arXiv:1507.07866v1 [math.FA] 28 Jul 2015

EMBEDDINGS BETWEEN WEIGHTED COPSON AND CESÀRO FUNCTION SPACES

AMIRAN GOGATISHVILI, RZA MUSTAFAYEV, AND TUĞÇE ÜNVER

Abstract. In this paper embeddings between weighted Copson function spaces $\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)$ and weighted Cesàro function spaces $\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)$ are characterized. In particular, two-sided estimates of the optimal constant c in the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t f(\tau)^{p_2} v_2(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}} u_2(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \le c\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_t^\infty f(\tau)^{p_1} v_1(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p_1}} u_1(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}},$$

where $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (0,\infty)$, $p_2 \le q_2$ and u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 are weights on $(0,\infty)$, are obtained. The most innovative part consists of the fact that possibly different parameters p_1 and p_2 and possibly different inner weights v_1 and v_2 are allowed. The proof is based on the combination duality techniques with estimates of optimal constants of the embeddings between weighted Cesàro and Copson spaces and weighted Lebesgue spaces, which reduce the problem to the solutions of the iterated Hardy-type inequalities.

1. Introduction

Many Banach spaces which play an important role in functional analysis and its applications are obtained in a special way: the norms of these spaces are generated by positive sublinear operators and by L_p-norms.

In connection with Hardy and Copson operators

$$(Pf)(x) := \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,dt \qquad \text{and} \qquad (Qf)(x) := \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t}\,dt, \qquad (x>0),$$

the classical Cesàro function space

$$\mathrm{Ces}(p) = \left\{f : \|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}(p)} := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x |f(t)|\,dt\right)^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\right\},$$

and the classical Copson function space

$$\mathrm{Cop}(p) = \left\{f : \|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}(p)} := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty \frac{|f(t)|}{t}\,dt\right)^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\right\},$$

where $1 < p \le \infty$, with the usual modifications if $p = \infty$, are of interest.

The classical Cesàro function spaces $\mathrm{Ces}(p)$ have been introduced in 1970 by Shiue [41] and subsequently studied in [31]. These spaces have been defined analogously to the Cesàro sequence spaces that appeared two years earlier in [40] when the Dutch Mathematical Society posted a problem to find a representation of their dual spaces. This problem was resolved by Jagers [32] in 1974 who gave an explicit isometric description of the dual of Cesàro sequence space. In [42], Sy, Zhang and Lee gave a description of dual spaces of $\mathrm{Ces}(p)$ spaces based on Jagers' result. In 1996 different, isomorphic description due to Bennett appeared in [12]. For a long time, Cesàro function spaces have not attracted a lot of attention

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30; Secondary 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Cesàro and Copson function spaces, embeddings, iterated Hardy inequalities.

The research of A. Gogatishvili was partly supported by the grants P201-13-14743S of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic and RVO: 67985840, by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grants no. 31/48 (Operators in some function spaces and their applications in Fourier Analysis) and no. DI/9/5-100/13 (Function spaces, weighted inequalities for integral operators and problems of summability of Fourier series). The research of all authors was partly supported by the joint project between Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic and The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey.

contrary to their sequence counterparts. In fact there is quite rich literature concerning different topics studied in Cesàro sequence spaces as for instance in [16–21]. However, recently in a series of papers [2–9], Astashkin and Maligranda started to study the structure of Cesàro function spaces. Among others, in [4] they investigated dual spaces for Ces(p) for $1 < p < \infty$. Their description can be viewed as being analogous to one given for sequence spaces in [12] (For more detailed information about history of classical Cesàro spaces see recent survey paper [10]).

In [12, Theorem 21.1] Bennett observes that the classical Cesàro function space and the classical Copson function space coincide for $p > 1$. He also derives estimates for the norms of the corresponding inclusion operators. The same result, with different estimates, is due to Boas [14], who in fact obtained the integral analogue of the Askey-Boas theorem [13, Lemma 6.18] and [1, Lemma]. These results generalized in [30] using the blocking technique.

Let A be any measurable subset of $(0,\infty)$. By $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ we denote the set of all measurable functions on A. The symbol $\mathfrak{M}^+(A)$ stands for the collection of all $f \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$ which are non-negative on A. The family of all weight functions (also called just weights) on A, that is, measurable, positive and finite a.e. on A, is given by $\mathcal{W}(A)$.

For $p \in (0,\infty]$, we define the functional $\|\cdot\|_{p,A}$ on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ by

$$\|f\|_{p,A} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_A |f(x)|^p\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if} \quad p < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_A |f(x)| & \text{if} \quad p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

If $w \in \mathcal{W}(A)$, then the weighted Lebesgue space $L_p(w,A)$ is given by

$$L_p(w,A) \equiv L_{p,w}(A) := \{f \in \mathfrak{M}(A) : \ \|f\|_{p,w,A} := \|fw\|_{p,A} < \infty\},$$

and it is equipped with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,w,A}$. When $A = (0,\infty)$, we often write simply $L_{p,w}$ and $L_p(w)$ instead of $L_{p,w}(A)$ and $L_p(w,A)$, respectively.

We adopt the following usual conventions.

Convention 1.1. (i) Throughout the paper we put $0/0 = 0$, $0\cdot(\pm\infty) = 0$ and $1/(\pm\infty) = 0$.

(ii) We put

$$p' := \begin{cases} \frac{p}{1-p} & \text{if} \quad 0 < p < 1, \\ \infty & \text{if} \quad p = 1, \\ \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if} \quad 1 < p < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $I = (a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and g is a monotone function on I, then by $g(a)$ and $g(b)$ we mean the limits $\lim_{x\to a+} g(x)$ and $\lim_{x\to b-} g(x)$, respectively.

To state our results we use the notation $p \to q$ for $0 < p, q \le \infty$ defined by

$$\frac{1}{p \to q} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \qquad \text{if} \qquad q < p,$$

and $p \to q = \infty$ if $q \ge p$ (see, for instance, [30, p. 30]).

Throughout the paper, we always denote by c and C a positive constant, which is independent of main parameters but it may vary from line to line. However a constant with subscript or superscript such as c_1 does not change in different occurrences. By $a \lesssim b$, $(b \gtrsim a)$ we mean that $a \le \lambda b$, where $\lambda > 0$ depends on inessential parameters. If $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, we write $a \approx b$ and say that a and b are equivalent. We will denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the function $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Given two quasi-normed vector spaces X and Y, we write $X = Y$ if X and Y are equal in the algebraic and the topological sense (their quasi-norms are equivalent). The symbol $X \hookrightarrow Y$ ($Y \hookleftarrow X$) means that $X \subset Y$ and the natural embedding I of X in Y is continuous, that is, there exist a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|z\|_Y \le c\|z\|_X$ for all $z \in X$. The best constant of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is $\|\mathrm{I}\|_{X\to Y}$.

The weighted Cesàro and Copson function spaces are defined as follows:

Definition 1.2. Let $0 < p,q \le \infty$, $u \in \mathfrak{M}^+(I)$, $v \in \mathcal{W}(I)$. The weighted Cesàro and Copson spaces are defined by

$$\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v) := \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) : \|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)} := \left\| \|f\|_{p,v,(0,\cdot)} \right\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)} < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v) := \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) : \|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v)} := \left\| \|f\|_{p,v,(\cdot,\infty)} \right\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)} < \infty \right\},$$

respectively.

Many function spaces from the literature, in particular from Harmonic Analysis, are covered by the spaces $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)$ and $\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v)$. Let us only mention the Beurling algebras A^p and A^*, see [11, 23, 33].

Note that the function spaces C and D defined by Grosse-Erdmann in [30] are related with our definition in the following way:

$$\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v) = C(p,q,u)_v \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v) = D(p,q,u)_v.$$

We use the notations $\mathrm{Ces}_p(u) := \mathrm{Ces}_{1,p}(u,\mathbf{1})$ and $\mathrm{Cop}_p(u) := \mathrm{Cop}_{1,p}(u,\mathbf{1})$. Obviously, $\mathrm{Ces}(p) = \mathrm{Ces}_p(x^{-1})$ and $\mathrm{Cop}(p) = \mathrm{Cop}_p(x^{-1})$. In [34], Kamińska and Kubiak computed the dual norm of the Cesàro function space $\mathrm{Ces}_p(u)$, generated by $1 < p < \infty$ and an arbitrary positive weight u. A description presented in [34] resembles the approach of Jagers [32] for sequence spaces.

Our principal goal in this paper is to investigate the embeddings between weighted Copson and Cesàro function spaces and vice versa, that is, the embeddings

$$\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathrm{Ces}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cop}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2). \tag{1.2}$$

This is a very difficult and technically complicated task. We develop an approach consisting of a duality argument combined with estimates of optimal constants of the embeddings between weighted Cesàro and Copson spaces and weighted Lebesgue spaces, that is,

$$L_s(w) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v), \tag{1.3}$$

$$L_s(w) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v), \tag{1.4}$$

$$L_s(w) \hookleftarrow \mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v), \tag{1.5}$$

$$L_s(w) \hookleftarrow \mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v), \tag{1.6}$$

which reduce the problem to the solutions of the iterated Hardy-type inequalities (6.1). In order to characterize embeddings (1.3) - (1.6), we are going to use the direct and reverse Hardy-type inequalities. Note that embeddings (1.1) - (1.2) contain embeddings (1.3) - (1.6) as a special case. Indeed, for instance, if $p = q$ and $v(x) = w(x)/\|u\|_{p,(x,\infty)}$, then $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v) = L_p(w)$. Similarly, if $p = q$ and $v(x) = w(x)/\|u\|_{p,(0,x)}$, then $\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v) = L_p(w)$. Moreover, by the change of variables $x = 1/t$ it is easy to see that (1.2) is equivalent to the embedding

$$\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(\tilde{u}_1,\tilde{v}_1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(\tilde{u}_2,\tilde{v}_2),$$

where $\tilde{u}_i(t) = t^{-2/q_i}u_i(1/t)$, $\tilde{v}_i(t) = t^{-2/p_i}v_i(1/t)$, $i = 1,2$, $t > 0$. This note allows us to concentrate our attention on characterization of (1.1). On the negative side of things we have to admit that the duality approach works only in the case when, in (1.1) - (1.2), one has $p_2 \le q_2$. Unfortunately, in the case when $p_2 > q_2$ the characterization of these embeddings remain open.

