that $\partial\Omega$ consists of finitely many piece-wise C^1 curves, $\mathbb{T}_\rho \subset \Omega$ and $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}_\rho} u(z) < S$. Then, there exist constants $c = c(c, A)$ and $C = C(c, A)$ such that for any positive n and $\delta > \frac{CS}{\rho}n^{-\nu}$,*

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} u(x + k\omega) - n\langle u\rangle\right| > \delta n\right\}\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{c\rho\delta n}{S}\right), \tag{2.11}$$

where $\nu = \frac{1}{2A}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 2.2 in [GS08]). *Let $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a subharmonic function on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\partial\Omega$ consists of finitely many piece-wise C^1 curves. There exists a positive measure μ on Ω such that for any $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega$ (i.e., Ω_1 is a compactly contained subregion of Ω),*

$$u(z) = \int_{\Omega_1} \log|z - \zeta|\, d\mu(\zeta) + h(z), \tag{2.12}$$

where h is harmonic on Ω_1 and μ is unique with this property. Moreover, μ and h satisfy the bounds

$$\mu(\Omega_1) \leq C(\Omega,\Omega_1)\,(\sup_{\Omega} u - \sup_{\Omega_1} u), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\|h - \sup_{\Omega_1} u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_2)} \leq C(\Omega,\Omega_1,\Omega_2)\,(\sup_{\Omega} u - \sup_{\Omega_1} u) \tag{2.14}$$

for any $\Omega_2 \Subset \Omega_1$.

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 2.3 in [BGS01])**.** *Suppose u is subharmonic on $\mathbb{T}_\rho$, with $\mu(\mathbb{T}_\rho)+\sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}_\rho} h(z) \leq S$ where $\mu(\mathbb{T}_\rho)$ and $h(z)$ comes from Lemma 2.1. Furthermore, assume that $u = u_0 + u_1$, where*

$$\|u_0 - < u_0 >\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} \leq \epsilon_0 \ \text{ and } \ \|u_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \leq \epsilon_1. \tag{2.15}$$

Then for some constant C_ρ depending only on ρ,

$$\|u\|_{BMO(\mathbb{T})} \leq C_\rho\left(\epsilon_0 \log\left(\frac{S}{\epsilon_1}\right) + \sqrt{S\,\epsilon_1}\right).$$

Lemma 2.3 (John-Nirenberg inequality)**.** *Let f be a function of bounded mean oscillation on $\mathbb{T}$. Then there exist the absolute constants C and c such that for any $\gamma > 0$*

$$meas\,\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |f(x) - < f >| > \gamma\} \leq C\exp\left(-\frac{c\gamma}{\|f\|_{BMO}}\right). \tag{2.16}$$

At last, we consider the distances between u_n^t and u_n, and f_n and f_n^t.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A, B : \mathbb{T} \to SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, and $a_{ij}(x)$ and $b_{ij}(x)$ be the (i,j) elements of $\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} A(x+j\omega)$ and $\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} B(x+j\omega)$ respectively. Assume $\max_{x\in\mathbb{T}}\{\|A(x)\|, \|B(x)\|\} \leq \exp(S)$ and $\max_{x\in\mathbb{T}}\|A(x)-B(x)\| < \kappa$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and irrational ω,*

$$\left|a_{ij}(x) - b_{ij}(x)\right| \leq \left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} A(x+j\omega) - \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} B(x+j\omega)\right\| \leq n\kappa\exp\left((n-1)S\right), \tag{2.17}$$

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\log\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} A(x+j\omega)\right\| - \frac{1}{n}\log\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} B(x+j\omega)\right\|\right| \leq \kappa\exp((n-1)S) \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\log\left|a_{ij}(x)\right| - \frac{1}{n}\log\left|b_{ij}(x)\right|\right| \leq \frac{\kappa\exp((n-1)S)}{\max\left\{\left|a_{ij}(x)\right|, \left|b_{ij}(x)\right|\right\}}, \tag{2.19}$$

provided the right-hand sides of (2.18) and (2.19) are less than $1/2$. Moreover, the quantity $\exp((n-1)S$ in these expressions can be replaced by any new bound of $\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} A(x+j\omega)\right\|$ and $\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} B(x+j\omega)\right\|$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}A(x+j\omega)-\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\| &\le \left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}A(x+j\omega)-\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}A(x+j\omega)B(x+(n-1)\omega)\right\| \\ &\quad +\cdots \\ &\quad +\left\|A(x)A(x+\omega)\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)-A(x)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\| \\ &\quad +\left\|A(x)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)-\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\| \\ &\le n\kappa\exp((n-1)S).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{1}{n}\log\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}A(x+j\omega)\right\|-\frac{1}{n}\log\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\|\right| = \frac{1}{n}\left|\log\frac{\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}A(x+j\omega)\right\|}{\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\|}\right| \\ =\ &\frac{1}{n}\left|\log\left(1+\frac{\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}A(x+j\omega)\right\|-\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\|}{\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\|}\right)\right| \\ \le\ &\frac{1}{n}\left|\frac{\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}A(x+j\omega)\right\|-\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\|}{\left\|\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}B(x+j\omega)\right\|}\right| \\ \le\ &\kappa\exp((n-1)S).\end{aligned}$$

And (2.19) can be obtained similarly. □

Due to Lemma 2.4 and (2.7), it has that

$$\left|\|M_n^t(x,E,\omega)\|-\|M_n(x,E,\omega)\|\right|\le n\|v\|_{s,K}\exp((n-1)S)\exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}n^2\right)$$

Therefore, for any $n>n_0=(\log\lambda)^{\tilde{C}}$, where $\tilde{C}:=\tilde{C}(s,K,\|v\|_{s,K})$,

$$|u_n(x,E,\omega)-u_n^t(x,E,\omega)|\le e^{-n},\forall x\in\mathbb{T}, \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$|L_n(E,\omega)-L_n^t(E,\omega)|<e^{-n}. \tag{2.21}$$

2.3. **Cartan's Estimate.** We recall the definition of Cartan sets from [GS08]. We use the notation $\mathcal{D}(z_0,r)=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z-z_0|<r\}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $H\ge 1$. For an arbitrary set $\mathcal{B}\subset\mathcal{D}(z_0,1)\subset\mathbb{C}$ we say that $\mathcal{B}\in \mathrm{Car}_1(H,J)$ if $\mathcal{B}\subset\bigcup_{j=1}^{j_0}\mathcal{D}(z_j,r_j)$ with $j_0\le J$, and*

$$\sum_j r_j<e^{-H}. \tag{2.22}$$

If $d \geq 1$ is an integer and $\mathcal{B} \subset \prod_{j=1}^{d} \mathcal{D}(z_{j,0}, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, then we define inductively that $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Car}_d(H, J)$ if for any $1 \leq j \leq d$ there exists $\mathcal{B}_j \subset \mathcal{D}(z_{j,0}, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}_j \in \mathrm{Car}_1(H, J)$ so that $\mathcal{B}_z^{(j)} \in \mathrm{Car}_{d-1}(H, J)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}_j$, here $\mathcal{B}_z^{(j)} = \left\{(z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathcal{B} : z_j = z\right\}$.

The definition is motivated by the following generalization of the usual Cartan estimate to several variables. Note that given a set S that has a centre of symmetry, we will let αS, $\alpha > 0$, stand for the set scaled with respect to its centre of symmetry.

Lemma 2.5 ([GS08, Lem. 2.15])**.** *Let $\varphi(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ be an analytic function defined on a polydisk $\mathcal{P} = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \mathcal{D}(z_{j,0}, 1)$, $z_{j,0} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $M \geq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \log |\varphi(z)|$, $m \leq \log |\varphi(z_0)|$, $z_0 = (z_{1,0}, \ldots, z_{d,0})$. Given $H \gg 1$ there exists a set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Car}_d\left(H^{1/d}, J\right)$, $J = C_d H(M - m)$, such that*

$$\log |\varphi(z)| > M - C_d H(M - m) \tag{2.23}$$

for any $z \in \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, when $d = 1$ we can take $J = C(M - m)$ and keep only the disks of $\mathcal{B}$ containing a zero of ϕ in them.