It should be noted that none of the above would ever have existed if it wasn't for the (now classical) well-known characterizations of weights for which the Hardy inequality holds. This subject, which is, incidentally, exactly one hundred years old, is absolutely indispensable in this part of mathematics. In

our proof below such results will be heavily used, as well as the more recent characterizations of the weighted reverse inequalities (cf. [22] and [37]).

It is mentioned in [30, p. 30] that multipliers between Cesàro and Copson spaces are more difficult to treat. It is worth to mention that by using characterizations of (1.1) - (1.2) it is possible to give the solution to the multiplier problem between weighted Cesàro and Copson function spaces, and we are going to present it in the future paper.

In particular, we obtain two-sided estimates of the optimal constant c in the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t f(\tau)^{p_2} v_2(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}} u_2(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \le c\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_t^\infty f(\tau)^{p_1} v_1(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p_1}} u_1(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (0,\infty)$, $p_2 \le \min\{p_1, q_2\}$ and u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 are weights on $(0,\infty)$ (It is shown in Lemma 7.1 that inequality (1.7) holds true only for trivial functions f when $p_1 < p_2$ for any $q_1, q_2 \in (0,\infty]$). The most innovative part consists of the fact that possibly different parameters p_1 and p_2 and possibly different inner weights v_1 and v_2 are allowed. Note that (1.7) was characterized in the particular cases, when $p_1 = p_2 = 1$, $q_1 = q_2 = p > 1$, $u_1(t) = t^{\beta p-1}$, $u_2(t) = t^{-\alpha p-1}$, $v_1(t) = t^{-\beta-1}$, $v_2(t) = t^{\alpha-1}$, $t > 0$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, in [14, p. 61], and, when $p_1 = p_2 = 1$, $q_1 = p$, $q_2 = q$, $u_1(t) = v(t)$, $u_2(t) = t^{-q}w(t)$, $v_1(t) = t^{-1}$, $v_2(t) = 1$, $t > 0$, where $0 < p \le \infty$, $1 \le q \le \infty$ and v, w are weight functions on $(0,\infty)$, in [15, Theorem 2.3], respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. We start with notation and preliminary results in Section 2. In Section 3 we recall characterizations of direct and reverse weighted Hardy-type inequalities. Solutions of embeddings (1.3) - (1.4) and (1.5) - (1.6) are given in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. In Section 6 we recall characterizations of weighted iterated Hardy-type inequalities. The characterization of the embeddings between weighted Cesàro and Copson spaces are obtained in Section 7.

2. Notations and preliminaries

Let A, B be some sets and φ, ψ be non-negative functions defined on $A\times B$ (It may happen that $\varphi(\alpha,\beta) = \infty$ or $\psi(\alpha,\beta) = \infty$ for some $\alpha \in A$, $\beta \in B$). We say that φ is dominated by ψ (or ψ dominates φ) on $A\times B$ uniformly in $\alpha \in A$ and write

$$\varphi(\alpha,\beta) \lesssim \psi(\alpha,\beta) \quad \text{uniformly in} \quad \alpha \in A,$$

or

$$\psi(\alpha,\beta) \gtrsim \varphi(\alpha,\beta) \quad \text{uniformly in} \quad \alpha \in A,$$

if for each $\beta \in B$ there exists $C(\beta) > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(\alpha,\beta) \le C(\beta)\psi(\alpha,\beta)$$

for all $\alpha \in A$. We also say that φ is equivalent to ψ on $A\times B$ uniformly in $\alpha \in A$ and write

$$\varphi(\alpha,\beta) \approx \psi(\alpha,\beta) \quad \text{uniformly in} \quad \alpha \in A,$$

if φ and ψ dominate each other on $A\times B$ uniformly in $\alpha \in A$.

We need the following auxiliary results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < p,q \le \infty$, $v \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ and let $u \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$. $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)$ and $\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v)$ are non-trivial, i.e. consists not only of functions equivalent to 0 on $(0,\infty)$, if and only if*

$$\|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)} < \infty, \qquad \text{for some} \qquad t > 0,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{q,(0,t)} < \infty, \qquad \text{for some} \qquad t > 0,$$

respectively.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $u \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ be such that $\|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)} = \infty$ for all $t > 0$. Assume that $f \neq 0$ a.e. Then $\|f\|_{p,v,(0,t_0)} > 0$ for some $t_0 > 0$.

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)} \geq \Big\|\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\cdot)}\Big\|_{q,u,(t_0,\infty)} \geq \|\mathbf{1}\|_{q,u,(t_0,\infty)}\|f\|_{p,v,(0,t_0)} = \|u\|_{q,(t_0,\infty)}\|f\|_{p,v,(0,t_0)}.$$

Hence $\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)} = \infty$. Consequently, if $\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)} < \infty$, then $f = 0$ a.e., that is, $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v) = \{0\}$.

Necessity. Assume that $\|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)} < \infty$ for some $t > 0$. If $f \in L_p(v)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f \subset (\tau,\infty)$ for some $\tau \geq t$, then $f \in \mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)$. Indeed:

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)} = \Big\|\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\cdot)}\Big\|_{q,u,(\tau,\infty)} \leq \|\mathbf{1}\|_{q,u,(\tau,\infty)}\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\infty)} = \|u\|_{q,(\tau,\infty)}\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\infty)} < \infty.$$

The same conclusion can be deduced for the Copson spaces. □

Lemma 2.2. *If* $\|u\|_{q,(t_1,\infty)} = \infty$ *for some* $t_1 > 0$, *then*

$$f \in \mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v) \Rightarrow f = 0 \quad \textit{a.e. on} \quad (0,t_1).$$

If $\|u\|_{q,(0,t_2)} = \infty$ *for some* $t_2 > 0$, *then*

$$f \in \mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v) \Rightarrow f = 0 \quad \textit{a.e. on} \quad (t_2,\infty).$$

Proof. Assume that $\|u\|_{q,(t_1,\infty)} = \infty$ for some $t_1 > 0$ and let $f \in \mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)$. Then

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)} \geq \Big\|\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\cdot)}\Big\|_{q,u,(t_1,\infty)} \geq \|u\|_{q,(t_1,\infty)}\|f\|_{p,v,(0,t_1)}.$$

Therefore, $\|f\|_{p,v,(0,t_1)} = 0$. Hence, $f = 0$ a.e. on $(0,t_1)$.

Assume now that $\|u\|_{q,(0,t_2)} = \infty$ for some $t_2 > 0$ and let $f \in \mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v)$. Then

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v)} \geq \Big\|\|f\|_{p,v,(\cdot,\infty)}\Big\|_{q,u,(0,t_2)} \geq \|u\|_{q,(0,t_2)}\|f\|_{p,v,(t_2,\infty)}.$$

Consequently, $\|f\|_{p,v,(t_2,\infty)} = 0$. This yields that $f = 0$ a.e. on (t_2,∞). □

Remark 2.3. In view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, it is enough to take $u \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ such that $\|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)} < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, when considering $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)$ spaces. Similarly, it is enough to take $u \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ such that $\|u\|_{q,(0,t)} < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, when considering $\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v)$ spaces.

Definition 2.4. Let $0 < q \leq \infty$. We denote by Ω_q the set of all functions $u \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ such that

$$0 < \|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)} < \infty, \ t > 0,$$

and by ${}^{c}\Omega_q$ the set of all functions $u \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ such that

$$0 < \|u\|_{q,(0,t)} < \infty, \ t > 0.$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v)$ and $\mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v)$ are quasi-normed vector spaces when $u \in \Omega_q$ and $u \in {}^{c}\Omega_q$, respectively.

Note that $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,p}(u,v)$ and $\mathrm{Cop}_{p,p}(u,v)$ coincide with some weighted Lebesgue spaces.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $0 < p \leq \infty$, $u \in \Omega_p$ *and* $v \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. *Then* $\mathrm{Ces}_{p,p}(u,v) = L_p(w)$, *where*

$$w(x) := v(x)\|u\|_{p,(x,\infty)}, \ x > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Assume first that $p < \infty$. Applying Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p,p}(u,v)} &= \Big(\int_0^\infty u^p(t)\int_0^t f(\tau)^p v(\tau)^p \, d\tau \, dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \Big(\int_0^\infty f(\tau)^p v(\tau)^p \int_\tau^\infty u(t)^p \, dt \, d\tau\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \|f\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)},
\end{aligned}$$

where w is defined by (2.1). If $p=\infty$, by exchanging suprema, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{\infty,\infty}(u,v)} &= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,\infty)} u(t) \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in(0,t)} f(\tau)v(\tau)\\ &= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,\infty)} f(t)v(t) \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in(t,\infty)} u(\tau)\\ &= \|f\|_{\infty,w,(0,\infty)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $0<p\le\infty$, $u\in {}^{c}\Omega_p$ *and* $v\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. *Then* $\mathrm{Cop}_{p,p}(u,v)=L_p(w)$, *where*

$$w(x):=v(x)\|u\|_{p,(0,x)},\ x>0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. This follows by the same method as in Lemma 2.5. □

3. Some Hardy-type inequalities

In this section we recall characterizations of direct and reverse weighted Hardy-type inequalities. Denote by

$$(Hf)(t):=\int_0^t f(x)\,dx,\qquad (H^*f)(t):=\int_t^\infty f(x)\,dx,\qquad f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty),\qquad t\ge 0.$$

The well-known two-weight Hardy-type inequalities

$$\|Hf\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)}\le c\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\infty)} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|H^*f\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)}\le c\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\infty)} \tag{3.2}$$

for all non-negative measurable functions f on $(0,\infty)$, where $0<p,q\le\infty$ with c being a constant independent of f, have a broad variety of applications and represents now a basic tool in many parts of mathematical analysis, namely in the study of weighted function inequalities. For the results, history and applications of this problem, see [35, 36, 38].

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $1\le p\le\infty$, $0<q\le\infty$, $v,w\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$. *Then inequality* (3.1) *holds for all* $f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *if and only if* $A(p,q)<\infty$, *and the best constant in* (3.1), *that is,*

$$B(p,q):=\sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\|Hf\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)}/\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\infty)}$$

satisfies $B(p,q)\approx A(p,q)$, *where*

(i) *for* $p\le q$,

$$A(p,q):=\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\left\|v^{-1}\right\|_{p',(0,t)}\|w\|_{q,(t,\infty)};$$

(ii) *for* $q<p$ *and* $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}$,

$$A(p,q):=\left(\int_0^\infty\left\|v^{-1}\right\|_{p',(0,t)}^r d\Big(-\|w\|_{q,(t,\infty)}^r\Big)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $1\le p\le\infty$, $0<q\le\infty$, $v,w\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$. *Then inequality* (3.2) *holds for all* $f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *if and only if* $A^*(p,q)<\infty$, *and the best constant in* (3.2), *that is,*

$$B^*(p,q):=\sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\|H^*f\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)}/\|f\|_{p,v,(0,\infty)}$$

satisfies $B^*(p,q)\approx A^*(p,q)$. *Here*

(i) *for* $p \le q$,

$$A^*(p,q) := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left\|v^{-1}\right\|_{p',(t,\infty)} \|w\|_{q,(0,t)};$$

(ii) *for* $q < p$ *and* $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$,

$$A^*(p,q) := \left(\int_0^\infty \left\|v^{-1}\right\|^r_{p',(t,\infty)} d\left(\|w\|^r_{q,(0,t)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $0 < q < \infty$, $v, w \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$. *Denote by*

$$(Sf)(t) := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in(0,t)} f(x), \qquad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty), \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Then the inequality

$$\|Sf\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)} \le c\|f\|_{\infty,v,(0,\infty)}$$

holds for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *if and only if*

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left\|v^{-1}\right\|^q_{\infty,(0,t)} d\left(-\|w\|^q_{q,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \|Sf\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)}/\|f\|_{\infty,v,(0,\infty)} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left\|v^{-1}\right\|^q_{\infty,(0,t)} d\left(-\|w\|^q_{q,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $0 < q < \infty$, $v, w \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$. *Denote by*

$$(S^*f)(t) := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in(t,\infty)} f(x), \qquad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty), \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Then the inequality

$$\|S^*f\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)} \le c\|f\|_{\infty,v,(0,\infty)}$$

holds for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *if and only if*

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left\|v^{-1}\right\|^q_{\infty,(t,\infty)} d\left(\|w\|^q_{q,(0,t)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \|S^*f\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)}/\|f\|_{\infty,v,(0,\infty)} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \left\|v^{-1}\right\|^q_{\infty,(t,\infty)} d\left(\|w\|^q_{q,(0,t)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

For the convenience of the reader we repeat the relevant material from [22] and [37] without proofs, thus making our exposition self-contained. Let φ be a non-decreasing and finite function on the interval $I := (a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We assign to φ the function λ defined on subintervals of I by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda([y,z]) &= \varphi(z+) - \varphi(y-), \\ \lambda([y,z)) &= \varphi(z-) - \varphi(y-), \\ \lambda((y,z]) &= \varphi(z+) - \varphi(y+), \\ \lambda((y,z)) &= \varphi(z-) - \varphi(y+). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The function λ is a non-negative, additive and regular function of intervals. Thus (cf. [39], Chapter 10), it admits a unique extension to a non-negative Borel measure λ on I.

Note also that the associated Borel measure can be determined, e.g., only by putting

$$\lambda([y,z]) = \varphi(z+) - \varphi(y-) \qquad \text{for any} \qquad [y,z] \subset I$$

(since the Borel subsets of I can be generated by subintervals $[y,z] \subset I$).

If $J \subseteq I$, then the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral $\int_J f\,d\varphi$ is defined as $\int_J f\,d\lambda$. We shall also use the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral $\int_J f\,d\varphi$ when φ is a non-increasing and finite on the interval I. In such a case we put

$$\int_J f\,d\varphi := -\int_J f\,d(-\varphi).$$

We adopt the following conventions.

Convention 3.5. Let $I=(a,b)\subseteq\mathbb{R}$, $f: I\to[0,\infty]$ and $h: I\to[0,\infty]$. Assume that h is non-decreasing and left-continuous on I. If $h: I\to[0,\infty)$, then the symbol $\int_I f\,dh$ means the usual Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral (with the measure λ associated to h is given by $\lambda([\alpha,\beta))=h(\beta)-h(\alpha)$ if $[\alpha,\beta)\subset(a,b)$ – cf. (3.3)). However, if $h=\infty$ on some subinterval (c,b) with $c\in I$, then we define $\int_I f\,dh$ only if $f=0$ on $[c,b)$ and we put

$$\int_I f\,dh=\int_{(a,c)} f\,dh.$$

Convention 3.6. Let $I=(a,b)\subseteq\mathbb{R}$, $f: I\to[0,+\infty]$ and $h: I\to[-\infty,0]$. Assume that h is non-decreasing and right-continuous on I. If $h: I\to(-\infty,0]$, then the symbol $\int_I f\,dh$ means the usual Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. However, if $h=-\infty$ on some subinterval (a,c) with $c\in I$, then we define $\int_I f\,dh$ only if $f=0$ on $(a,c]$ and we put

$$\int_I f\,dh=\int_{(c,b)} f\,dh.$$

Theorem 3.7. [22, Theorems 5.1 and 5.4] *Let $w\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ and $u\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ be such that $\|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)}<\infty$ for all $t\in(0,\infty)$.*

(i) *Assume that $0<q\le p\le 1$. Then*

$$\|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)}\le c\|Hg\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)} \tag{3.4}$$

holds for all $g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ if and only if

$$C(p,q):=\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\|w\|_{p',(t,\infty)}\|u\|^{-1}_{q,(t,\infty)}<\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

The best possible constant in (3.4), that is,

$$D(p,q):=\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)}/\|Hg\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}$$

satisfies $D(p,q)\approx C(p,q)$.

(ii) *Let $0<p\le 1$, $p<q\le\infty$ and $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$. Then (3.4) holds if and only if*

$$C(p,q):=\left(\int_{(0,\infty)}\|w\|^r_{p',(t,\infty)}\,d\Big(\|u\|^{-r}_{q,(t-,\infty)}\Big)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}+\frac{\|w\|_{p',(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}}<\infty,$$

and $D(p,q)\approx C(p,q)$.

Theorem 3.8. [22, Theorems 4.1 and 4.4] *Let $w\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ and $u\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ be such that $\|u\|_{q,(0,t)}<\infty$ for all $t\in(0,\infty)$.*

(i) *Assume that $0<q\le p\le 1$. Then*

$$\|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)}\le c\|H^*g\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)} \tag{3.6}$$

holds for all $g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ if and only if

$$C^*(p,q):=\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\|w\|_{p',(0,t)}\|u\|^{-1}_{q,(0,t)}<\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

The best possible constant in (3.6)*, that is,*

$$D^*(p,q) := \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)}/\|H^*g\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}$$

satisfies $D^*(p,q) \approx C^*(p,q)$.

(ii) *Let* $0 < p \le 1$, $p < q \le \infty$ *and* $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$. *Then* (3.6) *holds if and only if*

$$C^*(p,q) := \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \|w\|^r_{p',(0,t)}\, d\left(-\|u\|^{-r}_{q,(0,t+)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \frac{\|w\|_{p',(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}} < \infty,$$

and $D^*(p,q) \approx C^*(p,q)$.

Remark 3.9. Let $q < \infty$ in Theorems 3.7 and 3.8. Then

$$\|u\|_{q,(t-,\infty)} = \|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{q,(0,t+)} = \|u\|_{q,(0,t)} \quad \text{for all} \quad t\in(0,\infty),$$

which implies that

$$C(p,q) = \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \|w\|^r_{p',(t,\infty)}\, d\left(\|u\|^{-r}_{q,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \frac{\|w\|_{p',(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}},$$

and

$$C^*(p,q) := \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \|w\|^r_{p',(0,t)}\, d\left(-\|u\|^{-r}_{q,(0,t)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \frac{\|w\|_{p',(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}} < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.10. [37, Theorem 4.1] *Let* $w\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *and* $u\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *be such that* $\|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)} < \infty$ *for all* $t\in(0,\infty)$.

(i) *Assume that* $0 < q \le p \le \infty$. *Then*

$$\|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)} \le c\|Sg\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)} \tag{3.8}$$

holds for all $g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *if and only if*

$$E(p,q) := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \|w\|_{p,(t,\infty)}\|u\|^{-1}_{q,(t,\infty)} < \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

The best possible constant in (3.8)*, that is,*

$$F(p,q) := \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)}/\|Sg\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}$$

satisfies $F(p,q) \approx E(p,q)$.

(ii) *Let* $0 < p < q \le +\infty$ *and* $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$. *Then* (3.8) *holds if and only if*

$$E(p,q) := \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \|w\|^r_{p,(t,\infty)}\, d\left(\|u\|^{-r}_{q,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \frac{\|w\|_{p,(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}} < \infty,$$

and $F(p,q) \approx E(p,q)$.

Theorem 3.11. [37, Theorem 3.4] *Let* $w\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *and* $u\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *be such that* $\|u\|_{q,(0,t)} < \infty$ *for all* $t\in(0,\infty)$.

(i) *Assume that* $0 < q \le p \le \infty$. *Then*

$$\|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)} \le c\|S^*g\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)} \tag{3.10}$$

holds for all $g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ *if and only if*

$$E^*(p,q) := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \|w\|_{p,(0,t)}\|u\|^{-1}_{q,(0,t)} < \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

The best possible constant in (3.10)*, that is,*

$$F^*(p,q) := \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \|g\|_{p,w,(0,\infty)}/\|S^*g\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}$$

satisfies $F^*(p,q)\approx E^*(p,q)$.

(ii) *Let* $0<p<q\le+\infty$ *and* $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}$. *Then* (3.10) *holds if and only if*

$$E^*(p,q) := \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \|w\|^r_{p,(0,t)}\, d\left(-\|u\|^{-r}_{q,(0,t)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \frac{\|w\|_{p,(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}} < \infty,$$

and $F^*(p,q)\approx E^*(p,q)$.

4. Characterizations of $L_{p_1}(v_1)\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)$ and $L_{p_1}(v_1)\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cop}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)$

In this section we characterize (1.3) and (1.4).

The following theorem is true.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $0<p_2\le p_1\le\infty$, $0<q\le\infty$, $v_1, v_2\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ *and* $u\in\Omega_q$.