2.4. **semialgebraic set.** A set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called semialgebraic if it is a finite union of sets defined by a finite number of polynomial equalities and inequalities. More precisely, a semialgebraic set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is given by an expression

$$\mathcal{S} = \cup_j \cap_{l \in L_j} \{P_l k_{jl} 0\},$$

where $\{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$ is a collection of polynomials of d variables,

$$L_j \subset \{1, \ldots, k\} \text{ and } k_{jl} \in \{>, <, =\}.$$

If the degrees of the polynomials are bounded by p, then we say that the degree of $\mathcal{S}$ is bounded by kp. See [B05] for more information on semialgebraic sets.

In our context, semialgebraic sets can be introduced by approximating the Gevrey function v with a polynomial $\tilde{v}$. More precisely, given $N \geq 1$, by truncating v's Fourier series and the Taylor series of the trigonometric functions, one can obtain a polynomial $\tilde{v}_n$ of degree less than

$$C(d, \rho)(1 + \log \|v\|_\infty) n^{4s}$$

such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} |v(x) - \tilde{v}_n(x)| \leq \exp(-n^2). \tag{2.24}$$

We also need the following lemma related to this theory.

Corollary 2.1 (Theorem 9.3 in [B05])**.** *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset [0, 1]^d$ be semialgebraic of degree p. Then, the number of connected components of $\mathcal{S}$ does not exceed $k^d\,(O(p))^d$.*

3. Large Deviation Theorems

In this section, we will apply the induction to obtain the following LDTs:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(c, A)$ and $\lambda > \lambda_0(v, c, A, s, K)$. Then,*

$$L(E, \omega) \gtrsim \log \lambda - (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.1}$$

And there exists a small constant $\nu(A)$ such that for any $n > n_0(\lambda, c, A, v, s, K)$ and $\delta \gg n^{-\nu}$

$$meas\left(\{x : |u_n(x, E, \omega) - L_n(E, \omega)| > \delta\}\right) < \exp\left(-c\delta n^{\nu}\right), \tag{3.2}$$

$$meas\left(\left\{x:\left|\frac{1}{n}\log|f_n(x,E,\omega)|-L_n(E,\omega)\right|>\delta\right\}\right)<\exp\left(-c\delta n^{\nu}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, the set on the left-hand side of (3.3) is contained in the union of less than $n^{C_0 s}$ intervals, where $C_0 = C_0(v)$ is a constant.

Remark 3.1. We have obtained the positive Lyapunov exponent in (3.1). The standard methods for the continuity and Anderson Localization can be seen in many references, such as [B05, K05]. The readers will find that the key of these methods is the ldt(3.2) and the other part is trivial.

3.1. **The initial step.** In this part, we first list the following lemma, which is called avalanche principle and will be applied several times in this paper.

Proposition 3.2 (Proposition 2.2 in [GS01])**.** *Let $A_1,\dots,A_n$ be a sequence of 2×2–matrices whose determinants satisfy*

$$\max_{1\le j\le n}|\det A_j|\le 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Suppose that

$$\min_{1\le j\le n}\|A_j\|\ge\mu>n \quad \textit{and} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\max_{1\le j<n}[\log\|A_{j+1}\|+\log\|A_j\|-\log\|A_{j+1}A_j\|]<\frac{1}{2}\log\mu. \tag{3.6}$$

Then

$$\left|\log\|A_n\cdot\ldots\cdot A_1\|+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1}\log\|A_j\|-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\log\|A_{j+1}A_j\|\right|<C\frac{n}{\mu} \tag{3.7}$$

with some absolute constant C.

We shall fix $\omega\in\mathcal{D}_{c,A}$ so that it can be suppressed from the notations. Now, we present the initial step in the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *For $\lambda\ge\lambda_0$ and $n\le\exp\left((\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$, we have*

$$|L_n(E)-\log\lambda|\lesssim(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.8}$$

and there exists a set $\mathcal{B}_n$, $mes(\mathcal{B}_n)<\exp\left(-(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$, such that

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\log\|M_n(x,E)\|-\log\lambda\right|\lesssim(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\left|\log\|M_n(x,E)\|-\log\|f_n(x,E)\|\right|\lesssim(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.10}$$

for any $x\notin\mathcal{B}_n$.

Proof. Klein [K05] proved that for the non-degenerate Gevrey function (1.10), the following Łojasiewicz-type inequality holds: there exists a constant $\alpha:=\alpha(v)$ such for any $\delta>0$ and any γ,

$$mes\{x:|v(x)-\gamma|<\delta\}<\delta^{\alpha}.$$

Choosing $\delta=\exp\left(-(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ and $\gamma=\frac{E}{\lambda}$, we have that

$$mes\left\{x:\log|\lambda v-E|-\log\lambda<-(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\le\exp\left(-\alpha(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

On the other hand, by (2.9), it yields that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$,

$$\log|\lambda v - E| - \log\lambda < (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \forall x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Set $\mathcal{B} = \left\{x : \left|\log|\lambda v - E| - \log\lambda\right| < (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = \cup_{1\le j\le n}(\mathcal{B} - j\omega)$. Then

$$mes(\mathcal{B}_n) \le n\exp\left(-\alpha(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) < \exp\left(-(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right).$$

Now, for $x \notin \mathcal{B}_n$, $1 \le j \le n$,

$$\left|\log|\lambda v(x + j\omega) - E| - \log\lambda\right| \le (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and hence for $l = 1, 2$,

$$\left|\log\|f_l(x + (j-1)\omega, E)\| - l\log\lambda\right|, \left|\log\|M_l(x + (j-1)\omega, E)\| - l\log\lambda\right| \lesssim (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Integrating it and recalling the setting $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and (2.9), we obtained that

$$\left|L_1 - \log\lambda\right|, |L_2 - \log\lambda| \lesssim (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.12}$$

Now, we can apply the avalanche principle, Proposition 3.2, and yield that for any $x \notin \mathcal{B}_n$,

$$\log\|M_n(x, E)\| = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2}\log\|M_2(x + j\omega, E)\| - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\log\|M_1(x + j\omega, E)\| + O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\log|f_n(x, E)| &= \log\left\|M_2(x, E)\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right\| + \sum_{j=1}^{n-3}\log\|M_2(x + j\omega, E)\| + \log\left\|\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}M_2(x + (n-2)\omega, E)\right\| \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\log\|M_1(x + j\omega, E)\| + O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Here we used the fact that

$$f_n(x, E) = \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}M_n(x, E)\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.15}$$

It follows that (3.9) and (3.10) hold by (3.11). Integrating (3.13) yields

$$|nL_n(E) - (n-1)2L_2(E) + (n-2)L_1(E)| \le C\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 4mes(\mathcal{B}_n)S \le \exp\left(-(\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$$

Combining it with (3.12), we obtain (3.8). □

The following corollary shows that we can obtain the new upper bound of M_n, when the LDT holds for the subharmonic function M_n^t:

Corollary 3.1. *Assume the following LDT holds for some $n > n_0$ and any fixed $|y| \le n^{-2s}$*

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\log\|M_n^t(x + iy, E)\| - nL_n^t(y, E)\right| > n\delta\right\} < \exp\left(-c\delta n^{\frac{\gamma}{4}}\right), \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$L_n^t(y, E) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\log\|M_n^t(x + iy, E)\|dx.$$

Then,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}}\log|f_n(x, E)| \le \sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}}\log\|M_n(x, E)\| \le nL_n(E) + n^{1-\frac{\gamma}{10}}. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Lemma 4.1 in [GS08] proved that for any $|y_1|, |y_2| \le \rho_n$ we have

$$\left|L_n^t(y_1, E) - L_n^t(y_2, E)\right| \le \frac{S}{\rho_n}|y_1 - y_2|. \tag{3.18}$$

Combining it with (2.21), we obtain that for any $|y| < n^{-4s}$,

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\log \|M_n^t(x+iy, E)\| - nL_n(E)\right| > n\delta + n^{-2s}\right\} < \exp\left(-c\delta n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand, due to the sub-mean value property for subharmonic functions, we have for any $|y| < n^{-4s}$ and $r > 0$,

$$\log \|M_n^t(x+iy, E)\| \le \frac{1}{\pi r^2}\int_{D(x+iy,r)} \log \|M_n^t(z, E)\| dz. \tag{3.20}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{B}_y \subset \mathbb{T}$ the set in (3.19) and choose $r = n^{-4s} < \rho_n$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{z = x + iy \in [0,1] \times (-r, r) : x \in \mathcal{B}_y\}.$$