(i) *If* $p_1\le q$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{p_1}(v_1)\to \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left\|v_1^{-1}v_2\right\|_{p_1\to p_2,(0,t)} \|u\|_{q,(t,\infty)}$$

uniformly in $u\in\Omega_q$.

(ii) *If* $q<p_1$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{p_1}(v_1)\to \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)} \approx \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \left\|v_1^{-1}v_2\right\|^{p_1\to q}_{p_1\to p_2,(0,t)} d\left(-\|u\|^{p_1\to q}_{q,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q}}$$

uniformly in $u\in\Omega_q$.

Proof. Let $p_2<\infty$. Since

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{p_1}(v_1)\to \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)} = \sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\left\|\|f\|_{p_2,v_2,(0,\cdot)}\right\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}}{\|f\|_{p_1,v_1,(0,\infty)}} = \left(\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\|H(|g|)\|_{\frac{q}{p_2},u^{p_2},(0,\infty)}}{\|g\|_{\frac{p_1}{p_2},[v_1v_2^{-1}]^{p_2},(0,\infty)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}},$$

it remains to apply Theorem 3.1.

If $p_2=\infty$, then

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_\infty(v_1)\to \mathrm{Ces}_{\infty,q}(u,v_2)} = \sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\left\|\|f\|_{\infty,v_2,(0,\cdot)}\right\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}}{\|f\|_{\infty,v_1,(0,\infty)}} = \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\|(S(|g|))u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}}{\left\|gv_1v_2^{-1}\right\|_{\infty,(0,\infty)}},$$

and the statement follows by Theorem 3.3. □

The following statement can be proved analogously.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $0<p_2\le p_1\le\infty$, $0<q\le\infty$, $v_1, v_2\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ *and* $u\in {}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_q$.

(i) *If* $p_1\le q$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{p_1}(v_1)\to \mathrm{Cop}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left\|v_1^{-1}v_2\right\|_{p_1\to p_2,(t,\infty)} \|u\|_{q,(0,t)}$$

uniformly in $u\in {}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_q$.

(ii) *If* $q<p_1$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{p_1}(v_1)\to \mathrm{Cop}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)} \approx \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \left\|v_1^{-1}v_2\right\|^{p_1\to q}_{p_1\to p_2,(t,\infty)} d\left(\|u\|^{p_1\to q}_{q,(0,t)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q}}$$

uniformly in $u\in {}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_q$.

5. Characterizations of $\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\hookrightarrow L_{p_1}(v_1)$ and $\mathrm{Cop}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\hookrightarrow L_{p_1}(v_1)$

In this section we characterize the embeddings (1.5) and (1.6).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $0<p_1\le p_2\le\infty$, $0<q\le\infty$, $v_1,v_2\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ and $u\in\Omega_q$.*
(i) *If $q\le p_1$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\to L_{p_1}(v_1)}\approx\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\left\|v_1v_2^{-1}\right\|_{p_1\to p_2,(t,\infty)}\|u\|^{-1}_{q,(t,\infty)}$$

uniformly in $u\in\Omega_q$.
(ii) *If $p_1<q$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\to L_{p_1}(v_1)}\approx\left(\int_{(0,\infty)}\left\|v_1v_2^{-1}\right\|^{q\to p_1}_{p_1\to p_2,(t,\infty)}d\left(\|u\|^{-q\to p_1}_{q,(t-,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q\to p_1}}+\frac{\left\|v_1v_2^{-1}\right\|_{p_1\to p_2,(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}}$$

uniformly in $u\in\Omega_q$.

Proof. Let $p_2<\infty$. Since

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\to L_{p_1}(v_1)}=\sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\frac{\|f\|_{p_1,v_1,(0,\infty)}}{\left\|\|f\|_{p_2,v_2,(0,t)}\right\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}}=\left(\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\frac{\left\|g(v_1v_2^{-1})^{p_2}\right\|_{\frac{p_1}{p_2},(0,\infty)}}{\left\|H(|g|)u^{p_2}\right\|_{\frac{q}{p_2},(0,\infty)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}},$$

it remains to apply Theorem 3.7.
If $p_2=\infty$, then

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\to L_{p_1}(v_1)}=\sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\frac{\|f\|_{p_1,v_1,(0,\infty)}}{\left\|\|f\|_{p_2,v_2,(0,t)}\right\|_{q,u,(0,\infty)}}=\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\frac{\left\|gv_1v_2^{-1}\right\|_{p_1,(0,\infty)}}{\left\|S\,(|g|)u\right\|_{q,(0,\infty)}},$$

and the statement follows by Theorem 3.10. □

The following statement can be proved analogously.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $0<p_1\le p_2\le\infty$, $0<q\le\infty$, $v_1,v_2\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ and $u\in{}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_q$.*
(i) *If $q\le p_1$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\to L_{p_1}(v_1)}\approx\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\left\|v_1v_2^{-1}\right\|_{p_1\to p_2,(0,t)}\|u\|^{-1}_{q,(0,t)}$$

uniformly in $u\in{}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_q$.
(ii) *If $p_1<q$ then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_2,q}(u,v_2)\to L_{p_1}(v_1)}\approx\left(\int_{(0,\infty)}\left\|v_1v_2^{-1}\right\|^{q\to p_1}_{p_1\to p_2,(0,t)}d\left(-\|u\|^{-q\to p_1}_{q,(0,t+)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q\to p_1}}+\frac{\left\|v_1v_2^{-1}\right\|_{p_1\to p_2,(0,\infty)}}{\|u\|_{q,(0,\infty)}}$$

uniformly in $u\in{}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_q$.

Definition 5.3. Let X be a set of functions from $\mathfrak{M}(0,\infty)$, endowed with a positively homogeneous functional $\|\cdot\|_X$, defined for every $f\in\mathfrak{M}(0,\infty)$ and such that $f\in X$ if and only if $\|f\|_X<\infty$. We define the associate space X' of X as the set of all functions $f\in\mathfrak{M}(0,\infty)$ such that $\|f\|_{X'}<\infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{X'}=\sup\left\{\int_{(0,\infty)}|f(x)g(x)|\,dx:\ \|g\|_X\le1\right\}.$$

In particular, Theorems 6.6 and 5.2 allows us to give a characterization of the associate spaces of weighted Cesàro and Copson function spaces.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume* $1 \le p < \infty$, $0 < q \le \infty$. *Let* $u \in \Omega_q$ *and* $v \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. *Set*

$$X = \mathrm{Ces}_{p,q}(u,v).$$

(i) *Let* $0 < q \le 1$. *Then*

$$\|f\|_{X'} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \|f\|_{p',v^{-1},(t,\infty)} \|u\|^{-1}_{q,(t,\infty)},$$

with the positive constants in equivalence independent of f.

(ii) *Let* $1 < q \le \infty$. *Then*

$$\|f\|_{X'} \approx \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \|f\|^{q'}_{p',v^{-1},(t,\infty)} d\left(\|u\|^{-q'}_{q,(t-,\infty)} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} + \|f\|_{p',v^{-1},(0,\infty)} \|u\|^{-1}_{q,(0,\infty)},$$

with the positive constants in equivalence independent of f.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume* $1 \le p < \infty$, $0 < q \le \infty$. *Let* $u \in {}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_q$ *and* $v \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. *Set*

$$X = \mathrm{Cop}_{p,q}(u,v).$$

(i) *Let* $0 < q \le 1$. *Then*

$$\|f\|_{X'} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \|f\|_{p',v^{-1},(0,t)} \|u\|^{-1}_{q,(0,t)},$$

with the positive constants in equivalence independent of f.

(ii) *Let* $1 < q \le \infty$. *Then*

$$\|f\|_{X'} \approx \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \|f\|^{q'}_{p',v^{-1},(0,t)} d\left(-\|u\|^{-q'}_{q,(0,t+)} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} + \|f\|_{p',v^{-1},(0,\infty)} \|u\|^{-1}_{q,(0,\infty)},$$

with the positive constants in equivalence independent of f.

6. The iterated Hardy-type inequalities

In this section we recall characterizations of weighted iterated Hardy-type inequalities

$$\left\| \|H^* f\|_{p,u,(0,\cdot)} \right\|_{q,w,(0,\infty)} \le c \|f\|_{\theta,v,(0,\infty)}, \ f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty), \tag{6.1}$$

where $0 < p, q \le \infty$, $1 < \theta < \infty$.

Note that weighted iterated Hardy-type inequalities have been intensely investigated recently (see, for instance, [24] and [25], when $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \le \infty$, $1 \le \theta \le \infty$, and [28], when $p = \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$, $1 \le \theta < \infty$. For more detailed information see recent papers [26] and [27]). There exists different solutions of these inequalities. We will use the characterizations from [24] and [28].

Everywhere in this section, u, v and w are weights on $(0,\infty)$, and we denote

$$U(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau)\,d\tau \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_\theta(t) = \int_t^\infty v(\tau)^{1-\theta'}\,d\tau \quad \text{for } 1 < \theta < \infty.$$

We assume that u is such that $U(t) > 0$ for every $t \in (0,\infty)$.

Definition 6.1. Let U be a continuous, strictly increasing function on $[0,\infty)$ such that $U(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} U(t) = \infty$. Then we say that U is admissible.

Let U be an admissible function. We say that a function φ is U-quasiconcave if φ is equivalent to an increasing function on $(0,\infty)$ and φ/U is equivalent to a decreasing function on $(0,\infty)$. We say that a U-quasiconcave function φ is non-degenerate if

$$\lim_{t\to 0+} \varphi(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{U(t)} = \lim_{t\to 0+} \frac{U(t)}{\varphi(t)} = 0.$$

The family of non-degenerate U-quasiconcave functions is denoted by Q_U.

Definition 6.2. Let U be an admissible function, and let w be a nonnegative measurable function on $(0,\infty)$. We say that the function φ defined by

$$\varphi(t) = U(t)\int_0^\infty \frac{w(\tau)\,d\tau}{U(\tau)+U(t)}, \qquad t\in(0,\infty),$$

is a fundamental function of w with respect to U. One will also say that $w(s)ds$ is a representation measure of φ with respect to U.

Denote by

$$\mathcal{U}(x,t) := \frac{U(x)}{U(t)+U(x)}.$$

Remark 6.3. Let φ be the fundamental function of w with respect to U. Assume that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{w(\tau)\,d\tau}{U(\tau)+U(t)} < \infty,\ t>0, \qquad \int_0^1 \frac{w(\tau)\,d\tau}{U(\tau)} = \int_1^\infty w(\tau)\,d\tau = \infty.$$

Then $\varphi\in Q_U$.

First we recall the characterization of (6.3), when $p<\infty$ and $q<\infty$.

Theorem 6.4. [24, Theorem 3.1] *Let $0<q<\infty$, $0<p<\infty$, $1<\theta<\infty$ and let u, v, w be weights. Assume that u be such that U is admissible and $\varphi\in Q_{U^{\frac{q}{p}}}$, where φ is defined by*

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(x,\tau)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(\tau)\,d\tau \qquad \text{for all} \qquad x\in(0,\infty). \tag{6.2}$$

Then inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{U(t)}\int_0^t \left(\int_\tau^\infty h(z)dz\right)^p u(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(t)dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le c\left(\int_0^\infty h(t)^\theta v(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \tag{6.3}$$

holds for every measurable function f on $(0,\infty)$ if and only if

(i) $\theta\le\min\{p,q\}$

$$A_1 := \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(x,\tau)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{U}(t,x)^{\frac{1}{p}} V_\theta(t)^{\frac{1}{\theta'}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant c in (6.3) satisfies $c\approx A_1$.

(ii) $q<\theta\le p$, $l=\frac{\theta q}{\theta-q}$

$$A_2 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(x,\tau)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{l-q}{q}} w(x) \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{U}(t,x)^{\frac{l}{p}} V_\theta(t)^{\frac{l}{\theta'}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{l}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant c in (6.3) satisfies $c\approx A_2$.

(iii) $p<\theta\le q$, $r=\frac{\theta p}{\theta-p}$

$$A_3 := \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(x,\tau)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(t,x)^{\frac{r}{p}} V_\theta(t)^{\frac{r}{p'}} v(t)^{1-\theta'}\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant c in (6.3) satisfies $c\approx A_3$.

(iv) $\max\{p,q\}<\theta$, $r=\frac{\theta p}{\theta-p}$, $l=\frac{\theta q}{\theta-q}$

$$A_4 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(x,\tau)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{l-q}{q}} w(x)\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(t,x)^{\frac{r}{p}} V_\theta(t)^{\frac{r}{p'}} v(t)^{1-\theta'}\,dt\right)^{\frac{l}{r}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{l}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant c in (6.3) satisfies $c\approx A_4$.

Remark 6.5. Suppose that $\varphi(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in (0,\infty)$, where φ defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,x)} U(t) \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in(t,\infty)} \frac{w(\tau)}{U(\tau)},\ t \in (0,\infty).$$

If

$$\limsup_{t\to 0+} w(t) = \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \frac{1}{w(t)} = \limsup_{t\to 0+} \frac{U(t)}{w(t)} = \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \frac{w(t)}{U(t)} = 0,$$

then $\varphi \in Q_U$.

We now state the announced characterization of (6.5), when $p < \infty$ and $q = \infty$.

Theorem 6.6. [24, Theorem 3.2] *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $1 < \theta < \infty$ and let u, v, w be weights. Assume that u is such that U is admissible and $\varphi \in Q_{U^{\frac{1}{p}}}$, where φ is defined by*

$$\varphi(x) = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,\infty)} w(t)\mathcal{U}(x,t)^{\frac{1}{p}},\ x \in (0,\infty). \tag{6.4}$$

Then inequality

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,\infty)} w(t)\Big(\frac{1}{U(t)}\int_0^t \Big(\int_\tau^\infty h(z)dz\Big)^p u(\tau)\,d\tau\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le c\Big(\int_0^\infty h(t)^\theta v(t)\,dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \tag{6.5}$$

holds for every measurable function f on $(0,\infty)$ if and only if

(i) *$\theta \le p$ and*

$$B_1 := \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in(0,\infty)} w(\tau)\mathcal{U}(x,\tau)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{U}(t,x)^{\frac{1}{p}} V_\theta(t)^{\frac{1}{\theta'}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant c in (6.5) satisfies $c \approx B_1$.

(ii) *$p < \theta$, $r = \frac{\theta p}{\theta - p}$ and*

$$B_2 := \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in(0,\infty)} w(\tau)\mathcal{U}(x,\tau)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(t,x)^{\frac{r}{p}} V_\theta(t)^{\frac{r}{p'}} v(t)^{1-\theta'}\,dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant c in (6.5) satisfies $c \approx B_2$.

For a given weight v, $0 \le a < b \le \infty$ and $1 \le \theta < \infty$, we denote

$$v_\theta(a,b) = \begin{cases} \Big(\int_a^b [v(t)]^{1-\theta'}\,dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} & \text{when } 1 < \theta < \infty, \\ \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(a,b)} [v(t)]^{-1} & \text{when } \theta = 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, recall the characterization of (6.5), when $p = \infty$ and $q < \infty$.

Theorem 6.7. [28, Theorems 4.1 and 4.4] *Let $1 \le \theta < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ and let $u \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty) \cap C(0,\infty)$. Assume that $v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ be such that*

$$0 < \int_x^\infty v(\tau)\,d\tau < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 < \int_x^\infty w(\tau)\,d\tau < \infty \qquad \text{for all} \qquad x > 0.$$

Then inequality

$$\Big(\int_0^\infty \Big(\sup_{\tau\in(0,t)} u(\tau)\int_\tau^\infty h(z)\,dz\Big)^q w(t)\,dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le c\Big(\int_0^\infty h(t)^\theta v(t)\,dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \tag{6.6}$$

is satisfied with the best constant c if and only if:

(i) $\theta \le q$, *and in this case* $c \approx A_1$, *where*

$$A_1 := \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \left(\left[\sup_{\tau\in(0,x)} u(\tau) \right]^q \int_x^\infty w(\tau)\,d\tau + \int_0^x \left[\sup_{\tau\in(0,t)} u(\tau) \right]^q w(t)\,dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} v_\theta(x,\infty);$$

(ii) $q < \theta$ *and* $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\theta}$, *and in this case* $c \approx B_1 + B_2$, *where*

$$B_1 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x \left[\sup_{\tau\in(0,t)} u(\tau) \right]^q w(t)\,dt \right)^{\frac{r}{\theta}} \left[\sup_{\tau\in(0,x)} u(\tau) \right]^q \left[v_\theta(x,\infty) \right]^r w(x)\,dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

$$B_2 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty w(\tau)\,d\tau \right)^{\frac{r}{\theta}} \left[\sup_{\tau\in(0,x)} \left[\sup_{y\in(0,\tau)} u(y) \right] v_\theta(\tau,\infty) \right]^r w(x)\,dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

7. Embeddings Between $\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)$ and $\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)$

In this section we characterize the embeddings between weighted Copson and Cesàro function spaces. From now on, we will denote

$$v(x) := v_1(x)^{-1} v_2(x), \quad V(x) := \|v\|_{p_1\to p_2,(0,x)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}(t,x) := \frac{V(t)}{V(t)+V(x)},\ (t>0,\ x>0).$$

Lemma 7.1. *Let* $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \le \infty$ *and* $p_1 < p_2$. *Assume that* $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ *and* $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$. *Then* $\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1) \not\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)$ holds. Then there exist $c > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \le c\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)}$$

holds for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$. Let $\tau \in (0,\infty)$ and $f = 0$ on (τ,∞). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} &= \left\| \|f\|_{p_2,v_2,(0,\cdot)} \right\|_{q_2,u_2,(0,\infty)} \\ &\ge \left\| \|f\|_{p_2,v_2,(0,\cdot)} \right\|_{q_2,u_2,(\tau,\infty)} \\ &\ge \|u_2\|_{q_2,(\tau,\infty)} \|f\|_{p_2,v_2,(0,\tau)} \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)} &= \left\| \|f\|_{p_1,v_1,(\cdot,\infty)} \right\|_{q_1,u_1,(0,\infty)} \\ &\le \left\| \|f\|_{p_1,v_1,(\cdot,\infty)} \right\|_{q_1,u_1,(0,\tau)} \\ &\le \|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\tau)} \|f\|_{p_1,v_1,(0,\tau)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Combining (7.1) with (7.2), we can assert that

$$\|u_2\|_{q_2,(\tau,\infty)} \|f\|_{p_2,v_2,(0,\tau)} \le c \|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\tau)} \|f\|_{p_1,v_1,(0,\tau)}.$$

Since $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$, we conclude that $L_{p_1}(v_1) \hookrightarrow L_{p_2}(v_2)$, which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let* $0 < p_1 = q_1 < \infty$, $0 < p_2 = q_2 < \infty$, $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ *and* $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$. *Then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \left\| \|u_1\|_{p_1,(0,\cdot)}^{-1} \|u_2\|_{p_2,(\cdot,\infty)} \right\|_{p_1\to p_2,v,(0,\infty)}.$$

Proof. In view of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we have that

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} = \|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{p_1}(w_1)\to L_{p_2}(w_2)}$$

with $w_1(x) = v_1(x)\|u_1\|_{p_1,(0,x)}$ and $w_2(x) = v_2(x)\|u_2\|_{p_2,(x,\infty)}$, $x > 0$. □

Theorem 7.3. *Let $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$, $p_1 = q_1$ and $p_2 \neq q_2$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$.*

(i) *If $p_1 \le q_2$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \Big\| \|u_1\|^{-1}_{p_1,(0,\cdot)} \Big\|_{p_1\to p_2,v,(0,t)} \|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)},$$

(ii) *If $q_2 < p_1$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} \Big\| \|u_1\|^{-1}_{p_1,(0,\cdot)} \Big\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{p_1\to p_2,v,(0,t)} d\Big(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)} \Big) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}}.$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.6, we have that

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} = \|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{p_1}(w_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)}$$

with $w_1(x) = v_1(x)\|u_1\|_{p_1,(0,x)}$, $x > 0$. Then the result follows from Theorem 4.1. □

Theorem 7.4. *Let $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$, $p_1 \neq q_1$ and $p_2 = q_2$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$.*

(i) *If $q_1 \le p_2$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,t)} \Big\| \|u_2\|_{p_2,(\cdot,\infty)} \Big\|_{p_1\to p_2},$$