Due to (3.19) with choosing $\delta = n^{-\frac{\nu}{8}}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi r^2}\int_{D(x,r)\setminus B} \log \|M_n^t(z, E)\| dz \le nL_n(E) + 4n^{1-\frac{\nu}{8}}. \tag{3.21}$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have that

$$\frac{1}{\pi r^2}\int_{D(x,r)\bigcap \mathcal{B}} \log \|M_n^t(z, E)\| d\xi d\zeta \ll n^{1-\frac{\nu}{8}}. \tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22), we obtain

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}, |y|\le n^{-4s}} \log \|M_n^t(x+iy, E)\| \le nL(E) + 5n^{1-\frac{\nu}{8}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Hence, we prove this lemma by the relationship (2.20). □

3.2. **The inductive step.**

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$. Assume for any $l \in [n_i, 4n_i]$, where $n_i \ge n_0$, it yields that*

$$\left|L_l(E) - \log \lambda\right| \lesssim (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.24}$$

$$meas\left\{x : |u_l(x, E) - L_l(E)| > \frac{1}{100}\log \lambda\right\} < \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{3}}\right), \tag{3.25}$$

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\frac{1}{l}\log \|f_l(x, E)\| - L_l(E)\right| > \frac{1}{100}\log \lambda\right\} \le \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{20}}\right). \tag{3.26}$$

Then for any $n \in \left[n_i^{2B}, n_i^{5B^2}\right]$, where $B = s\nu^{-1}$, we have that

$$\left|L_n(E) - \log \lambda\right| \lesssim (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.27}$$

$$meas\left(\{x : |u_n(x, E) - L_n(E)| > \delta\}\right) < \exp\left(-c\delta n^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\right), \tag{3.28}$$

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\frac{1}{n}\log \|f_n(x, E)\| - L_n(E)\right| > \delta\right\} \le \exp\left(-c\delta n^{\frac{\nu}{15}}\right). \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. We suppress E from the notations for ease in this proof. Let $l = n_i$ and $n \in \left[n_i^{2B}, n_i^{5B^2}\right]$. Then, $n = l + (m-2)\,l + l'$ with $2l \le l' \le 3l$. Set $A_j(x) = M_l(x + (j-1)\,l\omega)$, $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, and $A_m(x) = M_{l'}$. Then, due to (3.25), there exists a set $\mathcal{G}_i$ satisfying

$$meas(\mathbb{T}\backslash\mathcal{G}_i) \le 4(m+2)\cdot \exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{3}}\right) \le \exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)$$

such that for any $x \in \mathcal{G}_i$,

$$\|A_j(x)\| > \exp\left(\frac{9}{10} l \log\lambda\right),\ \|A_m(x)\| > \exp\left(\frac{9}{10} l' \log\lambda\right),$$

$$\left|\log\|A_j(x)\| + \log\|A_{j+1}(x)\| - \log\|A_{j+1}(x)A_j(x)\|\right| \le \frac{3}{25} l\log\lambda,$$

and

$$\left|\log\|A_{m-1}(x)\| + \log\|A_m(x)\| - \log\|A_m(x)A_{m-1}\|\right| \le \frac{8}{25} l\log\lambda.$$

Now the hypothesis of Avalanche Principle are satisfied and hence

$$\log\|M_n(x)\| + \sum_{j=2}^{m-1}\log\left\|A_j(x)\right\| - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\log\left\|A_{j+1}(x)\,A_j(x)\right\| = O\left(\frac{1}{l}\right) \tag{3.30}$$

up to a set of measure less than $\exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)$. If we set

$$u_0(x) = \log\|A_m(x)\,A_{m-1}(x)\| + \log\|A_2(x)\,A_1(x)\|,$$

then the previous relation can be rewritten as

$$\log\|M_n(x)\| + \sum_{j=2}^{m-1}\log\|M_l(x + (j-1)\,l\omega)\| - \sum_{j=2}^{m-2}\log\|M_{2l}(x + (j-1)\,l\omega)\| - u_0(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{l}\right).$$

Similarly, for any $0 \le k < l-1$,

$$\log\|M_n(x)\| + \sum_{j=2}^{m-1}\log\|M_l(x + k\omega + (j-1)\,l\omega)\| - \sum_{j=2}^{m-2}\log\|M_{2l}(x + k\omega + (j-1)\,l\omega)\| - u_k(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{l}\right),$$

where

$$u_k(x) = \log\|M_{l'-k}(x + k\omega + (m-1)l\omega)\cdot A_{m-1}(x + k\omega)\| + \log\|A_2(x + k\omega)\cdot M_{l+k}(x)\|,$$

which means that we decrease the length of A_m by k and increase the length of A_1 by k. Adding these equations and dividing by l yield

$$\log\|M_n(x)\| + \sum_{j=l}^{(m-1)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\|M_l(x + j\omega)\| - \sum_{j=l}^{(m-2)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\|M_{2l}(x + j\omega)\| - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\frac{1}{l}u_k(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)$$

up to a set of measure less than $\exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{5}}\right)$. What we have done is to obtain the Dirichlet sums, $\sum_{j=l}^{(m-1)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\|M_l(x + j\omega)\|$ and $\sum_{j=l}^{(m-2)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\|M_{2l}(x + j\omega)\|$. However, Proposition 2.1 can not be applied to them, since them are not

subharmonic. So, we need to change them by the subharmonic truncation functions, $\sum_{j=l}^{(m-1)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_l^t(x+j\omega)\right\|$ and $\sum_{j=l}^{(m-2)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_{2l}^t(x+j\omega)\right\|$. Due to (2.20),

$$\left|\sum_{j=l}^{(m-1)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\|M_l(x+j\omega)\|-\sum_{j=l}^{(m-1)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_l^t(x+j\omega)\right\|\right|<n\exp(-l)\ll\frac{1}{l},$$

$$\left|\sum_{j=l}^{(m-2)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\|M_{2l}(x+j\omega)\|-\sum_{j=l}^{(m-2)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_{2l}^t(x+j\omega)\right\|\right|<n\exp(-l)\ll\frac{1}{l},$$

Obviously, the similar relationships between u_k and u_k^t also hold. Hence,

$$\log\|M_n(x)\|+\sum_{j=l}^{(m-1)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_l^t(x+j\omega)\right\|-\sum_{j=l}^{(m-2)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_{2l}^t(x+j\omega)\right\|-\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\frac{1}{l}u_k^t(x)=O\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)$$

up to this set. Recall that $\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_l^t(x)\right\|$ is a subharmonic function on $\mathbb{T}_l$ with the maximum S and $ml\sim n$. So, Proposition 2.1 can be applied with the smallest deviation $\frac{3CS}{\rho}l^{2(s-1)}n^{-\nu}$ and we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=l}^{(m-1)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_l^t(x+j\omega)\right\|-\sum_{j=l}^{(m-2)l-1}\frac{1}{l}\log\left\|M_{2l}^t(x+j\omega)\right\|=(m-2)\,lL_l^t-(m-3)\,lL_{2l}^t+O\left(l^{2s}n^{1-\nu}\right)$$

up to a set of measure less than $2\exp\left(-cn^{1-\nu}\right)$. Note that u_k^t, $k=0,\ldots,l-1$ also have the subharmonic extensions and Proposition 2.1 can be applied for these functions with $n=1$ and $\delta=l^{2s}n^{1-\nu}\gg 1$. So,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\frac{1}{l}u_k^t(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\frac{1}{l}\left\langle u_k^t\right\rangle=O\left(l^{2s}n^{1-\nu}\right)$$

up to a set of measure less than $l\exp\left(-cn^{1-\nu}\right)$. Thus, combining these equations, we have that

$$\log\|M_n(x)\|+(m-2)\,lL_l^t-(m-3)\,lL_{2l}^t-\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\frac{1}{l}\left\langle u_k^t\right\rangle=O\left(l^{2s}n^{1-\nu}\right)\tag{3.31}$$

up to a set of measure less than $\exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{5}}\right)+2\exp\left(-cn^{1-\nu}\right)+l\exp\left(-cn^{1-\nu}\right)$. Note that for $n>n_0$,

$$\exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{5}}\right)+2\exp\left(-cn^{1-\nu}\right)+l\exp\left(-cn^{1-\nu}\right)\ll n^{-10s}\text{ and }l^{2s}n^{1-\nu}\le n^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}\tag{3.32}$$

Integrating (3.31) , yields

$$nL_n+(m-2)\,lL_l^t-(m-3)\,lL_{2l}^t-\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\frac{1}{l}\left\langle u_k^t\right\rangle=O\left(n^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}\right).\tag{3.33}$$

Hence, we obtain (3.27) by (3.33) and (3.24).