(ii) *If $p_2 < q_1$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx &\left(\int_0^\infty \Big\| \|u_2\|_{p_2,(\cdot,\infty)} \Big\|^{q_1\to p_2}_{p_1\to p_2,v,(0,t)} d\Big(-\|u_1\|^{-q_1\to p_2}_{q_1,(0,t)} \Big) \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to p_2}} \\ &+ \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)} \Big\| \|u_2\|_{p_2,(\cdot,\infty)} \Big\|_{p_1\to p_2,v,(0,\infty)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.5, we have that

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} = \|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to L_{p_2}(w_2)}$$

with $w_2(x) = v_2(x)\|u_2\|_{p_2,(x,\infty)}$, $x > 0$. Then the result follows from Theorem 5.2. □

The following lemma is true.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$, $p_2 \le p_1$ and $p_2 < q_2$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$. Then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} = \left\{ \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\Big\|\mathrm{I}\Big\|^{p_2}_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to L_{p_2}\left(v_2 H^*(g)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}\right)}}{\|g\|^{p_2}_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

Proof. By duality, interchanging suprema, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \\ &\quad= \sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)}}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)}} \\ &\quad= \sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{1}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)}} \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\tau f(x)^{p_2} v_2(x)^{p_2}\,dx \right) g(\tau)\,d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}}{\|g\|^{\frac{1}{p_2}}_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}}\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{1}{\|g\|^{\frac{1}{p_2}}_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}} \sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\tau f(x)^{p_2} v_2(x)^{p_2}\,dx\right) g(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)}}.$$

Applying the Fubini's Theorem, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)}\\
&\quad= \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{1}{\|g\|^{\frac{1}{p_2}}_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}} \sup_{f\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\left(\int_0^\infty f(x)^{p_2} v_2(x)^{p_2}\left(\int_x^\infty g(\tau)\,d\tau\right)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)}}\\
&\quad= \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{1}{\|g\|^{\frac{1}{p_2}}_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}} \|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to L_{p_2}\left(v_2(\cdot)H^*(g)^{\frac{1}{p_2}}\right)}. \qquad (7.3)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 7.6. *Let $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$, $p_2 < p_1$, $q_1 \le p_2 < q_2$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$. Assume that V is admissible and*

$$\varphi_1(x) := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,\infty)} V(t)\mathcal{V}(x,t)\|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,t)} \in Q_{V^{\frac{1}{p_1\to p_2}}}.$$

(i) *If $p_1 \le q_2$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \varphi_1(x) \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

(ii) *If $q_2 < p_1$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \varphi_1(x)\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}(t,x)^{p_1\to q_2} d\left(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.5, we have that

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} = \sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{1}{\|g\|^{\frac{1}{p_2}}_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}} \|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to L_{p_2}(v_2H^*(g)^{\frac{1}{p_2}})}.$$

Since $q_1 \le p_2$, applying Theorem [5.2, (i)], we obtain that

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \left\{\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\sup\limits_{t\in(0,\infty)} \|u_1\|^{-p_2}_{q_1,(0,t)}\|H^*g\|_{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p_2},v^{p_2},(0,t)}}{\|g\|_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

(i) If $p_1 \le q_2$, then applying Theorem [6.6, (i)], we arrive at

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \varphi_1(x) \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} V(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

(ii) If $q_2 < p_1$, then applying Theorem [6.6, (ii)], we arrive at

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \varphi_1(x)\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}(t,x)^{p_1\to q_2} d\left(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}}.$$

□

Remark 7.7. In view of Remark 6.5, if

$$\limsup_{t\to 0+} V(t)\|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,t)} = \limsup_{t\to+\infty} V(t)\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,t)} = \limsup_{t\to 0+} \|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,t)} = \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,t)} = 0,$$

then $\varphi_1 \in Q_{V^{\frac{1}{p_1\to p_2}}}$.

Theorem 7.8. *Let $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$, $p_2 < p_1$ and $p_2 < \min\{q_1, q_2\}$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$. Assume that V is admissible and*

$$\varphi_2(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty [\mathcal{V}(x,t)V(t)]^{q_1\to p_2} d\left(-\|u_1\|^{-q_1\to p_2}_{q_1,(0,t)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to p_2}} \in Q_{V^{\frac{1}{p_1\to p_2}}}.$$

(i) *If* $\max\{p_1, q_1\} \le q_2$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \varphi_2(x) \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)} + \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)} \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} V(t)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

(ii) *If* $p_1 \le q_2 < q_1$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi_2(x)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2\cdot q_1\to p_2}{q_2\to p_2}} V(x)^{q_1\to p_2}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)^{q_1\to q_2} d\left(-\|u_1\|^{-q_1\to p_2}_{q_1,(0,x)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}} + \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)} \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} V(t)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

(iii) *If* $q_1 \le q_2 < p_1$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \varphi_2(x)\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}(t,x)^{p_1\to q_2} d\left(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}} + \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^\infty V(t)^{p_1\to q_2} d\left(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}}.$$

(iv) *If* $q_2 < \min\{p_1, q_1\}$, *then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi_2(x)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2\cdot q_1\to p_2}{q_2\to p_2}} V(x)^{q_1\to p_2}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}(t,x)^{p_1\to q_2} d\left(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2}{p_1\to q_2}} d\left(-\|u_1\|^{-q_1\to p_2}_{q_1,(0,x)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}} + \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^\infty V(t)^{p_1\to q_2} d\left(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.5, applying Theorem [5.2, (ii)], we have that

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)} \left\{\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\|H^*g\|^{p_2}_{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p_2},v^{p_2},(0,\infty)}}{\|g\|^{p_2}_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_2}}$$

$$+\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\frac{\left(\int_0^\infty \|H^*g\|^{\frac{q_1}{q_1-p_2}}_{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p_2},v^{p_2},(0,t)}\,d\Big(-\|u_1\|^{-\frac{q_1p_2}{q_1-p_2}}_{q_1,(0,t)}\Big)\right)^{\frac{q_1-p_2}{q_1}}}{\|g\|_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2},u_2^{-p_2},(0,\infty)}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_2}}$$
$$:= C_1 + C_2.$$

Note that

$$C_1 = \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)}\left\{\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p_2}}(u_2^{-p_2})\to \mathrm{Cop}_{1,\frac{p_1}{p_1-p_2}}(v^{p_2},\mathbf{1})}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_2}}$$

Assume first that $p_1 \le q_2$. Applying Theorem [4.2, (i)], we arrive at

$$C_1 \approx \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)}\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} V(t)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}. \tag{7.4}$$

(i) Let $q_1 \le q_2$. Using Theorem [6.4, (i)], we obtain that

$$C_2 \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\varphi_2(x)\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

Consequently, the proof is completed in this case.

(ii) Let $q_2 < q_1$. Applying Theorem [6.4, (ii)], we have that

$$C_2 \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi_2(x)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2\cdot q_1\to p_2}{q_2\to p_2}}V(x)^{q_1\to p_2}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)^{q_1\to q_2}d\Big(-\|u_1\|^{-q_1\to p_2}_{q_1,(0,x)}\Big)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}},$$

and the statement follows in this case.

Let us now assume that $q_2 < p_1$. Then using Theorem [4.2, (ii)], we have that

$$C_1 \approx \|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^\infty V(t)^{p_1\to q_2}d\Big(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\Big)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}}. \tag{7.5}$$

(iii) Let $q_1 \le q_2$, then Theorem [6.4, (iii)] yields that

$$C_2 \approx \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\varphi_2(x)\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}(t,x)^{p_1\to q_2}d\Big(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\Big)\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1\to q_2}},$$

these complete the proof in this case.

(iv) If $q_2 < q_1$, then on using Theorem [6.4, (iv)], we arrive at

$$C_2 \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi_2(x)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2\cdot q_1\to p_2}{q_2\to p_2}}V(x)^{q_1\to p_2}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}(t,x)^{p_1\to q_2}d\Big(-\|u_2\|^{p_1\to q_2}_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\Big)\right)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2}{p_1\to q_2}}d\Big(-\|u_1\|^{-q_1\to p_2}_{q_1,(0,x)}\Big)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}},$$

and the proof follows. □

Remark 7.9. Assume that $\varphi_2(x) < \infty,\ x > 0$. In view of Remark 6.3, if

$$\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t u_1^{q_1}\right)^{-\frac{q_1}{q_1-p_2}}u_1^{q_1}(t)\,dt = \int_1^\infty V(t)^{\frac{q_1p_2}{q_1-p_2}}\left(\int_0^t u_1^{q_1}\right)^{-\frac{q_1}{q_1-p_2}}u_1^{q_1}(t)\,dt = \infty,$$

then $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{V^{\frac{1}{p_1\to p_2}}}$.

Now consider the case when $p_1 = p_2 = p$.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $0 < q_1 < p < q_2 < \infty$. Assume that $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1 \in {}^{\mathrm{c}}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2 \in \Omega_{q_2}$. Then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\Big\|\|u_1\|^{-1}_{q_1,(0,\cdot)}\Big\|_{\infty,v,(0,t)}\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.5, applying Theorem [5.2, (i)], we have that

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \left\{\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)} \frac{\|H^*g\|_{\infty,v^p\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\cdot)}^{-p},(0,\infty)}}{\|g\|_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p},u_2^{-p},(0,\infty)}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= \left\{\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p}}(u_2^{-p})\to\mathrm{Cop}_{1,\infty}\left(v^p\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\cdot)}^{-p},\mathbf{1}\right)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem [4.2, (i)],

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)} \approx \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left\|\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\cdot)}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty,v,(0,t)} \|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

□

Before proceeding to the case $p = p_1 = p_2 < q_2$, we prove another variant of "gluing" lemma. The idea of proof comes in the same line as in [29, Theorem 3.1].

Lemma 7.11. *Let β be positive number and $u \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $g \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$. Assume that h is a non-negative continuous function on $(0,\infty)$. Then*

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(x,t)g(t)\,dt\right)^{\beta-1}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{U}(t,x)h(t)\right)^\beta g(x)\,dx$$
$$\approx \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x g(t)\,dt\right)^{\beta-1}\left(\sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t)\right)^\beta g(x)\,dx$$
$$+ \int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty U(\tau)^{-1}g(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\beta-1}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t)\right)^\beta U(x)^{-1}g(x)\,dx.$$

Proof. Denote by

$$A_1 := \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x g(t)\,dt\right)^{\beta-1}\left(\sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t)\right)^\beta g(x)\,dx,$$
$$A_2 := \int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty U(\tau)^{-1}g(\tau)\,d\tau\right)^{\beta-1}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t)\right)^\beta U(x)^{-1}g(x)\,dx.$$

Obviously,

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \mathcal{U}(x,t)g(t)\,dt\right)^{\beta-1}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \mathcal{U}(t,x)h(t)\right)^\beta g(x)dx$$
$$\approx \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x g(t)\,dt + U(x)\int_x^\infty U(t)^{-1}g(t)\,dt\right)^{\beta-1}\left(U(x)^{-1}\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t) + \sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t)\right)^\beta g(x)\,dx$$
$$\approx A_1 + A_2 + B_1 + B_2,$$

where

$$B_1 := \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x g(t)\,dt\right)^{\beta-1}\left(U(x)^{-1}\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t)\right)^\beta g(x)\,dx,$$
$$B_2 := \int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty U(t)^{-1}g(t)\,dt\right)^{\beta-1}\left(U(x)\sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t)\right)^\beta U(x)^{-1}g(x)\,dx.$$

It is enough to show that $B_i \lesssim A_1 + A_2$, $i = 1,2$.

Let us show that $B_1 \lesssim A_1 + A_2$. We will consider the case when $\int_0^\infty g(t)\,dt < \infty$ (The case when $\int_0^\infty g(t)\,dt = \infty$ is much simpler to treat). Define a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M}$ such that $\int_0^{x_k} g(t)\,dt = 2^k$ if $-\infty < k \le M$ and $2^M \le \int_0^\infty g(t)\,dt < 2^{M+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\le \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^x g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{y\in(x,\infty)} U(y)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} g(x)\,dx \\ &\approx \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{k\beta} \Big(\sup_{y\in(x_k,\infty)} U(y)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} \\ &\approx \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{k\beta} \Big(\sup_{y\in(x_k,x_{k+1})} U(y)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $-\infty < k \le M$ there exists $y_k \in (x_k, x_{k+1})$ such that

$$\sup_{y\in(x_k,x_{k+1})} U(y)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y)} U(t)h(t) \le 2U(y_k)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y_k)} U(t)h(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{k\beta} \Big(U(y_k)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y_k)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} \\ &\approx \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{k\beta} \Big(U(y_k)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y_{k-2})} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{k\beta} \Big(U(y_k)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(y_{k-2},y_k)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} =: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $2^k \le \int_0^{y_k} g(x)\,dx \le 2^{k+1}$ and $2^{k-1} \le \int_{y_{k-2}}^{y_k} g(x)\,dx \le 2^{k+1}$, $-\infty < k \le M$. It yields that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{y_{k-2}}^{y_k} \Big(\int_x^{y_k} g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} g(x)\,dx \cdot \Big(U(y_k)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(0,y_{k-2})} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} \\ &\le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{y_{k-2}}^{y_k} \Big(\int_x^{y_k} U(t)^{-1} g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} U(x)^{-1} g(x)\,dx \cdot \Big(\sup_{t\in(0,y_{k-2})} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} \\ &\le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{y_{k-2}}^{y_k} \Big(\int_x^{\infty} U(t)^{-1} g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} U(x)^{-1} g(x)\,dx \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_x^\infty U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau\Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} U(x)^{-1} g(x)\,dx = A_2. \end{aligned}$$

For II we have that

$$\begin{aligned} II &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{y_{k-4}}^{y_{k-2}} \Big(\int_{y_{k-4}}^{x} g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} g(x)\,dx \cdot \Big(U(y_k)^{-1} \sup_{t\in(y_{k-2},y_k)} U(t)h(t)\Big)^{\beta} \\ &\le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{y_{k-4}}^{y_{k-2}} \Big(\int_0^{x} g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} g(x)\,dx \cdot \Big(\sup_{t\in(y_{k-2},\infty)} h(t)\Big)^{\beta} \\ &\le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{y_{k-4}}^{y_{k-2}} \Big(\int_0^{x} g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t)\Big)^{\beta} g(x)\,dx \\ &\le \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^x g(t)\,dt\Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t)\Big)^{\beta} g(x)dx = A_1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining, we get that $B_1 \lesssim A_1 + A_2$.

Now we show that $B_2 \lesssim A_1 + A_2$. We will consider the case when $\int_0^\infty U(t)^{-1} g(t)\,dt < \infty$ (It is much simpler to deal with the case when $\int_0^\infty U(t)^{-1} g(t)\,dt = \infty$). Define a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=N}^\infty$ such that $2^{-k} = \int_{x_k}^\infty U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau$ if $N \le k < \infty$ and $2^{-N} < \int_0^\infty U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \le 2^{-N+1}$. By using elementary calculations, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &\le \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_x^\infty U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{y\in(0,x)} U(y) \sup_{t\in(y,\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta U(x)^{-1} g(x)dx \\ &\approx \sum_{k=N}^\infty 2^{-k\beta} \Big(\sup_{y\in(0,x_k)} U(y) \sup_{t\in(y,\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta \\ &\approx \sum_{k=N}^\infty 2^{-k\beta} \Big(\sup_{y\in(x_{k-1},x_k)} U(y) \sup_{t\in(y,\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

For every $k = N, N+1, \dots$ there exists $y_k \in (x_{k-1}, x_k)$ such that

$$\sup_{y\in(x_{k-1},x_k)} U(y) \sup_{t\in(y,\infty)} h(t) \le 2U(y_k) \sup_{t\in(y_k,\infty)} h(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &\lesssim \sum_{k=N}^\infty 2^{-k\beta} \Big(U(y_k) \sup_{t\in(y_k,\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta \\ &\approx \sum_{k=N}^\infty 2^{-k\beta} \Big(U(y_k) \sup_{t\in(y_k,y_{k+2})} h(t) \Big)^\beta + \sum_{k=N}^\infty 2^{-k\beta} \Big(U(y_k) \sup_{t\in(y_{k+2},\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta =: III + IV. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2^{-k-1} \le \int_{y_k}^\infty U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \le 2^{-k}$ and $2^{-k-2} \le \int_{y_k}^{y_{k+2}} U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \le 2^{-k}$, $k = N, N+1, \dots$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} III &\lesssim \sum_{k=N}^\infty \int_{y_{k+2}}^{y_{k+4}} \Big(\int_x^{y_{k+4}} U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \Big)^{\beta-1} U(x)^{-1} g(x)\,dx \cdot \Big(U(y_k) \sup_{t\in(y_k,y_{k+2})} h(t) \Big)^\beta \\ &\le \sum_{k=N}^\infty \int_{y_{k+2}}^{y_{k+4}} \Big(\int_x^\infty U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t) \Big)^\beta U(x)^{-1} g(x)\,dx \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_x^\infty U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(0,x)} U(t)h(t) \Big)^\beta U(x)^{-1} g(x)\,dx \approx A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} IV &\lesssim \sum_{k=N}^\infty \int_{y_k}^{y_{k+2}} \Big(\int_{y_k}^x U(\tau)^{-1} g(\tau)\,d\tau \Big)^{\beta-1} U(x)^{-1} g(x)dx \cdot \Big(U(y_k) \sup_{t\in(y_{k+2},\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta \\ &\le \sum_{k=N}^\infty \int_{y_k}^{y_{k+2}} \Big(\int_{y_k}^x g(\tau)\,d\tau \Big)^{\beta-1} g(x)\,dx \cdot \Big(\sup_{t\in(y_{k+2},\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta \\ &\le \sum_{k=N}^\infty \int_{y_k}^{y_{k+2}} \Big(\int_0^x g(\tau)\,d\tau \Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta g(x)\,dx \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_0^x g(t)\,dt \Big)^{\beta-1} \Big(\sup_{t\in(x,\infty)} h(t) \Big)^\beta g(x)dx \approx A_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $B_2 \lesssim A_1 + A_2$. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 7.12. *Let $0<q_1,q_2<\infty$, and $0<p<q_2$. Let $v_1,v_2\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, $u_1\in{}^{c}\Omega_{q_1}$ and $u_2\in\Omega_{q_2}$. Assume that $v\in W(0,\infty)\cap C(0,\infty)$ and $0<\|u_2^{-1}\|_{q_2\to p,(x,\infty)}<\infty$, $x>0$.*

(i) *If $q_1\le q_2$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)}\approx\sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\varphi_2(x)\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}+\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\infty)}^{-1}\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}V(t)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

(ii) *If $q_2<q_1$, then*

$$\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)}\approx\left(\int_0^\infty\varphi_2(x)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2\cdot q_1\to p}{q_2\to p}}V(x)^{q_1\to p}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)^{q_1\to q_2}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,x)}^{-q_1\to p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}}+\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\infty)}^{-1}\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}V(t)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.5, applying Theorem [5.2, (ii)], we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{I}\|_{\mathrm{Cop}_{p_1,q_1}(u_1,v_1)\to\mathrm{Ces}_{p_2,q_2}(u_2,v_2)}\approx&\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\infty)}^{-1}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\frac{\|H^*g\|_{\infty,v^p,(0,\infty)}}{\|g\|_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p},u_2^{-p},(0,\infty)}}\right\}^{\frac1p}\\&+\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)}\frac{\left(\int_0^\infty\|H^*g\|_{\infty,v^p,(0,t)}^{\frac{q_1}{q_1-p}}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,t)}^{-\frac{q_1p}{q_1-p}}\right)\right)^{\frac{q_1-p}{q_1}}}{\|g\|_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p},u_2^{-p},(0,\infty)}}\right\}^{\frac1p}\\:=&C_3+C_4.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$C_3=\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\infty)}^{-1}\left[\|\mathrm{I}\|_{L_{\frac{q_2}{q_2-p}}(u_2^{-p})\to\mathrm{Cop}_{1,\infty}(v^p,\mathbf{1})}\right]^{\frac1p}.$$

Using Theorem [4.2, (i)], we have that

$$C_3\approx\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,\infty)}^{-1}\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}V(t)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}.$$

(i) Let $q_1\le q_2$, then Theorem [6.7, (i)] yields that

$$C_4\approx\sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^\infty[\mathcal{V}(x,t)V(t)]^{q_1\to p}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,t)}^{-q_1\to p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to p}}\|u_2\|_{q_2,(x,\infty)}.$$