Combining (3.31), (3.33) and (2.20), we have

$$\left|\log\left\|M_n^t(x)\right\|-nL_n^t\right|=O\left(n^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}\right)\tag{3.34}$$

up to a set of measure less than n^{-10s}. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be this exceptional set and define

$$\log \left\|M_n^t(x)\right\| - nL_n^t = u_0 + u_1$$

where $u_0 = 0$ on $\mathcal{B}$ and $u_1 = 0$ on $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{B}$. Obviously, $\|u_0 - \langle u_0\rangle\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} = O\left(n^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}\right)$

$$\|u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \le n^{-3s}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and choosing $S = n^{2s}$ to make ρ be uniform, we obtain that

$$\left\|\log \left\|M_n^t(x)\right\|\right\|_{BMO(\mathbb{T})} = O(n^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}).$$

Thus, Lemma 2.3 implies us that for any $n > n_0$ and any $\delta > 0$,

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\log \left\|M_n^t(x)\right\| - nL_n^t\right| > n\delta\right\} \le C\exp\left(-c\delta n^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\right). \tag{3.35}$$

Hence, we get (3.28) by (2.20).

Like (3.14), due to (3.26) and (3.25), we can also apply the avalanche principle to expand $|f_n(x,E)|$. Combining this with (3.30), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\log|f_n(x)| &= \log\|M_n(x)\| + \log\left\|M_{2\ell}(x)\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right\| - \log\|M_{2\ell}(x)\| \\ &+ \log\left\|\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}M_{l+l'}(x+(m-2)l\omega)\right\| - \log\|M_{l+l'}(x+(m-2)l\omega)\| + O(1/l) \\ &\ge \log\|M_n(x)\| - l'\log\lambda \ge nL_n - n^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

up to a set of measure less than $\exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{40}}\right)$. In particular, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ there exists $x_1 \in \mathbb{T}$, $|x_1 - x_0| \le \exp\left(-l^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\right) \ll \rho_n$ such that $\log|f_n^t(x_1)| \ge nL_n - n^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}$. On the other hand, for the subharmonic function $\log\|M_n^t\|$, its ldt (3.35) can be extended to on the strip $\mathbb{T}_n := \{z : |\text{Im}z| \le \rho_n\}$. So, Corollary 3.1 can be applied and (3.23) holds. Due to (3.15), we have

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T},|y|\le n^{-4s}} \log|f_n^t(x+iy)| \le nL_n + n^{1-\frac{\nu}{10}}. \tag{3.37}$$

Applying Cartans estimate for f_n^t and using a covering argument, we obtain that for any $H \gg 1$,

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \left|\log\left\|f_n^t(x)\right\| - nL_n\right| > CHn^{1-\frac{\nu}{10}}\right\} \le C\exp(-H). \tag{3.38}$$

Combining it with (2.20) and (2.10), we obtain (3.29) and finish this proof. □

3.3. **The proof of Proposition 3.1.**

The proof of Proposition 3.1. (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) make the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold for $n_0 = (\log\lambda)^C$ with $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and small constant ν. Hence, (3.27), (3.28) and (3.29) hold for any $n \in \left[n_0^{2B}, n_0^{5B^2}\right]$. From now on, we choose $n_{i+1} = \left[n_i^{2B}\right] + 1$ for any $i \ge 1$. Then, due to Lemma 3.2 and the induction, we obtain that (3.27), (3.28) and (3.29) hold for any $n \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty}\left[n_i^{2B}, n_i^{5B^2}\right]$. It is obvious that $n_i^{5B^2} > n_{i+1}^{2B}$. Thus, (3.27), (3.27), (3.28) and (3.29) hold for any $n > n_1$. Redefining ν and n_0, we obtain (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3).

At last, we apply the semialgebraic set theory to obtain the number of the intervals. Due to the semi-algebraic sets theory(Subsection 2.4), there exist $\nu's$ truncation polynomial $\tilde{v}_n$ of degree less than Cn^{4s}, satisfying

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}} |\tilde{v}_n - v| \le \exp\left(-n^2\right).$$

Define the set

$$\tilde{Q}^t(x) = \left\{ x : \left| \frac{1}{n} \log |\tilde{f}_n^t(x,E)| - L_n(E) \right| > \frac{3}{2}\delta \right\},$$

where $\tilde{f}_n^t(x,E) = \det\left(\tilde{H}_n^t(x) - E\right)$ and $\tilde{H}_n^t(x)$ is the corresponding operators with the potential $\lambda\tilde{v}_n$. Obviously, $\tilde{f}_n^t(x,E)$ is a polynomial of degree less than Cn^{5s}. Let

$$Q_1(x) = \left\{ x : \left| \frac{1}{n} \log |f_n(x,E)| - L_n(E) \right| > n\delta \right\},$$

and

$$Q_2(x) = \left\{ x : \left| \frac{1}{n} \log |f_n(x,E)| - L_n(E) \right| > 2n\delta \right\}.$$

it yields that

$$Q_2 \subset Q^t \subset Q_1.$$

Therefore, we finish this proof by applying Corollary 2.1 with $d = 1$. □

Remark 3.2. It is obvious that by the proofs of Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.1, we obtain a better upper bound for $n > n_0$:

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}} \log |f_n(x,E)|,\ \sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}} \log \|M_n(x,E)\|,\ \sup_{x\in\mathbb{T},|y|\le n^{-4s}} \log |f_n^t(x+iy,E)| \le nL_n(E) + Cn^{1-\nu}. \tag{3.39}$$

Actually, it also holds for $1 \le n \le n_0$. Recall that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$, $1 \le n \le n_0$,

$$|L_n(E) - \log\lambda| \lesssim (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}},\quad \sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{n} \log \|M_n(x,E)\| \le \log\lambda + (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, by choosing $C \sim \log\lambda$ and recalling $n_0 = (\log\lambda)^{\tilde{C}}$, we obtain that (3.39) holds for any $n \ge 1$.

4. Green Function, Wegner's estimate and spectrum criterion

In this section, we are mainly to introduce some common tools in the spectral theory, such as Green function, Wenger's estimate and spectrum criterion, and apply the obtained LDTs to them to get the desired lemmas. From now on, unless specified otherwise, we always assume $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(c,A)$, $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and $n > n_0$.

4.1. **Green Function.**

In this subsection, we present the key tools to link eigenfunctions of the finite volume operators to (generalized) eigenfunctions of a large volume or in infinite volume. They are the *Poisson formula* in terms of Green's function and a bound on the off-diagonal terms of Green's function in terms of the deviations estimate for the determinant $f_n(x,E)$. It says that for any solution of the difference equation $H(x)\phi = E\phi$, we have

$$\phi(m) = (H_{[a,b]}(x) - E)^{-1}(m,a)\phi(a-1) + (H_{[a,b]}(x) - E)^{-1}(m,b)\phi(b+1),\quad m \in [a,b]. \tag{4.1}$$

Easy computations show that (4.1) also holds if ϕ satisfies $H_{[c,d]}(x)\phi = E\phi$ and $[a,b] \subseteq [c,d]$. We denote this Green's function by $\mathcal{G}_{[a,b]}(x,E) := (H_{[a,b]}(x) - E)^{-1}$ or $\mathcal{G}_n(x,E) := (H_n(x) - E)^{-1}$. Due to Cramer's rule,

$$\mathcal{G}_n(x,E)(k,m) = \frac{f_{k-1}(x,E) f_{n-(m+1)}(z + (m+1)\omega, E)}{f_n(x,E)}. \tag{4.2}$$

This method was introduced into the theory of localized eigenfunctions in the fundamental work on the Anderson model by Fröhlich and Spencer. Now it will help us address the relationship between the distance of an energy to the spectrum and the deviation of $f_n(x,E)$ to the Lyapunov exponent.

Lemma 4.1. *If* $\log\left|f_n(x,E)\right| > nL_n(E) - J$, *then*

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{[1,n]}(x,E)(j,k)\right| \le \exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|k-j| + J + Cn^{1-\nu}\right),$$

$$\operatorname{dist}(E, \operatorname{spec} H_n(x)) \ge \exp\left(-J - Cn^{1-\nu}\right).$$

Proof. Due to (4.2), (3.39) and the setting $\lambda > \lambda_0$ which makes $L_j(E) \le \log\lambda + (\log\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any j, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}_n(x,E)(k,m)| &= \frac{|f_{k-1}(x,E)|\cdot|f_{n-(m+1)}(x+(m+1)\omega,E)|}{|f_n(x,E)|} \\ &\le \exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|k-m| + J + Cn^{1-\nu}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{G}_n(x,E)\| \le \exp\left(J + Cn^{1-\nu}\right),$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{dist}(E, \operatorname{spec} H_n(x)) = \|\mathcal{G}_n(x,E)\|^{-1} \ge \exp\left(-J - Cn^{1-\nu}\right).$$

□

The above lemma shows that if $f_n(x,E)$ is closed to $L_n(E)$, then the Green function $\mathcal{G}_n(x,E)$ decays well, and the distance between E and the spectrum $\operatorname{spec} H_n(x)$ has an lower bound. Naturally, we also want to know what will happen when $f_n(x,E)$ is far away from $L_n(E)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If* $\log|f_n(x,E)| \le nL_n(E) - Jn^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}$, *then there exists a constant* $C(\nu)$ *such that*

$$\operatorname{dist}(E, \operatorname{spec} H_n(x)) < C\exp\left(-\left(J + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)\right).$$

Proof. Due to (3.3),

$$\operatorname{mes}\left\{x\in\mathbb{T} : \left|\log|f_n(x,E)| - L_n(E)\right| > n^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}\right\} \le \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

Thus, there exists x' satisfying $|x'-x| < \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)$ such that

$$\log|f_n(x',E)| > nL_n(E) - n^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Combining it with (2.19) and (2.7), we have that

$$\log|f_n^t(x',E)| > nL_n(E) - 2n^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}. \tag{4.4}$$

Define

$$\Psi(z) = f_n^t\left(x + \frac{10z}{\exp\left(n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)|x'-x|}(x'-x), E\right),$$

which is a complex analytic function on $\mathcal{D}(0,1)$ by noting that $\exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right) \ll n^{-4s}$. Let z' be such that $\Psi(z') = f_n^t(x',E)$. Obviously, $|z'| \le \frac{1}{10}$. Due to (3.39), we have that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T},|y|<\exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)} \log\|f_n^t(x+iy,E)\| \le nL_n(E) + Cn^{1-\nu},$$

which means that $\sup_{z\in\mathcal{D}(0,1)} \log|\Psi(z)| < nL_n(E_0) + Cn^{1-\nu}$. Due to the Cartan's estimate (2.23), we have that there exists a set $\mathcal{B}\subset\mathcal{D}(0,1)$, $\mathcal{B}\in \mathrm{Car}_1(H,J)$, $J = CHn^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}$, such that

$$\log|\Psi(z)| > nL_n(E) - CHn^{1-\frac{3\nu}{4}}$$

for any $z\in\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{D}(0,1)\setminus\mathcal{B}$. It follows that $0\in D(z_j,r_j)\subset\mathcal{B}$ with $r_j<\exp(-H)$ for some j, and there exists $z'\in D(z_j,r_j)$ such that $\Psi(z')=0$. Let $z''=x+\frac{10z'}{\exp\left(n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)|x'-x|}(x'-x)$. Then, $E\in\operatorname{spec}H_n^t(z'')$ and $|z''-x|\le\exp\left(-\left(J+n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)\right)$. Since H_n^t is Hermitian,

$$\left\|H_n^t(z)-H_n^t(x)\right\|\le C|z-x|,$$

and that if

$$\left\|\left(H_n^t(x)-E\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|H_n^t(z)-H_n^t(x)\right\|<1,$$

then $H_n^t(z)-E$ would be invertible. Hence, we have

$$\operatorname{dist}\left(E,\operatorname{spec}H_n^t(x)\right)<C\exp\left(-\left(J+n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)\right).$$

At last, due to the fact that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}}\left\|H_n^t(x)-H_n(x)\right\|\le\exp(-n),$$

we finish this proof. □

Its inverse negative proposition will play an important role in our later proof:

Corollary 4.1. *If* $\operatorname{dist}(E,\operatorname{spec}(H_n(x))>C\exp\left(-\left(J+\frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)\right)$, *then*

$$\log|f_n(x,E)|>nL_n(E)-Jn^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}.$$

4.2. **Wegner's estimate.** The following elementary observation links the spectra in finite volume to the decay of the Green function.

Lemma 4.3 (Lemma 2.6 in [GDSV18])**.** *If for any* $m\in[a,b]$, *there exists* $\Lambda_m=[a_m,b_m]\subsetneq[a,b]$ *containing* m *such that*

$$(1-\langle\delta_a,\delta_{a_m}\rangle)\left|\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda_m}(x,E)(a_m,m)\right|+(1-\langle\delta_b,\delta_{b_m}\rangle)\left|\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda_m}(x,E)(b_m,m)\right|<1, \tag{4.5}$$

then $E\notin\operatorname{spec}H_{[a,b]}(x)$.

We refer to the next result as the *covering form* of LDT.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose for each point* $m\in[1,n]$ *there exists an interval* $I_m\subset[1,n]$ *such that:*

(1) $\operatorname{dist}(m,[1,n]\setminus I_m)\ge|I_m|/100$,
(2) $|I_m|\ge n_0$,
(3) $\log|f_{I_m}(x,E_0)|>|I_m|L_{|I_m|}(E_0)-J_m$, *where* $J_m\le|I_m|/1000$.

Then

$$\operatorname{dist}(E_0,\operatorname{spec}H_n(x))\ge\exp\left(-\max_m\left\{J_m+C|I_m|^{1-\nu}\right\}\right).$$

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{E}(E_0):=\left\{E:|E-E_0|<\exp\left(-\max_m\left\{J_m+C|I_m|^{1-\nu}\right\}\right)\right\}.$$

We will apply Lemma 4.3 to obtain that $E\notin\operatorname{spec}H_n(x)$ for any $E\in\mathcal{E}(E_0)$. By the continuity of $L_n(E)$,

$$\left|L_{|I_m|}(E)-L_{|I_m|}(E_0)\right|\ll1,\forall E\in\mathcal{E}(E_0).$$

Combining it with (2.19), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\log|f_{|I_m|}(x,E)| &\geq \log|f_{|I_m|}(x,E_0)| - |E-E_0|\frac{\exp\left(|I_m|L_{|I_m|}(E_0)+C|I_m|^{1-\nu}\right)}{|f_{|I_m|}(x,E_0)|}\\ &\geq nL_{|I_m|}(E_0) - J_m - 1 \geq nL_{|I_m|}(E) - J_m - 2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.1, it yields that

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{I_m}(x,E)(k,j)\right| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|k-j| + J_m + C|I_m|^{1-\nu}\right).$$

This and assumptions (1) and (2) guarantee that the assumptions of Lemma 4.3 are satisfied, and then we finish this proof. □

Now, combining it with the LDTs, Proposition 3.1, we obtain the desired Wegner's estimate.