Since φ_2/V is equivalent to decreasing function we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\varphi_2(x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(x,\infty)}&=\sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\varphi_2(x)V(x)^{-1}\sup_{t\in(0,x)}V(t)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\\&=\sup_{x\in(0,\infty)}\varphi_2(x)\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)},\qquad x>0.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $q_2<q_1$, then Theorem [6.7, (ii)] yields that

$$C_4\approx\left(\int_0^\infty\left(\int_x^\infty d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,t)}^{-q_1\to p}\right)\right)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2}{q_2\to p}}\left(\sup_{0<\tau\le x}V(\tau)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(\tau,\infty)}\right)^{q_1\to q_2}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,x)}^{-q_1\to p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}}$$

$$+\left(\int_0^\infty\left(\int_0^x V(t)^{q_1\to p}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,t)}^{-q_1\to p}\right)\right)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2}{q_2\to p}}V(x)^{q_1\to p}\|u_2\|_{q_2,(x,\infty)}^{q_1\to q_2}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,x)}^{-q_1\to p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}}$$

$$\approx\left(\int_0^\infty\varphi_2(x)^{\frac{q_1\to q_2\cdot q_1\to p}{q_2\to p}}V(x)^{q_1\to p}\left(\sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\mathcal{V}(t,x)\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}\right)^{q_1\to q_2}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,x)}^{-q_1\to p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1\to q_2}}.$$

In the last equivalence we have used Lemma 7.11 with

$$u(x)=V(x)^{q_1\to p-1}v(x),\ g(t)dt=V(t)^{q_1\to p}d\left(-\|u_1\|_{q_1,(0,t)}^{-q_1\to p}\right),\ \beta=\frac{q_1\to q_2}{q_1\to p}\text{ and }h(t)=\|u_2\|_{q_2,(t,\infty)}^{q_1\to p}.$$

It is clear that $U(x)\approx V(x)^{q_1\to p}$ and $\mathcal{U}(x,t)\approx\mathcal{V}(x,t)^{q_1\to p}$. □

References

[1] R. Askey and R. P. Boas Jr., *Some integrability theorems for power series with positive coefficients*, Mathematical Essays Dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio Univ. Press, Athens, Ohio, 1970, pp. 23–32. MR0277956 (43 #3689)

[2] S. V. Astashkin, *On the geometric properties of Cesàro spaces*, Mat. Sb. **203** (2012), no. 4, 61–80, DOI 10.1070/SM2012v203n04ABEH004232 (Russian, with Russian summary); English transl., Sb. Math. **203** (2012), no. 3-4, 514–533. MR2976287

[3] S. V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, *Cesàro function spaces fail the fixed point property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 12, 4289–4294, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09599-3. MR2431042 (2009g:46045)

[4] ———, *Structure of Cesàro function spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **20** (2009), no. 3, 329–379, DOI 10.1016/S0019-3577(10)00002-9. MR2639977 (2011c:46056)

[5] ———, *Rademacher functions in Cesàro type spaces*, Studia Math. **198** (2010), no. 3, 235–247, DOI 10.4064/sm198-3-3. MR2650988 (2011m:46040)

[6] ———, *Geometry of Cesàro function spaces*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **45** (2011), no. 1, 79–82, DOI 10.1007/s10688-011-0007-8 (Russian); English transl., Funct. Anal. Appl. **45** (2011), no. 1, 64–68. MR2848742 (2012f:46051)

[7] ———, *Interpolation of Cesàro sequence and function spaces*, Studia Math. **215** (2013), no. 1, 39–69, DOI 10.4064/sm215-1-4. MR3071806

[8] ———, *A short proof of some recent results related to Cesàro function spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **24** (2013), no. 3, 589–592, DOI 10.1016/j.indag.2013.03.001. MR3064562

[9] ———, *Interpolation of Cesàro and Copson spaces*, Banach and function spaces IV (ISBFS 2012), Yokohama Publ., Yokohama, 2014, pp. 123–133. MR3289767

[10] ———, *Structure of Cesàro function spaces: a survey*, Banach Center Publ. **102** (2014), 13–40.

[11] E. S. Belinskii, E. R. Liflyand, and R. M. Trigub, *The Banach algebra A^* and its properties*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3** (1997), no. 2, 103–129, DOI 10.1007/s00041-001-4052-1. MR1438893 (98a:42003)

[12] G. Bennett, *Factorizing the classical inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1996), no. 576, viii+130, DOI 10.1090/memo/0576. MR1317938 (96h:26020)

[13] R. P. Boas Jr., *Integrability theorems for trigonometric transforms*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 38, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1967. MR0219973 (36 #3043)

[14] ———, *Some integral inequalities related to Hardy's inequality*, J. Analyse Math. **23** (1970), 53–63. MR0274685 (43 #447)

[15] M. Carro, A. Gogatishvili, J. Martin, and L. Pick, *Weighted inequalities involving two Hardy operators with applications to embeddings of function spaces*, J. Operator Theory **59** (2008), no. 2, 309–332. MR2411048 (2009f:26024)

[16] S. Chen, Y. Cui, H. Hudzik, and B. Sims, *Geometric properties related to fixed point theory in some Banach function lattices*, Handbook of metric fixed point theory, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 339–389. MR1904283 (2003f:46031)

[17] Y. Cui and R. Płuciennik, *Local uniform nonsquareness in Cesàro sequence spaces*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **37** (1997), 47–58. MR1608225 (99b:46025)

[18] Y. Cui and H. Hudzik, *Some geometric properties related to fixed point theory in Cesàro spaces*, Collect. Math. **50** (1999), no. 3, 277–288. MR1744077 (2001f:46033)

[19] ———, *Packing constant for Cesaro sequence spaces*, Proceedings of the Third World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts, Part 4 (Catania, 2000), 2001, pp. 2695–2702, DOI 10.1016/S0362-546X(01)00389-3. MR1972393 (2004c:46033)

[20] Y. Cui, H. Hudzik, and Y. Li, *On the Garcia-Falset coefficient in some Banach sequence spaces*, Function spaces (Poznań, 1998), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 213, Dekker, New York, 2000, pp. 141–148. MR1772119 (2001h:46009)

[21] Y. Cui, C.-H. Meng, and R. Płuciennik, *Banach-Saks property and property* (β) *in Cesàro sequence spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **24** (2000), no. 2, 201–210, DOI 10.1007/s100120070003. MR1810056 (2001m:46031)
[22] W. D. Evans, A. Gogatishvili, and B. Opic, *The reverse Hardy inequality with measures*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **11** (2008), no. 1, 43–74, DOI 10.7153/mia-11-03. MR2376257 (2008m:26029)
[23] J. E. Gilbert, *Interpolation between weighted L^p-spaces*, Ark. Mat. **10** (1972), 235–249. MR0324393 (48 #2745)
[24] A. Gogatishvili, R. Ch. Mustafayev, and L.-E. Persson, *Some new iterated Hardy-type inequalities*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. (2012), Art. ID 734194, 30.
[25] ———, *Some new iterated Hardy-type inequalities: the case* $\theta = 1$, J. Inequal. Appl., posted on 2013, 29 pp., DOI 10.1186/1029-242X-2013-515, (to appear in print).
[26] A. Gogatishvili and R. Ch. Mustafayev, *Weighted iterated Hardy-type inequalities*, Preprint, arXiv:1503.04079 (2015).
[27] ———, *Iterated Hardy-type inequalities involving suprema*, Preprint, arXiv:1504.03932 (2015).
[28] A. Gogatishvili, B. Opic, and L. Pick, *Weighted inequalities for Hardy-type operators involving suprema*, Collect. Math. **57** (2006), no. 3, 227–255.
[29] A. Gogatishvili, L.-E. Persson, V. D. Stepanov, and P. Wall, *Some scales of equivalent conditions to characterize the Stieltjes inequality: the case* $q < p$, Math. Nachr. **287** (2014), no. 2-3, 242–253, DOI 10.1002/mana.201200118. MR3163577
[30] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *The blocking technique, weighted mean operators and Hardy's inequality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1679, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR1611898 (99d:26024)
[31] B. D. Hassard and D. A. Hussein, *On Cesàro function spaces*, Tamkang J. Math. **4** (1973), 19–25. MR0333700 (48 #12025)
[32] A. A. Jagers, *A note on Cesàro sequence spaces*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **22** (1974), 113–124. MR0348444 (50 #942)
[33] R. Johnson, *Lipschitz spaces, Littlewood-Paley spaces, and convoluteurs*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **29** (1974), 127–141. MR0355578 (50 #8052)
[34] A. Kamińska and D. Kubiak, *On the dual of Cesàro function space*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), no. 5, 2760–2773, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2011.11.019. MR2878472 (2012m:46034)
[35] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda, and L.-E. Persson, *The Hardy inequality*, Vydavatelský Servis, Plzeň, 2007. About its history and some related results. MR2351524 (2008j:26001)
[36] A. Kufner and L.-E. Persson, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy type*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003. MR1982932 (2004c:42034)
[37] R. Ch. Mustafayev and T. Ünver, *Reverse Hardy-type ineqialities for supremal operators with measures*, accepted in Math. Inequal. Appl. (2015).
[38] B. Opic and A. Kufner, *Hardy-type inequalities*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 219, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1990. MR1069756 (92b:26028)
[39] W. Rudin, *Principles of mathematical analysis*, Second edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1964. MR0166310 (29 #3587)
[40] *Programma van Jaarlijkse Prijsvragen (Annual Problem Section)*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. **16** (1968), 47–51.
[41] J.-S. Shiue, *A note on Cesàro function space*, Tamkang J. Math. **1** (1970), no. 2, 91–95. MR0276751 (43 #2491)
[42] P. W. Sy, W. Y. Zhang, and P. Y. Lee, *The dual of Cesàro function spaces*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **22(42)** (1987), no. 1, 103–112 (English, with Serbo-Croatian summary). MR940098 (89g:46059)

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic
E-mail address: gogatish@math.cas.cz

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Kirikkale University, 71450 Yahsihan, Kirikkale, Turkey
E-mail address: rzamustafayev@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Kirikkale University, 71450 Yahsihan, Kirikkale, Turkey
E-mail address: tugceunver@gmail.com