Proposition 4.1. *For any* $(\log n)^{\frac{4}{\nu}} \leq k \leq n$,

$$\text{mes}\left\{x\in\mathbb{T} \,:\, \text{dist}(\text{spec}\,(H_n(x)),E) < \exp\left(-k^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}\right)\right\} \leq \exp\left(-k^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, the set on the left-hand side is contained in the union of less than $k^{Cs}n$ *intervals.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, k is an even number. Then, choose I_m in Lemma 4.4 as

$$I_m = \begin{cases} [m, k+m], & m\in[1,k/2],\\ [m-k/2, m+k/2], & m\in[k/2+1, n-k/2-1],\\ [m-k, m], & m\in[n-k/2, n]\end{cases}$$

and $J_m = k^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}$. Due to (3.3), we have there exists a set $\mathcal{B}_{n,E_0}$ satisfying that

$$\text{mes}\,(\mathcal{B}_{n,E}) < n\exp\left(-ck^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\right)$$

such that for any $x\notin\mathcal{B}_{n,E}$, all assumptions of Lemma 4.4 are satisfied. The number of the intervals comes from Proposition 3.1 directly. □

An important consequence of Wegners estimate is that the graphs of the eigenvalues cannot be too flat.

Proposition 4.2. *If* $\mathcal{S}\in\mathbb{T}$ *is connected and* $\text{mes}\,(\mathcal{S}) \geq \exp\left(-k^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)$ *for some* k *satisfying that* $(\log n)^{\frac{4}{\nu}} \leq k \leq n$, *then*

$$\text{mes}\left(E_n^j(\mathcal{S})\right) \geq \exp\left(-k^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)$$

for any $j\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$.

Proof. By the continuity of the functions $E_j^{(n)}(x)$, $E_j^{[-n,n]}(\mathcal{S})$ is an interval. Let E_0 be the center of this interval. Then if $\text{mes}\left(E_n^j(\mathcal{S})\right) < \exp\left(-k^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)$, which means that for any $x\in\mathcal{S}$,

$$\left|E_j^n(x) - E_0\right| < \exp\left(-k^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right),$$

then it contradict with (4.6). □

4.3. **spectrum criterion.** Lemma 4.3 can be also used to establish our criterion for an energy to be in the spectrum. For this we will use the following well-known fact.

Lemma 4.5 (Lemma 2.7 in [GDSV18])**.** *If there exist $\delta > 0$ and sequences $a_k \to -\infty$, $b_k \to \infty$ such that*

$$\operatorname{dist}(E, \operatorname{spec} H_{[a_k,b_k]}(x)) \geq \delta,$$

then

$$\operatorname{dist}(E, \operatorname{spec} H(x)) \geq \delta.$$

Now we can formulate the following called **spectrum criterion** lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *If for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists $r(x) \in [-n/2, n/2]$ such that*

$$\operatorname{dist}(E_0, \operatorname{spec} H_{r(x)+[-n,n]}(x)) \geq \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right),$$

then

$$\operatorname{dist}(E_0, \mathcal{S}_\omega) \geq \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and let $\bar{n} \geq n$ be arbitrary. Let

$$p = -\bar{n} - n + r(x - \bar{n}\omega),\ q = \bar{n} + n + r(x + \bar{n}\omega).$$

We will use Lemma 4.3 to show that $\tilde{E} \notin \operatorname{spec} H_{[p,q]}(x)$ for any $|\tilde{E} - E| \leq \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right)$. By the hypothesis and noting that

$$H_{r(x-\bar{n}\omega)+[-n,n]}(x - \bar{n}\omega) = H_{-\bar{n}+r(x-\bar{n}\omega)+[-n,n]}(x) = H_{[p,p+2n]}(x),$$

we have

$$\operatorname{dist}\left(E, \operatorname{spec} H_{[p,p+2n]}(x)\right) \geq \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{dist}\left(\tilde{E}, \operatorname{spec} H_{[p,p+2n]}(x)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

Due to Corollary 4.1 and Lemma 4.1, it yields that

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{[p,p+2n]}(x, \tilde{E})(j, k)\right| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|j - k| + Cn^{1-\nu} + n^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

It implies

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{[p,p+2n]}(x, \tilde{E})(p + 2n, m)\right| < 1$$

for any $m \in [p, p + n + [n/2]]$. Analogously, we can also have

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{[q-2n,q]}(x, \tilde{E})(q - 2n, m)\right| < 1$$

for any $m \in [q - n - [n/2], q]$. For $m \in [p + n + [n/2], q - n - [n/2]]$, let

$$a_m = m - n + r(x + m\omega) \geq p + \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + r(x + m\omega) \geq p,\ b_m = m + n + r(x + m\omega) \leq q - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + r(x + m\omega) \leq q.$$

By the fact that $|m - a_m|, |m - b_m| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ and $b_m - a_m = 2n$, we get

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{[a_m,b_m]}(x, \tilde{E})(a_m, m)\right| + \left|\mathcal{G}_{[a_m,b_m]}(x, \tilde{E})(b_m, m)\right| < 1.$$

Lemma 4.3 are applied to get that $\tilde{E} \notin \operatorname{spec} H_{[p,q]}(x, \omega)$. Since $\bar{n}$ was arbitrary, it follows that we can choose sequences $a_k \to -\infty$ and $b_k \to \infty$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(E, \operatorname{spec} H_{[a_k,b_k]}(x, \omega)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

Then, the conclusion follows from Lemma 4.5. □

5. The Stability and Homogeneity of the Spectrum

5.1. **The Stability of of the Spectrum.** In this subsection, we will mainly consider how much of the finite scale eigenvalue $E_j^{[-n,n]}$ survives when we pass n to the infinite.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $E \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$. There exist $j_0 \in [-n,n]$ and a segment I, $|I| \geq c\exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}}\right)$, centered at a point x_0, such that*

$$\left|E_j^{[-n,n]}(x_0) - E\right| \leq \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right), \tag{5.1}$$

and for any $x \in I$ there exists ξ, $\|\xi\| = 1$, with support in $[-n+1, n-1]$, such that

$$\left\|\left(H(x) - E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)\xi\right\| < \exp\left(-cn^\nu\right).$$

What's more,

$$\text{mes } E_j^{[-n,n]}(I) > \exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right).$$

Proof. Due to the assumption that $E \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$ and Lemma 4.6, there exists $x' \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\max_{|i|\leq n/2} \text{dist}\left(E, \text{spec } H_{i+[-n,n]}(x')\right) < \exp\left(-n^{\frac{n}{4}}\right). \tag{5.2}$$

On the other hand, we apply Proposition 4.1 to obtain that

$$\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \text{dist}\left(E, \text{spec } H_l(x)\right) < \exp\left(-k^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}\right)\right\} \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{m_0} I_m,$$

where $l = n^\nu$, $k = (\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}}$, $m_0 \leq k^{Cs}l \leq l^2 \ll n$ and I_m are intervals such that $|I_m| \leq \exp\left(-k^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right) = \exp\left(-(\log n)^{2A}\right)$. Due to the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{c,\alpha}$,

$$\left\|l^2\omega\right\| > \frac{c}{l^{2A}} \gg \exp\left(-(\log n)^{2A}\right).$$

Thus, each I_k contains at most one point of the form $x' + (m-n)\omega$ with $0 \leq m < l^2$, and the same conclusion holds for $x' + (m+n-l+1)\omega$. So, we have that there exists $|m'| \leq l^2 \ll \frac{n}{2}$ such that $x' + (m'-n)\omega$ and $x' + (m'+n-l+1)\omega$ are not in any of the I_m. It yields that

$$\text{dist}(E, \text{spec } H_l(x' + (m'-n)\omega)), \text{dist}\left(E, \text{spec } H_l(x' + (m'+n-l+1)\omega)\right) \geq \exp\left(-k^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}\right) \geq \exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}}\right). \tag{5.3}$$

Choosing $i = m'$ in (5.2), we have that

$$\text{dist}\left(E, \text{spec } H_{m'+[-n,n]}(x')\right) \leq \exp\left(-n^{\frac{n}{4}}\right).$$

which implies that there exists j_0 such that

$$\left|E - E_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(x_0)\right| \leq \exp\left(-n^{\frac{n}{4}}\right),$$

where $x_0 = x' + m'\omega$. Combining it with (5.3), it follows that

$$\text{dist}\left(E_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(x_0), \text{spec } H_l(x_0 - n\omega)\right), \ \text{dist}\left(E_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(x_0), \text{spec } H_l(x_0 + (n-l+1)\omega)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}}\right). \tag{5.4}$$

By the fact that

$$\left|E_j^{(n)}(x_1) - E_j^{(n)}(x_2)\right| \leq \|H_n(x_1) - H_n(x_2)\| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|, \tag{5.5}$$

(5.4) also holds for any $|x - x_0| \le c\exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}}\right)$. By the fact that $(\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}} \ll l^{\frac{\nu}{4}}$, Corollary 4.1 implies that

$$\log|f_l(x,E)| > lL_l(E) - l^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, it obtains

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_l\left(x - n\omega, E_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)(i,j)\right| \le \exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|i-j| + 2Cl^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_l\left(x + (n-l+1)\omega, E_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)(i,j)\right| \le \exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|i-j| + 2Cl^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

Due to the Poisson formula (4.1), we obtain that

$$\left|\phi_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(x;m)\right| \le \exp(-cl) = \exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right),\ |m| \ge n - \frac{l}{2}. \tag{5.6}$$

Let $\bar{I}$ denote the set of $x \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying (5.6). Obviously,

$$I := \left\{x : |x - x_0| \le c\exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}}\right)\right\} \subset \bar{I}.$$

Let ξ be the normalized projection of $\phi_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(x)$ onto the subspace corresponding to the interval $[-n+1, n-1]$. Due to the fact that $|\phi_j^{[-n,n]}(x;\pm n)| < \exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right)$, we have that

$$|\xi_m| \le 2\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right),\ |m| > n - \frac{l}{2}.$$

So,

$$\left\|\left(H(x) - E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)\xi\right\| = \|\xi_{-n+1}\| + \|\xi_{n-1}\| < 4\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right).$$

At last, applying Proposition 4.2, we obtain that

$$\text{mes } E_j^{[-n,n]}(I) \ge \exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right).$$

□

Next we address the stability of the spectral segments produced via the previous lemma by induction on scales. The inductive step that will be stated in Lemma 5.3 is essentially similar to Lemma 12.22 of [B05] and Lemma 3.3 of [GDSV18]. Compared to them, we will use the following elementary lemma from basic perturbation theory to shorten ours:

Lemma 5.2 (Lemma 2.40 in [GSV16])**.** *Let A be a $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix. Let $E, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and suppose there exists $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\|\phi\| = 1$, such that*

$$\|(A - E)\phi\| < \varepsilon. \tag{5.7}$$

Then, there exists a normalized eigenvector ψ of A with an eigenvalue E_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &\in (E - \varepsilon\sqrt{2}, E + \varepsilon\sqrt{2}), \\ |\langle\phi,\psi\rangle| &\ge (2N)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that $E_j^{[-n,n]}(I,\omega)\subset(E',E'')$ and for each $x\in I$, there exists ξ, $\|\xi\|=1$, with support in $[-n+1,n-1]$, such that*

$$\left\|\left(H(x)-E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)\xi\right\|<\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right). \tag{5.9}$$

Then, there exists n_1 satisfying $n_1\sim n^{100}$ such that we can partition I into intervals I_m, $m\le n_1^{C_0 s}$, where $C_0=C_0(v)$, and for each I_m, there exists $j_1\in[-n_1,n_1]$ such that

$$\left|E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)-E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\right|\le 2\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right),\ x\in I_m,$$

and for each $x\in I_m$, there exists ξ_1, $\|\xi_1\|=1$, with support in $[-n_1+1,n_1-1]$, satisfying

$$\left\|\left(H(x)-E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\right)\xi_1\right\|<\exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}n_1^{\nu}\right).$$

Proof. Fix $x\in I$ and let ξ be as in (5.9). Due to the fact that

$$H_{[-n,n]}(x)\xi=H_{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\xi,$$

we have

$$\left\|\left(H_{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)-E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)\xi\right\|<\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right).$$

By Lemma 5.2, it yields that there exists $j_1(x)\in[-n_1,n_1]$ such that

$$\left|E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)-E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\right|<\sqrt{2}\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right), \tag{5.10}$$

$$\left|\langle\xi,\phi_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\rangle\right|\ge 1/\sqrt{2n_1}. \tag{5.11}$$

It is the same to the proof of Lemma 5.1 that, there exists $0<k_1'<l_1^2<\frac{n_1}{2}$ such that $x'+(k_1'-n_1)\omega$ and $x'+(-k_1+n_1-l_1+1)\omega$ are not in any of the I_k, where $|I_k|\le\exp\left(-(\log n_1)^{2A}\right)$ and comes from

$$\left\{x\in\mathbb{T}:\operatorname{dist}\left(E,\operatorname{spec}H_{l_1}(x)\right)<\exp\left(-k_1^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}\right)\right\}\subset\bigcup_{k=1}^{m_1}I_k.$$

Similarly,

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{[k_1'-n_1,k_1'-n_1+l_1]}(x,E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]})(i,j)\right|\le\exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|i-j|+2Cl_1^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{[-k_1'+n_1-l,-k_1'+n_1]}(x,E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]})(i,j)\right|\le\exp\left(-\frac{\log\lambda}{2}|i-j|+2Cl_1^{1-\frac{\nu}{4}}\right).$$

and

$$\left|\phi_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x;j)\right|\le\exp\left(-cn_1^{\nu}\right),\ n_1-k_1'-\frac{3l_1}{4}\le|j|\le n_1-k_1'-\frac{l_1}{4}. \tag{5.12}$$

Let $k_1''=n_1-k_1'-\frac{l_1}{4}$ and η be the normalized projection of $\phi_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)$ onto the subspace corresponding to the interval $[-k_1'',k_1'']$. Due to the fact that $k_1''\gg n$ and (5.11), it implies that

$$\sum_{m=-k}^{k}\left|\phi_{j_1}(x,m)\right|^2\ge\sum_{m=-n}^{n}\left|\phi_{j_1}(x,m)\right|^2\ge\frac{1}{n_1}.$$

Therefore, for any $k_1''-\frac{l_1}{2}\le|m|\le k_1''$,

$$|\xi_1(m)|\le\sqrt{n_1}\exp\left(-cn_1^{\nu}\right)\le\exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}n_1^{\nu}\right), \tag{5.13}$$

$$\left\|\left(H(x)-E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\right)\xi_1\right\|\leq \exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}n_1^{\nu}\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Now we can declare that we have finished this proof. The reason is that the last thing for our proof is to estimate the number of components of the set of phases x that satisfy (5.10) and (5.14). The main idea is to use the semialgebraic set theory to approximate the potential λv by a trigonometric polynomials with the fixed ω, just as what we have done to obtain the number of the intervals in the proof of Proposition 3.1. By recalling the definitions of $\tilde{v}_n$ and $\tilde{H}^t(x)$, we have that

$$\left\|H(x)-\tilde{H}^t(x)\right\|\leq \lambda \sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}}|\tilde{v}_n-v|\leq \exp(-n).$$

Then, the method in the proofs of Lemma 4.3 in [GDSV18] and Lemma 12.22 in [B05] can been applied here directly. □

Now we complete this induction and obtain the stability of the spectral segments.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $I\subset[0,1]$ be an interval and let $j\in[-n,n]$. Assume that $E_j^{[-n,n]}(I,\omega)\subset(E',E'')$ and that for each $x\in I$, there exists ξ, $\|\xi\|=1$, with support in $[-n+1,n-1]$, such that*

$$\left\|\left(H(x)-E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)\xi\right\|<\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right). \tag{5.15}$$

Then

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(E_j^{[-n,n]}(I)\setminus\mathcal{S}_\omega\right)<\exp\left(-\frac{c}{4}n^{\nu}\right).$$

Proof. Let $n_1=n^{100}$. Using Lemma 5.3, we partition I into intervals I_m, $m\leq n_1^{Cs}$, and for each I_m, there exists $j_1\in[-n_1,n_1]$ such that

$$\left|E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)-E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\right|\leq 2\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right),\ x\in I_m, \tag{5.16}$$

and for each $x\in I_m$, there exists ξ, $\|\xi\|=1$, with support in $[-n_1+1,n_1-1]$, satisfying

$$\left\|\left(H(x)-E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(x)\right)\xi\right\|<\exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}n^{\nu}\right). \tag{5.17}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,1}=\bigcup_m\left(E_j^{[-n,n]}(I_m)\ominus E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(I_m)\right),$$

where $\ominus$ denotes the symmetric set difference

$$\mathcal{S}_1\ominus\mathcal{S}_2:=(\mathcal{S}_1-\mathcal{S}_2)\bigcup(\mathcal{S}_2-\mathcal{S}_1).$$

By the continuity of the parametrization of the eigenvalues, $m<n_1^{Cs}$, the setting $n_1=n^{100}$ and (5.16), it follows that

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(\mathcal{E}_{n,1}\right)\leq\exp\left(-\frac{c}{3}n^{\nu}\right).$$

Note that (5.15) implies that $\operatorname{dist}(E,\mathcal{S}_\omega)<\exp\left(-cn^{\nu}\right)$ for all $E\in E_j^{[-n,n]}(I)$. At the same time, if $E\in E_j^{[-n,n]}(I)\setminus\mathcal{E}_{n,1}$, then $E\in E_{j_1}^{[-n_1,n_1]}(I_m)$ for some m, and (5.17) implies that

$$\operatorname{dist}(E,\mathcal{S}_\omega)<\exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}n^{\nu}\right).$$

Let $n_k=n^{100^k}$. Through iteration we obtain sets $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$ such that

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(\mathcal{E}_{n,k}\right)<\exp\left(-\frac{c}{4}n^{\nu}\right),$$

and if $E \in E_j^{[-n,n]}(I) \setminus \bigcup_{l\le k} \mathcal{E}_{n,l}$, then

$$\operatorname{dist}(E, \mathcal{S}_\omega) < \exp\left(-\frac{c}{3}n^\nu\right).$$

Therefore, we finish this proof by noting that

$$E_{j_0}^{[-n,n]}(I) \setminus \mathcal{S}_\omega \subset \bigcup_k \mathcal{E}_{n,k}.$$

□

5.2. **The proof of the homogeneity.**

The proof of Theorem 1. Lemma 5.1 implies that there exist $j \in [-n, n]$ and of a segment I, $|I| > c\exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{8A}{\nu}}\right)$, centered at a point x_0, such that

$$|E_j^{[-n,n]}(x_0) - E| \le \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right), \tag{5.18}$$

and for any $x \in I$ there exists ξ, $\|\xi\| = 1$, with support in $[-n+1, n-1]$, such that

$$\left\|\left(H(x) - E_j^{[-n,n]}(x)\right)\xi\right\| < \exp\left(-cn^\nu\right).$$

Then, we can apply Lemma 5.4 to get

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(E_j^{[-n,n]}(I) \setminus \mathcal{S}_\omega\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{c}{4}n^\nu\right).$$

Recall that

$$\operatorname{mes}\, E_j^{[-n,n]}(I) > \exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right).$$

Hence, we choose $\sigma_0 = \exp\left(-(\log n_0)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right)$. Then, for any $\sigma < \sigma_0$, there exists some $n > n_0$ such that $\exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right) \sim \sigma$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(E_0 - \exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right), E_0 + \exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_\omega\right| \\
\ge\ & \exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right) - \exp\left(-n^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{c}{4}n^\nu\right) \\
\ge\ & \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-(\log n)^{\frac{32A}{\nu^2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that $\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}_\omega) > 0$ and the homogeneity holds for $\tau = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & \sigma \le \sigma_0, \\ \frac{\sigma_0}{4\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S}_\omega)}, & \sigma > \sigma_0. \end{cases}$. □

References

[AJ09] A. Avila and S. Jitomirskaya, *The Ten Martini Problem,* Ann. Math. **170**(2009), 303-342.

[ALSZ21] A.Avila, Y. Last, M. Shamis and Q. Zhou, *On the abominable properties of the almost Mathieu operator with well approximated frequencies.* arXiv:2110.07974.

[BDGL15] I.Binder, D.Damanik, M.Goldstein and M. Lukic, *Almost periodicity in time of solutions of the KdV equation,* Duke Math. Journal **167**(2018),2633-2678.

[B05] J. Bourgain, *Green 's Function Estimates for Lattice Schrödinger Operators and Applications.* Ann. Math. Stud. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 158,2005.

[BG00] J.Bourgain and M.Goldstein, *On nonperturbative localization with quasi-periodic potential.* Ann. of Math. **152** (2000), 835-879.

[BGS01] J.Bourgain, M Goldstein and W. Schlag, *Anderson localization for Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with potentials given by the skew-shift.* Comm. Math. Phys. 220 (2001), 583–621.

[CW21] A. Cai and X.Wang, *Polynomial decay of the gap length for C^k quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators and spectral application.* J. Func. Ana. **281**(2021), 109035.

[DGL17] D.Damanik, M.Goldstein and M. Lukic, *The isospectral torus of quasi-periodic Schrodinger operators via periodic approximations.* Invent. Math. , **207**(2007), 895-980.

[GDSV18] M. Goldstein, D. Damanik, W. Schlag, and M. Voda, *Homogeneity of the spectrum for quasi-perioidic Schrödinger operators.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. ,**20**(2018), 3073-3111.

[GS01] M.Goldstein and W.Schlag, *Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states for quasiperiodic Schrödinger equations and averages of shifts of subharmonic functions.* Ann. of Math. **2** 154 (2001), no. 1, 155–203.

[GS08] W. Goldstein and W.Schlag, *Fine properties of the integrated density of states and a quantitative separation property of the Dirichlet eigenvalues.* Geom. Funct. Analysis. **18**, (2008), 755-869.

[GS11] Michael Goldstein and Wilhelm Schlag, *On resonances and the formation of gaps in the spectrum of quasi-periodic Schrödinger equations.* Ann. of Math. (2), 173(1):337–475, 2011.

[GSV16] M. Goldstein, W. Schlag, and M. Voda, *On localization and spectrum of multi-frequency quasi-periodic operators.* arXiv:1610.00380.

[GT20] Wenmeng Geng and Kai Tao, *Large deviation theorems for Dirichlet determinants of analytic quasi-periodic Jacobi operators with Brjuno-Rüssmann frequency.* Commun. Pure Applied Ana., **19**(2020), 5305-5335.

[JS19] W. Jian and Y.Shi, *Sharp Holder continuity of the integrated density of states for the extended Harpers model with a Liouville frequency.* Acta. Math. Sci. , **39**(2019), 1240-1254.

[J99] S. Jitomirskaya, *Metal-insulator transition for the almost Mathieu operator.* Ann. of Math. , **150**(1999), 1159-1175.

[K05] S. Klein,*Anderson localization for the discrete one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operator with potential defined by a Gevrey-class function.* J. Funct. Anal. **218** (2005), 255-292.

[LYZZ17] M.Leguil, J.You, Z.Zhao and Q.Zhou, *Asymptotics of spectral gaps of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators.* arXiv:1712.04700.

[LS19] W.Liu and Y.Shi, *Upper bounds on the spectral gaps of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators with Liouville frequencies.* J. Spec. Theory **9**(2019), 1223-1248.

[SY19] Y.Shi and X.Yuan, *Exponential decay of the lengths of the spectral gaps for the Extended Harpers Model with a Liouvillean frequency.* J. Dyn. Diff. Equat. , **31**(2019), 1921- 1953.

[SY95] M. Sodin and P. Yuditskii, *Almost periodic Sturm-Liouville operators with Cantor homogeneous spectrum.* Comment. Math. Helv. , **70**(1995), 639-658.

[SY97] M. Sodin and P. Yuditskii, *Almost periodic Jacobi matrices with homogeneous spectrum, infinitedimensional Jacobi inversion, and Hardy spaces of character-automorphic functions.* J. Geom. Anal. , **7**(1997), 387-435.

[XZ20] X. Xu and X.Zhao, *Exponential upper bounds on the spectral gaps and homogeneous spectrum for the non-critical extended Harpers model.* Dis. Cont. Dyn. Syst. , **40**(2020), 4777-4800.

College of Sciences, Hohai University, 1 Xikang Road Nanjing Jiangsu 210098 P.R.China
Email address: yanyang_lgz@163.com

College of Sciences, Hohai University, 1 Xikang Road Nanjing Jiangsu 210098 P.R.China
Email address: ktao@hhu.edu.cn, tao.nju@gmail.com